

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.
Form 10-K
February 19, 2014

Use these links to rapidly review the document

[TABLE OF CONTENTS](#)

[Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data](#)

[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

(Mark One)

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013

Or

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to

Commission File Number 001-33160

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State of Incorporation)

20-2436320
*(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)*

**3801 South Oliver
Wichita, Kansas 67210**

(Address of principal executive offices and zip code)

**Registrant's telephone number, including area code:
(316) 526-9000**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class
Class A Common Stock, \$0.01 par value

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant, based on the closing price of the class A common stock on June 27, 2013, as reported on the New York Stock Exchange was approximately \$2,643,834,149.

As of February 12, 2014, the registrant had outstanding 120,991,868 shares of class A common stock, \$0.01 par value per share, and 23,817,017 shares of class B common stock, \$0.01 par value per share.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant's Proxy Statement for the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed not later than 120 day after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Report are incorporated herein by reference in Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>PART I</u>	
<u>Item 1.</u> <u>Business</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Item 1A.</u> <u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>23</u>
<u>Item 1B.</u> <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	<u>42</u>
<u>Item 2.</u> <u>Properties</u>	<u>43</u>
<u>Item 3.</u> <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>44</u>
<u>Item 4.</u> <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>44</u>
<u>Executive Officers of the Registrant</u>	<u>44</u>
<u>PART II</u>	
<u>Item 5.</u> <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	<u>47</u>
<u>Item 6.</u> <u>Selected Financial Data</u>	<u>50</u>
<u>Item 7.</u> <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>52</u>
<u>Item 7A.</u> <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>79</u>
<u>Item 8.</u> <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	<u>82</u>
<u>Item 9.</u> <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	<u>158</u>
<u>Item 9A.</u> <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>158</u>
<u>Item 9B.</u> <u>Other Information</u>	<u>160</u>
<u>PART III</u>	
<u>Item 10.</u> <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	<u>161</u>
<u>Item 11.</u> <u>Executive Compensation</u>	<u>161</u>
<u>Item 12.</u> <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	<u>161</u>
<u>Item 13.</u> <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence</u>	<u>161</u>
<u>Item 14.</u> <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	<u>161</u>
<u>PART IV</u>	
<u>Item 15.</u> <u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u>	<u>162</u>
<u>Signatures</u>	<u>168</u>
<u>Schedule II</u> <u>Valuation and Qualifying Accounts</u>	<u>169</u>

Table of Contents

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report contains certain "forward-looking statements" that may involve many risks and uncertainties. Forward-looking statements reflect our current expectations or forecasts of future events. Forward-looking statements generally can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as "may," "will," "should," "expect," "anticipate," "intend," "estimate," "believe," "project," "continue," "plan," "forecast," or other similar words, or the negative thereof, unless the context requires otherwise. These statements reflect management's current views with respect to future events and are subject to risks and uncertainties, both known and unknown. Our actual results may vary materially from those anticipated in forward-looking statements. We caution investors not to place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements.

Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those reflected in such forward-looking statements and that should be considered in evaluating our outlook include, but are not limited to, the following:

our ability to continue to grow our business and execute our growth strategy, including the timing, execution, and profitability of new and maturing programs;

our ability to perform our obligations and manage costs related to our new and maturing commercial, business aircraft, and military development programs and the related recurring production;

margin pressures and the potential for additional forward losses on new and maturing programs;

our ability to accommodate, and the cost of accommodating, announced increases in the build rates of certain aircraft;

the effect on business and commercial aircraft demand and build rates of the following factors: changing customer preferences for business aircraft, including the effect of global economic conditions on the business aircraft market, expanding conflicts or political unrest in the Middle East or Asia and the impact of continuing instability in global financial and credit markets, including, but not limited to, any failure to avert a sovereign debt crisis in Europe;

customer cancellations or deferrals as a result of global economic uncertainty;

the success and timely execution of key milestones such as certification and first delivery of Airbus' A350 XWB aircraft program, receipt of necessary regulatory approvals and customer adherence to their announced schedules;

our ability to successfully negotiate new pricing under our agreements with Boeing;

our ability to enter into profitable supply arrangements with additional customers;

the ability of all parties to satisfy their performance requirements under existing supply contracts with Boeing and Airbus, our two major customers, and other customers and the risk of nonpayment by such customers;

any adverse impact on Boeing's and Airbus' production of aircraft resulting from cancellations, deferrals or reduced orders by their customers or from labor disputes or acts of terrorism;

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

any adverse impact on the demand for air travel or our operations from the outbreak of diseases or epidemic or pandemic outbreaks;

returns on pension plan assets and the impact of future discount rate changes on pension obligations;

our ability to borrow additional funds or refinance debt;

our ability to sell all or any portion of our Oklahoma sites for a price and on terms acceptable to us;

Table of Contents

competition from commercial aerospace original equipment manufacturers and other aerostructures suppliers;

the effect of governmental laws, such as U.S. export control laws and U.S. and foreign anti-bribery laws such as the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and the United Kingdom Bribery Act, and environmental laws and agency regulations, both in the U.S. and abroad;

the cost and availability of raw materials and purchased components;

our ability to recruit and retain highly-skilled employees and our relationships with the unions representing many of our employees;

spending by the U.S. and other governments on defense;

the possibility that our cash flows and borrowing facilities may not be adequate for our additional capital needs or for payment of interest on and principal of our indebtedness;

our exposure under our existing senior secured revolving credit facility to higher interest payments should interest rates increase substantially;

the effectiveness of our interest rate and foreign currency hedging programs;

the outcome or impact of ongoing or future litigation, claims and regulatory actions; and

our exposure to potential product liability and warranty claims;

These factors are not exhaustive and it is not possible for us to predict all factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those reflected in our forward-looking statements. These factors speak only as of the date hereof, and new factors may emerge or changes to the foregoing factors may occur that could impact our business. As with any projection or forecast, these statements are inherently susceptible to uncertainty and changes in circumstances. Except to the extent required by law, we undertake no obligation to, and expressly disclaim any obligation to, publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. You should review carefully the sections captioned "Risk Factors" and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in this Annual Report for a more complete discussion of these and other factors that may affect our business.

Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1. Business

Our Company

Unless the context otherwise indicates or requires, as used in this Annual Report, references to "we," "us," "our," or the "Company" refer to Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc., its subsidiaries and predecessors. References to "Spirit" refer only to our subsidiary, Spirit AeroSystems, Inc., and references to "Spirit Holdings" or "Holdings" refer only to Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. References to "Boeing" refer to The Boeing Company and references to "Airbus" refer to Airbus S.A.S., a division of Airbus Group NV. References to "OEM" refer to commercial aerospace original equipment manufacturer.

We are one of the largest independent non-OEM aircraft parts designers and manufacturers of commercial aerostructures in the world, based on annual revenues, as well as the largest independent supplier of aerostructures to Boeing. In addition, we are one of the largest independent suppliers of aerostructures to Airbus. Boeing and Airbus are the two largest aircraft OEMs in the world. Aerostructures are structural components such as fuselages, propulsion systems and wing systems for commercial and military aircraft. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, we generated net revenues of \$5,961.0 million, and had net loss of \$621.4 million.

Spirit Holdings was incorporated in the state of Delaware on February 7, 2005, and commenced operations on June 17, 2005 through the acquisition of Boeing's operations in Wichita, Kansas; Tulsa, Oklahoma and McAlester, Oklahoma (the "Boeing Acquisition") by an investor group led by Onex Partners LP and Onex Corporation (together with its affiliates, "Onex"). Boeing's commercial aerostructures manufacturing operations in Wichita, Kansas and Tulsa and McAlester, Oklahoma, are referred to in this Report as "Boeing Wichita." Although Spirit began operations as a stand-alone company in 2005, its predecessor, Boeing Wichita (the "Predecessor"), had 75 years of operating history and expertise in the commercial and military aerostructures industry. Spirit Holdings, Spirit's parent company, has had publicly traded shares on the New York Stock Exchange under the ticker "SPR" since November 2006. Onex continues to hold approximately 94% of Spirit Holdings' class B common shares, which represents approximately 62% of total Spirit Holdings stockholder voting power.

On April 1, 2006, we became a supplier to Airbus through our acquisition of the aerostructures division of BAE Systems (Operations) Limited, referred to in this Report as "BAE Systems." The acquired division of BAE Systems is referred to in this Report as "BAE Aerostructures," and the acquisition of BAE Aerostructures is referred to as the "BAE Acquisition."

We manufacture aerostructures for every Boeing commercial aircraft currently in production, including the majority of the airframe content for the Boeing B737, the most popular major commercial aircraft in history. As a result of our unique capabilities both in process design and composite materials, we were awarded a contract that makes us the largest aerostructures content supplier on the Boeing B787, Boeing's next generation twin aisle aircraft. In addition, we are one of the largest content suppliers of wing systems for the Airbus A320 family, we are a significant supplier for the Airbus A380, and we will be a significant supplier for the new Airbus A350 XWB (Xtra Wide-Body) after the development stage of the program. Sales related to the large commercial aircraft market, some of which may be used in military applications, represented approximately 99% of our net revenues for the twelve-month period ended December 31, 2013.

We derive our revenues primarily through long-term supply agreements with Boeing and Airbus. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, approximately 84% and 10% of our net revenues were generated from sales to Boeing and Airbus, respectively. We are currently the sole-source supplier of 97% of the products we sell to Boeing and Airbus, as measured by the dollar value of products sold. We are a critical partner to our customers due to the broad range of products we currently supply to them and our leading design and manufacturing capabilities using both metallic and composite materials. Under our supply agreements with Boeing and Airbus, we supply products for the life of the aircraft program (other

Table of Contents

than the A350 XWB and A380), including commercial derivative models. For the A350 XWB and A380, we have long-term requirements contracts with Airbus.

Since Spirit's incorporation, the Company has expanded its customer base to include Sikorsky, Rolls-Royce, Gulfstream, Israel Aerospace Industries, Bombardier, Mitsubishi Aircraft Corporation, Bell Helicopter, Southwest Airlines, United Airlines and American Airlines. The Company has its headquarters in Wichita, Kansas, with manufacturing facilities in Tulsa and McAlester, Oklahoma; Prestwick, Scotland; Wichita and Chanute, Kansas; Kinston, North Carolina; Saint-Nazaire, France; and Subang, Malaysia.

Our History

In December 2004 and February 2005, an investor group led by Onex formed Spirit and Spirit Holdings, respectively, for the purpose of acquiring Boeing Wichita. The Boeing Acquisition was completed on June 16, 2005. Prior to the acquisition, Boeing Wichita functioned as an internal supplier of parts and assemblies for Boeing's airplane programs and had very few sales to third parties.

In connection with the Boeing Acquisition, we entered into a long-term supply agreement under which we are Boeing's exclusive supplier for substantially all of the products and services provided by Boeing Wichita to Boeing prior to the Boeing Acquisition. The Supply Agreement is a requirements contract covering certain products such as fuselages, struts/pylons and wing components for Boeing B737, B747, B767 and B777 commercial aircraft programs for the life of these programs, including any commercial derivative models. Pricing for existing products on in-production models expired in May 2013 and interim pricing provisions under the supply agreement are currently in effect. We are currently negotiating future pricing terms with Boeing. The future pricing will be effective for a period to be agreed upon by the parties. The interim pricing terms are determined according to the existing prices as of May 2013 using a quantity-based price adjustment formula and specified annual escalation until such time as future pricing is agreed. Interim pricing will be retroactively adjusted in the period in which we agree on future pricing terms.

We also entered into a long-term supply agreement with Boeing for the B787 aircraft, covering the life of this aircraft program, including commercial derivatives. Under this contract we are Boeing's exclusive supplier for the forward fuselage, fixed and moveable leading wing edges and engine pylons for the B787. Pricing for the initial configuration of the B787-8 model is generally set through 2021, with prices decreasing as cumulative production volume levels are achieved. The B787 Supply Agreement provides that initial prices for the B787-9 and B787-10 are to be determined by a procedure set out in the B787 Supply Agreement, and to be documented by amendment once that amendment has been agreed to by the parties. The parties have engaged in discussions concerning how to determine initial B787-9 and B787-10 pricing and have not yet reached agreement. Prices are subject to adjustment for abnormal inflation (above a specified level in any year) and for certain production, schedule and other specific changes, including design changes from the contract configuration baseline for each B787 model.

On April 1, 2006, we acquired BAE Aerostructures, which was subsequently renamed Spirit AeroSystems (Europe) Limited ("Spirit Europe"). Spirit Europe manufactures leading and trailing wing edges and other wing components for commercial aircraft programs for Airbus and Boeing. The BAE Acquisition provided us with a foundation to increase future sales to Airbus, as Spirit Europe is a key supplier of wing and flight control surfaces for the A320 platform, Airbus' core single-aisle program, and of wing components for the A380 platform, one of Airbus' most important new programs and the world's largest commercial passenger aircraft. In July 2008, Spirit Europe was awarded a contract with Airbus to design and assemble a major wing structure for the A350 XWB program. Under our supply agreements with Airbus, we supply most of our products for the life of the aircraft program (other than the A350 XWB and A380), including commercial derivative models, with pricing determined through 2015. For the A380 and A350 XWB, we have long-term requirements contracts with Airbus that cover a fixed number of units.

In November 2006, we issued and sold 10,416,667 shares of our class A common stock and certain selling stockholders sold 52,929,167 shares of our class A common stock at a price to the public of \$26.00

Table of Contents

per share in our initial public offering. In May 2007, certain selling stockholders sold 34,340,484 shares of our class A common stock at a price to the public of \$33.50 per share in a secondary offering of our class A common stock. In April 2011, certain selling stockholders sold 10,307,375 shares of our class A common stock at a price to the underwriters of \$24.49 per share in a secondary offering of our class A common stock.

Our Relationship with Boeing

Supply Agreement with Boeing for B737, B747, B767 and B777 Platforms

Overview. In connection with the Boeing Acquisition, Spirit entered into long-term supply agreements under which we are Boeing's exclusive supplier for substantially all of the products and services provided by Boeing Wichita to Boeing prior to the closing of the Boeing Acquisition. The main supply contract is primarily comprised of two separate agreements: (1) the Special Business Provisions, or Sustaining SBP, which sets forth the specific terms of the supply arrangement with regard to Boeing's B737, B747, B767 and B777 aircraft and (2) the General Terms Agreement, or GTA, which sets forth other general contractual provisions relating to our various supply arrangements with Boeing, including provisions relating to termination, events of default, assignment, ordering procedures, inspections and quality controls. The summary below describes provisions contained in both the Sustaining SBP and the GTA as both agreements govern the main supply arrangement. We refer to the Sustaining SBP, the GTA and any related purchase order or contract collectively as the "Supply Agreement." The Supply Agreement is a requirements contract which covers certain products, including fuselages, struts/pylons and nacelles (including thrust reversers), wings and wing components, as well as tooling, for Boeing B737, B747, B767 and B777 commercial aircraft programs for the life of these programs, including any commercial derivative models. During the term of the Supply Agreement and absent default by Spirit, Boeing is obligated to purchase from Spirit all of its requirements for products covered by the Supply Agreement. Although Boeing is not required to maintain a minimum production rate, Boeing is subject to a maximum production rate above which it must negotiate with us regarding responsibility for non-recurring expenditures related to a capacity increase.

Pricing. The pricing terms for recurring products under the Supply Agreement expired in May 2013. Under these terms, prices were adjusted each year based on a quantity-based price adjustment formula described in the Supply Agreement whereby average per-unit prices are higher at lower volumes and lower at higher volumes. Prices are subject to adjustment for abnormal inflation (above a specified level in any year) and for certain production, schedule and other changes. See "Changes" below.

As the expiration of the established pricing terms has passed, Boeing and Spirit are currently negotiating future pricing, to be applicable for a period to be agreed upon by the parties. Boeing and Spirit are required to negotiate the pricing for such additional period in good faith based on prevailing U.S. market conditions for forward fuselages, B737 fuselages and B737/B777 struts and nacelles and based on prevailing global market conditions for all other products. Until the parties are able to agree upon future pricing, pricing will be determined according to the price as of the expiration of the initial eight-year period, adjusted using the existing quantity-based price adjustment formula and annual escalation and such interim pricing will be retroactively adjusted in the period in which we agree on future pricing terms.

Prices for commercial derivative models are to be negotiated in good faith by the parties based on then-prevailing market conditions. If the parties cannot agree on price, then they must engage in dispute resolution pursuant to agreed-upon procedures.

Tooling. Under the Supply Agreement, Boeing owns all tooling used in production or inspection of products covered by the Supply Agreement. Spirit is responsible for providing all new tooling required for manufacturing and delivering products under the Supply Agreement, and Boeing acquires title to such tooling upon completion of the manufacturing of the tools and payment by Boeing. Because Boeing owns this tooling, Spirit may not sell, lease, dispose of or encumber any of it. Spirit does, however, have the

Table of Contents

option to procure certain limited tooling needed to manufacture and deliver both Boeing and non-Boeing parts.

Although Boeing owns the tooling, Spirit has the limited right to use this tooling without any additional charge to perform its obligations to Boeing under the Supply Agreement and also to provide aftermarket services in accordance with the rights granted to Spirit under other related agreements, including royalty-bearing license agreements. Boeing is entitled to use the tooling only under limited circumstances. Spirit is responsible for maintaining and insuring the tooling. Spirit's rights to use the tooling are subject to the termination provisions of the Supply Agreement.

Changes. Upon written notification to Spirit, Boeing has the right to make changes within the general scope of work performed by Spirit under the Supply Agreement. If any such change increases or decreases the cost or time required to perform, Boeing and Spirit must negotiate an equitable adjustment (based on rates, factors and methodology set forth in the Supply Agreement) to the price or schedule to reflect the change, except that Spirit will be responsible for absorbing the cost of certain changes. The Supply Agreement also provides for equitable adjustments to product prices if there are order accelerations or decelerations, depending on lead times identified in the Supply Agreement. In addition, the Supply Agreement provides for equitable adjustments to recurring part prices as well as the price of non-recurring work upon the satisfaction of certain conditions and upon certain minimum dollar thresholds being met.

Additional Spirit Costs. In the event that Boeing rejects a product manufactured by Spirit, Boeing is entitled to repair or rework such product, and Spirit is required to pay all reasonable costs and expenses incurred by Boeing related thereto. In addition, Spirit is required to reimburse Boeing for costs expended in providing Spirit and/or Spirit's contractors the technical or manufacturing assistance with respect to Spirit nonperformance issues.

Termination for Convenience. Subject to the restrictions prohibiting Boeing from manufacturing certain products supplied by Spirit or purchasing such products from any other supplier, Boeing may, at any time, terminate all or part of any order under the Supply Agreement by written notice to Spirit. If Boeing terminates all or part of an order, Spirit is entitled to compensation for certain costs.

Termination of Airplane Program. If Boeing decides not to initiate or continue production of a Boeing commercial aircraft model B737, B747, B767 or B777 or commercial derivative because it determines there is insufficient business basis for proceeding, Boeing may terminate such model or derivative, including any order therefor, by written notice to Spirit. In the event of such a termination, Boeing will be liable to Spirit for any orders issued prior to the date of the termination notice and may also be liable for certain termination costs.

Events of Default and Remedies. It is an "event of default" under the Supply Agreement if Spirit:

- (1) fails to deliver products as required by the Supply Agreement;
- (2) fails to provide certain "assurances of performance" required by the Supply Agreement;
- (3) breaches the provisions of the Supply Agreement relating to intellectual property and proprietary information;
- (4) participates in the sale, purchase or manufacture of airplane parts without the required approval of the Federal Aviation Administration, or FAA, or appropriate foreign regulatory agency;
- (5) fails under certain requirements to maintain a system of quality assurance;
- (6) fails to comply with other obligations under the Supply Agreement (which breach continues for more than 10 days after notice is received from Boeing);
- (7) is unable to pay its debts as they become due, dissolves or declares bankruptcy; or

Table of Contents

(8)

breaches the assignment provisions of the Supply Agreement (which breach continues for more than 10 days after notice is received from Boeing).

If an event of default occurs, Boeing has the right to exercise various remedies set forth in the Supply Agreement, including the right to manufacture or to otherwise obtain substitute products, cancel any or all outstanding orders under the Supply Agreement, and/or terminate the Supply Agreement. Boeing is limited, however, in its ability to cancel orders or terminate the Supply Agreement for the defaults described in items (1), (2) and (6) above. In such cases, Boeing may not cancel orders unless the event of default is material and has an operational or financial impact on Boeing and may not terminate the Supply Agreement unless there are repeated, material events of default and certain other criteria are satisfied. Boeing may only terminate the Supply Agreement with respect to the aircraft program affected by the event of default. If two or more programs are affected by the event of default, Boeing may terminate the entire Supply Agreement. Boeing may also require Spirit to transfer tooling, raw material, work-in-process and other inventory and certain intellectual property to Boeing in return for reasonable compensation.

Excusable Delay. If delivery of any product is delayed by circumstances beyond Spirit's reasonable control, and without Spirit's or its suppliers' or subcontractors' error or negligence (including, without limitation, acts of God, war, terrorist acts, fires, floods, epidemics, strikes, unusually severe weather, riots and acts of government), or by any material act or failure to act by Boeing, each being an "excusable delay," then, subject to certain exceptions, Spirit's delivery obligations will be extended. If delivery of any product is delayed by an excusable delay for more than three months, Boeing may cancel all or part of any order for the delayed products.

If delivery of any product constituting more than 25% of the ship set value for one or more models of program airplanes is delayed by an excusable delay for more than five months, Boeing may cancel the Sustaining SBP as it applies to such models of program airplanes, and neither party will have any liability to the other, other than as described in the above paragraph under the heading "Events of Default and Remedies."

Assignment. Spirit may not assign its rights under the Supply Agreement other than with Boeing's consent, which Boeing may not unreasonably withhold unless the assignment is to a disqualified person. A disqualified person is one: (1) whose principal business is as an OEM of commercial aircraft, space vehicles, satellites or defense systems; (2) that Boeing reasonably believes will not be able to perform its obligations under the Supply Agreement; (3) that, after giving effect to the transaction, would be a supplier of more than 40% by value of the major structural components of any Boeing program then in production; or (4) who is, or is an affiliate of, a commercial airplane operator or is one of five named corporate groups. Sale of majority voting power or of all or substantially all of Spirit's assets to a disqualified person is considered an assignment.

B787 Supply Agreement with Boeing

Overview. Spirit and Boeing also entered into a long-term supply agreement for Boeing's B787 program, or the B787 Supply Agreement, which covers the life of the program and commercial derivatives. The B787 Supply Agreement is a requirements contract pursuant to which Spirit is Boeing's exclusive supplier for the forward fuselage, fixed and moveable leading wing edges, engine pylons and related tooling for the B787. While the B787 Supply Agreement does not provide for a minimum or maximum production rate, the agreement acknowledges that Spirit is responsible for capitalization to support a rate of ten per month. If Boeing decides to increase production above ten ship sets per month, and if a certain percentage of the profit margin of the additional revenue due to the increase is not projected to recover expenditures required to increase the production rate beyond that level, Spirit will negotiate with Boeing regarding an equitable price adjustment. Pursuant to the B787 Amendment described below, Spirit has agreed to proceed with all necessary capital and equipment investments required to support a rate of ten ship sets per month. Under the B787 Supply Agreement, Spirit also provides certain support, development and redesign engineering services to Boeing at an agreed hourly rate.

Table of Contents

Pricing. Pricing for the initial configuration of the B787-8 model is generally established through 2021, with prices decreasing as cumulative volume levels are met over the life of the program. The B787 Supply Agreement provides that initial prices for the B787-9 and B787-10 are to be determined by a procedure set out in the B787 Supply Agreement, and to be documented by amendment once that amendment has been agreed to by the parties. The parties have engaged in discussions concerning how to determine the initial B787-9 and B787-10 prices and have not yet reached agreement. Prices are subject to adjustment for abnormal inflation (above a specified level in any year) and for certain production, schedule and other specific changes, including design changes from the contract configuration baseline for each B787 model. As outlined in the amendment to the B787 Supply Agreement dated May 12, 2011 (the "B787 Amendment"), both parties will participate in an annual price adjustment process for each B787 model, which will involve an evaluation of the cost impact to Spirit as a result of Boeing directed changes. The price adjustments are calculated using a methodology set out in the B787 Supply Agreement. The evaluations take place and conclude in the first quarter of each calendar year and apply retroactively to certain of the prior year's prices to the extent set out in the B787 Supply Agreement. In June 2013, Spirit and Boeing completed the first annual price adjustment for the B787-8.

Advance Payments/Deferred Revenue. In December 2010, Spirit and Boeing entered into a memorandum of agreement ("MOA") and a settlement agreement regarding certain claims associated with the development and production of the B787 airplane. As part of these agreements, Spirit received a payment for \$236.2 million which was recorded as deferred revenue (short-term) within the December 31, 2010 consolidated balance sheet pending finalization of a contract amendment, which would contain the final settlement terms. On May 12, 2011, Spirit and Boeing entered into the B787 Amendment, which finalized and implemented substantially all of the provisions of the December 2010 MOA. Among other things, the B787 Amendment spread out repayment of a \$700.0 million cash advance made by Boeing to Spirit in 2007 to be offset against the purchase price of the first 1,000 B787 ship sets delivered to Boeing, instead of the first 500 ship sets. In the event Boeing does not take delivery of 1,000 ship sets prior to the termination of the B787 program or the B787 Supply Agreement, any advances not then repaid will be applied against any outstanding payments then due by Boeing to us, and any remaining balance will be repaid in annual installments of \$42.0 million due on December 15th of each year until the advance payments have been fully recovered by Boeing. The B787 Amendment also changed the treatment of advances paid by Boeing for certain non-recurring work into a nonrefundable payment in full for such work.

Accordingly, portions of the advance repayment liability are included as current and long-term liabilities in our consolidated balance sheet. As of December 31, 2013, the amount of advance payments and deferred revenue received by us from Boeing under the B787 Supply Agreement and not yet repaid or recognized as revenue was \$600.2 million.

Termination of Airplane Program. If Boeing decides not to continue production of the B787 airplane program because it determines, after consultation with Spirit, that there is an insufficient business basis for proceeding, Boeing may terminate the B787 airplane program, including any orders, by written notice to Spirit. In the event of such a termination, Boeing will be liable to Spirit for costs incurred in connection with any orders issued prior to the date of the termination notice and may also be liable for certain termination costs and for compensation for any tools, raw materials or work-in-process requested by Boeing in connection with the termination.

Events of Default and Remedies. It is an "event of default" under the B787 Supply Agreement if Spirit:

- (1) fails to deliver products as required by the B787 Supply Agreement;
- (2) breaches the provisions of the B787 Supply Agreement relating to intellectual property and proprietary information;

Table of Contents

- (3) participates in the sale, purchase or manufacture of airplane parts without the required approval of the FAA or appropriate foreign regulatory agency;
- (4) fails under certain requirements to maintain a system of quality assurance;
- (5) fails to comply with other obligations under the B787 Supply Agreement (which breach continues for more than 15 days after notice is received from Boeing);
- (6) is unable to pay its debts as they become due, dissolves or declares bankruptcy;
- (7) fails to comply with U.S. export control laws; or
- (8) breaches the assignment provisions of the B787 Supply Agreement.

If an event of default occurs, Boeing has the right to exercise various remedies set forth in the B787 Supply Agreement, including the right to manufacture or to otherwise obtain substitute products, cancel any or all outstanding orders under the B787 Supply Agreement and/or terminate the B787 Supply Agreement. Before terminating any order or the B787 Supply Agreement, Boeing is required to work with Spirit to attempt to agree on a satisfactory recovery plan. Boeing may also require Spirit to transfer tooling, raw material, work-in-process and other inventory and certain intellectual property to Boeing in return for reasonable compensation.

Assignment. Spirit may not assign its rights under the B787 Supply Agreement or any related order other than with Boeing's consent, which Boeing may not unreasonably withhold unless the assignment is to a disqualified person. A disqualified person is one: (1) whose principal business is as an OEM of commercial aircraft, space vehicles, satellites or defense systems; (2) that Boeing reasonably believes will not be able to perform its obligations under the B787 Supply Agreement; (3) that, after giving effect to the transaction, would be a supplier of more than 40% by value of the major structural components of any Boeing program then in production; or (4) who is, or is an affiliate of, a commercial airplane operator or is one of five named corporate groups. Sale of majority voting power or of all or substantially all of Spirit's assets to a disqualified person is considered an assignment.

License of Intellectual Property

Supply Agreement. All technical work product and works of authorship produced by or for Spirit with respect to any work performed by or for Spirit pursuant to the Supply Agreement are the exclusive property of Boeing. All inventions conceived by or for Spirit with respect to any work performed by or for Spirit pursuant to the Supply Agreement and any patents claiming such inventions are the exclusive property of Spirit, except that Boeing will own any such inventions that Boeing reasonably believes are applicable to the B787 platform, and Boeing may seek patent protection for such B787 inventions or hold them as trade secrets, provided that, if Boeing does not seek patent protection, Spirit may do so.

Except as Boeing otherwise agrees, Spirit may only use Boeing proprietary information and materials (such as tangible and intangible confidential, proprietary and/or trade secret information and tooling) in the performance of its obligations under the Supply Agreement. Spirit is prohibited from selling products manufactured using Boeing proprietary information and materials to any person other than Boeing without Boeing's authorization.

Spirit has granted to Boeing a license to Spirit proprietary information and materials and software and related products for use in connection with the testing, certification, use, sale or support of a product covered by the Supply Agreement, or the manufacture, testing, certification, use, sale or support of any aircraft including and/or utilizing a product covered by the Supply Agreement. Spirit has also granted to Boeing a license to use Spirit intellectual property to the extent such intellectual property interferes with Boeing's use of products or intellectual property belonging to Boeing under the Supply Agreement.

To protect Boeing against Spirit's default, Spirit has granted to Boeing a license, exercisable on such default to practice and/or use, and license for others to practice and/or use on Boeing's behalf, Spirit's

Table of Contents

intellectual property and tooling related to the development, production, maintenance or repair of products in connection with making, using and selling products. As a part of the foregoing license, Spirit must, at the written request of and at no additional cost to Boeing, promptly deliver to Boeing any such licensed property considered by Boeing to be necessary to exercise Boeing's rights under the license.

B787 Supply Agreement. The B787 Supply Agreement establishes three classifications for patented invention and proprietary information: (1) intellectual property developed by Spirit during activity under the B787 Supply Agreement, or Spirit IP; (2) intellectual property developed jointly by Boeing and Spirit during that activity, or Joint IP; and (3) all other intellectual property developed during activity under the B787 Supply Agreement, or Boeing IP.

Boeing may use Spirit IP for work on the B787 program and Spirit may license it to third parties for work on such program. Spirit may also not unreasonably withhold consent to the license of such intellectual property to third parties for work on other Boeing programs, provided that it may require a reasonable royalty to be paid and, with respect to commercial airplane programs, that Spirit has been offered an opportunity, to the extent commercially feasible, to work on such programs.

Each party is free to use Joint IP in connection with work on the B787 and other Boeing programs, but each must obtain the consent of the other to use it for other purposes. If either party wishes to license Joint IP to a third party for work on a Boeing program other than the B787, then the other party may require a reasonable royalty, but may not unreasonably withhold its consent, as long as (if the program in question is another Boeing commercial airplane program) Spirit has been offered an opportunity, to the extent commercially feasible, to perform work for the particular program.

Spirit is entitled to use Boeing IP for the B787 program, and may require Boeing to license it to subcontractors for the same purpose.

Additional License From Boeing. Boeing has licensed certain intellectual property rights to Spirit under a Hardware Material Services General Terms Agreement, or HMSGTA, and four initial Supplemental License Agreements, or SLAs, under the HMSGTA. The HMSGTA and the initial SLAs grant Spirit licenses to use Boeing intellectual property to manufacture listed parts for the aftermarket and to perform maintenance, repair and overhaul, or MRO, of aircraft and aircraft components for customers other than Boeing. These agreements also permit Spirit to use knowledge obtained by Spirit personnel prior to the closing of the Boeing Acquisition. Spirit also may obtain additional SLAs from Boeing and those SLAs will also supersede the restrictions on Spirit's use of Boeing's proprietary information and materials described above. Spirit pays Boeing royalties for the use of these licenses.

Intellectual Property

We have several patents pertaining to our processes and products. While our patents, in the aggregate, are of material importance to our business, no individual patent or group of patents is of material importance. We also rely on trade secrets, confidentiality agreements, unpatented knowledge, creative products development and continuing technological advancement to maintain our competitive position.

Our Products

We are organized into three principal reporting segments: (1) Fuselage Systems, which includes forward, mid and rear fuselage sections; (2) Propulsion Systems, which includes nacelles, struts/pylons and engine structural components; and (3) Wing Systems, which includes wing systems and components, flight control surfaces and other miscellaneous structural parts. The Fuselage Systems segment manufactures products at our facilities in Wichita, Kansas and Kinston, North Carolina, with an assembly plant for the A350 XWB in Saint-Nazaire, France. The Propulsion Systems segment manufactures products at our facilities in Wichita and Chanute, Kansas, and the Wing Systems segment manufactures products at our facilities in Tulsa and McAlester, Oklahoma; Prestwick, Scotland; Subang, Malaysia and Kinston, North Carolina. Fuselage Systems, Propulsion Systems and Wing Systems represented approximately 48%, 27%,

Table of Contents

and 25%, of our net revenues for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, respectively. All other activities fall within the All Other segment, representing less than 1% of our net revenues for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, principally made up of sundry sales of miscellaneous services, tooling contracts, and sales of natural gas through a tenancy-in-common with other companies that have operations in Wichita.

As the programs we are involved in move through their life cycles, we classify them based on where they fall in the life cycle. The following table summarizes the program phases and programs in each category:

Program Phases	Life Cycle	Aircraft Platform
New	Generally early in development phase with possible low rate production Significant design evolution Typically has not achieved certifications	A350 XWB, Sikorsky CH53-K, Mitsubishi Regional Jet, Bombardier CSeries
Maturing	Generally in early production phase Typically certification is achieved in this phase Less design evolution than in new program phase	B787, Rolls-Royce BR725, G280, G650, KC-46
Mature	Generally at full-rate production Typically certification has been achieved Relatively stable design with less engineering change	B737, B747, B767, B777, A320 Family, A330, A380, P8-A

Commercial Aircraft Structures

We principally design, engineer and manufacture commercial aircraft structures such as fuselages, nacelles (including thrust reversers), struts/pylons, wings and wing assemblies and flight control surfaces. We are the largest independent supplier of aerostructures to Boeing and one of the largest independent suppliers of aerostructures to Airbus. Sales related to the commercial aircraft structures market, some of which may be used in military applications, represented approximately 99% of our net revenues for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Our structural components, in particular the forward fuselage and nacelles, are among the most complex and highly engineered structural components and represent a significant percentage of the costs of each aircraft. We are currently the sole-source supplier of 97% of the products we sell to Boeing and Airbus, as measured by dollar value of products sold. We typically sell a package of aerostructure components, referred to as a ship set, to our customers.

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the major commercial programs that we currently have under long-term contract by product and aircraft platform.

Product	Description	Aircraft Platform
Fuselage Systems		
Forward Fuselage	Forward section of fuselage which houses flight deck, passenger cabin and cargo area	B737, B747, B767, B777, B787
Other Fuselage Sections	Mid-section and other sections of the fuselage and certain other structural components, including floor beams	B737, B747, B777, A350 XWB
Propulsion Systems		
Nacelles (including Thrust Reversers)	Aerodynamic structure surrounding engines	B737, B747, B767, B777, Rolls-Royce BR725 Engine (for Gulfstream G650)
Struts/Pylons	Structure that connects engine to the wing	B737, B747, B767, B777, B787, Mitsubishi Regional Jet, Bombardier CSeries
Wing Systems		
Flight Control Surfaces	Flaps and slats	B737, B777, A320 family
Wing Structures	Wing framework which consists mainly of spars, ribs, fixed leading edge, stringers, trailing edges and flap track beams	B737, B747, B767, B777, B787, A320 family, A330, A340, A350 XWB, A380, Gulfstream G650, Gulfstream G280

Military Equipment

In addition to providing aerostructures for commercial aircraft, we also design, engineer and manufacture structural components for military aircraft. We have been awarded a significant amount of work for the B737 P-8A, B737 C40 and B767 KC-46 Tanker. The B737 P-8A, B737 C40 and B767 KC-46 Tanker are commercial aircraft modified for military use. Other military programs for which we provide products include the development of the Sikorsky CH-53K and Bell Helicopter V-280 tilt-rotor, and various other programs.

The following table summarizes the major military programs that we currently have under long-term contract by product and military platform. Rotorcraft is part of the Fuselage Systems segment and low observables, radome and other military are part of the Wing Systems segment.

Product	Description	Military Platform
Low Observables	Radar absorbent and translucent materials	Various
Rotorcraft	Forward cockpit and cabin	Sikorsky CH-53K Development Program
	Fuselage	Bell Helicopter V-280 Development Program
Other Military	Fabrication, bonding, assembly, testing, tooling, processing, engineering analysis, and training	Various

Table of Contents

Aftermarket

We continue to broaden our base for aftermarket support of the products we design and build. We have developed our global sales and marketing channel for spare parts, with sales offices in Singapore, Ireland, China and the U.S. Our Spirit catalog has over 14,000 parts that we are selling directly to the marketplace by virtue of having obtained parts manufacturing approvals from the FAA. In the area of MRO we have repair stations in Wichita, Kansas, with FAA and European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA) certifications, and Prestwick, Scotland, which is EASA-certified with FAA certification pending. In addition, we operate an MRO repair station through a joint venture in Jinjiang, China, Taikoo Spirit AeroSystems Composite Company, Ltd., which holds Civil Aviation Administration of China certification and FAA and EASA approval.

The following table summarizes our aftermarket products and services:

Product	Description	Aircraft Platform
Spares	Provides replacement parts and components support for: In production aircraft	B737NG, B747, B767, B777, B787, Gulfstream G280 and G650, A320 family, A330, A340, A380
Maintenance, Repair and Overhaul	Out of production aircraft Certified repair stations that provide complete on-site nacelle repair and overhaul; maintains global partnerships to support MRO services	B707, B727, B737 Classic, B757 B737, B747, B757, B767, B777 and G650 nacelles, and G650 and G280 wing components
Rotable Assets	Maintain a pool of rotatable assets for exchange and/or lease	B737, B747, B757, B767, B777

Our Competitive Strengths

We believe our key competitive strengths include:

Leading Position in the Growing Commercial Aerostructures Market. We are one of the largest independent non-OEM commercial aerostructures manufacturers with an estimated 17% market share of the global market. Based on their published aircraft backlog figures, Boeing and Airbus had a combined backlog of 10,639 commercial aircraft as of December 31, 2013, and 9,055 commercial aircraft as of December 31, 2012. We are under contract to provide aerostructure products for approximately 98% of the aircraft that comprise this commercial aircraft backlog. We are currently the sole-source supplier of 97% of the products we sell to Boeing and Airbus, as measured by dollar value of the products sold. The significant Boeing and Airbus aircraft order backlog for scheduled deliveries in this decade, and our strong relationships with Boeing and Airbus, should enable us to continue to profitably grow our core commercial aerostructures business.

Participation on High-Volume and Major Growth Platforms. We derive a high proportion of our Boeing revenues from the high-volume B737 program and a high proportion of our Airbus revenues from the high-volume A320 program. Boeing's backlog consists of approximately 3,680 B737s (more than eight years of backlog at current build rates) and Airbus' backlog consists of approximately 4,298 aircraft in the A320 family (more than eight years of backlog at current build rates). The B737 and A320 families are Boeing's and Airbus' best-selling commercial airplanes, respectively. We have also been awarded a significant amount of work on major twin-aisle programs, the B777, B787 and A350 XWB.

Table of Contents

Stable Base Business. We have entered into long-term supply agreements with Boeing and Airbus, our two largest customers, making us the exclusive supplier for most of the products covered by these contracts. Our supply agreements with Boeing provide that we will continue to supply essentially all of the products we currently supply to Boeing for the life of the current aircraft programs, including commercial derivative models. The principal supply agreements we have entered into with Boeing make us Boeing's exclusive source for substantially all of the products covered by the agreements.

Under our supply agreements with Airbus, we supply most of our products for the life of the aircraft program, including commercial derivative models. For the A380 and A350 XWB, we have long-term requirements contracts with Airbus that cover a fixed number of units. We are currently the sole-source supplier for approximately 50% of the products, as measured by dollar volume, that we sell directly to Airbus.

Strong Incumbent and Competitive Position. We have a strong incumbent position on the products we currently supply to Boeing and Airbus, forged by long-standing relationships and long-term supply agreements. Several members of our management team have a long history of working in the aerospace industry, at Boeing Wichita and BAE Aerostructures. We believe our management team possesses inherent knowledge of and relationships with Boeing and Airbus that may not be matched to a corresponding degree between other suppliers and these two OEMs.

We believe that OEMs incur significant costs to change aerostructures suppliers once contracts are awarded. Such changes after contract award require additional testing and certification, which may create production delays and significant costs for both the OEM and the new supplier. We also believe it would be cost prohibitive for other suppliers to duplicate our facilities and the over 20,000 major pieces of equipment that we own or operate. The combined insurable replacement value of all the buildings and equipment we own or operate is approximately \$6.6 billion, including approximately \$2.6 billion for buildings, approximately \$2.4 billion for equipment that we own and approximately \$1.6 billion for other equipment used in the operation of our business. The insurable values represent the estimated replacement cost of buildings and equipment used in our operations and covered by property insurance, and exceed the fair value of assets acquired as determined for financial reporting purposes. As a result, we believe that as long as we continue to meet our customers' requirements, the probability that they change suppliers on our current statement of work is quite low. Our incumbent position also provides us with a competitive advantage with respect to new business from our customers.

Industry-Leading Technology, Design Capabilities and Manufacturing Expertise. Spirit AeroSystems, independently, and previously as Boeing Wichita, has over 80 years of experience designing and manufacturing large-scale, complex aerostructures. We possess industry-leading engineering capabilities that include significant expertise in structural design, technology development, test, and regulatory certification (FAA and international civil aviation authorities). We specialize in the use of metallic and composite materials, conducting stress analyses to ensure structural integrity, systems engineering to ensure customer and regulatory requirements are clearly identified and managed, and acoustics technology.

With approximately 1,500 degreed engineering and technical employees (including over 40 degreed contract engineers) and access to approximately 800 engineers from other engineering firms, we possess knowledge and manufacturing know-how that customers depend on and that would be difficult for other suppliers to replicate. In addition to our engineering expertise, we have strong manufacturing and technological capabilities. Our manufacturing processes are highly automated, delivering efficiency and quality, and we have expertise in manufacturing aerostructures using both metallic and composite materials. We have strong technical expertise in bonding and metals fabrication, assembly, tooling and composite manufacturing, including the handling of all composite material grades and fabricating large-scale complex contour composites. We provide aftermarket support for the products we design and build.

We believe our technological, engineering and manufacturing capabilities separate us from many of our competitors and give us a significant competitive advantage to grow our business and increase our

Table of Contents

market share. The fact that we are one of the major external suppliers of forward fuselages for large commercial aircraft demonstrates our industry leadership. The forward fuselage is one of the most complex and technologically advanced aerostructures on a commercial aircraft because it must satisfy the aircraft's contour requirements; balance strength, aerodynamics and weight; and house the cockpit and avionics.

Competitive and Predictable Labor Cost Structure. Following the Boeing Acquisition, we entered into new labor contracts with our unions that established wage levels that are aligned with the local market. We also changed work rules and significantly reduced the number of job categories, resulting in greater flexibility in work assignment programs and increased productivity. Over the past four years, we successfully negotiated long-term labor agreements with each of the five unions representing factory and office workers in our U.S. locations. As a result, we expect our labor costs to be stable and predictable through 2019.

Experienced Management Team. We have an experienced and proven management team with significant aerospace and defense industry experience. We continue to add new talent to our management team and realign our existing talent pool. Our management team has successfully expanded our business and established the stand-alone operations of our business, and is actively working to reduce costs. Many of our executives and senior managers have lengthy experience working with our primary customers, including Boeing and Airbus, which provides us with detailed insight into how we can better serve our customers.

Operating Segments

We operate in three principal segments: Fuselage Systems, Propulsion Systems and Wing Systems. Substantially all revenues in the three principal segments are from Boeing, with the exception of Wing Systems, which includes revenues from Airbus and other customers. We serve customers in addition to Boeing and Airbus across our three principal segments; however, these customers currently do not represent a significant portion of our revenues, and are not expected to in the near future. All other activities fall within the All Other segment, principally made up of sundry sales of miscellaneous services, tooling contracts, and sales of natural gas through a tenancy-in-common with other companies that have operations in Wichita, Kansas.

The Fuselage Systems segment includes development, production and marketing of forward, mid and rear fuselage sections and systems, primarily to aircraft OEMs, as well as related spares and MRO. The Fuselage Systems segment manufactures products at our facilities in Wichita, Kansas; Kinston, North Carolina; and Saint-Nazaire, France.

The Propulsion Systems segment includes development, production and marketing of struts/pylons, nacelles (including thrust reversers) and related engine structural components primarily to aircraft or engine OEMs, as well as related spares and MRO services. The Propulsion Systems segment manufactures products at our facilities in Wichita and Chanute, Kansas.

The Wing Systems segment includes development, production and marketing of wings and wing components (including flight control surfaces) and other miscellaneous structural parts primarily to aircraft OEMs, as well as related spares and MRO services. These activities take place at the Company's facilities in Tulsa and McAlester, Oklahoma; Kinston, North Carolina; Prestwick, Scotland; and Subang, Malaysia.

We are evaluating the potential realignment of our reportable segments as part of our 2014 business strategy. The reportable segment amounts and discussions reflected in this Annual Report reflect the management reporting that existed through the end of our 2013 fiscal year.

Table of Contents

Sales and Marketing

Our established sales and marketing infrastructure supports our efforts to expand our business with new and existing customers in three sectors of the aerostructures industry: (1) large commercial airplanes, (2) business and regional jets and (3) military/helicopter. The sales directors establish and maintain relationships with individual customers and are supported in their campaigns by sales teams within specific product specialties and a market research team performing various analyses related to those products and customers. The comprehensive sales and marketing teams work closely to ensure a consistent, single message approach with customers.

Although we have long-term contracts with Boeing and Airbus on programs such as the B737, B787, A320, A350 XWB and A380, and OEMs generally desire to minimize costs by retaining established aerostructure suppliers, our sales and marketing team continues to maintain strong relationships with the OEMs to position us for future business opportunities. Our marketing team continues to research and analyze trends in our industry and in new product development, and our sales team maintains regular contact with key Boeing and Airbus decision-makers to prepare for new business opportunities from both companies.

We maintain a customer contact database to maximize our interactions with existing and potential customers. In the time that Spirit has existed as an independent company, we have been successful in building a positive identity and name recognition for the Company brand through advertising, trade shows, sponsorships and Spirit customer events. In order to diversify and win new customers, we market our expertise in the design and manufacture of major aerostructures and advanced manufacturing capabilities with both composites and traditional metals processes.

Customers

Our primary customers are aircraft OEMs. Boeing and Airbus are our two largest customers. We are the largest independent aerostructures supplier to Boeing and one of the largest independent suppliers to Airbus. We entered into long-term supply agreements with our customers to provide aerostructure products to aircraft programs. As of December 31, 2013, virtually all of the products we sell are under long-term contracts and 97% of those products, as measured by dollar value of products sold, are supplied by us on a sole-sourced basis.

We have good relationships with our customers due to our diverse product offerings, leading design and manufacturing capabilities using both metallic and composite materials, and competitive pricing.

Boeing. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, approximately 84% of our revenues were from sales to Boeing. We have a strong relationship with Boeing given our predecessor's 75+ year history as a Boeing division. As part of the Boeing Acquisition, we entered into a long-term supply agreement under which we are Boeing's exclusive supplier for substantially all of the products and services provided by Boeing Wichita prior to the Boeing Acquisition for the life of the programs. In addition, Boeing selected us to be the design leader for the Boeing B787 forward fuselage based in part on our expertise with composite technologies.

We believe our relationship with Boeing is unmatched in the industry and will allow us to continue to be an integral partner with Boeing in the designing, engineering and manufacturing of complex aerostructures.

Airbus. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, approximately 10% of our revenues were from sales to Airbus. As a result of the BAE Acquisition, we became one of the largest independent aerostructures suppliers to Airbus, and we have expanded our relationship through new business wins. Under our supply agreement with Airbus, we supply products for the life of the aircraft program, including commercial derivative models, with pricing determined through 2015. For the A350 XWB and A380 programs, we have long-term requirements contracts with Airbus that cover a fixed number of units. We believe we can leverage our relationship with Airbus and our history of delivering high-quality products to further increase our sales to Airbus and continue to partner with Airbus on new programs going forward.

Table of Contents

We are a significant supplier of the composite fuselage structure for the new Airbus A350 XWB. To accommodate this and other work, we expanded our operations in 2011 with the opening of a manufacturing facility in Kinston, North Carolina and an assembly plant in Saint-Nazaire, France, which will assemble the center fuselage sections it receives from the Kinston, North Carolina facility before transporting the completed assembled unit to Airbus. In addition, we have a contract with Airbus to design and manufacture a major wing structure for the A350 XWB program. Spirit Europe designs and assembles the wing leading edge structure primarily at its facility in Prestwick, Scotland. The composite front spar is built at the facility in Kinston, North Carolina with sub-assemblies being manufactured at the Spirit AeroSystems Malaysia facility in Subang, Malaysia.

Although most of our revenues are obtained from sales inside the U.S., we generated \$806.1 million, \$785.7 million, and \$653.1 million in sales to international customers for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, December 31, 2012, and December 31, 2011, respectively, primarily to Airbus.

The following chart illustrates the split between domestic and foreign revenues (dollars in millions):

Revenue Source ⁽¹⁾	Year Ended December 31, 2013		Year Ended December 31, 2012		Year Ended December 31, 2011	
	Net Revenues	Percent of Total Net Revenues	Net Revenues	Percent of Total Net Revenues	Net Revenues	Percent of Total Net Revenues
United States	\$ 5,154.9	87%	\$ 4,612.0	85%	\$ 4,210.7	87%
International						
United Kingdom	559.7	9%	470.4	9%	422.6	8%
Other	246.4	4%	315.3	6%	230.5	5%
Total International	806.1	13%	785.7	15%	653.1	13%
Total Revenues	\$ 5,961.0	100%	\$ 5,397.7	100%	\$ 4,863.8	100%

(1)

Revenues are attributable to countries based on the destination where goods are delivered.

The international revenue is included primarily in the Wing Systems segment. All other segment revenues are primarily from U.S. sales. Approximately 6% of our long-lived assets based on book value are located in the United Kingdom as part of Spirit Europe with approximately another 5% of our long-lived assets located in countries outside the United States and the United Kingdom.

Expected Backlog

As of December 31, 2013, our expected backlog associated with large commercial aircraft, business and regional jet, and military equipment deliveries through 2019, calculated based on contractual product prices and expected delivery volumes, was approximately \$41.1 billion. This is an increase of \$5.8 billion from our corresponding estimate as of the end of 2012 reflecting the fact that Airbus and Boeing new orders exceeded deliveries in 2013. Backlog is calculated based on the number of units Spirit is under contract to produce on our fixed quantity contracts, and Boeing and Airbus announced backlog on our supply agreements. The number of units may be subject to cancellation or delay by the customer prior to shipment, depending on contract terms. The level of unfilled orders at any given date during the year may be materially affected by the timing of our receipt of firm orders and additional airplane orders, and the speed with which those orders are filled. Accordingly, our expected backlog as of December 31, 2013 may not necessarily represent the actual amount of deliveries or sales for any future period.

Manufacturing and Engineering

Manufacturing

Our expertise is in designing, engineering and manufacturing large-scale, complex aerostructures. We maintain eight state-of-the-art manufacturing facilities in Wichita, Kansas; Chanute, Kansas; Tulsa,

Table of Contents

Oklahoma; McAlester, Oklahoma; Kinston, North Carolina; Prestwick, Scotland; Saint-Nazaire, France; and Subang, Malaysia.

Our core manufacturing competencies include:

composites design and manufacturing processes;

leading mechanized and automated assembly and fastening techniques;

large-scale skin fabrication using both metallic and composite materials;

chemical etching and metal bonding expertise;

monolithic structures technology; and

precision metal forming producing complex contoured shapes in sheet metal and extruded aluminum.

Our manufacturing expertise is supported by our state-of-the-art equipment. We have over 20,000 major pieces of equipment installed in our customized manufacturing facilities. For example, for the manufacture of the B787 composite forward fuselage, we installed a 30-foot diameter by 70-foot long autoclave, which is one of the largest autoclaves in the world. An autoclave is an enclosure device that generates controlled internal heat and pressure conditions used to cure and bond certain resins which is used in the manufacture of composite structures. We installed a comparable autoclave as well as other specialized machines in Kinston, North Carolina to support our work on the A350 XWB. We intend to continue to make the appropriate investments in our facilities to support and maintain our industry-leading manufacturing expertise.

Engineering

Spirit employs approximately 1,500 engineers and technical employees, including over 40 contract engineers. In addition, we currently contract the work of approximately 800 engineers from engineering services firms worldwide.

Spirit employs 28 technical fellows who are experts in engineering and who keep the Company current with new technology by producing technical solutions for new and existing products and processes. We also employ 13 FAA designees and an additional 13 engineers who are in the process of becoming designees. The designees are experienced engineers appointed by the FAA to approve engineering data and witness compliance testing used for certification. In addition, we have an additional seven FAA designees available via contract to assist on an as-needed basis.

The primary purpose of the engineering organization is to provide continuous support for new and ongoing designs, technology innovation and development for customer advancements, and production-related process improvements. We possess a broad base of engineering skills for design, analysis, test, certification, tooling and support of major fuselage, wing and propulsion assemblies using both metallic and composite materials. In addition, our regulatory certification expertise helps ensure associated designs and design changes are compliant with applicable regulations.

Our engineering organization is composed of four primary groups, including: (1) Structures Design and Drafting, which focuses on production support, design-for-manufacturing and major product derivatives, and new customer introductions; (2) Structures Technology, which focuses on overall structural integrity over the lifecycle of the airframe through stress and durability analysis, damage tolerance analysis and vibration testing; (3) Manufacturing Engineering, which is responsible for applying lean manufacturing techniques, interpreting design drawings and providing manufacturing sequence work plans; and (4) Liaison, Lab and Materials, Processes and Standards, which conducts research into defects discovered by quality assurance through analytical chemistry, metallurgical, static and dynamic testing and full-scale testing.

Table of Contents

Our industry-leading engineering capabilities are key strategic factors differentiating us from our competitors.

Research and Development

We believe that world-class research and development helps to maintain our position as an advanced partner to our OEM customers' new product development teams. As a result, we spend significant capital and financial resources on our research and development, including approximately \$34.7 million during the year ended December 31, 2013, approximately \$34.1 million during the year ended December 31, 2012, and approximately \$35.7 million during the year ended December 31, 2011. Through our research, we strive to develop unique intellectual property and technologies that will improve our OEM customers' products and, at the same time, position us to win work on new products. Our development effort primarily focuses on preparing for the initial production of new products and improving manufacturing processes on our current work. It also serves as an ongoing process that helps develop ways to reduce production costs and streamline manufacturing processes.

Our research and development is geared toward the architectural design of our principal products: fuselage systems, propulsion systems and wing systems. We are currently focused on research in areas such as advanced metallic joining, low-cost composites, acoustic attenuation, efficient structures, systems integration, advanced design and analysis methods, and new material systems. Other items that are expensed relate to research and development that is not funded by the customer. We collaborate with universities, research facilities and technology partners in our research and development.

Suppliers and Materials

The principal raw materials used in our manufacturing operations are aluminum, titanium, steel and carbon fiber. We also purchase metallic parts, non-metallic parts, and machined components. In addition, we procure subassemblies from various manufacturers which are used in the final aerostructure assembly.

Currently we have approximately 1,400 active manufacturing suppliers. No one supplier represents more than 3% of our total cost of sales. Our strategy is to enter into long-term contracts with suppliers to secure competitive pricing. Our exposure to rising costs of raw material is limited to some extent through leveraging relationships with our OEM's high-volume contracts.

We continue to seek and develop sourcing opportunities from North America to Europe and Asia to achieve a competitive global cost structure. Over 25 countries are represented in our international network of suppliers.

Environmental Matters

Our operations and facilities are subject to various environmental, health and safety laws and regulations, including federal, state, local and foreign government requirements, governing, among other matters, the emission, discharge, handling and disposal of regulated materials, the investigation and remediation of contaminated sites, and permits required in connection with our operations. Our operations are designed, maintained and operated to promote protection of human health and the environment. Although we believe that our operations and facilities are in material compliance with applicable environmental and worker protection laws and regulations, management cannot provide assurance that future changes in such laws or their enforcement, or the nature of our operations will not require us to make significant additional expenditures to ensure continued compliance. Further, we could incur substantial costs, including costs to reduce air emissions, clean-up costs, fines and sanctions, and third-party property damage or personal injury claims as a result of violations of or liabilities under environmental laws, relevant common law or the environmental permits required for our operations.

New regulations or more stringent enforcement of existing requirements could also result in additional compliance costs. For example, various governments have enacted or are considering enactment

Table of Contents

of laws to reduce emissions of carbon dioxide and other so-called greenhouse gases ("GHG"). In particular, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (the "EPA") has promulgated new regulations that require certain of our facilities to report annual GHG emissions and may require new operating permits to be issued for those facilities. In the absence of a national price for carbon-based air pollutant emissions, new legislation from Congress, or information relative to additional regulation from the EPA, we are not in a position at this time to estimate the costs which may result from these or similar actions.

United States

Under some environmental laws in the United States, a current or previous owner or operator of a contaminated site may be held liable for the entire cost of investigation, removal or remediation of regulated materials at such property, whether or not the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the presence of such regulated materials. Persons who arrange for disposal or treatment of hazardous materials also may be liable for the costs of investigation, removal or remediation of those substances at a disposal or treatment site, regardless of whether the affected site is owned or operated by them. Because we own and/or operate a number of facilities that have a history of industrial or commercial use and because we arrange for the disposal of regulated materials at many disposal sites, we may and do incur costs for investigation, removal and remediation.

The Asset Purchase Agreement for the Boeing Acquisition, referred to herein as the "Asset Purchase Agreement", provides, with limited exceptions, that Boeing is responsible for environmental liabilities relating to conditions existing at the Wichita, Kansas and Tulsa and McAlester, Oklahoma facilities as of the Boeing Acquisition date. For example, Boeing is subject to an administrative consent order issued by the Kansas Department of Health and Environment, or KDHE, to contain and clean up contaminated groundwater, which underlies a majority of the Wichita site. Pursuant to the KDHE order, Boeing has a long-term remediation plan in place, and containment and remediation efforts are underway. We are responsible for any environmental conditions that we cause at these facilities following the Boeing Acquisition.

United Kingdom

In the United Kingdom, remediation of contaminated land may be compelled by the government in certain situations. If a property is to be redeveloped, the local authority, in its planning role, may require remediation as a condition to issuing a permit. In addition, in situations in which the contamination is causing harm to human health or polluting the environment, the local authority may use its environmental legislative powers to force remediation so that the impacted areas are "suitable for use." If contamination is polluting the property of a third party or causing loss, injury or damage, the third party may file an action against the owner or operator of the source in common law based on negligence or nuisance to recover the value of the loss, injury or damage sustained.

Other International Sites

Our interests in other international sites are subject to foreign government environmental laws and regulations. It is our policy and practice to comply with all requirements, both domestic and international. We believe that our procedures are properly designed to prevent unreasonable risk of environmental damage and resulting financial liability in connection with our business.

Competition

Although we are one of the largest independent non-OEM aerostructures suppliers, based on annual revenues, with an estimated 17% share of the global non-OEM aerostructures market, this market remains highly competitive and fragmented. Our primary competition currently comes from either work performed by internal divisions of OEMs or other first-tier suppliers, but direct competition continues to grow.

Table of Contents

Our principal competitors among OEMs include Airbus (including their wholly-owned subsidiaries Aerolia SAS, and Premium Aerotec GmbH), Boeing, Dassault Aviation, Embraer Brazilian Aviation Co., Alenia Aermacchi, Gulfstream Aerospace Co., United Technologies Corporation, and Textron Inc. These OEMs may choose not to outsource production of aerostructures due to their own direct labor and overhead considerations and capacity utilization at their own facilities. Consequently, traditional factors affecting competition, such as price and quality of service, may not be significant determinants when OEMs decide whether to produce parts in-house or to outsource them. Offset requirements from customers of OEMs may also drive some decisions relative to their business model for production.

Our principal competitors among non-OEM aerostructures suppliers are Aircelle S.A., Fuji Heavy Industries, Ltd., GKN Aerospace, Kawasaki Heavy Industries, Inc., Mitsubishi Heavy Industries, Sonaca, Triumph Group, Inc., Latecoere S.A., and Nexcelle. Our ability to compete for new aerostructures contracts depends upon (1) our design, engineering and manufacturing capabilities, (2) our underlying cost and pricing structure, (3) our business relationship with OEMs, and (4) our available manufacturing capacity. Some of our competitors have greater resources than we do and, therefore, may be able to adapt more quickly to new or emerging technologies and changes in customer requirements, or devote greater resources to the promotion and sale of their products than we can. Providers of aerostructures have traditionally competed on the basis of cost, technology, quality and service. We believe that developing and maintaining a competitive advantage will require continued investment in product development, engineering, supply-chain management and sales and marketing, and we may not have enough resources to make such investments.

Employees

As of December 31, 2013, we had approximately 13,948 employees and approximately 229 contract labor personnel, located in our six U.S. facilities. Approximately 85% of our U.S. employees are represented by five unions. Our largest union is the International Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers ("IAM"), which represents approximately 7,270 employees, or 52%, of the U.S. workforce. We successfully negotiated a new long-term ten-year contract with the IAM in 2010, which is in effect through June 25, 2020. For IAM-represented employees at our Kinston, North Carolina facility, we successfully negotiated a new long-term contract in December 2012, which is in effect through December 2024. The Society of Professional Engineering Employees in Aerospace Wichita Technical and Professional Unit ("SPEEA WTPU") represents approximately 1,696 employees, or 12%, of the U.S. workforce. In December of 2011, we successfully negotiated a new 9½ year contract with SPEEA-WTPU, which is in effect through January 31, 2021. The International Union, Automobile, Aerospace and Agricultural Implement Workers of America ("UAW") represents approximately 1,978 employees, or 14%, of the U.S. workforce. The UAW contract is in effect through November 30, 2020. The Society of Professional Engineering Employees in Aerospace Wichita Engineering Unit ("SPEEA WEU") represents approximately 704 employees, or 5%, of the U.S. workforce. We successfully negotiated a new contract with this union in 2012, which is in effect through December 1, 2018. The International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers ("IBEW") represents approximately 193 employees, or 1%, of the U.S. workforce. The IBEW contract is in effect through September 18, 2020.

As of December 31, 2013, we had approximately 953 employees and approximately 75 contract labor personnel located in our two U.K. facilities. Approximately 679, or 71%, of our U.K. employees are represented by one union, Unite (Amicus Section). In 2013, the Company negotiated two separate ten-year pay agreements, with the Manual Staff bargaining and the Monthly Staff bargaining groups of the Unite union. These agreements fundamentally cover basic pay and variable at risk pay, while other employee terms and conditions generally remain the same from year to year until both parties agree to change them. The current pay agreements expire December 31, 2022.

As of December 31, 2013, we had approximately 562 employees and approximately 14 contract labor personnel in our Malaysia facility. None of our Malaysia employees are currently represented by a union.

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2013, we had approximately 109 employees and approximately 41 contract labor personnel in our French facilities. None of our France employees are currently represented by a union.

We consider our relationships with our employees to be satisfactory.

Government Contracts

Companies engaged in supplying defense-related equipment and services to U.S. Government agencies, either directly or by subcontract, are subject to business risks specific to the defense industry. These risks include the ability of the U.S. Government to unilaterally: (1) suspend or debar us from receiving new prime contracts or subcontracts; (2) terminate existing contracts; (3) reduce the value of existing contracts; (4) audit our contract-related costs and fees, including allocated indirect costs; and (5) control and potentially prohibit the export of our products.

Most U.S. Government contracts for which we subcontract can be terminated by the U.S. Government either for its convenience or if the prime contractor defaults by failing to perform under the contract. In addition, the prime contractor typically has the right to terminate our subcontract for its convenience or if we default by failing to perform under the subcontract. Termination for convenience provisions generally provide only for our recovery of costs incurred or committed, settlement expenses and profit on the work completed prior to termination. Termination for default provisions generally provide for the subcontractor to be liable for excess costs incurred by the prime contractor in procuring undelivered items from another source.

Foreign Ownership, Control or Influence ("FOCI")

Due to the fact that more than 50% of our voting power is effectively controlled by a non-U.S. entity (Onex) we are required to operate in accordance with the terms and requirements of a Special Security Agreement, or SSA, with the Department of Defense ("DOD"). Under the DOD National Industrial Security Program Operating Manual ("NISPOM"), the U.S. Government will not award contracts to companies determined to be under FOCI, where a DOD Facility Security Clearance, or FCL, is required, unless certain "mitigation" measures are put in place. The purpose of the FOCI mitigation measures is to protect cleared U.S. defense contractors against improper FOCI.

We have been cleared to the "Secret" level under an SSA, which is one of the recognized FOCI mitigation measures under the NISPOM. As a cleared entity, we must comply with the requirements of our SSA, the NISPOM and any other applicable U.S. Government industrial security regulations. Failure to follow the requirements of the SSA, the NISPOM or any other applicable U.S. Government industrial security regulations could, among other things, result in termination of our FCL, which in turn would preclude us from being awarded classified contracts or, under certain circumstances, performing on our existing classified contracts.

Governmental Regulations

The commercial aircraft component industry is highly regulated by the Federal Aviation Authority, or FAA, in the United States, the Joint Aviation Authority, or JAA, in Europe and other agencies throughout the world. The military aircraft component industry is governed by military quality specifications. We, and the components we manufacture, are required to be certified by one or more of these entities or agencies, and, in some cases, by individual OEMs, to engineer and service parts and components used in specific aircraft models.

We must also satisfy the requirements of our customers, including OEMs and airlines that are subject to FAA regulations, and provide these customers with products and services that comply with the government regulations applicable to commercial flight operations. In addition, the FAA requires that various maintenance routines be performed on aircraft components. We believe that we currently satisfy or exceed these maintenance standards in our repair and overhaul services. We also maintain several FAA-approved repair stations.

Table of Contents

The technical data and components used in the design and production of our products, as well as many of the products and technical data we export, either as individual items or as components incorporated into aircraft, are subject to compliance with U.S. export control laws. Collaborative agreements that we may have with foreign persons, including manufacturers or suppliers, are also subject to U.S. export control laws.

Our operations are also subject to a variety of worker and community safety laws. The Occupational Safety and Health Act, or OSHA, mandates general requirements for safe workplaces for all employees. In addition, OSHA provides special procedures and measures for the handling of certain hazardous and toxic substances. Our management believes that our operations are in material compliance with OSHA's health and safety requirements.

Available Information

Our Internet address is www.spiritaero.com. The content on our website is available for information purposes only. It should not be relied upon for investment purposes, nor is it incorporated by reference into this Annual Report.

We make available through our Internet website, under the heading "Investor Relations", our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, Proxy Statements and amendments to those reports after we electronically file such materials with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). Copies of our key corporate governance documents, including our Corporate Governance Guidelines, Code of Ethics and Business Conduct, Finance Code of Conduct and charters for our Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee are also available on our website.

Our filed Annual and Quarterly Reports, Proxy Statement and other reports previously filed with the SEC are also available to the public through the SEC's website at <http://www.sec.gov>. Materials we file with the SEC may also be read and copied at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington D.C. 20549. Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

An investment in our securities involves risk and uncertainties. The risks and uncertainties set forth below are those that we currently believe may materially and adversely affect us, our future business or results of operations, or investments in our securities. Additional risks and uncertainties that we are unaware of or that we currently deem immaterial may also materially and adversely affect us, our future business or results of operations or investments in our securities.

Risk Factors Related to Our Business and Industry

Our commercial business is cyclical and sensitive to commercial airlines' profitability. The business of commercial airlines is, in turn, affected by global economic conditions and geo-political considerations.

We compete in the aerostructures segment of the aerospace industry. Our customers' business, and therefore our own, is directly affected by the financial condition of commercial airlines and other economic factors, including global economic conditions and geo-political considerations that affect the demand for air transportation. Specifically, our commercial business is dependent on the demand from passenger airlines and cargo carriers for the production of new aircraft. Accordingly, demand for our commercial products is tied to the worldwide airline industry's ability to finance the purchase of new aircraft and the industry's forecasted demand for seats, flights, routes and cargo capacity. Similarly, the size and age of the worldwide commercial aircraft fleet affects the demand for new aircraft and, consequently, for our products. Such factors, in conjunction with evolving economic conditions, cause the market in which we operate to be cyclical to varying degrees, thereby affecting our business and operating results.

Table of Contents

The commercial airline industry is impacted by the strength of the global economy and the geopolitical events around the world. Possible exogenous shocks such as expanding conflicts or political unrest in the Middle East or Asia, renewed terrorist attacks against the industry, or pandemic health crises have the potential to cause precipitous declines in air traffic. Any protracted economic slump, adverse credit market conditions, future terrorist attacks, war or health concerns could cause airlines to cancel or delay the purchase of additional new aircraft which could result in a deterioration of commercial airplane backlogs. If demand for new aircraft decreases, there would likely be a decrease in demand for our commercial aircraft products, and our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

Our business jet programs are sensitive to consumer preferences in the business jet market.

Our business jet program success is tied to demand for products from the manufacturers with whom we contract. The business jet market is impacted by consumer preference for different business jet models. If demand for new aircraft from our customers decreases, there would likely be a corresponding decrease in demand for our business jet products, and our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

Our business could be materially adversely affected if one of our components causes an aircraft accident.

Our operations expose us to potential liabilities for personal injury or death as a result of the failure of an aircraft component that has been designed, manufactured or serviced by us or our suppliers. While we believe that our liability insurance coverage is sufficient to protect us in the event of future product liability claims, it may not be adequate. Also, we may not be able to maintain insurance coverage in the future at an acceptable cost. Any such liability not covered by insurance or for which third-party indemnification is not available could require us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flows to make payments on such liability, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

An accident caused by one of our components could also damage our reputation for quality products. We believe our customers consider safety and reliability as key criteria in selecting a provider of aerostructures. If an accident were to be caused by one of our components, or if we were to otherwise fail to maintain a satisfactory record of safety and reliability, our ability to retain and attract customers could be materially adversely affected.

Our business could be materially adversely affected by product warranty obligations.

Our operations expose us to potential liability for warranty claims made by customers or third parties with respect to aircraft components that have been designed, manufactured, or serviced by us or our suppliers. Material product warranty obligations could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Because we depend on Boeing and, to a lesser extent, Airbus, as our largest customers, our sales, cash flows from operations and results of operations will be negatively affected if either Boeing or Airbus reduces the number of products it purchases from us or if either experiences business difficulties.

Currently, Boeing is our largest customer and Airbus is our second-largest customer. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, approximately 84% and 10% of our net revenues were generated from sales to Boeing and Airbus, respectively. Although our strategy, in part, is to diversify our customer base by entering into supply arrangements with additional customers, we cannot give any assurance that we will be successful in doing so. Even if we are successful in obtaining and retaining new customers, we expect that Boeing and, to a lesser extent, Airbus, will continue to account for a substantial portion of our sales for the foreseeable future. Although we are a party to various supply contracts with Boeing and Airbus which obligate Boeing and Airbus to purchase all of their requirements for certain products from us, those

Table of Contents

agreements generally do not require specific minimum purchase volumes. In addition, if we breach certain obligations under these supply agreements and Boeing or Airbus exercises its right to terminate such agreements, our business will be materially adversely affected. Boeing and Airbus have the contractual right to cancel their supply agreements with us for convenience, which could include the termination of one or more aircraft models or programs for which we supply products. Although Boeing and Airbus would be required to reimburse us for certain expenses, there can be no assurance these payments would adequately cover our expenses or lost profits resulting from the termination. In addition, we have agreed to a limitation on recoverable damages if Boeing wrongfully terminates our main supply agreement with respect to any model or program. If this occurs, we may not be able to recover the full amount of our actual damages. Furthermore, if Boeing or Airbus (1) experiences a decrease in requirements for the products which we supply to it; (2) experiences a major disruption in its business, such as a strike, work stoppage or slowdown, a supply-chain problem or a decrease in orders from its customers; or (3) files for bankruptcy protection; our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

Our largest customer, Boeing, operates in a very competitive business environment.

Boeing operates in a highly competitive industry. Competition from Airbus, Boeing's main competitor, as well as from regional jet makers and other foreign manufacturers of commercial single-aisle aircraft, has intensified as these competitors expand aircraft model offerings and competitively price their products. As a result of this competitive environment, Boeing continues to face pressure on product offerings and sale prices. While we do have supply agreements with Airbus, we currently have substantially more business with Boeing and thus any adverse effect on Boeing's production of aircraft resulting from this competitive environment may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our business depends, in large part, on sales of components for a single aircraft program, the B737.

For the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, approximately 46% of our net revenues were generated from sales of components to Boeing for the B737 aircraft. While we have entered into long-term supply agreements with Boeing to continue to provide components for the B737 for the life of the aircraft program, including commercial and the military P-8A Poseidon derivatives, Boeing does not have any obligation to purchase components from us for any replacement for the B737 that is not a commercial derivative model. If we were unable to obtain significant aerostructures supply business for any B737 replacement program, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

Our business depends, in part, on securing work for replacement programs.

While we have entered into long-term supply agreements with Boeing to provide components for the B737, B747, B767 and B777 and their commercial derivatives for the life of these aircraft programs, Boeing does not have any obligation to purchase components from us for any subsequent variant of these aircraft that is not a commercial derivative as defined by the Supply Agreement. Boeing has publicly announced its intention to update the B777 with a next-generation twin-engine aircraft program currently named the Boeing 777X. If the changes to the aircraft are later deemed significant enough to disqualify it as a commercial derivative for the B777 under the Supply Agreement, or Boeing successfully establishes it is not capable of being FAA certificated by an amendment to an existing Type Certificate through addition of a minor model or by a Supplemental Type Certificate, there is a risk that we may not be engaged by Boeing on the B777X to generally the same extent of Spirit's involvement in the B777, or at all. If we are unable to obtain significant aerostructures supply business for any update or replacement program for the B777 or any other aircraft program for which we provide significant content, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

Table of Contents

We may be required to repay Boeing up to approximately \$600.2 million of advance payments related to the B787 Supply Agreement. The advances must be repaid in the event that Boeing does not take delivery of a sufficient number of ship sets prior to the termination of the aircraft program.

In December 2010, Spirit and Boeing entered into a memorandum of agreement and a settlement agreement regarding certain claims associated with the development and production of the B787 airplane. As part of these agreements, Spirit received a payment in December 2010, which was recorded as *deferred revenue (short-term)* within the consolidated balance sheet pending finalization of a contract amendment which would contain the final settlement terms.

On May 12, 2011, Boeing and Spirit entered into the B787 Amendment, which finalized the provisions of the memorandum of agreement. Based on the terms of the B787 Amendment, the payment received by Spirit in December 2010 was reclassified from deferred revenue to revenue, and certain advance payments received by Spirit were also reclassified to revenue. The B787 Amendment also spread out repayment of a \$700.0 million cash advance made by Boeing to Spirit in 2007 to be offset against the purchase price of the first 1,000 B787 ship sets delivered to Boeing, instead of the first 500 ship sets. In the event Boeing does not take delivery of 1,000 ship sets prior to the termination of the B787 program or the B787 Supply Agreement, any advances not then repaid will be applied against any outstanding payments then due by Boeing to us, and any remaining balance will be repaid in annual installments of \$42.0 million on December 15th of each year until the advance payments have been fully recovered by Boeing.

Accordingly, portions of the advance repayment liability are included as current and long-term liabilities in our consolidated balance sheet. As of December 31, 2013, the amount of advance payments and deferred revenue received by us from Boeing under the B787 Supply Agreement and not yet repaid or recognized as revenue was approximately \$600.2 million.

We may be required to repay Airbus up to approximately \$243.9 million of advance payments. The advances must be repaid in the event that Airbus does not take delivery of a sufficient number of ship sets prior to the date set out in the advance agreement.

In February 2012, Spirit and Airbus entered into an agreement whereby Spirit received a series of payments totaling \$250.0 million, which were recorded as *advance payments* within our consolidated balance sheet.

The agreement provides for repayment of the \$250.0 million in cash advances made by Airbus to be offset against the purchase price of the first 200 Section 15 A350 XWB ship sets delivered to Airbus prior to December 31, 2017. If in the course of 2015, Airbus, in its reasonable opinion, anticipates 200 units will not be ordered and paid for by the end of 2017, both Airbus and Spirit will agree in the first quarter of 2016 on a revised repayment amount to ensure the entire advance is repaid prior to December 31, 2017. In no circumstance would the repayment amount exceed the recurring price of each ship set.

Portions of the advance repayment liability are included as current and long-term liabilities in our consolidated balance sheet. As of December 31, 2013, the amount of advance payments received by us from Airbus under the advance agreement and not yet repaid or recognized as revenue was approximately \$243.9 million.

The profitability of certain of our new and maturing programs depends significantly on the assumptions surrounding satisfactory settlement of claims and assertions.

For certain of our new and maturing programs, we regularly commence work or incorporate customer requested changes prior to negotiating pricing terms for engineering work or the product which has been modified. We typically have the legal right to negotiate pricing for customer directed changes. In those cases, we assert to our customers our contractual rights to obtain the additional revenue or cost reimbursement we expect to receive upon finalizing pricing terms. An expected recovery value of these assertions is incorporated into our contract profitability estimates when applying contract accounting. Our

Table of Contents

inability to recover these expected values, among other factors, could result in the recognition of a forward loss on these programs and could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

For the G650 program, we currently have \$135.1 million of accounts receivable that are related to Gulfstream short-paid invoices for deliveries from 2010 through the end of the third quarter of 2013, the period through which these incomplete payments continued. In August, 2013, we instituted a demand for arbitration against Gulfstream, seeking damages from Gulfstream for the incomplete payments, as well as other damages and relief. Gulfstream counterclaimed against Spirit in the arbitration, seeking liquidated damages for delayed deliveries of wings, as well as other damages and relief. While we believe that the short-paid amount is collectible, if we are unable to collect this amount or if it becomes part of an overall settlement or arbitration award, recognition of additional forward losses on the G650 program could be required and the future cash flows of the Company could be significantly impacted.

We face risks as we work to successfully execute on new or maturing programs.

New or maturing programs with new technologies typically carry risks associated with design responsibility, development of new production tools, hiring and training of qualified personnel, increased capital and funding commitments, ability to meet customer specifications, delivery schedules and unique contractual requirements, supplier performance, ability of the customer to meet its contractual obligations to us, and our ability to accurately estimate costs associated with such programs. In addition, any new or maturing aircraft program may not generate sufficient demand or may experience technological problems or significant delays in the regulatory certification or manufacturing and delivery schedule. If we were unable to perform our obligations under new or maturing programs to the customer's satisfaction or manufacture products at our estimated costs, if we were to experience unexpected fluctuations in raw material prices or supplier problems leading to cost overruns, if we were unable to successfully perform under revised design and manufacturing plans or successfully resolve claims and assertions, or if a new or maturing program in which we had made a significant investment was terminated or experienced weak demand, delays or technological problems, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected. Some of these risks have affected our maturing programs to the extent that we have recorded significant forward losses and maintain certain of our maturing programs at zero or low margins due to our inability to overcome the effects of these risks. We continue to face similar risks as well as the potential for default, quality problems, or inability to meet weight requirements and these could result in continued zero or low margins or additional forward losses, and the risk of having to write-off additional inventory if it were deemed to be unrecoverable over the life of the program. In addition, beginning new work on existing programs also carries risks associated with the transfer of technology, knowledge and tooling.

In order to perform on new or maturing programs we may be required to construct or acquire new facilities requiring additional up-front investment costs. In the case of significant program delays and/or program cancellations, we could be required to bear certain unrecoverable construction and maintenance costs and incur potential impairment charges for the new facilities. Also, we may need to expend additional resources to determine an alternate revenue-generating use for the facilities. Likewise, significant delays in the construction or acquisition of a plant site could impact production schedules.

We use estimates in accounting for revenue and cost for our contract blocks. Changes in our estimates could adversely affect our future financial performance.

The Company recognizes revenue under the contract method of accounting and estimates revenue and cost for contract blocks that span a period of multiple years. The contract method of accounting requires judgment on a number of underlying assumptions to develop our estimates. Due to the significant length of time over which revenue streams are generated, the variability of future period estimated revenue and cost may be adversely affected if circumstances or underlying assumptions change. For additional information on our accounting policies for recognizing revenue and profit, please see our

Table of Contents

discussion under "Management's Discussion and Analysis - Critical Accounting Policies" in this Form 10-K.

Additionally, variability of future period estimated revenue and cost may result in recording additional valuation allowances against future deferred tax assets, which could adversely affect our future financial performance.

Our operations depend on our ability to maintain continuing, uninterrupted production at our manufacturing facilities. Our production facilities are subject to physical and other risks that could disrupt production.

Our manufacturing facilities could be damaged or disrupted by a natural disaster, war, terrorist activity or sustained mechanical failure. Although we have obtained property damage and business interruption insurance, a major catastrophe, such as a fire, flood, tornado or other natural disaster at any of our sites, war or terrorist activities in any of the areas where we conduct operations or the sustained mechanical failure of a key piece of equipment could result in a prolonged interruption of all or a substantial portion of our business. Any disruption resulting from these events could cause significant delays in shipments of products and the loss of sales and customers and we may not have insurance to adequately compensate us for any of these events. A large portion of our operations takes place at one facility in Wichita, Kansas and any significant damage or disruption to this facility in particular would materially adversely affect our ability to service our customers.

We have announced the initiation of a process to divest our Oklahoma sites, which could disrupt our business, involve increased expenses and present risks not contemplated at the time of the divestiture.

There can be no assurance that any sale of all or any portion of our Oklahoma sites will be completed in a timely manner, on a cost-effective basis, on terms favorable to us, or at all. A significant divestiture such as this typically entails numerous potential risks, including:

diversion of resources and management's attention from the operation of the business;

loss of key employees following such a transaction;

insufficient proceeds to offset transaction related expenses;

negative effects on our reported results of operations from disposition-related charges, amortization expenses related to intangibles, charges for impairment of long-term assets;

difficulties in the separation of operations, services, products and personnel;

the need to agree to retain or assume certain or future liabilities in order to complete the divestiture; and

damage to our existing customer, supplier and other business relationships.

Furthermore, the pursuit of any such transaction may require the expenditure of substantial legal and other fees, which may be incurred whether or not a transaction is consummated. As a result of the aforementioned risks, among others, the pursuit of the divestiture may not lead to increased stockholder value.

We actively consider other divestitures from time to time. If we decide to pursue any other divestiture, it may involve numerous potential risks, including those described above.

Future commitments to our customers to increase production rates depend on our ability to expand production at our manufacturing facilities.

Boeing and Airbus, our two largest customers, have both announced planned production rate increases for several of their major programs. In some cases, in order to meet these increases in production rates, we will need to make significant capital expenditures to expand our capacity

and improve our performance. While some of these expenditures will be reimbursed by our customers, we could be required to bear a significant portion of the costs. In addition, the increases in production rates could cause

Table of Contents

disruptions in our manufacturing lines, which could materially adversely impact our ability to meet our commitments to our customers, and have a resulting adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

We operate in a very competitive business environment.

Competition in the aerostructures segment of the aerospace industry is intense. Although we have entered into supply agreements with Boeing and Airbus under which we are their exclusive supplier for certain aircraft parts, we will face substantial competition from both OEMs and non-OEM aerostructures suppliers in trying to expand our customer base and the types of parts we make.

OEMs may choose not to outsource production of aerostructures due to, among other things, their own direct labor and other overhead considerations and capacity utilization at their own facilities. Consequently, traditional factors affecting competition, such as price and quality of service, may not be significant determinants when OEMs decide whether to produce a part in-house or to outsource.

Our principal competitors among non-OEM aerostructures suppliers are Aircelle S.A., Fuji Heavy Industries, Ltd., GKN Aerospace, Kawasaki Heavy Industries, Inc., Mitsubishi Heavy Industries, Sonaca, Triumph Group, Inc., Latecoere S.A., and Nexcelle. Some of our competitors have greater resources than we do and, therefore, may be able to adapt more quickly to new or emerging technologies and changes in customer requirements, or devote greater resources to the promotion and sale of their products than we can. Providers of aerostructures have traditionally competed on the basis of cost, technology, quality and service. We believe that developing and maintaining a competitive advantage will require continued investment in product development, engineering, supply-chain management and sales and marketing, and we may not have enough resources to make such investments. For these reasons, we may not be able to compete successfully in this market or against our competitors, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

High switching costs may substantially limit our ability to obtain business that is currently under contract with other suppliers.

Once a contract is awarded by an OEM to an aerostructures supplier, the OEM and the supplier are typically required to spend significant amounts of time and capital on design, manufacture, testing and certification of tooling and other equipment. For an OEM to change suppliers during the life of an aircraft program, further testing and certification would be necessary, and the OEM would be required either to move the tooling and equipment used by the existing supplier for performance under the existing contract, which may be expensive and difficult (or impossible), or to manufacture new tooling and equipment. Accordingly, any change of suppliers would likely result in production delays and additional costs to both the OEM and the new supplier. These high switching costs may make it more difficult for us to bid competitively against existing suppliers and less likely that an OEM will be willing to switch suppliers during the life of an aircraft program, which could materially adversely affect our ability to obtain new work on existing aircraft programs.

Increases in labor costs, potential labor disputes and work stoppages at our facilities or the facilities of our suppliers or customers could materially adversely affect our financial performance.

Our financial performance is affected by the availability of qualified personnel and the cost of labor. A majority of our workforce is represented by unions. If our workers were to engage in a strike, work stoppage or other slowdown, we could experience a significant disruption of our operations, which could cause us to be unable to deliver products to our customers on a timely basis and could result in a breach of our supply agreements. This could result in a loss of business and an increase in our operating expenses, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, our non-unionized labor force may become subject to labor union organizing efforts, which could cause us to incur additional labor costs and increase the related risks that we now face.

Table of Contents

We have agreed with Boeing to continue to operate substantial manufacturing operations in Wichita, Kansas until at least June 16, 2015 and we have other commitments to keep major programs in Wichita until 2020 in certain circumstances. This may prevent us from being able to offer our products at prices that are competitive in the marketplace and could have a material adverse effect on our ability to generate new business.

In addition, many aircraft manufacturers, airlines and aerospace suppliers have unionized work forces. Any strikes, work stoppages or slowdowns experienced by aircraft manufacturers, airlines or aerospace suppliers could reduce our customers' demand for additional aircraft structures or prevent us from completing production of our aircraft structures.

Our business may be materially adversely affected if we lose our government, regulatory or industry approvals, if more stringent government regulations are enacted, or if industry oversight is increased.

The FAA prescribes standards and qualification requirements for aerostructures, including virtually all commercial airline and general aviation products, and licenses component repair stations within the United States. Comparable agencies, such as the JAA in Europe, regulate these matters in other countries. If we fail to qualify for or obtain a required license for one of our products or services or lose a qualification or license previously granted, the sale of the subject product or service would be prohibited by law until such license is obtained or renewed and our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected. In addition, designing new products to meet existing regulatory requirements and retrofitting installed products to comply with new regulatory requirements can be expensive and time consuming.

From time to time, the FAA, the JAA or comparable agencies propose new regulations or changes to existing regulations. These changes or new regulations generally increase the costs of compliance. To the extent the FAA, the JAA or comparable agencies implement regulatory changes, we may incur significant additional costs to achieve compliance.

In addition, certain aircraft repair activities we intend to engage in may require the approval of the aircraft's OEM. Our inability to obtain OEM approval could materially restrict our ability to perform such aircraft repair activities.

Our business is subject to regulation in the United States and internationally.

The manufacturing of our products is subject to numerous federal, state and foreign governmental regulations. The number of laws and regulations that are being enacted or proposed by state, federal and international governments and authorities are increasing. Compliance with these regulations is difficult and expensive. If we fail to adhere, or are alleged to have failed to adhere, to any applicable federal, state or foreign laws or regulations, or if such laws or regulations negatively affect sales of our products, our business, prospects, results of operations, financial condition or cash flows may be adversely affected. In addition, our future results could be adversely affected by changes in applicable federal, state and foreign laws and regulations, or the interpretation or enforcement thereof, including those relating to manufacturing processes, product liability, trade rules and customs regulations, intellectual property, consumer laws, privacy laws, as well as accounting standards and taxation requirements (including tax-rate changes, new tax laws and revised tax law interpretations).

We are subject to regulation of our technical data and goods under U.S. export control laws.

As a manufacturer and exporter of defense and dual-use technical data and commodities, we are subject to U.S. laws and regulations governing international trade and exports, including, but not limited to, the International Traffic in Arms Regulations, administered by the U.S. Department of State, and the Export Administration Regulations, administered by the U.S. Department of Commerce. Collaborative agreements that we may have with foreign persons, including manufacturers and suppliers, are also subject to U.S. export control laws. In addition, we are subject to trade sanctions against embargoed countries, administered by the Office of Foreign Assets Control within the U.S. Department of the Treasury.

Table of Contents

A determination that we have failed to comply with one or more of these export controls or trade sanctions could result in civil or criminal penalties, including the imposition of fines upon us as well as the denial of export privileges and debarment from participation in U.S. government contracts. Additionally, restrictions may be placed on the export of technical data and goods in the future as a result of changing geopolitical conditions. Any one or more of such sanctions could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We are subject to environmental, health and safety regulations and our ongoing operations may expose us to related liabilities.

Our operations are subject to extensive regulation under environmental, health and safety laws and regulations in the United States and other countries in which we operate. We may be subject to potentially significant fines or penalties, including criminal sanctions, if we fail to comply with these requirements. We have made, and will continue to make, significant capital and other expenditures to comply with these laws and regulations. We cannot predict with certainty what environmental legislation will be enacted in the future or how existing laws will be administered or interpreted. Our operations involve the use of large amounts of hazardous substances and regulated materials and generate many types of wastes, including emissions of hexavalent chromium and volatile organic compounds, and so-called greenhouse gases such as carbon dioxide. Spills and releases of these materials may subject us to clean-up liability for remediation and claims of alleged personal injury, property damage and damage to natural resources, and we may become obligated to reduce our emissions of hexavalent chromium, volatile organic compounds and/or greenhouse gases. We cannot give any assurance that the aggregate amount of future remediation costs and other environmental liabilities will not be material.

Boeing, our predecessor at the Wichita facility, is under an administrative consent order issued by the Kansas Department of Health and Environment to contain and remediate contaminated groundwater, which underlies a majority of our Wichita facility. Pursuant to this order and its agreements with us, Boeing has a long-term remediation plan in place, and treatment, containment and remediation efforts are underway. If Boeing does not comply with its obligations under the order and these agreements, we may be required to undertake such efforts and make material expenditures.

In connection with the BAE Acquisition, we acquired a manufacturing facility in Prestwick, Scotland that is adjacent to contaminated property retained by BAE Systems. The contaminated property may be subject to a regulatory action requiring remediation of the land. It is also possible that the contamination may spread into the property we acquired. BAE Systems has agreed to indemnify us, subject to certain contractual limitations and conditions, for certain clean up costs and other losses, liabilities, expenses and claims related to existing pollution on the acquired property, existing pollution that migrates from the acquired property to a third party's property and any pollution that migrates to our property from property retained by BAE Systems. If BAE Systems does not comply with its obligations under the BAE Acquisition agreement, we may be required to undertake such efforts and make material expenditures.

In the future, contamination may be discovered at or emanating from our facilities or at off-site locations where we send waste. The remediation of such newly discovered contamination, related claims for personal injury or damages, or the enactment of new laws or a stricter interpretation of existing laws, may require us to make additional expenditures, some of which could be material. See "Business Environmental Matters".

New regulations related to conflict minerals have and will continue to force us to incur additional expenses, may make our supply chain more complex, and could adversely impact our business.

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 contains provisions to improve transparency and accountability concerning the supply of certain minerals and metals, known as conflict minerals, originating from the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) and adjoining countries. As a result, in August 2012, the SEC adopted annual investigation, disclosure and reporting requirements for

Table of Contents

those companies that manufacture or contract to manufacture products that contain conflict minerals that originated from the DRC and adjoining countries. As initial disclosure requirements commence in May 2014 (with respect to 2013), we have and will continue to incur compliance costs, including costs related to determining the sources of conflict minerals used in our products and other potential changes to processes or sources of supply as a consequence of such verification activities. The implementation of these rules could adversely affect the sourcing, supply and pricing of materials used in certain of our products. As there may be only a limited number of suppliers offering "conflict free" minerals, we cannot be sure that we will be able to obtain necessary conflict-free minerals from such suppliers in sufficient quantities or at competitive prices. Also, we may face reputational challenges if we determine that certain of our products contain minerals not determined to be conflict free.

Significant consolidation in the aerospace industry could make it difficult for us to obtain new business.

Suppliers in the aerospace industry have consolidated and formed alliances to broaden their product and integrated system offerings and achieve critical mass. This supplier consolidation is in part attributable to aircraft manufacturers more frequently awarding long-term sole-source or preferred supplier contracts to the most capable suppliers, thus reducing the total number of suppliers. If this consolidation were to continue, it may become more difficult for us to be successful in obtaining new customers.

We may be materially adversely affected by high fuel prices.

Due to the competitive nature of the airline industry, airlines are often unable to pass on increased fuel prices to customers by increasing fares. Fluctuations in the global supply of crude oil and the possibility of changes in government policy on jet fuel production, transportation and marketing make it difficult to predict the future availability of jet fuel. In the event there is an outbreak or escalation of hostilities or other conflicts, or significant disruptions in oil production or delivery in oil-producing areas or elsewhere, there could be reductions in the production or importation of crude oil and significant increases in the cost of fuel. If there were major reductions in the availability of jet fuel or significant increases in its cost, the airline industry and, as a result, our business, could be materially adversely affected.

Interruptions in deliveries of components or raw materials, or increased prices for components or raw materials used in our products could delay production and/or materially adversely affect our financial performance, profitability, margins and revenues.

We are highly dependent on the availability of essential materials and purchased components from our suppliers, some of which are available only from a sole source or limited sources. Our dependency upon regular deliveries from particular suppliers of components and raw materials means that interruptions or stoppages in such deliveries could materially adversely affect our operations until arrangements with alternate suppliers, to the extent alternate suppliers exist, could be made. If any of our suppliers were unable or were to refuse to deliver materials to us for an extended period of time, or if we were unable to negotiate acceptable terms for the supply of materials with these or alternative suppliers, our business could suffer.

Moreover, we are dependent upon the ability of our suppliers to provide materials and components that meet specifications, quality standards and delivery schedules. Our suppliers' failure to provide expected raw materials or component parts that meet our technical specifications could adversely affect production schedules and contract profitability. We may not be able to find acceptable alternatives, and any such alternatives could result in increased costs for us and possible forward losses on certain contracts. Even if acceptable alternatives are found, the process of locating and securing such alternatives might be disruptive to our business and might lead to termination of our supply agreements with our customers.

Our continued supply of materials is subject to a number of risks including:

the destruction of or damage to our suppliers' facilities or their distribution infrastructure;

Table of Contents

a work stoppage or strike by our suppliers' employees;

the failure of our suppliers to provide materials of the requisite quality or in compliance with specifications;

the failure of essential equipment at our suppliers' plants;

the failure of our suppliers to satisfy U.S. and international import and export control laws for goods that we purchase from such suppliers;

the failure of our suppliers to meet regulatory standards;

the failure, shortage or delay in the delivery of raw materials to our suppliers;

contractual amendments and disputes with our suppliers; and

inability of our suppliers to perform as a result of the weakened global economy or otherwise.

In addition, our profitability is affected by the prices of the components and raw materials, such as titanium, aluminum and carbon fiber, used in the manufacturing of our products. These prices may fluctuate based on a number of factors beyond our control, including world oil prices, changes in supply and demand, general economic conditions, labor costs, competition, import duties, tariffs, currency exchange rates and, in some cases, government regulation. Although our supply agreements with Boeing and Airbus allow us to pass on to our customers certain unusual increases in component and raw material costs in limited situations, we may not be fully compensated by the customers for the entirety of any such increased costs.

In order to be successful, we must attract, retain, train, motivate, develop and transition key employees, and failure to do so could harm our business.

In order to be successful, we must attract, retain, train, motivate, develop and transition qualified executives and other key employees, including those in managerial, manufacturing and engineering positions. Identifying, developing internally or hiring externally, training and retaining qualified executives and engineers are critical to our future, and competition for experienced employees in the aerospace industry and in particular, Wichita, Kansas where the majority of our manufacturing and executive offices are located, can be intense. In order to attract and retain executives and other key employees in a competitive marketplace, we must provide a competitive compensation package, including cash- and share-based compensation. Our share-based incentive awards consist primarily of restricted stock grants, some of which are conditioned on our achievement of certain designated financial performance targets, which makes the size of a particular year's award uncertain. If employees do not receive share-based incentive awards with a value they anticipate, if our share-based compensation otherwise ceases to be viewed as a valuable benefit, if our total compensation package is not viewed as being competitive, or if we do not obtain the shareholder approval needed to continue granting share-based incentive awards in the amounts we believe are necessary, our ability to attract, retain, and motivate executives and key employees could be weakened. The failure to successfully hire executives and key employees or the loss of any executives and key employees could have a significant impact on our operations. Further, changes in our management team may be disruptive to our business and any failure to successfully transition and assimilate key new hires or promoted employees could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

We are subject to the requirements of the National Industrial Security Program Operating Manual ("NISPOM") for our Facility Security Clearance ("FCL"), which is a prerequisite for our ability to perform on classified contracts for the U.S. Government.

A Department of Defense ("DOD") FCL is required for a company to be awarded and perform on classified contracts for the DOD and certain other agencies of the U.S. Government. From time to time we have performed and may perform on classified contracts, although we did not generate any revenues from classified contracts for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013. We have obtained an FCL at the

Table of Contents

"Secret" level. Due to the fact that more than 50% of our voting power is effectively controlled by a non-U.S. entity (Onex), we are required to operate in accordance with the terms and requirements of our Special Security Agreement ("SSA") with the DOD. If we were to violate the terms and requirements of our SSA, the NISPOM, or any other applicable U.S. Government industrial security regulations, we could lose our FCL. We cannot give any assurance that we will be able to maintain our FCL. If for some reason our FCL is invalidated or terminated, we may not be able to continue to perform under our classified contracts in effect at that time, and we would not be able to enter into new classified contracts, which could adversely affect our revenues.

We derive a significant portion of our net revenues from direct and indirect sales outside the United States and are subject to the risks of doing business in foreign countries.

We derive a significant portion of our revenues from sales by Boeing and Airbus to customers outside the United States. In addition, for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, direct sales to our non-U.S. customers accounted for approximately 13% of our net revenues. We expect that our and our customers' international sales will continue to account for a significant portion of our net revenues for the foreseeable future. As a result, we are subject to risks of doing business internationally, including:

changes in regulatory requirements;

domestic and foreign government policies, including requirements to expend a portion of program funds locally and governmental industrial cooperation requirements;

fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates;

the complexity and necessity of using foreign representatives and consultants;

uncertainties and restrictions concerning the availability of funding credit or guarantees;

imposition of tariffs and embargos, export controls and other trade restrictions;

the difficulty of management and operation of an enterprise spread over various countries;

compliance with a variety of foreign laws, as well as U.S. laws affecting the activities of U.S. companies abroad, including the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, the U.K. Bribery Act and other applicable anti-bribery laws; and

economic and geopolitical developments and conditions, including international hostilities, acts of terrorism and governmental reactions, inflation, trade relationships and military and political alliances.

While these factors and the effect of these factors are difficult to predict, adverse developments in one or more of these areas could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations in the future.

Our fixed-price contracts and requirements to re-negotiate pricing at specified times may commit us to unfavorable terms.

We provide most of our products and services through long-term contracts in which the pricing terms are fixed based on certain production volumes. Accordingly, there is the risk that we will not be able to sustain a cost structure that is consistent with assumptions used in bidding on contracts. Increased or unexpected costs may reduce our profit margins or cause us to sustain losses on these contracts. Other than certain increases in raw material costs which can be passed on to our customers in most instances, we must fully absorb cost overruns, notwithstanding the difficulty of estimating all of the costs we will incur in performing these contracts and in projecting the ultimate level of sales that we may achieve. Our failure to anticipate technical problems, estimate delivery reductions, estimate costs accurately or control costs during performance of a fixed-price contract may reduce the profitability of a contract or cause a loss.

Table of Contents

This risk particularly applies to products such as the Boeing B787, for which we had delivered one hundred sixty-four production articles as of December 31, 2013 since the inception of the program, and in respect of which our performance at the contracted price depends on our being able to achieve production cost reductions as we gain production experience although Spirit can recoup from Boeing half of any overruns within a certain percentage of shipset prices. When we negotiated the B787-8 pricing under the B787 Amendment, we assumed that a contractually mandated joint-effort by Boeing and Spirit to reduce costs and increase production efficiency, as well as favorable trends in volume, learning curve efficiencies and future pricing from suppliers would reduce our production costs over the life of the B787 program, thus maintaining or improving our margin on each B787 we produced. Pricing for the initial configuration of the B787-8 is generally established through 2021, with prices decreasing as cumulative volume levels are achieved. Prices are subject to adjustment for abnormal inflation (above a specified level in any year) and for certain production, schedule and other specific charges. The B787 Supply Agreement provides that initial prices for the B787-9 and B787-10 are to be determined by a procedure set out in the B787 Supply Agreement, and to be documented by amendment once that amendment has been agreed to by the parties. The parties have engaged in discussions concerning how to determine initial B787-9 and B787-10 pricing, and have not yet reached agreement. Our ability to obtain fair and equitable prices for subsequent models could impact the profitability of the overall program. Additionally, we cannot give any assurance that our development of new technologies or capabilities will be successful or that we will be able to reduce our B787 production costs over the life of the program. Our failure to reduce production costs or to obtain pricing as we have anticipated could result in the need to record additional forward losses for this program.

Many of our other production cost estimates also contain pricing terms which anticipate cost reductions over time. In addition, although we have entered into these fixed price contracts with our customers, they may nonetheless seek to re-negotiate pricing with us in the future. Any such higher costs or re-negotiations could materially adversely affect our profitability, margins and revenues.

Certain of our long-term supply agreements provide for re-negotiation of established pricing terms at specified times. In particular, pricing terms under our supply agreement with Boeing for the B737, B747, B767 and B777 platforms, which accounted for 70% of our net revenues in 2013, expired in May 2013, thus activating interim pricing provisions under the Supply Agreement. We are currently negotiating future pricing with Boeing for a period to be agreed upon by the parties. We are required to negotiate the pricing for such additional period in good faith based on prevailing U.S. market conditions for forward fuselages, B737 fuselages and B737/B777 struts and nacelles and based on prevailing global market conditions for all other products. Until we are able to agree upon pricing, pricing will be determined according to the existing prices, adjusted using a quantity-based price adjustment formula and specified annual escalation and such interim pricing will be retroactively adjusted in the period in which we agree on future pricing terms. If we agree on future pricing that provides us with operating margins that are lower than those which we currently experience, or if we are unable to agree on new pricing terms and the default pricing terms remain in effect for a period of time, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

The outcome of litigation and of government inquiries and investigations involving our business is unpredictable and an adverse decision in any such matter could have a material effect on our financial position and results of operations.

We are involved in a number of litigation matters. These claims may divert financial and management resources that would otherwise be used to benefit our operations. No assurances can be given that the results of these matters will be favorable to us. An adverse resolution of any of these lawsuits could have a material impact on our financial position and results of operations. In addition, we are sometimes subject to government inquiries and investigations of our business due, among other things, to the heavily regulated nature of our industry and our participation on government programs. Any such inquiry or investigation could potentially result in an adverse ruling against us, which could have a material impact on our financial position and operating results.

Table of Contents

If we are unable to protect our information technology infrastructure against service interruptions, data corruption, cyber-based attacks or network security breaches, our operations could be disrupted.

We rely on information technology networks and systems to manage and support a variety of business activities, including procurement and supply chain, engineering support, and manufacturing. Our information technology systems, some of which are managed by third-parties, may be susceptible to damage, disruptions or shutdowns due to failures during the process of upgrading or replacing software, databases or components thereof, power outages, hardware failures, computer viruses, attacks by computer hackers, telecommunication failures, user errors or catastrophic events. In addition, security breaches could result in unauthorized disclosure of confidential information. If our information technology systems suffer severe damage, disruption or shutdown and our business continuity plans do not effectively resolve the issues in a timely manner, our manufacturing process could be disrupted resulting in late deliveries or even no deliveries if there is a total shutdown.

We are implementing new company-wide software systems, which could cause unexpected production or other delays.

We have recently implemented an Enterprise Resource Planning ("ERP") software system in several of our facilities, and have begun implementation of other system upgrades and infrastructure changes. We plan to complete implementation of ERP software in all of our primary facilities over the next two years. Unexpected problems with these implementations could result in production or other delays.

We do not own most of the intellectual property and tooling used in our business.

Our business depends on using certain intellectual property and tooling that we have rights to use under license grants from Boeing. These licenses contain restrictions on our use of Boeing intellectual property and tooling and may be terminated if we default under certain of these restrictions. Our loss of license rights to use Boeing intellectual property or tooling would materially adversely affect our business. See "Business Our Relationship with Boeing License of Intellectual Property." In addition to the licenses with Boeing, we license some of the intellectual property needed for performance under some of our supply contracts from our customers under those supply agreements. We must honor our contractual commitments to our customers related to intellectual property and comply with infringement laws governing our use of intellectual property. In the event we obtain new business from new or existing customers, we will need to pay particular attention to these contractual commitments and any other restrictions on our use of intellectual property to make sure that we will not be using intellectual property improperly in the performance of such new business. In the event we use any such intellectual property improperly, we could be subject to an infringement claim by the owner or licensee of such intellectual property.

In the future, our entry into new markets may require obtaining additional license grants from Boeing and/or from other third parties. If we are unable to negotiate additional license rights on acceptable terms (or at all) from Boeing and/or other third parties as the need arises, our ability to enter new markets may be materially restricted. In addition, we may be subject to restrictions in future licenses granted to us that may materially restrict our use of third party intellectual property.

Our success depends in part on the success of our research and development initiatives.

We spent approximately \$34.7 million on research and development during the twelve months ended December 31, 2013. Our expenditures on our research and development efforts may not create any new sales opportunities or increases in productivity that are commensurate with the level of resources invested.

We are in the process of developing specific technologies and capabilities in pursuit of new business and in anticipation of customers going forward with new programs. If any such programs do not go forward or are not successful, we may be unable to recover the costs incurred in anticipation of such programs and our profitability and revenues may be materially adversely affected.

Table of Contents

Any future business combinations, acquisitions, mergers, or joint ventures will expose us to risks, including the risk that we may not be able to successfully integrate these businesses or achieve expected operating synergies.

We actively consider strategic transactions from time to time. We evaluate acquisitions, joint ventures, alliances and co-production programs as opportunities arise, and we may be engaged in varying levels of negotiations with potential competitors at any time. We may not be able to effect transactions with strategic alliance, acquisition or co-production program candidates on commercially reasonable terms or at all. If we enter into these transactions, we also may not realize the benefits we anticipate. In addition, we may not be able to obtain additional financing for these transactions. The integration of companies that have previously been operated separately involves a number of risks, including, but not limited to:

demands on management related to the increase in size after the transaction;

the diversion of management's attention from the management of daily operations to the integration of operations;

difficulties in the assimilation and retention of employees;

difficulties in the assimilation of different cultures and practices, as well as in the assimilation of geographically dispersed operations and personnel, who may speak different languages;

difficulties combining operations that use different currencies or operate under different legal structures;

difficulties in the integration of departments, systems (including accounting systems), technologies, books and records and procedures, as well as in maintaining uniform standards, controls (including internal accounting controls), procedures and policies;

compliance with the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, the U.K. Bribery Act and other applicable anti-bribery laws; and

constraints (contractual or otherwise) limiting our ability to consolidate, rationalize and/or leverage supplier arrangements to achieve integration.

Consummating any acquisitions, joint ventures, alliances or co-production programs could result in the incurrence of additional debt and related interest expense, as well as unforeseen contingent liabilities.

We could be required to make future contributions to our defined benefit pension and post-retirement benefit plans as a result of adverse changes in interest rates and the capital markets.

Our estimates of liabilities and expenses for pensions and other post-retirement benefits incorporate significant assumptions including the rate used to discount the future estimated liability, the long-term rate of return on plan assets and several assumptions relating to the employee workforce (salary increases, medical costs, retirement age and mortality). A dramatic decrease in the fair value of our plan assets resulting from movements in the financial markets may cause the status of our plans to go from an over-funded status to an under-funded status and result in cash funding requirements to meet any minimum required funding levels. Our results of operations, liquidity, or shareholders' equity in a particular period could be affected by a decline in the rate of return on plan assets, the rate used to discount the future estimated liability, or changes in employee workforce assumptions.

We identified material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting.

A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the company's annual or interim financial statements will not be

Table of Contents

prevented or detected on a timely basis. As of December 31, 2013, we concluded that we had material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting as described below:

We did not maintain effective controls over the completeness, accuracy and valuation of inventory and cost of sales related to the Airbus A350 XWB Section 15 recurring program. Specifically, we did not maintain controls over the completeness and accuracy of the bill of materials used in the contract accounting estimate for this program. These controls were not designed effectively to ensure that the bill of materials used in the accounting estimates were accurate and provided a sound basis for estimating future costs. Although this material weakness did not result in a material misstatement of the Company's consolidated financial statements, the existence of the deficiency in our controls could result in an undetected material misstatement of the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In addition, we did not maintain effective controls over the completeness, accuracy and valuation of inventory and cost of sales for the Gulfstream G280 and G650 programs. Specifically, controls over contract accounting estimates related to these programs were not operating effectively in order to ensure that (1) the bills of materials used in the accounting estimates were complete and provided a sound basis for estimating future costs; (2) the evaluation of current actual trends impacting prior estimates of supply chain and labor costs were identified and incorporated into the accounting estimates on a timely basis; and (3) the estimation of the number of production units used in the accounting estimates was accurate. This control deficiency resulted in audit adjustments to the cost of sales and inventory accounts and related financial disclosures within the Company's consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2012 and the condensed consolidated financial statements for the quarter ended June 27, 2013.

Because of these material weaknesses, management concluded that the Company did not maintain effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria in Internal Control – Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO").

Our efforts to remediate the aforementioned deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting are described further in Item 9A. *Controls and Procedures.*

While we believe that we have a plan to remediate these deficiencies, we cannot be certain that additional material weaknesses or significant deficiencies will not develop or be identified. We are in the process of remediating our internal control deficiencies over the cost estimation process for the G280 and G650 programs in Tulsa, Oklahoma and completeness, accuracy and valuation of inventory and cost of sales related to the A350 XWB Section 15 program in Kinston, North Carolina. Any failure to maintain adequate internal control over financial reporting or to implement required, new or improved controls, or difficulties encountered in their implementation could cause us to report additional material weaknesses or other deficiencies in our internal control over financial reporting and could result in a reasonable possibility of errors or misstatements in the consolidated financial statements that would be material.

Risk Factors Related to Our Capital Structure

The interests of our controlling stockholder may conflict with your interests.

Onex Partners LP, Onex Corporation and their respective partners and affiliates that beneficially own our class B common stock, herein referred to collectively as the "Onex entities," own 22,411,638 shares of our class B common stock. Our class A common stock has one vote per share, while our class B common stock has ten votes per share on all matters to be voted on by our stockholders. Consequently, the Onex entities control approximately 62% of the combined voting power of our outstanding common stock. Accordingly, and for so long as the Onex entities continue to hold class B common stock that represents at least 10% of the total number of shares of common stock outstanding, Onex will exercise a controlling influence over our business and affairs and will have the power to determine all matters submitted to a

Table of Contents

vote of our stockholders, including the election of directors and approval of significant corporate transactions such as amendments to our certificate of incorporation, mergers and the sale of all or substantially all of our assets. Onex could cause corporate actions to be taken even if the interests of Onex conflict with the interests of our other stockholders. This concentration of voting power could have the effect of deterring or preventing a change in control of Spirit that might otherwise be beneficial to our stockholders. Gerald W. Schwartz, the Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Onex Corporation, owns shares representing a majority of the voting rights of the shares of Onex Corporation.

Our substantial debt could adversely affect our financial condition and our ability to operate our business. The terms of the indenture governing our long-term bonds and our senior secured credit facility impose significant operating and financial restrictions on our company and our subsidiaries, which could also adversely affect our operating flexibility and put us at a competitive disadvantage by preventing us from capitalizing on business opportunities.

As of December 31, 2013, we had total debt of approximately \$1,167.3 million, including approximately \$538.2 million of borrowings under our senior secured credit facility, \$596.4 million of long-term bonds, a \$10.0 million Malaysian loan, approximately \$15.3 million of capital lease obligations, and \$7.4 million in other debt obligations. In addition to our debt, as of December 31, 2013, we had \$44.7 million of letters of credit and letters of guarantee outstanding.

The terms of the indentures governing our long-term bonds and our senior secured credit facility impose significant operating and financial restrictions on us, which limit our ability, among other things, to:

incur additional debt or issue preferred stock;

pay dividends or make distributions to our stockholders;

repurchase or redeem our capital stock;

make investments;

incur liens;

enter into transactions with our stockholders and affiliates;

sell certain assets;

acquire the assets of, or merge or consolidate with, other companies; and

incur restrictions on the ability of our subsidiaries to make distributions or transfer assets to us.

These restrictions could have consequences, including the following:

making it more difficult for us to satisfy our obligations with respect to our debt;

limiting our ability to obtain additional financing to fund future working capital, capital expenditures, strategic acquisitions or other general corporate requirements;

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

requiring a substantial portion of our cash flows to be dedicated to debt service payments instead of other purposes;

increasing our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions;

limiting our financial flexibility in planning for and reacting to changes in the industry in which we compete;

placing us at a disadvantage compared to other, less leveraged competitors;

having a material adverse effect on us if we fail to comply with the covenants in the senior secured credit facility or in the indentures governing our long-term bonds or in the instruments governing our other debt; and

Table of Contents

increasing our cost of borrowing.

Our existing senior secured revolving credit facility, which matures on April 18, 2017, is a significant source of liquidity for our business. The failure to extend or renew this agreement could have a significant effect on our ability to invest sufficiently in our programs, fund day to day operations, or pursue strategic opportunities.

We cannot assure you that we will be able to maintain compliance with the covenants in the agreements governing our indebtedness in the future or, if we fail to do so, that we will be able to obtain waivers from the lenders and/or amend the covenants.

In addition, despite the restrictions and limitations described above, subject to the limits contained in the agreements governing our indebtedness, we may be able to incur additional debt from time to time to finance working capital, capital expenditures, investments or acquisitions, or for other purposes. The terms of any future indebtedness we may incur could include more restrictive covenants. If we incur additional debt, the risks related to our level of debt could intensify.

In addition, if we are unable to generate sufficient cash flow to service our debt and meet our other commitments, we may need to refinance all or a portion of our debt, sell material assets or operations, or raise additional debt or equity capital. We cannot provide assurance that we could effect any of these actions on a timely basis, on commercially reasonable terms or at all, or that these actions would be sufficient to meet our capital requirements. In addition, the terms of our existing or future debt agreements may restrict us from effecting certain or any of these alternatives.

Global credit markets are still recovering from the 2008 financial crisis, and are subject to numerous risk factors, including but not limited to concerns over sovereign debt in Europe and elsewhere; the impact and effectiveness of new financial legislation and regulation in the United States and Europe; the impact of those reforms on borrowers, financial institutions and credit rating agencies; potential systemic risk resulting from the interrelationship of credit market products and participants; global governmental and central banking policies; and conflict and political instability in the Middle East and Asia. There can be no assurance that access to credit markets will continue to be available to us.

Any reduction in our credit ratings could materially and adversely affect our business or financial condition.

As of December 31, 2013, our corporate credit rating was affirmed at BB and placed on negative outlook by Standard & Poor's and was affirmed at Ba2 and placed on negative outlook by Moody's Investor Services.

On February 6, 2014, Moody's Investors Service placed the credit ratings of Spirit AeroSystems, Inc. under review for possible downgrade.

The ratings reflect the agencies' assessment of our ability to pay interest and principal on our debt securities and credit agreements. A rating is not a recommendation to purchase, sell or hold securities. Each rating is subject to revision or withdrawal at any time by the assigning rating organization. Each rating agency has its own methodology for assigning ratings and, accordingly, each rating should be considered independently of all other ratings. Lower ratings would typically result in higher interest costs of debt securities when they are sold, and could make it more difficult to issue future debt securities. In addition, a downgrade in our fixed or revolving long-term debt rating could result in an increase in borrowing costs under our senior secured credit facility and could trigger a prepayment based on the excess cash flow prepayment provision under our term loan depending on our total leverage ratio. Any downgrade in our credit ratings could thus have a material adverse effect on our business or financial condition.

Table of Contents

We may sell more equity and reduce your ownership in Spirit Holdings.

Our business plan may require the investment of new capital, which we may raise by issuing additional equity (including equity interests which may have a preference over shares of our class A common stock) or additional debt (including debt securities and/or bank loans). However, this capital may not be available at all, or when needed, or upon terms and conditions favorable to us. The issuance of additional equity in Spirit Holdings may result in significant dilution of shares of our class A common stock. We may issue additional equity in connection with or to finance acquisitions. Further, our subsidiaries could issue securities in the future to persons or entities (including our affiliates) other than us or another subsidiary. This could materially adversely affect your investment in us because it would dilute your indirect ownership interest in our subsidiaries.

Spirit Holdings' certificate of incorporation and by-laws and our supply agreements with Boeing contain provisions that could discourage another company from acquiring us and may prevent attempts by our stockholders to replace or remove our current management.

Provisions of Spirit Holdings' certificate of incorporation and by-laws may discourage, delay or prevent a merger or acquisition that stockholders may consider favorable, including transactions in which stockholders might otherwise receive a premium for their shares. In addition, these provisions may frustrate or prevent any attempts by our stockholders to replace or remove our current management by making it more difficult for stockholders to replace or remove our current board of directors. These provisions include:

multi-vote shares of common stock, which are owned by the Onex entities and management stockholders;

advance notice requirements for nominations for election to the board of directors or for proposing matters that can be acted on by stockholders at stockholder meetings; and

the authority of the board of directors to issue, without stockholder approval, up to 10 million shares of preferred stock with such terms as the board of directors may determine and an additional 55,738,264 shares of class A common stock (net of shares issued but subject to vesting requirements under our benefit plans and shares reserved for issuance upon conversion of outstanding shares of class B common stock) and an additional 125,409,562 shares of class B common stock (net of shares issued but subject to vesting requirements under our benefit plans).

In addition, our supply agreements with Boeing include provisions giving Boeing the ability to terminate the agreements in the event any of certain disqualified persons acquire a majority of Spirit's direct or indirect voting power or all or substantially all of Spirit's assets. See "Business Our Relationship with Boeing."

Spirit Holdings is a "controlled company" within the meaning of the New York Stock Exchange rules and, as a result, qualifies for, and relies on, exemptions from certain corporate governance requirements.

Because the Onex entities own more than 50% of the combined voting power of the common stock of Spirit Holdings, Spirit Holdings is deemed a "controlled company" under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE. As a result, Spirit Holdings qualifies for, and relies upon, the "controlled company" exception to the board of directors and committee composition requirements under the rules of the NYSE. Pursuant to this exception, Spirit Holdings is exempt from rules that would otherwise require that Spirit Holdings' board of directors be comprised of a majority of "independent directors" (as defined under the rules of the NYSE), and that Spirit Holdings' compensation committee and corporate governance and nominating committee be comprised solely of "independent directors," so long as the Onex entities continue to own more than 50% of the combined voting power of the common stock of Spirit Holdings. Spirit Holdings' board of directors consists of eleven directors, eight of whom qualify as "independent." Spirit Holdings' compensation and corporate governance and nominating committees are not comprised

Table of Contents

solely of "independent directors." Spirit Holdings does not currently rely on the exemption related to board composition, although it may do so in the future. See "Management Executive Officers and Directors" and "Committees of the Board of Directors."

Our stock price may be volatile.

Price fluctuations in our class A common stock could result from general market and economic conditions and a variety of other factors, including:

actual or anticipated fluctuations in our operating results;

changes in aerostructures pricing;

our competitors' and customers' announcements of significant contracts, acquisitions or strategic investments;

changes in our growth rates or our competitors' and customers' growth rates;

the timing or results of regulatory submissions or actions with respect to our business;

our inability to finance or raise additional capital;

conditions of the aerostructure industry, in the financial markets, or economic conditions in general; and

changes in stock market analyst recommendations regarding our class A common stock, other comparable companies or the aerospace industry in general.

Item 1B. *Unresolved Staff Comments*

The Company received a comment letter from the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") Division of Corporation Finance dated December 10, 2012 regarding its Form 10-K for Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2011 and Form 10-Q for the Fiscal Quarter Ended September 27, 2012. We have filed responses with the SEC that addressed several of the SEC staff's comments. However, as of February 19, 2014, we have not yet responded to comments regarding updates to our contract estimates which resulted in forward losses recognized in the third quarter of 2012 as we work with the SEC Division of Enforcement on some similar issues. We intend to continue to work with the Division of Corporation Finance to respond to the outstanding comments.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Significant Properties**

The location, primary use, approximate square footage and ownership status of our principal properties as of December 31, 2013 are set forth below:

Location	Primary Use	Approximate Square Footage	Owned/Leased
United States			
Wichita, Kansas ⁽¹⁾	Primary Manufacturing Facility/Offices/Warehouse	11.3 million	Owned/Leased
Chanute, Kansas ⁽²⁾	Manufacturing Facility	59,362	Leased
Tulsa, Oklahoma	Manufacturing Facility	1.9 million	Leased
McAlester, Oklahoma	Manufacturing Facility	135,000	Owned
Kinston, North Carolina	Primary Manufacturing/Office/Warehouse	761,600	Leased
Nashville, Tennessee ⁽³⁾	Office	15,000	Leased
United Kingdom			
Prestwick, Scotland	Manufacturing Facility	901,000	Owned
Preston, England	Administrative Offices	28,000	Leased
Malaysia			
Subang, Malaysia	Manufacturing	337,000	Owned/Leased
France			
Saint-Nazaire, France	Primary Manufacturing/Office	58,800	Leased
Toulouse, France	Office	3,400	Leased

(1) 95% of the Wichita facility is owned.

(2) Operations began in Q1 2012.

(3) Operation began in Q2 2012.

Our physical assets consist of 15.5 million square feet of building space located on 1,335 acres in eleven facilities. We produce our fuselage systems and propulsion systems from our primary manufacturing facility located in Wichita, Kansas with some fuselage work done in our Kinston, North Carolina facility. We produce wing systems in our manufacturing facilities in Tulsa, Oklahoma; Kinston, North Carolina; Prestwick, Scotland; Saint-Nazaire, France; and Subang, Malaysia. In addition to these sites, we have a facility located in McAlester, Oklahoma dedicated to supplying machined parts and sub-assemblies to the Wichita and Tulsa facilities. We also have a light sub-assembly manufacturing facility located in Chanute, Kansas which manufactures small parts in support of Wichita propulsion.

The Wichita facility, including Spirit's corporate offices, is comprised of 625 acres, 6.3 million square feet of manufacturing space, 1.3 million square feet of offices and laboratories for the engineering and design group and 3.7 million square feet for support functions and warehouses. A total of 617,429 square feet is currently vacant, with much of it planned for backfill by new programs. The Wichita site has access to transportation by rail, road and air. For air cargo, the Wichita site has access to the runways of McConnell Air Force Base.

The Chanute facility, consists of 59,362 square feet of building space. The Chanute facility manufactures sub-assemblies for the propulsion segment, and is leased from the city of Chanute.

The Tulsa facility consists of 1.9 million square feet of building space set on 153 acres. The Tulsa plant is located five miles from an international shipping port (Port of Catoosa) and is located next to the Tulsa International Airport. The Tulsa facility includes off site leased space, 1.5 miles east in the Green Valley Center. The McAlester site, which manufactures parts and sub-assemblies primarily for the Tulsa facility, consists of 135,000 square feet of building space on 92 acres.

Table of Contents

The Prestwick facility consists of 0.9 million square feet of building space, comprised of 0.7 million square feet of manufacturing space and 0.2 million square feet of office space. This facility is set on 95 acres. The Prestwick plant is located on the west coast of Scotland, approximately 33 miles south of Glasgow, within close proximity to the motorway network that provides access between England and continental Europe. It is also easily accessible by air (at Prestwick International Airport) or by sea. We lease a portion of our Prestwick facility to the Regional Aircraft division of BAE Systems and certain other tenants.

The Malaysian manufacturing plant is located at the Malaysia International Aerospace Center (MIAC) in Subang. The 269,000 square foot leased facility is set on 45 acres and is centrally located with easy access to Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia's capital city, as well as nearby ports and airports. The facility assembles composite panels for wing components. An additional 68,000 square foot warehouse owned by Spirit was constructed in 2012 for shipping/receiving and parts storage to make room for additional manufacturing space in the existing building.

The Wichita and Tulsa manufacturing facilities have significant scale to accommodate the very large structures that are manufactured there, including, in Wichita, entire fuselages. Three of the U.S. facilities are in close proximity, with approximately 175 miles between Wichita and Tulsa and 90 miles between Tulsa and McAlester. Currently, these U.S. facilities utilize approximately 95% of the available building space. The Prestwick manufacturing facility currently utilizes only 72% of the space; of the remaining space, 15% is leased and 13% is vacant. The Preston office space is located in North Lancashire, England, approximately 200 miles south of Prestwick.

The Kinston, North Carolina facility, supports the manufacturing of composite panels and wing components. The primary manufacturing site and off-site leased spaces total 318 acres and 761,600 square feet. In addition to the primary manufacturing facility, this includes three additional buildings leased from the NC Global Transpark Authority: 27,500 square foot warehouse/office supporting receiving needs, a 26,400 square foot warehouse providing tooling storage, and a 120,000 square foot manufacturing facility supporting light manufacturing.

The Saint-Nazaire, France site was built on 6.25 acres and totals 58,800 square feet. This facility receives center fuselage frame sections for the Airbus A350 XWB from the facility in Kinston, North Carolina. Sections designed and manufactured in North Carolina are shipped across the Atlantic, received in Saint-Nazaire, and assembled before being transported to Airbus. Additionally, a 3,400 square foot office area in Toulouse, France is leased for engineering support.

Item 3. *Legal Proceedings*

Information concerning the litigation and other legal proceedings in which the Company is involved, may be found in Note 22 under the sub-heading "Litigation" in this Annual Report and that information is hereby incorporated by reference.

Item 4. *Mine Safety Disclosures*

Not applicable.

Executive Officers of the Registrant

Listed below are the names, ages, positions held, and biographies of all executive officers of Spirit Holdings. Executive officers hold office until their successors are elected or appointed at the next annual meeting of the Board of Directors, or until their death, retirement, resignation, or removal.

Larry Lawson, 55. Mr. Lawson joined Spirit Holdings as President and Chief Executive Officer on April 6, 2013. Prior to joining Spirit Holdings, Mr. Lawson was Executive Vice President of Lockheed Martin's Aeronautics business segment. Mr. Lawson began his career as a flight control engineer working on the F-15 Eagle at McDonnell Douglas. He has since held a broad range of leadership positions in engineering, advanced development, business development, and program management in a career spanning more than 30 years. In his

Table of Contents

work at Lockheed Martin, Mr. Lawson has overseen key aircraft production programs such as the F-35, F-22, F-16, C-130J, and C-5, including highly classified programs in the world-renowned Skunk Works® organization. Mr. Lawson holds a bachelor's degree in Electrical Engineering from Lawrence Technological University, where he also serves on the board of trustees, has a master's degree in Electrical Engineering from the University of Missouri, and is a graduate of the Harvard Business School Advanced Management Program and an MIT Seminar XXI Fellow.

Philip Anderson, 49. Mr. Anderson became the Senior Vice President of Defense and Contracts of Spirit Holdings effective September 23, 2013. Mr. Anderson previously served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Spirit Holdings from February 12, 2010 to September 2013. From October 2009 to February 2010, Mr. Anderson served as Vice President and Interim Chief Financial Officer of Spirit Holdings. Mr. Anderson also served as Treasurer of Spirit Holdings from November 2006 to July 2010. From March 2003 to November 2006, Mr. Anderson was the Director of Corporate Finance and Banking for Boeing. Mr. Anderson began his career at Boeing in 1989 as a defense program analyst and served in a variety of finance and manufacturing operations leadership positions at Boeing Defense Systems and Boeing Commercial Airplanes. Mr. Anderson received his Bachelor of Arts and Masters of Business from Wichita State University and holds a Six Sigma Black Belt certification from the University of Michigan.

David M. Coleal, 46. Mr. Coleal assumed the role of Executive Vice President /General Manager Boeing, Military, Business & Regional Jet Programs & Aftermarket in May 2013 after previously serving as Senior Vice President /General Manager of the Fuselage Segment since July 2011. Prior to joining Spirit AeroSystems, Mr. Coleal was Vice President and General Manager of Bombardier-Learjet. He joined Bombardier Aerospace in March 2008 and was responsible for all engineering and manufacturing operations, program change management, quality and material logistics for the Learjet family of aircraft, including development of the pioneering all-composite Learjet 85 mid-size business jet. From 2001 to 2008, Mr. Coleal worked at Cirrus Design Corporation, where he was initially responsible for operations, and he assumed positions of increasing responsibility until being named President and Chief Operating Officer in 2005. Mr. Coleal earned his Masters of Business Administration in Management Science from California State University Hayward in 1997. He graduated from California State University in Sacramento in 1990 with a Bachelor of Science degree in Mechanical Engineering Technology.

Sanjay Kapoor, 53. Mr. Kapoor joined Spirit Holdings as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer on September 23, 2013. Mr. Kapoor joined Spirit from Raytheon where he most recently served as Vice President of Integrated Air & Missile Defense for Raytheon Integrated Defense Systems (IDS). Prior to this role, Mr. Kapoor was IDS Vice President of Finance and Chief Financial Officer from 2004 to 2008. Mr. Kapoor also served as CFO at United Technologies' Pratt and Whitney Power Systems Division. His tenure at Pratt and Whitney also included roles as Director of Aftermarket Services for the Power Systems Business, controller for the Turbine Module Center and business manager for new commercial programs. Mr. Kapoor received his bachelor's degree in technology from the Indian Institute of Technology and a dual Masters of Business Administration in finance and entrepreneurial management from The Wharton School at the University of Pennsylvania.

Jon D. Lammers, 49. Mr. Lammers was named Senior Vice President Secretary of Spirit Holdings in July 2012, and General Counsel of Spirit Holdings in October 2012. Mr. Lammers brings more than 20 years of legal experience, including 15 years at Cargill, Incorporated, where he served from July 1997 to July 2012. He served as Cargill's Asia Pacific general counsel in Singapore from June 2006 to June 2010 as well as Cargill's deputy North American general counsel in Wayzata, Minnesota from July 2010 to July 2012. Mr. Lammers earned his Bachelor of Science in Business Administration from the University of Southern California and his Juris Doctor degree from the University of Virginia.

Samantha J. Marnick, 43. Ms. Marnick became Senior Vice President Chief Administration Officer in October 2012. From January 2011 to September 2012, Ms. Marnick served as Senior Vice President of Corporate Administration and Human Resources. From March 2008 to December 2010, Ms. Marnick served as Vice President Labor Relations & Workforce Strategy responsible for labor relations, global human resource

Table of Contents

project management office, compensation and benefits, and workforce planning. Ms. Marnick previously served as Director of Communications and Employee Engagement from March 2006 to March 2008. Prior to joining the Company, Ms. Marnick was a senior consultant and Principal for Mercer Human Resource Consulting holding management positions in both the United Kingdom and in the United States. Prior to that Ms. Marnick worked for Watson Wyatt, the UK's Department of Health and Social Security and The British Wool Marketing Board. Ms. Marnick holds a Master's degree from the University of Salford in Corporate Communication Strategy and Management.

John Pilla, 54. Mr. Pilla became the Senior Vice President/General Manager Airbus and A350 XWB Program Management in May 2013. Prior to that, Mr. Pilla served as the Senior Vice President/General Manager, Propulsion Systems Segment of Spirit since July 2009 and added the role of Senior Vice President/General Manager of the Wing segment in September 2012. From July 2011 to May 2013, he was also responsible for the Aftermarket Customer Support Organization. From April 2008 to July 2009, Mr. Pilla was Chief Technology Officer of Spirit Holdings and he served as Vice President/General Manager 787 of Spirit Holdings and/or Spirit, a position he assumed at the date of the Boeing Acquisition in June 2005 and held until March 2008. Mr. Pilla began his career at Boeing Commercial Airplanes in 1981 as a stress engineer and was promoted to Chief Engineer of Structures and Liaison in 1995. In 1997, Mr. Pilla led the Next-Generation 737 engineering programs and ultimately led the Define Team on the 737-900 fuselage and empennage in late 1997 as well as the 777LR airplane in May 2000. In July 2001, Mr. Pilla became the Director of Business Operations, a position he held until July 2003 when he accepted an assignment as 787 Director of Product Definition and Manufacturing. He received his Master's degree in Aerospace Structures Engineering in 1986 and a Masters in Business Administration in 2002 from Wichita State University.

Heidi Wood, 48. Ms. Wood joined Spirit Holdings as Senior Vice President Strategy, Mergers and Acquisitions and Investor Relations in July 2013. Prior to joining the Company, Ms. Wood was Senior Vice President and Co-head of Global Sales at Avjet Corporation. From 1999 to 2013, Ms. Wood served as Managing Director and global head of aerospace/defense analysis at Morgan Stanley. She was responsible for leading North American, Europe, Latin American and Singapore-based teams. Prior to assuming her employment at Morgan Stanley, Ms. Wood was an analyst at Cowen & Company from 1992 to 1999. Ms. Wood holds a Bachelor of Arts degree with honors from Brown University.

Table of Contents**Part II****Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

Our class A common stock has been quoted on the NYSE under the symbol "SPR" since November 21, 2006. Prior to that time, there was no public market for our stock. As of February 12, 2014, there were approximately 1,282 holders of record of class A common stock. However, we believe that many additional holders of our class A common stock are unidentified because a substantial number of shares are held of record by brokers or dealers for their customers in street names. The closing price on February 12, 2014 was \$28.86 per share as reported by the NYSE.

As of February 12, 2014, there were approximately 123 holders of record of class B common stock. Our class B common stock is neither listed nor publicly traded.

The following table sets forth for the indicated periods the high and low closing sales price for our class A common stock on the NYSE.

Fiscal Quarter	2013		2012	
	High	Low	High	Low
1st	\$ 19.00	\$ 15.94	\$ 25.66	\$ 21.11
2nd	\$ 21.93	\$ 18.45	\$ 25.72	\$ 22.12
3rd	\$ 25.99	\$ 21.48	\$ 25.85	\$ 21.65
4th	\$ 34.18	\$ 23.54	\$ 22.87	\$ 14.04

Dividend Policy

We did not pay any cash dividends in 2012 or 2013 and we currently do not intend to pay cash dividends. Our future dividend policy will depend on the requirements of financing agreements to which we may be a party. Any future determination to pay dividends will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend upon, among other factors, our results of operations, financial condition, capital requirements and contractual restrictions.

Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans

The following table represents restricted shares outstanding under the Executive Incentive Plan, the Director Stock Plan, and the Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plans as of December 31, 2013.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuances Under the Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column (a))
	(a)	(b)	(c)
Restricted Stock Awards			
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	N/A ⁽³⁾	\$	8,939,862 ⁽⁴⁾
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders ⁽²⁾		\$	
Total	N/A ⁽³⁾	\$	8,939,862 ⁽⁴⁾

(1) Approved by previous security holders in place before our initial public offering. Amendments were approved by shareholders in 2008 and 2011.

Table of Contents

- (2) Our equity compensation plans provide for the issuance of incentive awards to officers, directors, employees and consultants in the form of stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units and deferred stock, in lieu of cash compensation.
- (3) There are 1,732,535 class A shares and 869,219 class B shares outstanding under the Executive Incentive Plan, the Director Stock Plan, and the Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plans as of December 31, 2013.
- (4) As of December 31, 2013, there are 3,380,285; 2,404,323; 1,455,716 and 1,699,538 securities available for future issuance under the Executive Incentive Plan, the Director Stock Plan, and the Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plans, respectively.

Table of Contents

Stock Performance

The following graph shows a comparison from December 31, 2008 through December 31, 2013 of cumulative total return of our class A common stock, Standard & Poor's 500 Stock Index, and the Standard & Poor's 500 Aerospace & Defense Index. Such returns are based on historical results and are not intended to suggest future performance. We have never paid dividends on our class A common stock and have no present plans to do so.

Company/Index	INDEXED RETURNS					
	Base Period 12/31/08	Years Ending				
	12/31/08	12/31/2009	12/31/2010	12/31/2011	12/31/2012	12/31/2013
Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.	100	195.28	204.62	204.33	166.86	335.10
S&P 500 Index	100	126.46	145.51	148.59	172.37	228.19
S&P 500 Aerospace & Defense Index	100	124.64	143.47	151.04	173.04	268.07

Table of Contents**Item 6. Selected Financial Data****SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION AND OTHER DATA**

The following table sets forth our selected consolidated financial data for each of the periods indicated. Financial data is derived from the audited consolidated financial statements of Spirit Holdings. The audited consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2011, December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2013 are included in this Annual Report. You should read the information presented below in conjunction with "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and our combined and consolidated financial statements and related notes contained elsewhere in the Annual Report.

	Spirit Holdings				
	Twelve Months Ended				
	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010	December 31, 2009
	(Dollars in millions, except per share data)				
Statement of Income Data:					
Net revenues	\$ 5,961.0	\$ 5,397.7	\$ 4,863.8	\$ 4,172.4	\$ 4,078.5
Cost of sales ⁽¹⁾	6,059.5	5,245.3	4,312.1	3,607.9	3,581.4
Selling, general and administrative expenses ⁽²⁾	200.8	172.2	159.9	156.0	137.1
Impact from severe weather event	30.3	(146.2)			
Research and development	34.7	34.1	35.7	51.5	56.7
Operating (loss) income	(364.3)	92.3	356.1	357.0	303.3
Interest expense and financing fee amortization	(70.1)	(82.9)	(77.5)	(59.1)	(43.6)
Interest income	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3	7.0
Other income (loss), net	3.3	1.8	1.4	(0.4)	6.1
(Loss) income before income taxes and equity in net income					
(loss) of affiliates	(430.8)	11.4	280.3	297.8	272.8
Income tax (provision) benefit	(191.1)	24.1	(86.9)	(78.2)	(80.9)
Equity in net income (loss) of affiliates	0.5	(0.7)	(1.0)	(0.7)	(0.2)
Net (loss) income	\$ (621.4)	\$ 34.8	\$ 192.4	\$ 218.9	\$ 191.7
Net (loss) income per share, basic	\$ (4.40)	\$ 0.24	\$ 1.36	\$ 1.56	\$ 1.39
Shares used in per share calculation, basic ⁽³⁾	141.3	140.7	139.2	137.9	137.2
Net (loss) income per share, diluted	\$ (4.40)	\$ 0.24	\$ 1.35	\$ 1.55	\$ 1.37
Shares used in per share calculation, diluted	141.3	142.7	142.3	141.0	139.8

Table of Contents

	Spirit Holdings				
	Twelve Months Ended				
	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010	December 31, 2009
	(Dollars in millions)				
Other Financial Data:					
Cash flow provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 260.6	\$ 544.4	\$ (47.3)	\$ 125.1	\$ (13.9)
Cash flow (used in) investing activities	\$ (268.2)	\$ (248.8)	\$ (249.2)	\$ (288.4)	\$ (112.4)
Cash flow (used in) provided by financing activities	\$ (13.9)	\$ (34.6)	\$ (6.7)	\$ 277.4	\$ 276.1
Capital expenditures	\$ (234.2)	\$ (236.1)	\$ (249.7)	\$ (288.1)	\$ (228.2)
Consolidated Balance Sheet Data:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 420.7	\$ 440.7	\$ 177.8	\$ 481.6	\$ 369.0
Accounts receivable, net	\$ 550.8	\$ 420.7	\$ 267.2	\$ 200.2	\$ 160.4
Inventories, net	\$ 1,842.6	\$ 2,410.8	\$ 2,630.9	\$ 2,507.9	\$ 2,206.9
Property, plant & equipment, net	\$ 1,803.3	\$ 1,698.5	\$ 1,615.7	\$ 1,470.0	\$ 1,279.3
Total assets	\$ 5,107.2	\$ 5,415.3	\$ 5,042.4	\$ 5,102.0	\$ 4,473.8
Total debt	\$ 1,167.3	\$ 1,176.2	\$ 1,200.9	\$ 1,196.8	\$ 893.8
Long-term debt	\$ 1,150.5	\$ 1,165.9	\$ 1,152.0	\$ 1,187.3	\$ 884.7
Total equity	\$ 1,481.0	\$ 1,996.9	\$ 1,964.7	\$ 1,810.9	\$ 1,573.8

- (1) Included in 2013 cost of sales are forward loss charges of \$1,133.3 million, which includes \$41.1 million on the B747-8 program, \$16.4 million on the B767 program, \$422.0 million on the B787 program, \$111.3 million on the A350 XWB program, \$240.9 million on the G280 wing program, \$288.3 million on the G650 wing program and \$13.3 million on our Rolls-Royce BR725 program. Included in 2012 cost of sales are forward loss charges of \$636.7 million, which includes \$11.5 million on the B747-8 program, \$184.0 million on the B787 wing program, \$8.9 million on the A350 XWB non-recurring wing contract, \$118.8 million on the G280 wing program, \$162.5 million on the G650 wing program and \$151.0 million on our Rolls-Royce program. Included in 2011 cost of sales are forward loss charges of \$132.1 million, which includes \$81.8 million on the G280 wing program, \$29.0 million on the Sikorsky CH-53K program, \$18.3 million on the B747-8 program and \$3.0 million on the A350 XWB non-recurring wing program. Included in 2010 cost of sales are charges of \$18.9 million related to the grant of shares to employees represented by the IAM in connection with the ratification of a new ten-year labor contract on June 25, 2010, \$6.5 million in early retirement incentives for members represented by the IAM who made elections to retire in 2010, and \$3.3 million in grants of shares to employees represented by the UAW in connection with the ratification of a new ten-year labor contract on December 18, 2010. Also included in 2010 cost of sales is a \$2.8 million forward loss on the G280 wing program. Included in the 2009 cost of sales are forward loss charges of \$103.9 million, which includes \$93.0 million on the G280 wing program and \$10.9 million on the Cessna Citation Columbus program. Includes cumulative catch-up adjustments of \$95.5 million, \$14.7 million, \$13.8 million, (\$23.2) million and (\$58.5) million for periods prior to the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, December 31, 2012, December 31, 2011, December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.
- (2) Includes non-cash stock compensation expenses of \$19.6 million, \$15.3 million, \$11.1 million, \$7.9 million and \$9.7 million for the respective periods starting with the twelve months ended December 31, 2013.
- (3) Under the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") guidance, unvested share-based payment awards that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities and shall be included in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. See Note 20, "Equity," for more details.

Table of Contents

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

You should read the following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements, the notes to the audited consolidated financial statements and the "Selected Consolidated Financial Information and Other Data" appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report. This section includes "forward-looking statements." Forward-looking statements generally can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as "may," "will," "should," "expect," "anticipate," "intend," "estimate," "believe," "project," "continue," "plan," "forecast," or other similar words. These statements reflect management's current views with respect to future events and are subject to risks and uncertainties, both known and unknown, including, but not limited to, those described in the "Risk Factors" section of this Annual Report. See also "Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements." Our actual results may vary materially from those anticipated in forward-looking statements. We caution investors not to place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements.

Recent Events

On February 6, 2014, Moody's Investors Service placed the rating of Spirit AeroSystems, Inc. under review for possible downgrade.

On January 16, 2014, Bombardier announced a delay in entry-into-service for the new C Series aircraft, for which Spirit provides content. This delay is not expected to have a material impact on Spirit's results of operations.

On December 19, 2013, Spirit completed the sale of its interest in the Spirit-ProgressTech joint venture, our former joint venture with ProgressTech, LTD.

Overview

We are one of the largest independent non-OEM (original equipment manufacturer) aircraft parts designers and manufacturers of commercial aerostructures in the world, based on annual revenues, as well as the largest independent supplier of aerostructures to Boeing. In addition, we are one of the largest independent suppliers of aerostructures to Airbus. Boeing and Airbus are the two largest aircraft OEMs in the world. Aerostructures are structural components, such as fuselages, propulsion systems and wing systems for commercial and military aircraft. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, we generated net revenues of \$5,961.0 million and net loss of \$621.4 million.

We are organized into three principal reporting segments: (1) Fuselage Systems, which includes forward, mid and rear fuselage sections, (2) Propulsion Systems, which includes nacelles, struts/pylons and engine structural components, and (3) Wing Systems, which includes wings, wing components, flight control surfaces and other miscellaneous structural parts. All other activities fall within the All Other segment, principally made up of sundry sales of miscellaneous services, tooling contracts, and sales of natural gas through a tenancy-in-common with other companies that have operations in Wichita, Kansas. The Fuselage Systems segment manufactures products at our facilities in Wichita, Kansas and Kinston, North Carolina, with an assembly plant in Saint-Nazaire, France for the A350 XWB program. The Propulsion Systems segment manufactures products at our facilities in Wichita and Chanute, Kansas. The Wing Systems segment manufactures products at our facilities in Tulsa and McAlester, Oklahoma; Prestwick, Scotland; Subang, Malaysia; and Kinston, North Carolina. Fuselage Systems, Propulsion Systems, Wing Systems and All Other represented approximately 48%, 27%, 25% and less than 1%, respectively, of our net revenues for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013.

We are evaluating the potential realignment of our reportable segments as part of our 2014 business strategy. The reportable segment amounts and discussions reflected in this Annual Report reflect the management reporting that existed through the end of our 2013 fiscal year.

Table of Contents**Market Trends**

The financial health of the commercial airline industry has a direct and significant effect on our commercial aircraft programs. The global industry's year-over-year revenue grew from 2010 through 2013, and is forecast to continue growing in 2014 and 2015, after significant contraction in 2008 and 2009. One key driver of the commercial aircraft market is airline passenger and cargo traffic trends. Principal factors influencing traffic are economic growth and political stability. A significant downturn in global or regional economic stability, or exogenous shocks such as terrorism or a pandemic, could suppress traffic and negatively affect demand for our key customers' products.

Demand for commercial aerostructures is highly correlated to demand for new aircraft. Boeing and Airbus have more than doubled their combined backlog since December 2006. The year-end 2013 combined backlog was 10,639 aircraft. High backlog levels are expected to continue to drive increasing production and delivery forecasts in the near to mid-term from both Boeing and Airbus.

The following table sets forth the historical deliveries of Boeing and Airbus for 2008 through 2013 and delivery expectations for 2014.

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014 ⁽¹⁾
Boeing	375	481	462	477	601	648	715-725
Airbus	483	498	510	534	588	626	626
Total	858	979	972	1,011	1,189	1,274	1,341-1,351

(1)

Boeing has announced that it expects its 2014 deliveries to be approximately 715-725 aircraft. Airbus announced that it expects 2014 deliveries to reach similar levels as 2013.

Program Inventory

Product inventory continues to grow in terms of absolute dollars and remains stable as a percentage of total assets. Inventory as a percentage of total assets was 36%, 45% and 52% at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. This overall trend in inventory is driven primarily by our contractually required investments in certain programs, which include the Boeing B747-8, B787, Gulfstream G280 and G650, Airbus A350 XWB, Sikorsky CH-53K and Rolls-Royce BR725 programs. The contracts for these programs accounted for a decrease in inventory from 2012 to 2013 of \$682.7 million, which is net of forward loss charges for each year. Excluding the forward loss charges, these programs would have increased inventory by \$329.4 million from 2012 to 2013. The increases in inventory for new and maturing programs in the last few years are a result of the application of the percentage-of-completion method of contract accounting with regard to inventory and revenue recognition. Under this method, investments in new and maturing contracts, including contractual pre-production costs and recurring production costs in excess of the projected average cost to manufacture all units in the contract block, initially accumulate in inventory for the related contract. Once production has reached a point where the cost to produce a ship set falls below such projected average cost, the inventory balance for such program will begin to decrease. Deferred inventory costs are evaluated for recoverability through their inclusion in the total costs used in the calculation of each contract block's estimated profit margin. When the estimated total contract block costs exceed total estimated contract block revenues, a forward loss is recorded and an inventory reserve is established.

Management's Focus

The Company's focus is on ensuring that our strategy and our operational and cost performance are world class. Overall, we are committed to the concept of change and we have undertaken specific actions recently that highlight that commitment. On May 2, 2013, we announced the undertaking of comprehensive strategic and financial reviews of our development programs at our Tulsa, Wichita, Kinston and St. Nazaire sites. These reviews were concluded in the fourth quarter of 2013. Decisions made as a result of these reviews include some of the actions we announced in 2013, the most significant of which was

Table of Contents

our commencement of a process to sell our Oklahoma facilities, which we announced on August 6, 2013. Certain of our maturing programs, including the Gulfstream G280 and G650 wing and the B787 wing programs, are produced at these facilities. This decision aligns with our strategy to focus on the commercial aerospace and defense segments of the marketplace. We may ultimately decide to sell only a portion of, or certain programs produced at, our Oklahoma facilities, to sell separate portions and/or programs to different buyers, or to retain the facilities in their entirety. We are also committed to reducing internal cost and improving operational efficiency through centralization of functions as demonstrated by the reduction in workforce activities completed in the third quarter of 2013. Additionally, we continue to align the business around our customers and programs with strong emphasis on markets, business management, program management, production and supply chain. We also added new executive talent and reassigned existing executive talent in an effort to strengthen performance in certain areas of our business. We anticipate taking additional actions in the near-term as we continue to focus on positioning the Company for future success.

New and Maturing Programs

We are currently performing work on several new and maturing programs, which are in various stages of development. The Boeing B787-8 has received FAA and JAA certifications, as well as EASA certification for entry into service. The Gulfstream G280 and G650 have each received FAA and EASA certifications.

During 2013, several events occurred that led to significant changes in cost estimates for several programs resulting in forward losses being recorded on some of these programs. Due to these changes, for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, we recorded forward loss charges of \$1,133.3 million, including \$240.9 million on the Gulfstream G280, \$288.3 million on the Gulfstream G650, \$78.6 million on the Airbus A350 XWB fuselage recurring, \$32.7 million on the Airbus A350 XWB fuselage non-recurring, \$41.1 million on the Boeing B747-8 fuselage, \$16.4 million on the Boeing B767 propulsion, \$422.0 million on the Boeing B787 and a net \$13.3 million on the Rolls-Royce BR725. These amounts are recorded within the Company's results of operations as part of cost of goods sold as well as on the consolidated balance sheet as forward loss provisions within inventory.

A350 XWB

We continue to support the development of the A350 XWB program through a wing contract and a fuselage contract, both of which are segmented into a non-recurring design engineering phase and recurring production phase. In the first quarter of 2013, we reduced the margins for the A350 XWB fuselage recurring and A350 XWB wing recurring programs to break-even to reflect an increase in identified risk profile of these programs. In September and October of 2013, we agreed with Airbus on the work scope for the design and tooling related to the -1000 derivative of the A350 XWB fuselage and wing contracts, respectively.

Based on current estimates, the agreement for the non-recurring design engineering phase of the -1000 derivative fuselage resulted in a \$32.7 million forward loss which was recorded in the third quarter of 2013. There is a risk of additional forward loss if we do not successfully execute the design and engineering change process as projected.

Our A350 XWB fuselage recurring program has experienced various production inefficiencies mostly driven by early development discovery and engineering change to the aircraft design, as well as higher test and transportation costs. Airbus is assisting us as we work through these issues and has provided additional resources to work alongside our personnel. In the third quarter of 2013, we recorded a forward loss of \$78.6 million for the A350 XWB fuselage recurring contract due to these production inefficiencies. There continues to be risk of additional forward loss associated with the fuselage recurring contract as we work through production issues.

Although we continue to project the wing recurring production contract to be break-even, there is still a substantial amount of risk similar to what we have experienced on other development programs.

Table of Contents

Particularly, our ability to successfully negotiate favorable terms with our suppliers, manage supplier performance, execute cost reduction strategies, hire and retain skilled production and management personnel, execute quality and manufacturing processes, and manage program schedule delays or adjust to higher rate schedules, among other risks, will determine the ultimate performance of these programs.

B787 Program

As we move into a higher production rate on this program, our performance at the current contracted price depends on our continued ability to achieve cost reductions in manufacturing and support labor as well as supply chain. During the first and second quarters of 2013, we experienced production inefficiencies at our Tulsa facility as we transitioned the B787-9 derivative into production, which drove forward losses of \$37.3 million on the B787 wing program. Additionally in the fourth quarter of 2013, we revised our estimates of the amount of near-term achievable cost reductions for the B787 program based on cost savings ideas generated, the maturity of those ideas, and the expected realization for the program. This change in cost savings estimates drove a forward loss in the fourth quarter of \$384.7 million. Total forward losses for the program were \$422.0 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013.

Continuous improvement in our cost structure has been on-going since the beginning of the program as design engineering for both the B787-8 and B787-9 derivatives finalized and manufacturing plans solidified. Near term cost improvement efforts will focus on efficiency gains within our manufacturing process and execution of sourcing strategies.

We have not yet established pricing for the B787-9, B787-10 or any future derivatives. The B787 Supply Agreement provides that initial prices for the B787-9 and B787-10 are to be determined by a procedure set out in the B787 Supply Agreement, and to be documented by amendment once that amendment has been agreed to by the parties. The parties have engaged in discussions concerning how to determine initial B787-9 and B787-10 pricing, and have not yet reached agreement. Our ability to successfully negotiate fair and equitable prices for these models as well as overall B787 delivery volumes and our ability to achieve forecasted cost improvements on all B787 models are key factors in achieving the projected financial performance for this program.

G280 and G650 Programs

The Gulfstream G650 and G280 programs face near term risks that includes our ability to execute our contractual work statement, achieve supply chain cost reductions, and successfully perform to manufacturing plans and delivery schedules. Business jet market fluctuations caused by changing customer preferences for business aircraft, including the effect of global economic conditions on the business aircraft market, also present risk to these programs. The G650 program has significant near term risk as we work with our customer to resolve certain commercial issues related to Gulfstream's contention that delivered units failed to meet schedule and weight requirements.

Supply Chain Cost Reductions G280 and G650

Our 2012 cost estimates at completion for the Gulfstream G280 and G650 programs included significant cost reductions primarily related to sourcing opportunities projected to be realized between 2015 and 2018. These sourcing opportunities and related savings amounts were based on the experience of the supply chain team and operational management. During the second quarter of 2013, the supply chain team and operational management determined that a substantial portion of the total cost savings included in the contract estimates for each program would not be realized. This determination was based on a number of changing conditions and new developments including, an assessment of our actual experience with our customers regarding their receptiveness to proposed changes, completion of a detailed part analyses as part of our effort to project future sourcing costs, and our inability to achieve estimated supplier price reductions via negotiations with suppliers.

Table of Contents

Labor Estimates Tulsa Facility

The labor cost forecasts within the contract estimates for the G280, G650 and B787 are based on certain assumptions, including the level of disruption expected in the future. In our contract estimates through the first quarter of 2013, we assumed that certain disruptions to the manufacturing line caused by (i) supplier quality issues and late deliveries, (ii) customer inspections occurring in our facilities and (iii) our own manufacturing quality issues would be resolved by the middle of 2013. During the second and fourth quarters of 2013, key performance dates were missed and we extended the expected period of time during which these issues would be resolved in our assumptions for our contract estimates. As a result, we experienced higher actual costs as well as significant increases to forecasted costs, resulting in additional forward losses recognized on all of these programs in the second and fourth quarters of 2013.

Contractual Items G650

As we worked with Gulfstream to meet its production demand, we negotiated a temporary transfer of a portion of our work scope to Gulfstream for completion. In the second quarter of 2013, due to the effect of continued production challenges on our forecasted ability to achieve scheduled deliveries, we changed our assumptions to extend the duration of the work transfer and updated our estimates regarding this temporarily transferred work scope which is accounted for as a reduction in forecasted revenue. As described in more detail in Note 22, "Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees," we instituted a demand for arbitration against Gulfstream to resolve certain contractual disputes primarily related to engineering changes made by Gulfstream and the impact of those changes to weight and delivery schedules as well as for incomplete payments to Spirit. We continually assess these contractual items and adjust our estimates as appropriate each quarter. Changes in these particular estimates resulted in additional forward losses recognized on the G650 in the second quarter of 2013.

General Statement Regarding New and Maturing Programs

In order to continue to reduce risk on our new and maturing programs, it will be critical that we successfully perform under revised design and manufacturing plans, achieve forecasted cost reductions as we enter increasing levels of production, meet customer delivery schedules and successfully resolve claims, assertions and pricing negotiations with our customers and suppliers.

Additionally, we face risks related to the announcement on August 6, 2013 of our initiation of a process to divest our Oklahoma facilities, which we may ultimately decide to sell separate portions and/or programs to different buyers, or retain the facilities in their entirety. We have a concentration of maturing programs, including the G650, G280 and B787 wing programs, at these facilities and a divestiture of these facilities may have a material financial impact in the period in which the divestiture becomes probable.

Basis of Presentation

The financial statements include Spirit's financial statements and the financial statements of its majority-owned subsidiaries and have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Investments in business entities in which we do not have control, but have the ability to exercise influence over operating and financial policies, are accounted for by the equity method. Kansas Industrial Energy Supply Company ("KIESC"), a tenancy-in-common with other Wichita companies established to purchase natural gas, is fully consolidated as Spirit owns 77.8% of the entity's equity. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. The Company's U.K. subsidiary uses local currency, the British pound, as its functional currency; the Malaysian subsidiary uses the British pound and our Singapore subsidiary uses the Singapore dollar. All other foreign subsidiaries and branches use the U.S. dollar as their functional currency.

As part of the monthly consolidation process, the functional currency is translated to U.S. dollars using the end-of-month currency translation rate for balance sheet accounts and average period currency translation rates for revenue and income accounts as defined by FASB authoritative guidance on foreign currency translation.

Table of Contents

Critical Accounting Policies

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to inventory, income taxes, financing obligations, warranties, pensions and other post-retirement benefits and contingencies and litigation. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Management believes that the quality and reasonableness of our most critical policies enable the fair presentation of our financial position and results of operations. However, the sensitivity of financial statements to these methods, assumptions and estimates could create materially different results under different conditions or using different assumptions.

The following are our most critical accounting policies, which are those that require management's most subjective and complex judgments, requiring the use of estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain and may change in subsequent periods.

Revenues and Profit Recognition

A significant portion of the Company's revenues are recognized under long-term, volume-based pricing contracts, requiring delivery of products over several years. The Company recognizes revenue under the contract method of accounting and records sales and profits on each contract in accordance with the percentage-of-completion method of accounting, primarily using the units-of-delivery method. The units-of-delivery method recognizes as revenue the contract price of units of a basic production product delivered during a period and as the cost of earned revenue the costs allocable to the delivered units; costs allocable to undelivered units are reported in the balance sheet as inventory. The method is used in circumstances in which an entity produces units of a basic product under production-type contracts in a continuous or sequential production process to buyers' specifications. Recurring long-term production contracts are usually divided into contract blocks for this purpose, with each block treated as a separate contract for "units-of-delivery" production-type contract accounting purposes.

The total quantity of production units to be delivered under a contract may be set as a single contract accounting block, or it can be split into multiple blocks. Unless the life of the contract is so long that it prevents reliable estimates, the entire contract quantity will typically be set as the contract accounting block quantity. "Life of program" or "requirements based" contracts often lead to continuing sales of more than twenty years. Since this is much longer than can be reliably estimated, we use parameters based on the contract facts and circumstances to determine the length of the contract block. This analysis includes: considering the customer's firm orders, internal assessment of the market, reliabilities of cost estimates, potential segmentation of non-recurring elements of the contract, and other factors. Contract block sizes may also be determined based on certain contractual terms such as pricing renegotiation dates such that certain contract blocks may use an approximate date instead of a defined unit quantity in order to increase the ability to estimate accurately given that the renegotiated pricing is unknown for the planning block. Shorter contract blocks for mature, ongoing programs are common due to the presence of recent cost history and probable forecast accuracy. Mature program contract blocks tend to be approximately two years in length. Initial contract blocks often require a longer time period and greater number of units in order to take into account the higher cost of early units due to a steeper experience curve and pre-production design costs. Initial contract blocks on new programs can extend up to ten years or longer. As these programs mature and efficiencies are realized, subsequent contract block length shortens to take into account the steady state of the continuing production.

Revenues from non-recurring design work are recognized based on substantive milestones or use of the cost to cost method, that are indicative of our progress toward completion depending on facts and

Table of Contents

circumstances. We follow the requirements of FASB authoritative guidance on accounting for the performance of construction-type and certain production-type contracts (the contract method of accounting), using the cumulative catch-up method in accounting for revisions in estimates. Under the cumulative catch-up method, the impacts of revisions in estimates are recognized immediately when changes in estimated contract profitability become known.

A profit rate is estimated based on the difference between total revenues and total costs over a contract block. Total revenues at any given time include actual historical revenues up to that time plus future estimated revenues. Total costs at any given time include actual historical costs up to that time plus future estimated costs. Estimated revenues include negotiated or expected values for units delivered, estimates of probable recoveries asserted against the customer for changes in specifications, price adjustments for contract and volume changes, escalation and assumed but currently unnegotiated price increases for derivative models. Costs include the estimated cost of certain pre-production effort (including non-recurring engineering and planning subsequent to completion of final design) plus the estimated cost of manufacturing a specified number of production units. Estimates take into account assumptions relative to future labor performance and rates, and projections relative to material and overhead costs including expected "learning curve" cost reductions over the term of the contract. Estimated revenues and costs also take into account the expected impact of specific contingencies that we believe are probable.

Estimates of revenues and costs for our contract blocks span a period of multiple years and are based on a substantial number of underlying assumptions. We believe that the underlying assumptions are sufficiently reliable to provide a reasonable estimate of the profit to be generated. However, due to the significant length of time over which revenue streams will be generated, the variability of the revenue and cost streams can be significant if the assumptions change. Estimates of profit margins for contract accounting blocks are typically reviewed on a quarterly basis. Assuming the initial estimates of sales and costs under the contract block are accurate, the percentage-of-completion method results in the profit margin being recorded evenly as revenue is recognized under the contract block. Changes in these underlying estimates due to revisions in sales and cost estimates may result in profit margins being recognized unevenly over a contract block as such changes are accounted for on a cumulative basis in the period estimates are revised, which we refer to as cumulative catch-up adjustments. When the current estimates of total contract revenue and total contract cost indicate a loss, a provision for the entire loss on the contract, known as a forward loss charge, is recorded to cost of sales in the period in which it becomes evident.

For revenues not recognized under the contract method of accounting, the Company recognizes revenues from the sale of products at the point of passage of title, which is generally at the time of shipment. Shipping and handling costs are included in cost of sales. Revenues earned from providing maintenance services including any contracted research and development are recognized when the service is complete or other contractual milestones are attained.

Under an agreement with Airbus, beginning in 2012 certain payments that are also accounted for as consideration given by a vendor to a customer have been amortized as a reduction to net revenues.

A significant portion of the Company's future revenues is expected to be derived from new or maturing programs. There are several risks inherent to such programs. In the design and engineering phase, we may incur costs in excess of our forecasts due to several factors, including cost overruns, customer directed change orders and delays in the overall program. We may also incur higher than expected recurring production costs, which may be caused by a variety of factors, including the future impact of engineering changes (or other change orders) or our inability to secure contracts with our suppliers at projected cost levels. Our ability to recover these excess costs from the customer will depend on several factors, including our rights under our contracts for such programs. In determining our profits and losses in accordance with the percentage-of-completion method of contract accounting, we are required to make significant assumptions regarding our future costs and revenues, as well as the estimated number of units to be manufactured under the contract and other variables. We continually review and update our assumptions based on market trends and our most recent experience. If we make material

Table of Contents

changes to our assumptions, such as a reduction in the estimated number of units to be produced under the contract (which could be caused by emerging market trends or other factors), an increase in future production costs or a change in the recoverability of increased design or production costs, we may experience negative cumulative catch-up adjustments related to revenues previously recognized. In some cases, we may recognize forward loss amounts. For a broader description of the various types of risks we face related to new and maturing programs, see "Risk Factors – Risk Factors Related to Our Business and Industry."

Inventory

Raw materials are stated at lower of cost (principally on an actual or average cost basis) or market. Inventoried costs attributed to units delivered under long-term contracts are based on the estimated average cost of all units expected to be produced and are determined under the learning curve concept which anticipates a predictable decrease in unit costs as tasks and production techniques become more efficient through repetition. This usually results in an increase in inventory (referred to as "excess-over-average" or "deferred production costs") during the early years of a contract. These costs are deferred only to the extent the amount of actual or expected excess-over-average is reasonably expected to be fully offset by lower-than-average costs in future periods of a contract. If in-process inventory plus estimated costs to complete a specific contract exceed the actual plus anticipated remaining sales value of such contract, such excess is charged to cost of sales in the period the loss becomes known, thus reducing total inventory to estimated realizable value. Costs in inventory include amounts relating to contracts with long production cycles, some of which are not expected to be realized within one year.

The Company reviews its general stock materials and spare parts inventory each quarter to identify impaired inventory, including excess or obsolete inventory, based on historical sales trends and expected production usage. Impaired inventories are written off to work in process in the period identified.

Total inventory includes deferred production costs for the excess of production costs over the estimated average cost per ship set, and credit balances for favorable variances on contracts between actual costs incurred and the estimated average cost per ship set for units delivered under the current production blocks. Recovery of excess over average deferred production costs is dependent on the number of ship sets ultimately sold and the ultimate selling prices and lower production costs associated with future production under these contract blocks. Work-in-process inventory also includes non-recurring production costs. Non-recurring production costs include design and engineering costs and test articles.

Finished goods inventory is stated at its estimated average per unit cost based on all units expected to be produced.

Capitalized pre-production costs include certain contract costs, including applicable overhead, incurred before a product is manufactured on a recurring basis. Significant customer-directed work changes can also cause pre-production costs to be incurred. These costs are typically recovered over a certain number of ship set deliveries.

Income Taxes

Income taxes are accounted for in accordance with FASB authoritative guidance on accounting for income taxes. Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future income tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts for existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Tax rate changes impacting these assets and liabilities are recognized in the period during which the rate change occurs.

A valuation allowance, if needed, reduces deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized. When determining the amount of net deferred tax assets that are more likely than not to be realized, management assesses all available positive and negative evidence. This evidence includes, but is not limited to, prior earnings history, expected future earnings, carry-back and carry-forward periods and the feasibility of ongoing tax strategies that could potentially enhance the likelihood of the realization of a

Table of Contents

deferred tax asset. The weight given to the positive and negative evidence is commensurate with the extent the evidence may be objectively verified. As such, it is generally difficult for positive evidence regarding projected future taxable income exclusive of reversing taxable temporary differences to outweigh objective negative evidence of recent financial reporting losses.

Based on these criteria and the relative weighting of both the positive and negative evidence available, and in particular the activity surrounding the Company's prior earnings history including the forward losses previously recognized in the U.S., Management determined that it was necessary to establish a valuation allowance against nearly all of its net U.S. deferred tax assets at December 31, 2013. This determination was made as the Company entered into a cumulative loss position in recent years once results from the year ended December 31, 2013 were included, the threshold after which there is a presumption that a company should no longer rely solely on projected future income in determining whether the deferred tax asset is more likely than not to be realized. As of December 31, 2013, the total net U.S. deferred tax asset was \$399.6 million. The net U.S. deferred tax asset after recording valuation allowances is \$3.7 million. Valuation allowances recorded against the consolidated net U.S. deferred tax asset in the current year were \$381.0 million. Additionally, the Company maintains a \$14.9 million valuation allowance against separate company state income tax credits and previously recorded other U.S. issues and \$0.6 million for other foreign issues which is an increase of \$5.1 million from the prior year. The Company will continue to monitor its deferred tax position and may adjust the valuation allowance, if necessary, for utilization of the underlying deferred tax assets through current taxable income or as available evidence changes.

We record an income tax expense or benefit based on the net income earned or net loss incurred in each tax jurisdiction and the tax rate applicable to that income or loss. In the ordinary course of business, there are transactions for which the ultimate tax outcome is uncertain. These uncertainties are accounted for in accordance with FASB authoritative guidance on accounting for the uncertainty in income taxes. The final tax outcome for these matters may be different than management's original estimates made in determining the income tax provision. A change to these estimates could impact the effective tax rate and net income or loss in subsequent periods. We use the flow-through accounting method for tax credits. Under this method, tax credits reduce income tax expense.

Pensions and Other Post-Retirement Benefits

We account for pensions and other post-retirement benefits in accordance with FASB authoritative guidance on employers' accounting for pensions, post-retirement benefits other than pensions, defined benefit pension and other post-retirement plans (See Note 16, "Pension and Other Post-Retirement Benefits," for additional detail on these plans).

Assumptions used in determining the benefit obligations and the annual expense for our pension and post-retirement benefits other than pensions are evaluated and established in conjunction with an independent actuary.

We set the discount rate assumption annually for each of our retirement-related benefit plans as of the measurement date, based on a review of projected cash flows and long-term high-quality corporate bond yield curves. The discount rate determined on each measurement date is used to calculate the benefit obligation as of that date, and is also used to calculate the net periodic benefit expense/(income) for the upcoming plan year.

We derive assumed expected rate of return on pension assets from the long-term expected returns based on the investment allocation by class specified in our investment policy. The expected return on plan assets determined on each measurement date is used to calculate the net periodic benefit expense/(income) for the upcoming plan year.

Assumed health care cost trend rates have a significant effect on the amounts reported for the post-retirement health care plans. To determine the health care cost trend rates, we consider national health trends and adjust for our specific plan designs and locations.

Table of Contents**Stock Compensation Plans**

At inception, we adopted FASB authoritative guidance which generally requires companies to measure the cost of employee and non-employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value and to recognize this cost over the requisite service period or immediately if there is no service period or other performance requirements. Stock-based compensation represents a significant accounting policy of ours, which is further described in Note 15, "Debt," within the notes to our consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report.

We have established various stock compensation plans that include restricted share grants and restricted stock units.

New Accounting Standards

For a listing of new accounting standards see Note 2, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies - New Accounting Standards."

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, certain of our operating data:

	Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2013	Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2012	Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2011
	(\$ in millions)		
Net revenues	\$ 5,961.0	\$ 5,397.7	\$ 4,863.8
Cost of sales ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	6,059.5	5,245.3	4,312.1
Selling, general and administrative expenses ⁽⁴⁾	200.8	172.2	159.9
Impact from severe weather event ⁽⁵⁾	30.3	(146.2)	
Research and development	34.7	34.1	35.7
Operating (loss) income	(364.3)	92.3	356.1
Interest expense and financing fee amortization	(70.1)	(82.9)	(77.5)
Interest income	0.3	0.2	0.3
Other income, net	3.3	1.8	1.4
(Loss) income before income taxes and equity in net loss of affiliate	(430.8)	11.4	280.3
Income tax (provision) benefit	(191.1)	24.1	(86.9)
(Loss) income before equity in net loss of affiliate	(621.9)	35.5	193.4
Equity in net income (loss) of affiliate	0.5	(0.7)	(1.0)
Net (loss) income	\$ (621.4)	\$ 34.8	\$ 192.4

(1)

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

The twelve months ended December 31, 2013 are inclusive of forward loss charges of \$422.0 million, \$288.3 million, \$240.9 million, \$111.3 million, \$16.4 million, \$41.1 million and \$13.3 million on the Boeing B787, Gulfstream G650, Gulfstream G280, Airbus A350 XWB, Boeing B767, Boeing B747-8 and Rolls-Royce BR725, respectively. The twelve months ended December 31, 2013 includes charges of \$17.8 million related to reduction in workforce activities, \$38.1 million related to warranty reserve adjustments and \$95.5 million in favorable cumulative catch-up adjustments for periods prior to December 31, 2013.

(2)

The twelve months ended December 31, 2012 are inclusive of forward loss charges of \$184.0 million, \$162.5 million, \$151.0 million, \$118.8 million, \$8.9 million, \$8.0 million and \$11.5 million on the Boeing B787, Gulfstream G650, Rolls-Royce BR725, Gulfstream G280, Airbus A350 XWB wing

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

non-recurring, Boeing B767 and Boeing B747-8 programs, respectively. In addition, the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 includes charges of \$3.6 million related to asset impairments, \$2.2 million related to the disposal of certain assets, \$2.2 million related to stock incentives for certain UAW-represented employees, \$2.1 million for early retirement incentives for eligible employees and \$14.7 million in favorable cumulative catch-up adjustments for periods prior to December 31, 2012. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2012, \$11.0 million was reclassified from segment operating income to unallocated cost of sales to conform to current year presentation.

- (3) Included in 2011 is recognition of previously deferred revenue associated with the B787 Amendment in the second quarter of 2011, a net \$81.8 million forward loss charge recorded for the G280 program, a net \$29.0 million forward loss charge recorded for the Sikorsky CH-53K helicopter program, an \$18.3 million forward loss charge recorded on the B747-8 program and a \$3.0 million forward loss charge recorded on the A350 XWB non-recurring wing program. In addition, 2011 cost of sales includes a \$9.0 million charge to replenish warranty and extraordinary rework reserves, \$1.9 million in early retirement incentives for eligible UAW-represented employees and \$13.8 million in favorable cumulative catch-up adjustments for periods prior to December 31, 2011. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2011, \$6.9 million was reclassified from segment operating income to unallocated cost of sales to conform to current year presentation.
- (4) Includes non-cash stock compensation expenses of \$19.6 million, \$15.3 million and \$11.1 million for the respective periods starting with the twelve months ended December 31, 2013.
- (5) For 2012, gain includes a \$234.9 million insurance settlement amount, offset by \$88.7 million of costs incurred related to the April 14, 2012 severe weather event. Costs include assets impaired by the storm, clean-up costs, repair costs and incremental labor, freight and warehousing costs associated with the impacts of the storm.

Comparative ship set deliveries by model are as follows:

Model	Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2013	Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2012	Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2011
B737	442	417	377
B747	19	24	17
B767	15	25	23
B777	99	86	78
B787	65	43	25
Total Boeing	640	595	520
A320 Family	486	437	403
A330/340	113	97	93
A350	8	3	
A380	34	24	24
Total Airbus	641	561	520
Business/Regional Jets	97	84	49
Total	1,378	1,240	1,089

For purposes of measuring production or ship set deliveries for Boeing aircraft in a given period, the term "ship set" refers to sets of structural fuselage components produced or delivered for one aircraft in such period. For purposes of measuring production or ship set deliveries

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

for Airbus and Business/Regional Jet aircraft in a given period, the term "ship set" refers to all structural aircraft components produced or delivered for one aircraft in such period. Other components which are part of the same aircraft ship sets could be produced or shipped in earlier or later accounting periods than the components used to measure

Table of Contents

production or ship set deliveries, which may result in slight variations in production or delivery quantities of the various ship set components in any given period.

Net revenues by prime customer are as follows:

Prime Customer	Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2013	Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2012	Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2011
Boeing	\$ 5,022.6	\$ 4,533.2	\$ 4,156.7
Airbus	595.1	466.1	497.0
Gulfstream	138.6	165.1	56.5
Sikorsky	14.7	26.2	24.9
Other ⁽¹⁾	190.0	207.1	128.7
Total net revenues	\$ 5,961.0	\$ 5,397.7	\$ 4,863.8

(1) Includes aftermarket sales

Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2013 as Compared to Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2012

Net Revenues. Net revenues for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013 were \$5,961.0 million, an increase of \$563.3 million, or 10%, compared with net revenues of \$5,397.7 million for the prior year. The increase in net revenues in 2013 as compared to 2012 was primarily due to production volume increases on Boeing, Airbus and business jet programs. We recorded approximately \$525.3 million of additional production volume driven by customer delivery schedules and higher production rates, approximately \$22.9 million of additional non-recurring revenue and approximately \$10.1 million of additional aftermarket volume. Non-recurring revenue, which includes design and development efforts, increased during 2013 primarily due to increased efforts on the B737 MAX and A350 XWB non-recurring fuselage, partially offset by lower design and development activities on the B747-8, B787 and Sikorsky non-recurring contracts. Deliveries to Boeing increased by 8% to 640 ship sets during 2013 primarily driven by higher production rates on certain Boeing models, as compared to 595 ship sets delivered in the prior year. Deliveries to Airbus increased by 14% to 641 ship sets during 2013 primarily driven by customer delivery schedules, as compared to 561 ship sets delivered in the prior year. In total, ship set deliveries increased 11% to 1,378 ship sets in 2013 compared to 1,240 ship sets for the same period in the prior year. Approximately 94% of Spirit's net revenues for 2013 came from our two largest customers, Boeing and Airbus.

Pricing terms under our Supply Agreement with Boeing for the B737, B747, B767 and B777 platforms, which accounted for 70% of our net revenue, expired in May 2013, thus activating interim pricing provisions outlined within the terms of the Supply Agreement. We are currently negotiating pricing with Boeing for a period to be agreed upon by both parties. Until we are able to agree upon pricing, revenue is recorded using the pricing terms as of May 2013 and will be retroactively adjusted in the period in which we agree on future pricing terms.

We have not yet established pricing for the B787-9, B787-10 or any future derivatives. In accordance with the B787 Supply Agreement revenue recognized for 2013 B787-9 deliveries was based on interim pricing negotiated with Boeing pending final price negotiations. For B787-9 deliveries in contract block 1, we have applied the appropriate accounting guidance for unpriced change orders in estimating revenues which will also be updated once final pricing is negotiated.

Cost of Sales. Cost of sales as a percentage of net revenues was 102% for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, as compared to 97% in the prior year. The increase in cost of sales of \$814.2 million in 2013 is primarily due to higher production volume and forward loss charges of \$1,133.3 million recognized on several programs including the B787, A350 XWB and Gulfstream programs (see "New and Maturing

Table of Contents

Programs"). Cost of sales for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013 includes charges of \$17.8 million related to reduction in workforce activities and \$38.1 million related to warranty reserve adjustments. These charges were partially offset by a favorable \$95.5 million cumulative catch-up adjustment related to periods prior to 2013 driven by productivity and efficiency improvements on core programs as well as a pension related gain of \$17.2 million.

In comparison, for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 we recorded forward loss charges of \$644.7 million. Also included in 2012 was a \$2.2 million charge for UAW share grant awards in accordance with our labor agreement, a \$2.1 million charge for early retirement incentives to eligible employees and other one-time expense reductions, a charge of \$3.6 million as a result of impairment of assets, and a charge of \$2.2 million related to the disposal of certain assets.

SG&A, Research and Development. Combined SG&A and Research and Development costs as a percentage of net revenues was 4% for each of the twelve month periods ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012. SG&A expense increased \$28.6 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, or 17%, primarily due to an increase in executive stock compensation expense and severance amounts of \$11.3 million, an increase in executive benefits of \$7.7 million and an increase in professional service fees of \$5.4 million. Research and development expenses for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013 were up \$0.6 million, or 2% compared to the same period in the prior year.

Impact of Severe Weather Event. Expenses related to the April 2012 severe weather event were \$30.3 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, as compared to a gain of \$146.2 million in 2012. The expenses for 2013 were related to property damage, clean up and continuing recovery costs. In comparison, during the third quarter of 2012, the Company settled the insurance claims resulting from the second quarter 2012 severe weather event and recorded a net \$146.2 million gain to operating income for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012. This settlement resolved all property damage, clean-up and recovery costs related to the severe weather event as well as all expenses incurred to make up for the interruption of production and to reduce further disruptions.

Operating Income (Loss). Operating loss for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013 was (\$364.3) million, which was \$456.6 million lower than operating income of \$92.3 million for the prior year. Operating income in 2013 was unfavorably impacted by forward loss charges of \$1,133.3 million, \$17.8 million related to reduction in workforce activities and \$38.1 million related to warranty reserve adjustments, as compared to charges in prior year of \$644.7 million of forward loss, \$2.2 million for UAW share grant awards in accordance with our labor agreement, \$2.1 million for early retirement incentives to eligible employees and other one-time expense reductions, \$3.6 million as a result of impairment of assets, and \$2.2 million related to the disposal of certain assets. This was partially offset by higher overall production volumes and aftermarket volumes and a favorable cumulative catch-up adjustment of \$95.5 million in 2013.

Interest Expense and Financing Fee Amortization. Interest expense and financing fee amortization for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013 includes \$63.4 million of interest and fees paid or accrued in connection with long-term debt and \$6.7 million in amortization of deferred financing costs, as compared to \$68.3 million of interest and fees paid or accrued in connection with long-term debt and \$14.6 million in amortization of deferred financing costs in the prior year. The change in amortization of deferred financing costs was primarily driven by the write down in 2012 of \$9.5 million in deferred financing fees as a result of debt extinguishment from the April 18, 2012 term loan refinancing.

Interest Income. Interest income for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013 was \$0.3 million compared to \$0.2 million for the same period in the prior year.

Other Income, net. Other income, net for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013 was a net gain of \$3.3 million, primarily due to gains on foreign exchange rates on intercompany activity and borrowings, offset by a \$0.7 million book loss on the sale of Spirit's shares in the Spirit-Progresstech joint venture, compared to income of \$1.8 million for the same period in the prior year.

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Provision for Income Taxes. The income tax provision for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, was \$191.1 million compared to \$(24.1) million for the prior year. The 2013 effective tax rate was (44.4)% as compared to (211.4)% for 2012. The difference in the effective tax rate recorded for 2013 as compared to 2012 is primarily related to establishing a valuation allowance on the U.S. deferred tax assets, increased losses in the U.S. and the extension of the U.S. Research Tax Credit on January 2, 2013. The decrease from the U.S. statutory tax rate is primarily attributable to the same factors.

Segments. The following table shows segment revenues and operating income for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

	Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2013	Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2012	Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2011
	(\$ in millions)		
Segment Revenues			
Fuselage Systems ⁽¹⁾	\$ 2,861.1	\$ 2,590.6	\$ 2,425.0
Propulsion Systems	1,581.3	1,420.9	1,221.5
Wing Systems ⁽¹⁾	1,502.5	1,375.1	1,207.8
All Other	16.1	11.1	9.5
	\$ 5,961.0	\$ 5,397.7	\$ 4,863.8
Segment Operating Income			
Fuselage Systems ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	\$ 70.1	\$ 387.2	\$ 318.5
Propulsion Systems ⁽³⁾	235.8	64.7	194.1
Wing Systems ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾	(414.0)	(339.1)	0.5
All Other	4.4	1.0	1.3
	(103.7)	113.8	514.4
Unallocated corporate SG&A	(181.5)	(155.3)	(145.5)
Unallocated impact from severe weather event ⁽⁵⁾	(30.3)	146.2	
Unallocated research and development	(8.9)	(4.4)	(1.9)
Unallocated cost of sales ⁽⁶⁾	(39.9)	(8.0)	(10.9)
Total operating income	\$ (364.3)	\$ 92.3	\$ 356.1

(1) For 2011, includes recognition of deferred revenue, non-recurring revenue on B787-9 derivative and mission improvement, and pricing adjustments on prior and current-year deliveries all associated with the B787 Amendment, which was finalized in May 2011.

(2) For 2013, includes forward loss charges of \$489.6 million, which includes \$41.1 million for the B747-8 program, \$4.1 million for the B767 program, \$333.1 million for the B787 program and \$111.3 million for the A350 program. Also includes favorable cumulative catch-up adjustments of \$60.1 million for periods prior to 2013. For 2012, includes a forward loss charge of \$6.4 million for the B747-8 program and unfavorable cumulative catch-up adjustments for periods prior to 2012 of (\$2.4) million. For 2011, includes a

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

\$29.0 million forward loss charge recorded for the Sikorsky CH-53K helicopter program and a \$12.6 million forward loss charge for the B747-8 program.

(3)

For 2013, includes forward loss charges of \$56.2 million, which includes \$12.3 million for the B767 program, \$30.6 million for the B787 program and \$13.3 million for the Rolls-Royce BR725 program. For 2013, also includes favorable cumulative catch-up adjustments of \$30.0 million for periods prior to 2013. For 2012, includes forward loss charges of \$151.0 million recorded for the Rolls-Royce BR725 program and \$8.0 million on our B767 program. For 2012, also includes favorable cumulative catch-up adjustments of \$7.3 million for periods prior to 2012.

Table of Contents

- (4) For 2013, includes forward loss charges of \$ 587.5 million, which includes \$58.3 million for the B787 program, \$240.9 million for the G280 program and \$288.3 million for the G650 program. For 2013, also includes favorable cumulative catch-up adjustments of \$5.4 million for periods prior to 2013. For 2012, includes forward loss charges of \$184.0 million for the B787 wing program, \$162.5 million for the G650 wing program, \$118.8 million for the G280 wing program, \$8.9 million for the A350 XWB non-recurring wing contract, and \$5.1 million for the B747-8 wing program. For 2012, also includes favorable cumulative catch-up adjustments of \$9.8 million for periods prior to 2012. For 2011, includes an \$81.8 million forward loss charge recorded for the G280 wing program, a \$5.7 million forward loss charge for the B747-8 program and a \$3.0 million forward loss on the A350 XWB non-recurring wing contract.
- (5) For 2012, gain includes a \$234.9 million insurance settlement amount, offset by \$88.7 million of costs incurred related to the April 14, 2012 severe weather event. Costs include assets impaired by the severe weather event, clean-up costs, repair costs and incremental labor, freight and warehousing costs associated with the impacts of the severe weather event.
- (6) For 2013, includes charges of \$38.1 million related to warranty reserve adjustments, \$17.8 million related to reduction in workforce activities, \$1.6 million in early retirement incentives, \$15.4 million in gains related to pension activity and \$2.2 million in gains primarily related to adjustments to intangible assets. For 2012, includes charges of \$3.6 million related to asset impairments, \$2.2 million related to stock incentives for certain UAW-represented employees and \$2.1 million in early retirement incentives to eligible employees. For 2011, includes charges of \$9.0 million due to a change in estimate to increase warranty and extraordinary rework reserves and \$1.9 million in early retirement incentives elected by eligible UAW-represented employees.

Fuselage Systems, Propulsion Systems, Wing Systems and All Other represented approximately 48%, 27%, 25% and less than 1%, respectively, of our net revenues for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013.

Fuselage Systems. Fuselage Systems segment net revenues for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013 were \$2,861.1 million, an increase of \$270.5 million, or 10.4%, compared to the same period in the prior year. The increase in net revenues was primarily due to increased production rates on several Boeing models as well as higher deliveries for the A350 XWB program driven by customer delivery schedule. In addition, non-recurring net revenue, which includes design and development efforts, increased during 2013 on the B737 MAX and A350 XWB non-recurring fuselage, partially offset by reduced design and developmental activities on the B747-8, B787 and our Sikorsky non-recurring contracts. Fuselage Systems posted segment operating margins of 2.5% for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, down from 15% for the same period in the prior year. Reduced segment operating margins were primarily driven by forward loss charges of \$41.1 million recorded on the B747-8 program, \$4.1 million on the B767 program, \$333.1 million on the B787 program and \$111.3 million on the A350 XWB program. This was partially offset by favorable cumulative catch-up adjustments of \$60.1 million related to periods prior to 2013 driven by productivity and efficiency improvements on core programs. In comparison, in the same period of 2012, we recorded a forward loss of \$6.4 million for the B747-8 program, a charge of \$2.2 million related to the disposal of certain assets and an unfavorable cumulative catch-up adjustment of \$2.4 million related to periods prior to 2012.

Propulsion Systems. Propulsion Systems segment net revenues for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013 were \$1,581.3 million, an increase of \$160.4 million, or 11.3%, compared to the same period in the prior year. The increase in net revenues was primarily due to higher production rates on several Boeing models, and increased non-recurring revenue on the B737 MAX. Propulsion Systems posted segment operating margins of 14.9% for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, up from 5% segment operating margins for the same period in the prior year. Improved segment operating margins were primarily due to lower forward loss charges recorded in 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. In 2013, the segment recorded an aggregate forward loss charge of \$13.3 million on the Rolls-Royce BR725

Table of Contents

program, \$12.3 million on the B767 program and \$30.6 million recognized on the B787 program. These charges were partially offset by favorable cumulative catch-up adjustments related to periods prior to 2013 of \$30.0 million driven by productivity and efficiency improvements on core programs. In comparison, in the same period of 2012, the segment recognized forward loss charges of \$151.0 million recognized on the Rolls-Royce BR725 program and \$8.0 million recognized on the B767 program, partially offset by favorable cumulative catch-up adjustments of \$7.3 million related to periods prior to 2012.

Wing Systems. Wing Systems segment net revenues for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013 were \$1,502.5 million, an increase of \$127.4 million, or 9.3%, compared to the same period in the prior year. The increase in net revenues was primarily driven by higher production rates on several Boeing models and higher deliveries on our Airbus programs, partially offset by lower revenue on our Gulfstream G280 program as well as lower levels of non-recurring revenue on the Gulfstream G650 program compared to the prior year. Wing Systems posted segment operating margins of (28)% for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, down from segment operating margins of (25)% for the same period in the previous year. Lower segment operating margins were due to forward loss charges of \$58.3 million on the B787, \$288.3 million on the G650 and \$240.9 million on the G280 program. In addition, the segment recorded favorable cumulative catch-up adjustments of \$5.4 million for periods prior to 2013 driven by productivity and efficiency improvements on core programs. In comparison, for 2012, the segment recorded forward loss charges of \$184.0 million on the B787 program, \$162.5 million on the G650 program, \$118.8 million on the G280 program, \$8.9 million on the A350 XWB non-recurring program and \$5.1 million on the B747-8 program, partially offset by favorable cumulative catch-up adjustments of \$9.8 million related to periods prior to 2012.

All Other. All Other segment net revenues consist of sundry sales of miscellaneous services, tooling contracts and revenues from KIESC. In the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, All Other segment net revenues were \$16.1 million, an increase of \$5.0 million, as compared to the same period in the prior year. The All Other segment recorded 27% operating margins for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, up from segment operating margins of 9% for the same period in the prior year driven by increased tooling sales.

We are evaluating the potential realignment of our reportable segments as part of our 2014 business strategy. The reportable segment amounts and discussions reflected in this Annual Report reflect the management reporting that existed through the end of our 2013 fiscal year.

Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2012 as Compared to Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2011

Net Revenues. Net revenues for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 were \$5,397.7 million, an increase of \$533.9 million, or 11%, compared with net revenues of \$4,863.8 million for the prior year. The increase in net revenues in 2012 as compared to 2011 was primarily due to production volume increases on Boeing and business jet programs. The 2011 amount included the recognition of previously deferred revenue resulting from the B787 Amendment, which was finalized in May 2011. In addition, we recorded approximately \$473.6 million of higher production volume driven by customer delivery schedules, approximately \$38.2 million of additional aftermarket volume, and increases of approximately \$10.8 million in non-recurring revenue. Non-recurring revenues, which includes design and development efforts, increased during 2012 primarily due to increased efforts on the B737, B767, and B787, partially offset by a reduction in A350 XWB non-recurring fuselage efforts. Deliveries to Boeing increased by 14% to 595 ship sets during 2012 compared to 520 ship sets delivered in the prior year, as ship set deliveries increased across all Boeing programs driven by customer delivery schedules. Deliveries to Airbus increased by 8% to 561 ship sets during 2012 compared to 520 ship sets delivered in the prior year due to increased deliveries across all Airbus programs driven by customer delivery schedules. In total, ship set deliveries increased 14% to 1,240 ship sets in 2012 compared to 1,089 ship sets for the same period in the prior year. Approximately 93% of Spirit's net revenues for 2012 came from our two largest customers, Boeing and Airbus.

Table of Contents

Cost of Sales. Cost of sales as a percentage of net revenues was 97% for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012, as compared to 89% in the prior year. The increase in cost of sales of \$933.2 million in 2012 was primarily due to an increase in production rates, a net \$184.0 million forward loss charge on our B787 wing program, a \$162.5 million forward loss charge on our G650 wing program, a \$151.0 million forward loss charge on our BR725 program, a \$118.8 million forward loss charge on our G280 wing program, an \$8.9 million forward loss charge on our A350 wing program, a \$6.4 million forward loss charge on our B747-8 fuselage program, a \$5.1 million forward loss charge on our B747-8 wing program, an \$8.0 million forward loss charge on our B767 propulsion program, a \$2.2 million charge for UAW share grant awards in accordance with our labor agreement, a \$2.1 million charge for early retirement incentives to eligible employees and other one-time expense reductions, a charge of \$3.6 million as a result of impairment of assets, and a charge of \$2.2 million related to the disposal of certain assets. In addition, we continued to record zero margins on the B787 program, for which deliveries have increased during the year. In 2012, we recorded a favorable \$14.7 million cumulative catch-up adjustment related to periods prior to 2012 driven by productivity and efficiency on core programs.

In comparison, in the same period of 2011, we recorded a net \$81.8 million forward loss charge on our G280 wing program, a net \$29.0 million forward loss charge on our Sikorsky CH-53K helicopter program, an \$18.3 million forward loss charge on our B747-8 program, a \$9.0 million charge to replenish warranty and extraordinary rework reserves, a \$3.0 million forward loss charge on our A350 XWB non-recurring wing contract and a \$1.9 million charge for early retirement incentives for eligible UAW-represented employees. In 2011, we recorded a favorable \$13.8 million cumulative catch-up adjustment related to periods prior to 2011 driven by productivity improvements, recognition of favorable performance as we closed out B737 and B777 contract blocks in the fourth quarter, and a lower forecast for our short-term incentive accrual, partially offset by increasing material costs in our Wing Systems segment.

SG&A, Research and Development. Combined SG&A and Research and Development costs as a percentage of net revenues was 4% for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012, compared to 4% in the prior year. SG&A expense increased \$12.3 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012, or 8%, primarily due to an increase in stock compensation expense of \$4.2 million to \$15.3 million related to grants awarded in 2012, as compared to \$11.1 million during the prior year and an increase in professional services in the last half of 2012. Research and development expenses for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 were down \$1.6 million, or 4% compared to the same period in the prior year, as we had fewer research and development projects underway in 2012 versus 2011.

Impact of Severe Weather Event. During the third quarter of 2012, the Company settled the insurance claims resulting from the second quarter 2012 severe weather event and recorded a net \$146.2 million gain to operating income for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012. This settlement resolved all property damage, clean-up and recovery costs related to the severe weather event as well as all expenses incurred to make up for the interruption of production and to reduce further disruptions.

Operating Income. Operating income for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 was \$92.3 million, which was \$263.8 million lower than operating income of \$356.1 million for the prior year. Operating income in 2012 was unfavorably impacted by forward loss charges of \$644.7 million (see "Cost of Sales"), a \$3.6 million charge to impairment of assets, a \$2.2 million related to the disposal of certain assets, a \$2.2 million charge for UAW share grant awards in accordance with our labor agreement, and a \$2.1 million charge for early retirement incentives to eligible employees and other one-time expense reductions as compared to \$132.1 million of forward loss charges, a \$9.0 million charge to replenish warranty and extraordinary rework reserves, and a \$1.9 million charge for early retirement incentives recorded in 2011. This was partially offset by higher overall production volumes and aftermarket volumes and a favorable cumulative catch-up of \$14.7 million.

Interest Expense and Financing Fee Amortization. Interest expense and financing fee amortization for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 includes \$68.3 million of interest and fees paid or accrued in connection with long-term debt and \$14.6 million in amortization of deferred financing costs, as compared

Table of Contents

to \$71.9 million of interest and fees paid or accrued in connection with long-term debt and \$5.6 million in amortization of deferred financing costs in the prior year. The change in interest expense associated with long-term debt was primarily driven by lower interest cost from new swaps entered into in the third quarter of 2011, partially offset by interest on the drawn portion of the revolver for a portion of 2012 and the effects of the new term loan entered into during the second quarter of 2012. Amortization of deferred financing costs increased by \$9.0 million dollars in 2012 compared to the previous year primarily due to the write down of \$9.5 million in deferred financing fees as a result of debt extinguishment from the April 18, 2012 term loan refinancing, partially offset by amortization of deferred financing cost over a longer term.

Interest Income. Interest income for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 was \$0.2 million compared to \$0.3 million for the same period in the prior year.

Other Income (Expense, net). Other income (expense) for 2012 included a net gain of \$1.8 million, primarily due to gains on foreign exchange rates on intercompany activity and borrowings, offset by a \$3.0 million write-off of Hawker Beechcraft receivables and a \$4.7 million charge for the impairment of assets, compared to income of \$1.4 million for the same period in the prior year.

Provision for Income Taxes. The income tax provision for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012, was (\$24.1) million compared to \$86.9 million for the prior year. The 2012 effective tax rate was (211.4)% as compared to 31.0% for 2011. The difference in the effective tax rate recorded for 2012 as compared to 2011 is primarily related to reduced earnings and accounting for long term contracts, offset by the expiration of the U.S. Research Tax Credit on December 31, 2012. The decrease from the U.S. statutory tax rate is attributable primarily to reduced earnings, accounting for long term contracts and state income tax credits.

On January 2, 2013, the President signed legislation retroactively extending the U.S. Research Tax Credit for two years, from January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2013. Our income tax expense for 2013 reflected the entire benefit of the Research Tax Credit attributable to 2012, which is estimated at \$5.4 million. We also recorded the benefit of the 2013 Research Tax Credit in our 2013 tax expense.

Fuselage Systems. Fuselage Systems segment net revenues for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 were \$2,590.6 million, an increase of \$165.6 million, or 7%, compared to the same period in the prior year. The increase in net revenues was primarily due to production volume increases in 2012 on Boeing programs. The 2011 amount included the recognition of revenue previously deferred in the second quarter of 2011 associated with the B787 Amendment settlement. In addition, non-recurring net revenue, which includes design and development efforts, increased in 2012 on the B737, B787 and Sikorsky CH-53K, partially offset by reduced design and developmental effort on the A350 XWB non-recurring fuselage program and the settlement of certain claims and assertions in the third quarter of 2012. Fuselage Systems posted segment operating margins of 15% for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012, up from 13% for the same period in the prior year. Improved segment operating margins were primarily driven by higher production volume due to rate increases on several Boeing programs with favorable margins and improved productivity and efficiency performance on our core programs, partially offset by increased zero margin revenue, a forward loss charge of \$6.4 million for the B747-8 program, a charge of \$2.2 million related to the disposal of certain assets and an unfavorable cumulative catch-up adjustment of \$2.4 million related to periods prior to 2012. In comparison, in the same period of 2011, we recorded a forward loss of \$29.0 million on the Sikorsky CH-53K program and a favorable cumulative catch-up adjustment of \$13.6 million related to periods prior to 2011.

Propulsion Systems. Propulsion Systems segment net revenues for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 were \$1,420.9 million, an increase of \$199.4 million, or 16%, compared to the same period in the prior year. The increase in net revenues was primarily driven by higher production volume on Boeing models, increased aftermarket volume and increased non-recurring efforts on the B737 and B787. Propulsion Systems posted segment operating margins of 5% for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012, down from 16% segment operating margins for the same period in the prior year. Reduced segment

Table of Contents

operating margins were due to forward loss charges of \$151.0 million recognized on the Rolls-Royce BR725 program and \$8.0 million recognized on the B767 program, partially offset by a favorable cumulative catch-up adjustment related to periods prior to 2012 of \$7.3 million associated with productivity and efficiency on core programs. In comparison, in the same period of 2011, the segment recognized a \$12.8 million favorable cumulative catch-up adjustment related to periods prior to 2011.

Wing Systems. Wing Systems segment net revenues for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 were \$1,375.1 million, an increase of \$167.3 million, or 14%, compared to the same period in the prior year. The increase in net revenues was primarily driven by higher production volume on Boeing models and increased deliveries on our Gulfstream programs, partially offset by reduced non-recurring revenue on the A350 XWB non-recurring wing program and the settlement of certain claims and assertions in the third quarter of 2012. Wing Systems posted segment operating margins of (25)% for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012, down from segment operating margins of less than 1% for the same period in the previous year. In the twelve months of 2012, the segment recorded forward loss charges of \$184.0 million on the B787 program, \$162.5 million on the G650 program, \$118.8 million on the G280 program, \$8.9 million on the A350 XWB non-recurring program and \$5.1 million on the B747-8 program, partially offset by a favorable cumulative catch-up adjustment related to periods prior to 2012 of \$9.8 million driven in part by productivity and efficiency on core programs. In comparison, during 2011, we recorded an \$81.8 million forward loss charge on our G280 wing contract, a \$5.7 million forward loss charge on our B747-8 program, a \$3.0 million forward loss on our A350 XWB non-recurring wing contract, and an unfavorable cumulative catch-up adjustment of \$12.6 million related to periods prior to 2011.

All Other. All Other segment net revenues consist of sundry sales of miscellaneous services, tooling contracts and revenues from KIESC. In the twelve months ended December 31, 2012, All Other segment net revenues were \$11.1 million, a slight increase of \$1.6 million, as compared to the same period in the prior year. The All Other segment posted operating income before unallocated corporate expenses of less than 1% for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012. The All Other segment recorded 9% operating margins for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012, down from segment operating margins of 14% for the same period in the prior year driven by additional sundry sales with lower margins.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The primary sources of our liquidity include cash on hand, cash flow from operations, which includes receivables from customers and borrowings available under our revolving credit facility. Additionally, we may receive advance payments from customers and proceeds from asset sales. Our liquidity requirements are driven by our long-cycle business model. Our business model is comprised of four to six year non-recurring investment periods, which include design and development efforts, followed by ten to twenty years of recurring production. The non-recurring investment periods require significant outflows of cash as we design the product, build tooling, purchase equipment and build initial production inventories. These activities are typically funded partially through customer advances and milestone payments, which are offset against revenue as production units are delivered in the case of customer advances, or recognized as revenue as milestones are achieved in the case of milestone payments. The remaining funds needed to support non-recurring programs come from predictable cash inflows from our mature programs that are in the recurring phase of the production cycle. Occasionally, we have utilized borrowings and other sources of cash to fund non-recurring investments during periods where cash received from our customers is not adequate to fund our purchase commitments. The non-recurring investment period typically ends concurrently with initial deliveries of completed aircraft by our customers, which indicates that a program has entered into the recurring production phase. When a program reaches steady recurring production, it typically results in long-term generation of cash from operations. As part of our business model, we have continuously added new non-recurring programs, which are supported by mature programs that are in the steady recurring phase of the production cycle to promote growth.

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2013, we had \$420.7 million of cash and cash equivalents on the balance sheet and \$650.0 million of available borrowing capacity under our revolving credit facility. We had no outstanding balances under our revolving credit facility at the end of 2013. Based on our planned levels of operations and our strong liquidity position, we currently expect that our cash on hand, cash flow from operations and borrowings available under our revolving credit facility will be sufficient to fund our operations, inventory growth, planned capital investments, research and development expenditures and scheduled debt service payments for at least the next twelve months.

Cash Flows

The following table provides a summary of our cash flows for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

	For the Twelve Months Ended		
	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
	(\$ in millions)		
Net (loss) income	\$ (621.4)	\$ 34.8	\$ 192.4
Adjustments to reconcile net income	343.0	66.8	154.5
Changes in working capital	539.0	442.8	(394.2)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	260.6	544.4	(47.3)
Net cash (used in) investing activities	(268.2)	(248.8)	(249.2)
Net cash (used in) financing activities	(13.9)	(34.6)	(6.7)
Effect of exchange rate change on cash and cash equivalents	1.5	1.9	(0.6)
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents for the period	(20.0)	262.9	(303.8)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	440.7	177.8	481.6
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 420.7	\$ 440.7	\$ 177.8

Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2013 as Compared to Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2012

Operating Activities. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, we had a net cash inflow of \$260.6 million from operating activities, an inflow decrease of \$283.8 million; compared to a net cash inflow of \$544.4 million for the prior year. The decrease in net cash inflow during 2013 as compared to 2012 was primarily driven by lower cash advances, a 2012 insurance settlement, and lower tax payments (see "Tax" below), partially offset by timing of vendor payments and receivables from customers. In 2012, net cash provided by operating activities was primarily due to the receipt of a \$250.0 million advance from Airbus associated with an agreement on the A350 XWB fuselage program, \$234.9 million of insurance proceeds from our global settlement, offset by \$88.7 million for all property damage, clean-up and recovery costs related to the severe weather event as well as all expenses incurred to make up for the interruption of production and to reduce further disruptions, and by timing of vendor payments and receivables from customers. Also during 2013, we made tax payments of \$69.4 million.

Investing Activities. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, we had a net cash outflow of \$268.2 million from investing activities, an increase in outflow of \$19.4 million, compared to a net cash outflow of \$248.8 million for the prior year. In 2013, capital expenditures were \$272.6 million, and consisted of purchases of tooling and machinery and equipment to support increasing production rates on several Boeing and maturing programs, as well as for replacement of assets destroyed in the April 2012 severe weather event. In comparison, in 2012, capital expenditures were \$249.0 million.

Table of Contents

Financing Activities. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, we had a net cash outflow of \$13.9 million from financing activities, a decrease in outflow of \$20.7 million, compared to a net cash outflow of \$34.6 million for the prior year. The decrease in outflow was primarily due to the 2012 refinancing of our \$559.0 million senior secured credit facilities with new senior secured credit facilities of \$550.0 million, resulting in a prepayment of principal of \$9.0 million in 2012. During 2012, in addition to the prepayment of principal, we incurred financing fees of \$12.4 million and original issue discount of \$2.7 million. Payments on debt for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013 were \$10.4 million, compared to \$11.7 million, excluding refinancing activities, for the same period in the prior year.

Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2012 as Compared to Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2011

Operating Activities. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2012, we had a net cash inflow of \$544.4 million from operating activities, an inflow increase of \$591.7 million, compared to a net cash outflow of \$47.3 million for the same period in the prior year. During 2012, net cash provided by operating activities was primarily due to the receipt of a \$250.0 million advance from Airbus associated with an agreement on the A350 XWB fuselage program, \$234.9 million of insurance proceeds from our global settlement, offset by \$88.7 million for all property damage, clean-up and recovery costs related to the severe weather event as well as all expenses incurred to make up for the interruption of production and to reduce further disruptions, and by timing of vendor payments and receivables from customers. For 2012, our cash flow was favorably impacted by cash receipts from B787 deliveries, which were higher in the current period than 2011 due to higher cash payments beginning in the third quarter of 2011 and continuing into 2012 resulting from contractual reductions in the portion of advances repaid by each ship set delivered. This temporary increase in cash received per unit ended with the delivery of the 100th unit in 2012. Also during 2012, we made federal tax payments of \$97.4 million, which are net of an Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") refund for the 2011 tax year, and payments relating to settling the 2008 and 2009 IRS examinations.

We continued to invest in inventory for new programs and additional production costs for ramp-up activities in support of increasing build rates on several Boeing programs. During 2012, inventory build for new programs, including the B787, A350 XWB and Gulfstream programs, was \$1,571.0 million, an increase of \$768.3 million, compared to the same period in the prior year. Additionally, inventory build for mature Boeing and Airbus programs, including costs associated with announced increasing build rates on several Boeing programs was approximately \$3,754.3 million, an increase of \$541.7 million, compared to the same period in the prior year. These activities were funded through cash flows from operations, including receivables from customers and customer advances. These increases are partially offset by the \$644.7 million in forward loss charges recorded in 2012 which are reflected as sources of cash as they are recorded as provision within inventory.

The \$47.3 million net cash outflow for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011 was largely the result of approximately \$4.52 billion in expenditures driven by continued growth in inventory to support engineering development and start-up production costs for new programs, including the B787, A350 XWB and Gulfstream programs and for ramp-up activities for increasing build rates on the B737 and B777 programs, partially offset by cash receipts of approximately \$4.47 billion driven by revenue from unit deliveries, which increased across all Boeing and Airbus models and aftermarket volume.

Investing Activities. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2012, we had a net cash outflow of \$248.8 million from investing activities, a decrease in outflow of \$0.4 million compared to a net cash outflow of \$249.2 million for the same period in the prior year. In 2012, capital expenditures were \$249.0 million, and consisted of purchases of tooling and machinery and equipment to prepare for the manufacturing of our developmental programs, to support increasing production rates on several Boeing programs and for replacement of assets destroyed in the severe weather event. In comparison, in 2011, capital expenditures were \$249.7 million.

Table of Contents

Financing Activities. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2012, we had a net cash outflow of \$34.6 million from financing activities, an increase in outflow of \$27.9 million, compared to a net cash outflow of \$6.7 million for the same period in the prior year. The increase in outflow was primarily due to the refinancing of our \$559.0 million senior secured credit facilities with new senior secured credit facilities of \$550.0 million, resulting in a prepayment of principal of \$9.0 million. In addition to the prepayment of principal, we incurred financing fees of \$12.4 million and original issue discount of \$2.7 million. Payments on debt other than the refinancing activity were \$11.7 million, compared to \$8.0 million for the same period in the prior year. During the first half of 2012, we drew down and repaid \$170.0 million from our revolver.

Future Cash Needs and Capital Spending

Our primary future cash needs will consist of working capital, debt service, research and development and capital expenditures. We expend significant capital as we undertake new programs, which begin in the non-recurring investment phase of our business model. In addition, we expend significant capital to meet increased production rates on certain mature programs, including the B737. We also require capital to develop new technologies for the next generation of aircraft and are evaluating various plans to relieve capacity constraints for the announced customer production rate increases. Capital expenditures totaled approximately \$272.6 million and \$249.0 million, partially offset by \$12.9 million in insurance proceeds from the severe weather event for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. Excluding the impact of the severe weather event, capital expenditures totaled approximately \$234.2 million and \$236.1 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. We plan to fund future capital expenditures and cash requirements from cash on hand, cash generated by operations, customer cash advances, insurance proceeds; borrowings available under our revolving credit facility and proceeds from assets sales, if any.

EAC Changes in Estimates. As described in more detail in the New and Maturing Programs discussion, the Company significantly increased its estimates regarding future production costs on several of its maturing programs in 2013. The majority of the \$1,133.3 million of forward loss charges represent future cash expenditures which increase our projected future cash needs from previous estimates. These charges cover production blocks that are currently estimated to be completed at various dates between now and 2018.

Pension and Other Post-Retirement Benefit Obligations

Our U.S. pension plan remained fully funded at December 31, 2013 and we anticipate non-cash pension income for 2014 to remain at or near the same level as 2013. Our plan investments are broadly diversified and we do not anticipate a near-term requirement to make cash contributions to our U.S. pension plan. Effective December 31, 2013, the BAE Aerostructures pension plan benefits were frozen due to an amendment which closed the plan. Our projected contributions to the U.K. pension plan for 2014 are \$0.7 million. See Note 16, "Pension and Other Post-Retirement Benefits," for more information on the Company's pension plans.

Debt and Other Financing Arrangements

Senior Secured Credit Facilities. On April 18, 2012, Spirit entered into a \$1.2 billion senior secured Credit Agreement (the "Credit Agreement") consisting of a \$650.0 million revolving credit facility and a \$550.0 million term loan B facility. The Credit Agreement refinanced and replaced the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of November 27, 2006, as amended. Proceeds of the new term loan were used to pay off outstanding amounts under the prior credit agreement. The revolving credit facility matures April 18, 2017 and bears interest, at Spirit's option, at either LIBOR, or a defined "base rate" plus an applicable margin based on Spirit's debt-to-EBITDA ratio (see table below). The term loan matures April 18, 2019 and bears interest, at Spirit's option, at LIBOR plus 3.00% with a LIBOR floor of 0.75% or base rate plus 2.00%, subject to a step down to LIBOR plus 2.75% or base rate plus 1.75%, as

Table of Contents

applicable, in the event Spirit's secured debt-to-EBITDA ratio is below 1:1 at any time after 2012. Substantially all of Spirit's assets, including inventory and property, plant and equipment, were pledged as collateral for both the term loan and the revolving credit facility. As of December 31, 2013, the outstanding balance of the term loan was \$540.4 million. As of December 31, 2012, the outstanding balance of the term loan was \$545.9 million. As of December 31, 2013 the carrying amount of the term loan was \$538.2 million. The amount outstanding under the revolving credit facility was zero at each of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012. In the second quarter of 2012, the Company recorded a charge of \$9.5 million for unamortized deferred financing fees as a result of extinguishment of the debt under the prior credit agreement.

In addition to paying interest on outstanding principal under the Credit Agreement, Spirit is required to pay an unused line fee on the unused portion of the commitments under the revolving credit facility based on Spirit's debt-to-EBITDA ratio (see table below). Spirit is required to pay letter of credit fees equal to the applicable margin for LIBOR rate revolving credit borrowings with respect to letters of credit issued under the revolving credit facility (see table below). Spirit is also required to pay to the issuing banks that issue any letters of credit, letter of credit fronting fees in respect of letters of credit at a rate equal to twenty basis points per year, and to the administrative agent thereunder customary administrative fees.

Pricing Tier	Debt-to-EBITDA Ratio	Commitment Fee	Letter of Credit Fee	Eurodollar Rate Loans	Base Rate Loans
1	≥ 3.0:1.0	0.450%	2.50%	2.50%	1.50%
2	<3.0:1 but ≥2.25:1	0.375%	2.25%	2.25%	1.25%
3	<2.25:1 but ≥1.75:1	0.300%	2.00%	2.00%	1.00%
4	<1.75:1	0.250%	1.75%	1.75%	0.75%

The Credit Agreement contains customary affirmative and negative covenants, including restrictions on indebtedness, liens, type of business, acquisitions, investments, sales or transfers of assets, payments of dividends, transactions with affiliates, change in control and other matters customarily restricted in such agreements. The Credit Agreement also contained the following financial covenants (as defined in the Credit Agreement):

Senior Secured Leverage Ratio	Shall not exceed 2.75:1.0
Interest Coverage Ratio	Shall not be less than 4.0:1.0
Total Leverage Ratio	Shall not exceed 4.0:1.0

To address the forward loss charges that the Company recognized in the third quarter of 2012, the Company amended the Credit Agreement effective October 26, 2012. The amendment resulted in a temporary revision of the quarterly financial covenant ratios and increased the amount of time the Company has to apply the proceeds from the insurance settlement in connection with the severe weather event against expenses resulting from the event from 12 months to 24 months before the proceeds may be considered eligible for prepayment against the senior secured credit facility.

Additionally, to address the forward loss charges that the Company recognized in the second quarter of 2013, the Company amended the Credit Agreement effective August 2, 2013. The amendment suspended the existing financial covenant ratios until December 31, 2014. The amendment requires Spirit to meet certain minimum liquidity and borrowing base requirements while the existing financial covenant ratios are suspended. Among other things, the amendment provides for the following key changes during the suspension period:

The applicable margin for the revolving credit facility component of the senior secured Credit Agreement shall be the applicable percentage per annum set forth in Pricing Tier 1 (see table above), plus one-half of one percent (0.5%).

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The applicable margin for the term loan B credit facility component of the senior secured Credit Agreement shall be 3.00% per annum for Eurodollar Rate Loans and 2.00% per annum for Base Rate Loans.

The Total Secured Outstandings (as defined in the Credit Agreement) shall not exceed the Aggregate Borrowing Base Amount (as defined in the Credit Agreement) Spirit is required to maintain and liquidity is not to be less than \$500.0 million.

In addition, pursuant to the amendment the mandatory application of proceeds from the potential sale of the Oklahoma sites to repay the borrowings under the senior secured Credit Agreement is reduced from 100% to 50%. The Company expects to be in compliance with all required covenants through December 31, 2014.

On February 6, 2014, Moody's Investors Service placed the credit rating of Spirit AeroSystems, Inc. under review for possible downgrade. A downgrade of our credit rating could trigger a prepayment based on the excess cash flow prepayment provision under our term loan depending on our total leverage ratio.

Senior Notes. On November 18, 2010, we issued \$300.0 million aggregate of 6.75% Senior Notes due 2020 (the "2020 Notes"), with interest payable on June 15 and December 15 of each year, beginning June 15, 2011. The 2020 Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally, on a senior unsecured basis by the Company and Spirit's existing and future domestic subsidiaries that guarantee Spirit's obligations under Spirit's senior secured credit facility. The carrying value of the 2020 Notes was \$300.0 million as of December 31, 2013.

On September 30, 2009, we issued \$300.0 million of 7.50% Senior Notes due October 1, 2017 (the "2017 Notes"), with interest payable on April 1 and October 1 of each year, beginning April 1, 2010. The 2017 Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally, on a senior unsecured basis by the Company and Spirit's existing and future domestic subsidiaries that guarantee Spirit's obligations under Spirit's senior secured credit facility. The carrying value of the 2017 Notes was \$296.4 million as of December 31, 2013.

As of December 31, 2013, we were and expect to continue to be in full compliance with all covenants contained in the indentures governing the 2020 Notes and the 2017 Notes through December 31, 2014.

Advances and Deferred Revenue on the B787 Program. On May 12, 2011, Spirit and Boeing entered into the B787 Amendment which, among other things, established a new repayment schedule for advances made by Boeing to Spirit to be repaid against the purchase price of the first 1,000 B787 ship sets delivered to Boeing. In the event Boeing does not take delivery of 1,000 ship sets prior to the termination of the B787 program or the B787 Supply Agreement, any advances not then repaid will be applied against any outstanding payments then due by Boeing to us, and any remaining balance will be repaid in annual installments of \$42.0 million due on December 15th of each year until the advance payments have been fully recovered by Boeing. The B787 Amendment also changed the treatment of advances paid by Boeing for certain non-recurring work into a nonrefundable payment in full for such work. As of December 31, 2013, the amount of advance payments and deferred revenue received by us from Boeing under the B787 Supply Agreement and not yet repaid or recognized as revenue was approximately \$600.2 million.

Advances on the A350 Fuselage Program. In March 2012, we signed a Memorandum of Agreement with Airbus providing for Airbus to make advance payments to us in 2012. The advance payments are offset against the recurring price of A350 XWB ship sets invoiced by Spirit, at a rate of \$1.25 million per ship set. As of December 31, 2013, the amount of advance payments received under this Memorandum of Agreement and not yet repaid was approximately \$243.9 million.

Malaysian Facility Agreement. On June 2, 2008, Spirit Malaysia entered into a Facility Agreement for a term loan facility for Ringgit Malaysia RM69.2 million (approximately USD \$20.0 million equivalent) (the "Malaysia Facility"), with the Malaysian Export-Import Bank. The Malaysia Facility requires quarterly principal repayments of RM3.3 million (approximately USD \$1.0 million equivalent) from September 2011

Table of Contents

through May 2017 and quarterly interest payments payable at a fixed interest rate of 3.50% per annum. The Malaysia Facility loan balance as of December 31, 2013 was \$10.0 million.

French Factory Capital Lease Agreement. On July 17, 2009, the Company's indirect wholly-owned subsidiary, Spirit AeroSystems France SARL entered into a capital lease agreement for €9.0 million (approximately USD \$13.1 million equivalent) with a subsidiary of BNP Paribas Bank to be used towards the construction of our aerospace component assembly plant in Saint-Nazaire, France. Lease payments are variable, subject to the three-month Euribor rate plus 2.2%. Lease payments under the agreement are due quarterly through April 2025. As of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company has \$10.7 million and \$11.0 million, respectively, of debt attributable to the capital lease transaction. We currently assemble center fuselage frame sections and wings for the new Airbus A350 XWB aircraft at the Saint-Nazaire facility.

Nashville Design Center Capital Lease Agreement. On September 21, 2012, the Company entered into a capital lease agreement for \$2.6 million for a portion of an office building in Nashville, Tennessee to be used for design of aerospace components. Lease payments are due monthly, and are subject to yearly rate increases until the end of the lease term of 124 months. As of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Nashville Design Center capital lease balance was \$2.5 million and \$2.6 million, respectively.

Credit Ratings

As of December 31, 2013, our credit ratings were a BB rating and negative outlook by Standard and Poor's, and a Ba2 and negative outlook by Moody Investor Services.

Our credit ratings are reviewed periodically by the rating agencies listed above. On February 6, 2014, Moody's Investors Service placed the credit ratings for Spirit AeroSystems, Inc. under review for possible downgrade.

The credit rating agencies consider many factors when assigning their ratings, such as the global economic environment and its possible impact on our financial performance, including certain financial metrics used by the rating agencies in determining our credit ratings. Accordingly, it is possible the rating agencies could downgrade our credit ratings from their current levels. This could significantly influence the interest rate of any future debt financings.

A debt security credit rating is not a recommendation to buy, sell or hold a security. Each rating is subject to revision or withdrawal at any time by the assigning rating organization. Each rating agency has its own methodology for assigning ratings. Accordingly, each rating should be considered independent of other ratings.

Table of Contents**Contractual Obligations:**

The following table summarizes our contractual cash obligations as of December 31, 2013:

Contractual Obligations ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 and After	Total
Principal payment on term loan	\$ 5.5	\$ 5.5	\$ 5.5	\$ 5.5	\$ 5.5	\$ 512.9	\$	\$ 540.4
Interest on debt ⁽³⁾	20.4	20.2	20.1	19.8	19.6	6.7		106.8
Long-term bonds				300.0			300.0	600.0
Interest on long-term bonds	42.8	42.8	42.8	37.1	20.3	20.3	19.3	225.4
Principal payment on Malaysian term loan	2.8	2.8	2.8	1.4				9.8
Interest on Malaysian loan	0.3	0.3	0.2					0.8
U.K. pension obligation	0.7							0.7
Non-cancelable capital lease payments ⁽⁴⁾	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.1	9.0	15.0
Non-cancelable operating lease payments	20.8	17.3	10.6	5.2	4.9	4.0	10.7	73.5
Other	14.4	4.6	4.5	2.2	0.4	0.4	8.5	35.0
Purchase obligations ⁽⁵⁾	150.5	43.5	16.1	9.5	6.4			226.0
Total	\$ 259.1	\$ 137.9	\$ 103.6	\$ 381.7	\$ 58.2	\$ 545.4	\$ 347.5	\$ 1,833.4

-
- (1) Does not include repayment of \$600.2 million of B787 advances or deferred revenue credits to Boeing, or \$243.9 million of Airbus advances, which are reflected in our consolidated balance sheet as short-term and long-term liabilities. See Note 10, "Advance Payments and Deferred Revenue/Credits."
- (2) The \$18.4 million of unrecognized tax benefit liability for uncertain tax positions has been excluded from this table due to uncertainty involving the ultimate settlement period. See Note 19, "Income Taxes."
- (3) Interest on our Term Loan B was calculated for all years using the three-month LIBOR yield curve as of December 31, 2013 plus applicable margin.
- (4) Treats the financing of software license purchases and direct financing of system implementation as capital leases.
- (5) Purchase obligations represent computing, tooling, and property, plant and equipment commitments as of December 31, 2013.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Other than operating leases disclosed in the notes to our financial statements included in this Annual Report, we have not entered into any off-balance sheet arrangements as of December 31, 2013.

Tax

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

We establish reserves in accordance with FASB authoritative guidance to provide for additional income taxes that may be due in future years as these previously filed tax returns are audited. We recognize the financial statement impact for tax positions only after determining that based on its technical merits the relevant tax authority would more likely than not sustain the position on audit. For tax positions meeting the "more likely than not threshold" the amount recognized in the financial statements is the largest amount that has a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement with the relevant tax authority. The reserves are adjusted quarterly to reflect changes in facts and circumstances, such as the tax audit's progress, case law developments, and new or emerging legislation. We believe that with a \$13.3 million long-term payable, the tax reserves are adequate and reflect the most probable

Table of Contents

outcome for all tax contingencies known at December 31, 2013. Accordingly, the tax contingency liability is included as a non-current liability in our consolidated balance sheet.

A valuation allowance, if needed, reduces deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized. When determining the amount of net deferred tax assets that are more likely than not to be realized, Management assesses all available positive and negative evidence. This evidence includes, but is not limited to, prior earnings history, expected future earnings, carry-back and carry-forward periods and the feasibility of ongoing tax strategies that could potentially enhance the likelihood of the realization of a deferred tax asset. The weight given to the positive and negative evidence is commensurate with the extent the evidence may be objectively verified. As such, it is generally difficult for positive evidence regarding projected future taxable income exclusive of reversing taxable temporary differences to outweigh objective negative evidence of recent financial reporting losses.

The amount of current U.S. and state tax receivables outstanding at December 31, 2013 is \$75.2 million. The Company is evaluating options regarding the monetization of this asset, which include a refund request with the Internal Revenue Service, applying the balance towards our expected U.S. and state tax liabilities in 2014, or a combination of both approaches.

Expected Backlog

As of December 31, 2013, our expected backlog associated with large commercial aircraft, business and regional jet, and military equipment deliveries through 2019, calculated based on contractual product prices and expected delivery volumes, was approximately \$41.1 billion. This is an increase of \$5.8 billion from our corresponding estimate as of the end of 2012 reflecting the fact that Airbus and Boeing new orders exceeded deliveries in 2013. Backlog is calculated based on the number of units Spirit is under contract to produce on our fixed quantity contracts, and Boeing and Airbus announced backlog on our supply agreements. The number of units may be subject to cancellation or delay by the customer prior to shipment, depending on contract terms. The level of unfilled orders at any given date during the year may be materially affected by the timing of our receipt of firm orders and additional airplane orders, and the speed with which those orders are filled. Accordingly, our expected backlog as of December 31, 2013 may not necessarily represent the actual amount of deliveries or sales for any future period.

Foreign Operations

We engage in business in various non-U.S. markets. As of December 31, 2013, we have a foreign subsidiary with one facility in the United Kingdom, which serves as a production facility, a production facility in Malaysia, a worldwide supplier base, and a repair center for the European and Middle-Eastern regions. We purchase certain components and materials that we use in our products from foreign suppliers and a portion of our products will be sold directly to foreign customers, including Airbus, or resold to foreign end-users (i.e., foreign airlines and militaries). In addition, we operate an assembly facility in Saint-Nazaire, France to receive and assemble center fuselage frame sections for the Airbus A350 XWB commercial aircraft from the facility in Kinston, North Carolina before they are shipped to Airbus.

Spirit is party to a joint venture with Hong Kong Aircraft Engineering Company Limited (HAECO), and its subsidiary, Taikoo Aircraft Engineering Company Limited (TAECO), Cathay Pacific Airways Limited, and Cal-Asia to develop and implement a state-of-the-art composite and metal bond component repair station in the Asia-Pacific region. The service center is called Taikoo Spirit AeroSystems Composite Co. Ltd.

Currency fluctuations, tariffs and similar import limitations, price controls and labor regulations can affect our foreign operations. Other potential limitations on our foreign operations include expropriation, nationalization, restrictions on foreign investments or their transfers and additional political and economic risks. In addition, the transfer of funds from foreign operations could be impaired by any restrictive regulations that foreign governments could enact.

Table of Contents

Sales to foreign customers are subject to numerous additional risks, including the impact of foreign government regulations, political uncertainties and differences in business practices. There can be no assurance that foreign governments will not adopt regulations or take other actions that would have a direct or indirect adverse impact on our business or market opportunities with such governments' countries. Furthermore, the political, cultural and economic climate outside the United States may be unfavorable to our operations and growth strategy.

For the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, our net revenues from direct sales to non-U.S. customers were approximately \$806.1 million, or 13%, of total net revenues for the same period. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2012, our net revenues from direct sales to non-U.S. customers were approximately \$785.7 million, or 15%, of total net revenues for the same period. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2011, our net revenues from direct sales to non-U.S. customers were approximately \$653.1 million, or 13%, of total net revenues for the same period.

Inflation

A majority of our sales are conducted pursuant to long-term contracts that set fixed unit prices, some of which provide for price adjustment for inflation. In addition, we typically consider expected inflation in determining proposed pricing when we bid on new work. Although we have attempted to minimize the effect of inflation on our business through these protections, sustained or higher than anticipated increases in costs of labor or materials could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Spirit's contracts with suppliers currently provide for fixed pricing in U.S. dollars. Spirit Europe's supply contracts are denominated in U.S. dollars, British pounds sterling or Euros. In some cases, our supplier arrangements contain inflationary adjustment provisions based on accepted industry indices, and we typically include an inflation component in estimating our supply costs. Although the raw material industry is experiencing a softening in demand, some specific materials have yet to reflect a corresponding reduction in price. We expect that raw material market pricing volatility will remain a factor that may impact our costs, despite protections in our existing supplier arrangements. We will continue to focus our strategic cost reduction plans on mitigating the effects of this potential cost increase on our operations.

Item 7A. *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk*

As a result of our operating and financing activities, we are exposed to various market risks that may affect our consolidated results of operations and financial position. These market risks include fluctuations in interest rates, which impact the amount of interest we must pay on our variable rate debt.

Other than the interest rate swaps described below, financial instruments that potentially subject us to significant concentrations of credit risk consist principally of cash investments, the funds in which our pension assets are invested, and trade accounts receivable.

Accounts receivable include amounts billed and currently due from customers, amounts earned but unbilled, particular estimated contract changes, claims in negotiation that are probable of recovery, and amounts retained by the customer pending arbitration. The amounts retained by the customer include \$135.1 million at December 31, 2013, See Note 22, "Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees." For the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, approximately 84% of our net revenues were from sales to Boeing. Additionally, at December 31, 2013 approximately 29% of our outstanding accounts receivable were due from Gulfstream. We continuously monitor collections and payments from customers and maintain a provision for estimated credit losses as deemed appropriate based upon historical experience and any specific customer collection issues that have been identified. While such credit losses have historically not been material, we cannot guarantee that we will continue to experience the same credit loss rates in the future.

We maintain cash and cash equivalents with various financial institutions and perform periodic evaluations of the relative credit standing of those financial institutions and from time to time we invest

Table of Contents

excess cash in liquid short-term money market funds. We have not experienced any losses in such accounts and believe that we are not exposed to any significant credit risk on cash and cash equivalents. Additionally, we monitor our defined benefit pension plan asset investments on a quarterly basis and we believe that we are not exposed to any significant credit risk in these investments.

Commodity Price Risks

Some raw materials and operating supplies are subject to price and supply fluctuations caused by market dynamics. Our strategic sourcing initiatives are focused on mitigating the impact of commodity price risk. We are party to collective raw material sourcing contracts arranged through Boeing and Airbus. These collective sourcing contracts allow us to obtain raw materials at pre-negotiated rates and help insulate us from market volatility across the industry for certain specialized metallic and composite raw materials used in the aerospace industry. Although our supply agreements with Boeing and Airbus allow us to pass on certain unusual increases in component and raw material costs to Boeing and Airbus in limited situations, we may not be fully compensated for such increased costs. We also have long-term supply agreements with a number of our major parts suppliers. We, as well as our supply base, are experiencing pricing increases for metallic raw materials (primarily aluminum and titanium) despite softening market demand across the industry. Although the demand pressure has been somewhat eased for certain metallic and composite raw materials, the specialized nature of the materials used in the aerospace industry has prevented a corresponding decrease in prices. We generally do not employ forward contracts or other financial instruments to hedge commodity price risk, although we continue to review a full range of business options focused on strategic risk management for all raw material commodities.

Any failure by our suppliers to provide acceptable raw materials, components, kits or subassemblies could adversely affect our production schedules and contract profitability. We assess qualification of suppliers and continually monitor them to control risk associated with such supply base reliance.

To a lesser extent, we also are exposed to fluctuations in the prices of certain utilities and services, such as electricity, natural gas, chemicals and freight. We utilize a range of long-term agreements to minimize procurement expense and supply risk in these areas.

Interest Rate Risks

After the effect of interest rate swaps, as of December 31, 2013, we had \$225.0 million of total fixed rate debt and \$315.4 million of variable rate debt outstanding as compared to \$325.0 million of total fixed rate debt and \$220.9 million of variable rate debt outstanding as of December 31, 2012. Borrowings under our Senior Secured Credit Facility bear interest that varies with LIBOR. Interest rate changes generally do not affect the market value of such debt, but do impact the amount of our interest payments and, therefore, our future earnings and cash flows, assuming other factors are held constant. Assuming other variables remain constant, including levels of indebtedness, a one percentage point increase in interest rates on our variable debt would have an estimated impact on pre-tax earnings and cash flows for the next twelve months of approximately \$3.2 million.

We enter into floating-to-fixed interest rate swap agreements periodically. As of December 31, 2013, the interest swap agreements had notional amounts totaling \$225.0 million.

Notional Amount	Expires	Variable Rate	Fixed Rate ⁽¹⁾	Effective Fixed Rate ⁽²⁾	Fair Value, December 31, 2013
\$ 225	July 2014	1 Month LIBOR	1.37%	N/A	\$ (1.4)

(1) The fixed rate represents the rate at which interest is paid by the Company pursuant to the terms of its interest rate swap agreements.

Table of Contents

(2) As of December 31, 2013 the interest rate swaps are no longer effective and therefore the effective fixed rate is not applicable.

The purpose of entering into these swaps was to reduce our exposure to variable interest rates. In accordance with FASB authoritative guidance the interest rate swaps are being accounted for as cash flow hedges and the fair value of the swap agreements is reported on the balance sheet as an asset, if positive, or a liability, if negative. The fair value of the interest rate swaps was a net liability of approximately (\$1.4) million at December 31, 2013. The Company also considers counterparty credit risk and its own credit risk in its determination of all estimated fair values. The Company has applied these valuation techniques at year end and believes it has obtained the most accurate information available for the types of derivative contracts it holds. The Company attempts to manage exposure to counterparty credit risk by only entering into agreements with major financial institutions which are expected to be able to fully perform under the terms of the agreement. We do not use these contracts for speculative or trading purposes.

Foreign Exchange Risks

As a result of the BAE Acquisition, we have sales, expenses, assets and liabilities that are denominated in British pounds sterling. Spirit Europe's functional currency is the British pound sterling. However, sales of Spirit Europe's products to Boeing and some procurement costs are denominated in U.S. dollars and Euros. As a consequence, movements in exchange rates could cause net sales and our expenses to fluctuate, affecting our profitability and cash flows.

In addition, even when revenues and expenses are matched, we must translate British pound sterling denominated results of operations, assets and liabilities for our foreign subsidiaries to U.S. dollars in our consolidated financial statements. Consequently, increases and decreases in the value of the U.S. dollar as compared to the British pound sterling will affect our reported results of operations and the value of our assets and liabilities on our consolidated balance sheet, even if our results of operations or the value of those assets and liabilities has not changed in its original currency. These transactions could significantly affect the comparability of our results between financial periods and/or result in significant changes to the carrying value of our assets, liabilities and shareholders' equity.

In accordance with FASB authoritative guidance, the intercompany revolving credit facility with Spirit Europe is exposed to fluctuations in foreign exchange rates. The fluctuation in rates for 2013 resulted in a gain of \$2.9 million reflected in other income/expense.

Other than the interest rate swaps and foreign exchange contracts, we have no other derivative financial instruments.

Table of Contents

Item 8. *Financial Statements and Supplementary Data*

**SPIRIT AEROSYSTEMS HOLDINGS, INC.
INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

	Page
Consolidated Financial Statements of Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. for the periods ended December 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011	
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>83</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations</u>	<u>85</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income</u>	<u>86</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	<u>87</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity</u>	<u>88</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	<u>89</u>
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>90</u>

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of operations, of comprehensive income, of shareholders' equity and of cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. and its subsidiaries (the "Company") at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule appearing under Item 15 presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. Also in our opinion, the Company did not maintain, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) because material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting related to the Company's contract accounting estimates for its Airbus A350, Gulfstream G280 and G650 programs existed as of that date. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. The material weaknesses referred to above are described in Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 9A. We considered these material weaknesses in determining the nature, timing, and extent of audit tests applied in our audit of the 2013 consolidated financial statements and our opinion regarding the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting does not affect our opinion on those consolidated financial statements. The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements and financial statement schedule, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in management's report referred to above. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements, on the financial statement schedule, and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention

Table of Contents

or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
Kansas City, Missouri
February 19, 2014

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Operations**

	For the Twelve Months Ended		
	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
	(\$ in millions, except per share data)		
Net Revenues	\$ 5,961.0	\$ 5,397.7	\$ 4,863.8
Operating costs and expenses			
Cost of sales	6,059.5	5,245.3	4,312.1
Selling, general and administrative	200.8	172.2	159.9
Impact from severe weather event	30.3	(146.2)	
Research and development	34.7	34.1	35.7
Total operating costs and expenses	6,325.3	5,305.4	4,507.7
Operating (loss) income	(364.3)	92.3	356.1
Interest expense and financing fee amortization	(70.1)	(82.9)	(77.5)
Interest income	0.3	0.2	0.3
Other income, net	3.3	1.8	1.4
(Loss) income before income taxes and equity in net income (loss) of affiliates	(430.8)	11.4	280.3
Income tax (provision) benefit	(191.1)	24.1	(86.9)
(Loss) income before equity in net income (loss) of affiliates	(621.9)	35.5	193.4
Equity in net income (loss) of affiliates	0.5	(0.7)	(1.0)
Net (loss) income	\$ (621.4)	\$ 34.8	\$ 192.4
(Loss) earnings per share			
Basic	\$ (4.40)	\$ 0.24	\$ 1.36
Diluted	\$ (4.40)	\$ 0.24	\$ 1.35

See notes to consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income**

	For the Twelve Months Ended		
	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
	(\$ in millions)		
Net (loss) income	\$ (621.4)	\$ 34.8	\$ 192.4
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:			
Unrealized (loss) on interest rate swaps, net of tax effect of zero, zero, \$2.4, respectively			(4.2)
Less: reclassification adjustment for loss realized in net income, net of tax effect of zero, \$1.7, \$3.1, respectively		2.9	5.3
Net gain on interest rate swaps		2.9	1.1
Unrealized gain (loss) on foreign currency hedge contracts, net of tax effect of zero, zero, \$0.2, respectively			0.5
Less: reclassification adjustment for loss realized in net income, net of tax effect of zero, zero, \$0.1, respectively		0.1	0.2
Less: reclassification adjustment for loss realized in net other assets, net of tax effect of zero, zero, \$0.4, respectively			0.7
Net gain on foreign currency hedge contracts		0.1	1.4
Pension, SERP, and Retiree medical adjustments, net of tax effect of (\$51.5), \$19.5, \$30.5, respectively	84.8	(30.5)	(52.9)
Unrealized foreign exchange gain on intercompany loan, net of tax effect of (\$0.4), (\$0.8), zero, respectively	1.2	2.2	
Foreign currency translation adjustments	4.6	6.3	(0.5)
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	90.6	(19.0)	(50.9)
Total comprehensive (loss) income	\$ (530.8)	\$ 15.8	\$ 141.5

See notes to consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Consolidated Balance Sheets**

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
	(\$ in millions)	
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 420.7	\$ 440.7
Accounts receivable, net	550.8	420.7
Inventory, net	1,842.6	2,410.8
Deferred tax asset-current	26.9	57.1
Other current assets	103.2	26.1
Total current assets	2,944.2	3,355.4
Property, plant and equipment, net	1,803.3	1,698.5
Pension assets	252.6	78.4
Deferred tax asset-non-current, net		192.0
Other assets	107.1	91.0
Total assets	\$ 5,107.2	\$ 5,415.3
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 753.7	\$ 659.0
Accrued expenses	220.6	216.3
Profit sharing	38.4	28.3
Current portion of long-term debt	16.8	10.3
Advance payments, short-term	133.5	70.7
Deferred revenue, short-term	19.8	18.4
Deferred grant income liability current	8.6	6.9
Other current liabilities	144.2	57.1
Total current liabilities	1,335.6	1,067.0
Long-term debt	1,150.5	1,165.9
Advance payments, long-term	728.9	833.6
Pension/OPEB obligation	69.8	75.6
Deferred grant income liability non-current	108.2	116.6
Deferred revenue and other deferred credits	30.9	30.8
Other liabilities	202.3	128.9
Equity		
Preferred stock, par value \$0.01, 10,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued		
Common stock, Class A par value \$0.01, 200,000,000 shares authorized, 120,946,429 and 119,671,298 shares issued, respectively	1.2	1.2
Common stock, Class B par value \$0.01, 150,000,000 shares authorized, 23,851,694 and 24,025,880 shares issued, respectively	0.2	0.2
Additional paid-in capital	1,025.0	1,012.3
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(54.6)	(145.2)
Retained earnings	508.7	1,127.9

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

Total shareholders' equity	1,480.5	1,996.4
Noncontrolling interest	0.5	0.5
Total equity	1,481.0	1,996.9
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 5,107.2	\$ 5,415.3

See notes to consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity

	Common Stock		Additional Paid-in Capital	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Retained Earnings/ Accumulated Deficit	Total
	Shares	Amount		(Loss)		
(\$ in millions, except share data)						
Balance December 31, 2010	142,098,702	\$ 1.4	\$ 983.6	\$ (75.3)	\$ 900.7	\$ 1,810.4
Net income					192.4	192.4
Employee equity awards	909,244		12.4			12.4
Stock forfeitures	(221,165)		(1.2)			(1.2)
Excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements			1.1			1.1
SERP shares issued	78,862					
Other comprehensive loss				(50.9)		(50.9)
Balance December 31, 2011	142,865,643	1.4	995.9	(126.2)	1,093.1	1,964.2
Net income					34.8	34.8
Employee equity awards	905,438		15.3			15.3
Stock forfeitures	(123,439)					
Excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements			1.1			1.1
SERP shares issued	49,536					
Other comprehensive loss				(19.0)		(19.0)
Balance December 31, 2012	143,697,178	1.4	1,012.3	(145.2)	1,127.9	1,996.4
Net loss					(621.4)	(621.4)
Equity in joint venture					2.2	2.2
Employee equity awards	1,979,066		12.6			12.6
Stock forfeitures	(668,263)					
Net shares settled	(240,359)					
Excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements			0.1			0.1
SERP shares issued	30,501					
Other comprehensive income				90.6		90.6
Balance December 31, 2013	144,798,123	\$ 1.4	\$ 1,025.0	\$ (54.6)	\$ 508.7	\$ 1,480.5

See notes to consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**

	Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2013	Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2012	Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2011
	(\$ in millions)		
Operating activities			
Net (loss) income	\$ (621.4)	\$ 34.8	\$ 192.4
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities			
Depreciation expense	158.2	151.1	129.2
Amortization expense	3.1	5.1	4.9
Amortization of deferred financing fees	6.7	14.6	5.6
Accretion of customer supply agreement	0.6	0.2	
Bad debt expense			1.4
Employee stock compensation expense	19.6	15.3	11.2
Excess tax benefit of share-based payment arrangements	(0.6)	(1.2)	(1.3)
Loss from discontinued hedge accounting on interest rate swaps		5.2	
(Gain) from the ineffectiveness of hedge contracts	(2.6)	(1.5)	
(Gain) loss from foreign currency transactions	(2.6)	(5.6)	1.0
Loss on disposition of assets	0.1	14.1	1.0
Deferred taxes	202.8	(120.1)	21.6
Long-term tax benefit	(2.5)	3.6	(6.1)
Pension and other post retirement benefits, net	(32.0)	(8.9)	(9.6)
Grant income	(7.3)	(5.8)	(5.4)
Equity in net loss of affiliates	(0.5)	0.7	1.0
Changes in assets and liabilities			
Accounts receivable	(128.5)	(151.1)	(66.3)
Inventory, net	666.0	228.3	(121.6)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	94.2	109.8	106.1
Profit sharing/deferred compensation	10.0	4.7	(5.5)
Advance payments	(41.9)	239.6	(159.9)
Income taxes receivable/payable	(82.2)	(4.3)	65.0
Deferred revenue and other deferred credits	(0.2)	(12.4)	(265.9)
Other	21.6	28.2	53.9
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	260.6	544.4	(47.3)
Investing activities			
Purchase of property, plant and equipment	(234.2)	(236.1)	(249.7)
Purchase of property, plant and equipment severe weather event (see Note 4)	(38.4)	(12.9)	
Proceeds from sale of assets	0.7	1.6	0.5
Other	3.7	(1.4)	
Net cash (used in) investing activities	(268.2)	(248.8)	(249.2)
Financing activities			
Proceeds from revolving credit facility		170.0	30.0
Payments on revolving credit facility		(170.0)	(30.0)
Proceeds from issuance of debt		547.6	
Principal payments of debt	(10.4)	(571.0)	(8.0)
Excess tax benefit of share-based payment arrangements	0.6	1.2	1.3
Debt issuance and financing costs	(4.1)	(12.4)	

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

Net cash (used in) financing activities	(13.9)	(34.6)	(6.7)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	1.5	1.9	(0.6)
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents for the period	(20.0)	262.9	(303.8)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	440.7	177.8	481.6
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 420.7	\$ 440.7	\$ 177.8

Supplemental information

Interest paid	\$ 68.0	\$ 69.7	\$ 83.7
Income taxes paid	\$ 69.4	\$ 96.7	\$ 5.6
Non-cash investing and financing activities			
Property acquired through capital leases	\$ 0.4	\$ 2.6	\$ 0.1
Financing obligations	\$	\$	\$ 12.5

See notes to consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

1. Nature of Business

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. ("Holdings" or the "Company") was incorporated in the state of Delaware on February 7, 2005, and commenced operations on June 17, 2005 through the acquisition of The Boeing Company's ("Boeing") operations in Wichita, Kansas, Tulsa, Oklahoma and McAlester, Oklahoma (the "Boeing Acquisition"). Holdings provides manufacturing and design expertise in a wide range of products and services for aircraft original equipment manufacturers and operators through its subsidiary, Spirit AeroSystems, Inc. ("Spirit"). Onex Corporation ("Onex") of Toronto, Canada and certain of its affiliates maintain majority voting power of Holdings. In April 2006, Holdings acquired the aerostructures division of BAE Systems (Operations) Limited ("BAE Aerostructures"), which builds structural components for Airbus, a division of Airbus Group NV ("Airbus") and Boeing. Prior to this acquisition, Holdings sold essentially all of its production to Boeing. Since Spirit's incorporation, the Company has expanded its customer base to include Sikorsky, Rolls-Royce, Gulfstream, Bombardier, Mitsubishi Aircraft Corporation, Southwest Airlines, United Airlines, American Airlines and Bell Helicopter. The Company has its headquarters in Wichita, Kansas, with manufacturing facilities in Tulsa and McAlester, Oklahoma; Prestwick, Scotland; Wichita, Kansas; Kinston, North Carolina and Subang, Malaysia. The Company has assembly facilities in Saint-Nazaire, France, and Chanute, Kansas.

The Company is the majority participant in the Kansas Industrial Energy Supply Company ("KIESC"), a tenancy-in-common with other Wichita companies established to purchase natural gas.

The Company participates in a joint venture, Taikoo Spirit AeroSystems Composite Co. Ltd. ("TSACCL"), of which Spirit's ownership interest is 31.5%. TSACCL was formed to develop and implement a state-of-the-art composite and metal bond component repair station in the Asia-Pacific region. On December 19, 2013, Spirit completed the sale of its interest in the Spirit-Progresstech joint venture.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the Company's financial statements and the financial statements of its majority owned or controlled subsidiaries and have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") and the instructions to Form 10-K. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Certain reclassifications have been made to the prior year financial statements and notes to conform to the 2013 presentation.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the Company's financial statements and the financial statements of its majority-owned subsidiaries and have been prepared in accordance with GAAP. Investments in business entities in which the Company does not have control, but has the ability to exercise influence over operating and financial policies, including TSACCL, are accounted for by the equity method. KIESC is fully consolidated as the Company owns 77.8% of the entity's equity. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. The Company's U.K. subsidiary uses local currency, the British pound, as its functional currency; the Malaysian subsidiary uses the British pound and our Singapore subsidiary uses the Singapore dollar. All other foreign subsidiaries and branches use the U.S. dollar as their functional currency.

As part of the monthly consolidation process, the functional currencies of the Company's international subsidiaries are translated to U.S. dollars using the end-of-month translation rate for balance sheet accounts and average period currency translation rates for revenue and income accounts.

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates and assumptions. See Note 3, "Changes in Estimates," for details on current changes in estimates.

The results of operations during fiscal 2013 include the favorable impact of cumulative catch-up adjustments relating to prior period revenues of \$95.5 primarily associated with productivity and efficiency improvements on mature programs.

The results of operations during fiscal 2012 include the favorable impact of cumulative catch-up adjustments relating to prior period revenues of \$14.7 primarily associated with productivity and efficiency improvements on mature programs.

The results of operations during fiscal 2011 include the favorable impact of cumulative catch-up adjustments relating to prior period revenues of \$13.8 driven by productivity improvements, recognition of favorable performance as we closed out B737 and B777 contract blocks in the fourth quarter, and a lower forecast for our short-term incentive accrual, partially offset by increasing material costs in our Wing Systems segment.

Revenues and Profit Recognition

A significant portion of the Company's revenues are recognized under long-term, volume-based pricing contracts, requiring delivery of products over several years. The Company recognizes revenue under the contract method of accounting and records sales and profits on each contract in accordance with the percentage-of-completion method of accounting, primarily using the units-of-delivery method. The units-of-delivery method recognizes as revenue the contract price of units of a basic production product delivered during a period and as the cost of earned revenue the costs allocable to the delivered units. Costs allocable to undelivered units are reported in the balance sheet as inventory. The method is used in circumstances in which an entity produces units of a basic product under production-type contracts in a continuous or sequential production process to buyers' specifications. Recurring long-term production contracts are usually divided into contract blocks for this purpose, with each block treated as a separate contract for "units-of-delivery" production-type contract accounting purposes.

The total quantity of production units to be delivered under a contract may be set as a single contract accounting block, or it can be split into multiple blocks. Unless the life of the contract is so long that it prevents reliable estimates, the entire contract will typically be set as the contract accounting block quantity. "Life-of-program" or "requirements-based" contracts often lead to continuing sales of more than twenty years. Since this is much longer than can be reliably estimated, Spirit uses parameters based on the contract facts and circumstances to determine the length of the contract block. This analysis includes: considering the customer's firm orders, internal assessment of the market, reliability of cost estimates, potential segmentation of non-recurring elements of the contract, and other factors. Contract block sizes may also be determined based on certain contractual terms such as pricing renegotiation dates, such that certain contract blocks may use an approximate date instead of a defined unit quantity in order to increase the ability to estimate accurately given that the renegotiated pricing is unknown for the planning block. Shorter contract blocks for mature, ongoing programs are common due to the presence of recent cost history and probable forecast accuracy. Mature program contract blocks tend to be approximately two years in length. Initial contract blocks often require a longer time period and a greater number of units in order to take into account the higher cost of early units due to a steeper experience curve and

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

pre-production design costs. Initial contract blocks on new programs can extend up to ten years or longer. As these programs mature, costs stabilize and efficiencies are realized, subsequent contract block length shortens to take into account the steady state of the continuing production.

Revenues from non-recurring design work are recognized based on substantive milestones or use of the cost-to-cost method, that are indicative of our progress toward completion depending on facts and circumstances. We follow the requirements of FASB authoritative guidance on accounting for the performance of construction-type and certain production-type contracts (the contract method of accounting), and use the cumulative catch-up method in accounting for revisions in estimates. Under the cumulative catch-up method, the impacts of revisions in estimates are recognized immediately when changes in estimated contract profitability become known.

A profit rate is estimated based on the difference between total revenues and total costs over a contract block. Total revenues at any given time include actual historical revenues up to that time plus future estimated revenues. Total costs at any given time include actual historical costs up to that time plus future estimated costs. Estimated revenues include negotiated or expected values for units delivered, estimates of probable recoveries asserted against the customer for changes in specifications, price adjustments for contract and volume changes, escalation and assumed but currently unnegotiated price increases for derivative models. Costs include the estimated cost of certain pre-production efforts (including non-recurring engineering and planning subsequent to completion of final design) plus the estimated cost of manufacturing a specified number of production units. Estimates take into account assumptions related to future labor performance and rates, and projections related to material and overhead costs including expected "learning curve" cost reductions over the term of the contract. Estimated revenues and costs also take into account the expected impact of specific contingencies that we believe are probable.

Estimates of revenues and costs for our contract blocks span a period of multiple years and are based on a substantial number of underlying assumptions. We believe that the underlying assumptions are sufficiently reliable to provide a reasonable estimate of the profit to be generated. However, due to the significant length of time over which revenue streams will be generated, the variability of the revenue and cost streams can be significant if the assumptions change. Estimates of profit margins for contract accounting blocks are typically reviewed on a quarterly basis. Assuming the initial estimates of sales and costs under the contract block are accurate, the percentage-of-completion method results in the profit margin being recorded evenly as revenue is recognized under the contract block. Changes in these underlying estimates due to revisions in sales and cost estimates may result in profit margins being recognized unevenly over a contract block as such changes are accounted for on a cumulative basis in the period estimates are revised, which we refer to as cumulative catch-up adjustments. Our Estimate At Completion estimating process is not solely an accounting process, but is instead an integrated part of the management of our business, involving numerous personnel in our planning, production control, contracts, cost management, supply chain and program and business management functions. In 2013, we recorded positive cumulative catch-up adjustments for periods prior to 2013 of \$60.1, \$30.0 and \$5.4 for the Fuselage, Propulsion and Wing Segments, respectively. When the current estimates of total contract revenue and total contract cost indicate a loss, a provision for the entire loss on the contract, known as a forward loss charge, is recorded to cost of sales in the period in which they become evident. In 2013, we recognized \$1,133.3 in forward losses.

For revenues not recognized under the contract method of accounting, the Company recognizes revenues from the sale of products at the point of passage of title, which is generally at the time of shipment. Shipping and handling costs are included in cost of sales. Revenues earned from providing maintenance services, including any contracted research and development, are recognized when the service

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

is complete or other contractual milestones are attained. Revenues from non-recurring design work are recognized based on substantive milestones that are indicative of our progress toward completion. Non-recurring revenues, which are derived primarily from engineering and design efforts, were \$255.6, \$239.4 and \$222.0 for each of the twelve month periods ending December 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

As required by FASB authoritative guidance related to accounting for consideration given by a vendor to a customer, under an agreement with Airbus, certain payments are amortized as a reduction to revenues on units delivered. Additionally, in 2012 we began making certain payments related to our Gulfstream G280 and G650 programs. The Company recognized \$28.6 and \$51.1 as a reduction to net revenues for the periods ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Developments on Our New and Maturing Programs

A significant portion of the Company's future revenues is expected to be derived from new and maturing programs, most notably the B787, A350 XWB, G650 and G280, on which we may be contracted to provide design and engineering services, recurring production, or both. There are several risks inherent to such new and maturing programs. In the design and engineering phase, we may incur costs in excess of our forecasts due to several factors, including cost overruns, customer-directed change orders and delays in the overall program. We may also incur higher than expected recurring production costs, which may be caused by a variety of factors, including the future impact of engineering changes (or other change orders) or our inability to secure contracts with our suppliers at projected cost levels. Our ability to recover these excess costs from the customer will depend on several factors, including our rights under our contracts for the new and maturing programs. In determining our profits and losses in accordance with the percentage-of-completion method of contract accounting, we are required to make significant assumptions regarding our future costs and revenues, as well as the estimated number of units to be manufactured under the contract and other variables. We continually review and update our assumptions based on market trends and our most recent experience. If we make material changes to our assumptions, such as a reduction in the estimated number of units to be produced under the contract (which could be caused by emerging market trends or other factors), an increase in future production costs or a change in the recoverability of increased design or production costs, we may experience negative cumulative catch-up adjustments related to revenues previously recognized. In some cases, we may recognize forward loss amounts.

Research and Development

Research and development includes costs incurred for experimentation, design and testing and are expensed as incurred as required under FASB authoritative guidance pertaining to accounting for research and development costs.

Joint Venture

The value of the Company's 31.5% ownership interest in Taikoo Spirit AeroSystems Composite Co. Ltd. totaled \$1.4 at December 31, 2013 and is accounted for under the equity method of accounting.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents represent all highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less.

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable are recorded at the invoiced amount and do not bear interest. Consistent with industry practice, the Company classifies unbilled receivables related to contracts accounted for under the long-term contract method of accounting, as current. The Company determines an allowance for doubtful accounts based on a review of outstanding receivables. Account balances are charged off against the allowance after the potential for recovery is considered remote. The Company's allowance for doubtful accounts was approximately \$0.2 and \$4.3 at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Accounts receivable, net includes unbilled receivables on long-term aerospace contracts, comprised principally of revenue recognized on contracts for which amounts were earned but not contractually billable as of the balance sheet date, or amounts earned in which the recovery will occur over the term of the contract, which could exceed one year.

Inventory

Raw materials are stated at lower of cost (principally on an actual or average cost basis) or market. Inventoried costs attributed to units delivered under long-term contracts are based on the estimated average cost of all units expected to be produced and are determined under the learning curve concept which anticipates a predictable decrease in unit costs as tasks and production techniques become more efficient through repetition and supply chain costs are reduced as contracts are negotiated and design changes result in lower cost. This usually results in an increase in inventory (referred to as "excess-over-average" or "deferred production costs") during the early years of a contract. These costs are deferred only to the extent the amount of actual or expected excess-over-average is reasonably expected to be fully offset by lower-than-average costs in future periods of a contract. If in-process inventory plus estimated costs to complete a specific contract exceed the actual plus anticipated remaining sales value of such contract, such excess is charged to cost of sales in the period the loss becomes known, thus reducing inventory to estimated realizable value. Costs in inventory include amounts relating to contracts with long production cycles, some of which are not expected to be realized within one year.

The Company reviews its general stock materials and spare parts inventory each quarter to identify impaired inventory, including excess or obsolete inventory, based on historical sales trends and expected production usage. Impaired inventories are written off to work-in-process in the period identified.

Total inventory includes deferred production costs for the excess of production costs over the estimated average cost per ship set, and credit balances for favorable variances on contracts between actual costs incurred and the estimated average cost per ship set for units delivered under the current production blocks. Recovery of excess over average deferred production costs is dependent on the number of ship sets ultimately sold and the ultimate selling prices and lower production costs associated with future production under these contract blocks. Work-in-process inventory also includes non-recurring production costs. Non-recurring production costs include design and engineering costs and test articles.

Finished goods inventory is stated at its estimated average per unit cost based on all units expected to be produced.

Capitalized pre-production costs include certain contract costs, including applicable overhead, incurred before a product is manufactured on a recurring basis. Significant customer directed work changes can also cause pre-production costs to be incurred. These costs are typically recovered over a certain number of ship set deliveries.

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)***Property, Plant and Equipment***

Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is applied using a straight-line method over the useful lives of the respective assets as described in the following table:

	Estimated Useful Life
Land improvements	20 years
Buildings	45 years
Machinery and equipment	3-20 years
Tooling Airplane program B787, Rolls-Royce	5-20 years
Tooling Airplane program all others	2-10 years
Capitalized software	3-7 years

We capitalize certain costs, such as software coding, installation and testing, that are incurred to purchase or to create and implement internal-use computer software in accordance with FASB authoritative guidance pertaining to capitalization of cost for internal-use software. Our capitalization policy includes specifications that the software must have a service life greater than one year, is legally and substantially owned by Spirit, and has an acquisition cost of greater than \$0.1.

Intangible Assets

Intangible assets are initially recorded at estimated fair value and are comprised of patents, favorable leasehold interests, and customer relationships that are amortized on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives, ranging from 6 to 16 years for patents, 14 to 24 years for favorable leasehold interests, and 8 years for customer relationships.

Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets and Goodwill

Spirit reviews capital and amortizing intangible assets (long-lived assets) for impairment on an annual basis or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable in accordance with FASB authoritative guidance on accounting for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets. Under the standard, assets must be classified as either held-for-use or available-for-sale. An impairment loss is recognized when the carrying amount of an asset that is held for use exceeds the projected undiscounted future net cash flows expected from its use and disposal, and is measured as the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds its fair value, which is measured by discounted cash flows when quoted market prices are not available. For assets available-for-sale, an impairment loss is recognized when the carrying amount exceeds the fair value less cost to sell. The Company performs an annual impairment test for goodwill in the fourth quarter of each year, in accordance with FASB authoritative guidance pertaining to goodwill and other intangible assets, or more frequently, if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce fair value below current value. Recent impairment testing has indicated no need for impairment.

Deferred Financing Costs

Costs relating to long-term debt are deferred and included in other long-term assets. These costs are amortized over the term of the related debt or debt facilities, and are included as a component of interest expense.

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activity

We use derivative financial instruments to manage the economic impact of fluctuations in currency exchange rates and interest rates. To account for our derivative financial instruments, we follow the FASB guidance on accounting for derivatives and hedges. Derivative financial instruments are recognized on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as either assets or liabilities and are measured at fair value. Changes in fair value of derivatives are recorded each period in earnings or accumulated other comprehensive income, depending on whether a derivative is effective as part of a hedge transaction, and if it is, the type of hedge transaction. Gains and losses on derivative instruments reported in other comprehensive income are subsequently included in earnings in the periods in which earnings are affected by the hedged item or when the hedge is no longer effective. We present the cash flows associated with our derivatives as a component of the operating or investing sections of the statement of cash flows. Our use of derivatives has generally been limited to interest rate swaps and foreign currency forward contracts. The Company enters into foreign currency forward contracts to reduce the risks associated with the changes in foreign exchange rates on sales and cost of sales denominated in currencies other than the entities' functional currency.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Financial instruments are measured in accordance with FASB authoritative guidance related to fair value measurements. This guidance clarifies the definition of fair value, prescribes methods for measuring fair value, establishes a fair value hierarchy based on the inputs used to measure fair value, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. See Note 12, "Fair Value Measurements."

Income Taxes

Income taxes are accounted for in accordance with FASB authoritative guidance on accounting for income taxes. Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future income tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts for existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. A valuation allowance is recorded to reduce deferred income tax assets to an amount that in management's opinion will ultimately be realized. Tax rate changes impacting these assets and liabilities are recognized in the period during which the rate change occurs.

We record an income tax expense or benefit based on the net income earned or net loss incurred in each tax jurisdiction and at the tax rate applicable to that income or loss. In the ordinary course of business, there are transactions for which the ultimate tax outcome is uncertain. These uncertainties are accounted for in accordance with FASB authoritative guidance on accounting for the uncertainty in income taxes. The final tax outcome for these matters may be different than management's original estimates made in determining the income tax provision. A change to these estimates could impact the effective tax rate and net income or loss in subsequent periods. We use the flow-through accounting method for tax credits. Under this method, tax credits reduce income tax expense.

Stock-Based Compensation and Other Share-Based Payments

Many of the Company's employees are participants in various stock compensation plans. The Company accounts for stock option plans, restricted share plans and other stock-based payments in accordance with FASB authoritative guidance pertaining to share-based payment. The expense attributable to the Company's employees is recognized over the period the amounts are earned and vested, as described in Note 18 "Stock Compensation."

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)***Service and Product Warranties and Extraordinary Rework***

Provisions for estimated expenses related to service and product warranties and certain extraordinary rework are made at the time products are sold. These estimates are established using historical information on the nature, frequency and average cost of warranty claims.

The following is a roll forward for the warranty and extraordinary rework provision as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

	2013	2012
Balance, January 1	\$ 30.9	\$ 19.6
Charges to costs and expenses	38.3	12.0
Write-offs, net of recoveries	(0.6)	(0.9)
Exchange rate	0.1	0.2
Balance, December 31	\$ 68.7	\$ 30.9

New Accounting Pronouncements

In July 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the "FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2013-11, *Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists* (FASB ASU 2013-11). This update was issued to give explicit guidance on the financial statement presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists. The provisions of FASB ASU 2013-11 are effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2013. The adoption of the provisions of this update will not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-10, *Inclusion of the Fed Funds Effective Swap Rate (or Overnight Index Swap Rate) as a Benchmark Interest Rate for Hedge Accounting Purposes* (FASB ASU 2013-10). The amendments in this update permit the Fed Funds Effective Swap Rate (OIS) to be used as a U.S. benchmark interest rate for hedge accounting purposes, in addition to UST and LIBOR. The amendments also remove the restriction on using different benchmark rates for similar hedges. The amendment is effective for qualifying new or redesignated hedging relationship entered into on or after July 17, 2013. The adoption of the provisions of ASU 2013-10 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-02, *Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income* (FASB ASU 2013-02). The amendment in this update requires an entity to report the effect of significant reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income on the respective line items in net income if the amount being reclassified is required under GAAP to be reclassified in its entirety to net income. The provisions of FASB ASU 2013-02 were effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The adoption of the provisions of FASB ASU 2013-02 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In July 2012, the FASB issued ASU No. 2012-2, *Intangibles - Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment* (FASB ASU 2012-2). The amendment in this update permits an entity first to assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that an indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired as a basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform the quantitative impairment test in accordance with Subtopic 350-30, *Intangibles - Goodwill and Other*

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

General Intangibles Other than Goodwill. The provisions of FASB ASU 2012-2 were effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed in fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012. The adoption of the provisions of FASB ASU 2012-2 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-11, *Balance Sheet (Topic 210): Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities* (FASB ASU 2011-11). The amendments in this update require an entity to disclose information about offsetting and related arrangements to enable users of its financial statements to understand the effect of those arrangements on its financial position. The intention is to enhance required disclosures by improving information about financial instruments and derivative instruments that are either offset in accordance with FASB guidance or are subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement; irrespective of whether they are offset in accordance with FASB guidance. The provisions of FASB ASU 2011-11 have been effective for annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013. The adoption of the provisions of FASB ASU 2011-11 has not had a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

3. Changes in Estimates

The Company's long-term contract estimates are based on estimated contract revenues and related costs over the Company's current contract blocks. Estimated contract revenues are generally not subject to significant revisions as most of the Company's contracts are fixed price and known at the inception of the contract; however, the contract cost elements of these estimates change frequently as the programs mature and that has historically been the primary driver of changes in our estimates. Contract costs are estimated based on actual costs incurred to date and an estimate of remaining costs over the current contract block, which can extend for multiple years. During the early phases of our program contracts, the future cost estimates are subject to significant variability and are based on numerous assumptions and judgments which require management to use its historical experience on similar programs until aircraft programs are type certified; low rate production is achieved; production processes mature; supply chain partners are contracted; and unit costs stabilize; which typically results in assumptions that costs will improve over the life of the contract block. This learning curve concept is typical in our industry; however, the level of design change and time spent in low rate production that was anticipated when we initially established these curves has been significantly exceeded as original delivery schedules have been delayed and engineering changes have continued. During 2013 and 2012, a combination of events occurred that resulted in significant changes in estimates on several new and maturing programs, resulting in forward losses being recorded on some of these programs. The following is a summary of those events.

2013 Changes in Estimates

Performance Issues A350 XWB

Our A350 XWB fuselage recurring program has experienced various production inefficiencies mostly driven by early development discovery and engineering change to the aircraft design, as well as higher test and transportation costs. Airbus is assisting us as we work through these issues and has provided additional resources to work alongside our personnel. In the third quarter of 2013, we recorded a forward loss of \$78.6 for the A350 XWB fuselage recurring contract due to these production inefficiencies. There continues to be risk of additional forward loss associated with the recurring contract as we work through production issues.

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

Non-Recurring Contract Agreements A350 XWB

In September and October of 2013 we agreed with Airbus on the work scope for the design and tooling related to the -1000 derivative of the A350 XWB fuselage and wing contracts. Based on current estimates, the agreement for the non-recurring phase of the -1000 derivative fuselage resulted in a \$32.7 forward loss, which was recorded in the third quarter of 2013. There is a risk of additional forward loss if we do not successfully execute the design and engineering change process as projected.

Supply Chain Cost Reductions G280 and G650

At the time we recorded the forward loss charges on the Gulfstream G280 and G650 programs in the third quarter of 2012, we had included in the respective estimates at completion significant cost reductions primarily related to sourcing costs between 2015 and 2018. These amounts were based on the experience of the supply chain team and operational management. During the second quarter of 2013, it became apparent that a substantial portion of the total cost savings included in the contract estimates for each program would not be realized. This determination was based on a number of changing conditions and new developments including an assessment of our actual experience with our customers regarding their receptiveness to proposed changes, completion of our detailed part analysis during the second quarter of 2013 as part of our effort to project future sourcing costs and our inability to achieve estimated supplier price reductions via negotiations with suppliers.

Labor Estimates Tulsa Facility

The labor cost forecasts within the contract estimates for the G280, G650 and Boeing B787 are based on certain assumptions, including the level of disruption expected in the future. In our contract estimates through the first quarter of 2013, we assumed that certain disruptions to the manufacturing line caused by (i) supplier quality issues and late deliveries, (ii) customer inspections occurring in our facilities and (iii) our own manufacturing quality issues would be resolved by the middle of 2013. During the second quarter of 2013, key performance dates were missed, and we extended the expected period of time during which these issues would be resolved in our assumptions for our contract estimates. As a result, we experienced higher actual costs as well as significant increases to forecasted costs, resulting in additional forward losses recognized on all of these programs in the second and fourth quarters of 2013.

Contractual Items G650

As we worked with Gulfstream to meet its production demand, we negotiated a temporary transfer of a portion of our work scope to Gulfstream for completion. In the second quarter of 2013, due to the effect of continued production challenges on our forecasted ability to achieve scheduled deliveries, we changed our assumptions to extend the duration of the work transfer and updated our estimates regarding this temporarily transferred work scope which is accounted for as a reduction in forecasted revenue. As described in more detail in Note 21, "Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees," we instituted a demand for arbitration against Gulfstream to resolve certain contractual disputes primarily related to engineering changes made by Gulfstream and the impact of those changes to weight and delivery schedules as well as for incomplete payments to Spirit. We continually assess these contractual items and adjust our estimates as appropriate each quarter. Changes in these particular estimates resulted in additional forward loss recognized on the G650 in the second quarter of 2013.

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

B787 program

As we move into higher rates of production, our performance at the current contracted price depends on our being able to achieve production cost reductions as we gain production experience. During the second quarter of 2013, we continued to experience production inefficiencies at our Tulsa facility as we transitioned to production on the B787-9 derivative, which drove forward losses of \$37.3 on the B787 wing program. Additionally in the fourth quarter of 2013, we revised our estimates of the amount of near-term achievable cost reductions for the B787 program based on cost savings ideas generated, the maturity of these ideas and the expected realization for the program. This change in cost savings estimates drove a forward loss of \$384.7 in the fourth quarter of 2013.

2012 Changes in Estimates

Performance Issues Tulsa Facility

The Company's Tulsa facility has significant work content on three of the development programs (B787, G280, G650). The multiple complex development programs at this facility have created various performance issues that have resulted in previous changes to our contract estimates on these development programs.

The performance issues at the Tulsa facility were magnified in the third quarter of 2012 when the Company implemented a recovery plan which would bring the Company current on the delivery schedule for its B787 wing components. The Company began implementing the recovery plan during late July 2012 which resulted in the addition of significant additional resources to meet delivery schedules. As the Company was implementing the recovery plan, it became clear during the third quarter estimation process that the remediation would have a significant impact on the future cost curves due to significant amounts of additional headcount and disruption.

Type Certification

On September 4 and 7, 2012, Gulfstream received type certification on the G280 and G650 aircraft, respectively. These type certifications impact three of the Company's development programs, G280, G650 and BR725 (the engine nacelle on the G650). Type certification is a significant program milestone for commercial aerospace products as it represents the airworthiness authority's approval of the completion and functionality of engineering design and the ability of the aircraft to enter into service, and leads directly to the commencement of full rate production. However, following type certification the ability to redesign for cost is significantly less if no derivative aircraft design is planned. We currently have no plans for derivative models, making redesign for cost improvements difficult after type certification.

The pace of cost improvements was not keeping up with projected learning curves particularly related to redesign opportunities and as all three programs are preparing to enter full rate production, we revised our estimates to reflect higher costs.

Decision on Work Package Transfers

Given certain challenges of new programs at the Company's Kinston, North Carolina site and the fact that our newest facility in Chanute, Kansas was in the process of multiple work package transfers during the third quarter, the Company decided to delay the transfer of any additional work packages into these facilities. Overall, this had a significant impact on the BR725 program and the timing of anticipated cost reduction from the planned transfer of work content to lower-cost facilities.

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

Finalization of Supplier Contracts

During the early phases of our development programs, the Company will frequently procure small quantities of required sub-assemblies and parts from our suppliers. This practice generally forces us to pay higher unit prices for these sub-assemblies and parts, but allows us flexibility in evaluating supplier performance and quality as well as addressing design changes that frequently occur during the early phases of these development programs. Once design changes subside, we will generally contract on a longer-term basis with our suppliers which allows us to experience more favorable supply chain pricing.

The Company has been successful in negotiating lower costs with suppliers on most of these development programs; however, these costs are not as low as original estimates. This pressure on supply chain cost runs across all of our development programs. As Boeing and Airbus have increased production rates on existing commercial programs, our suppliers have limited capacity to deal with even modest rate increases on our business jet programs. In addition, the capacity constraint in our supply base has prevented us from off-loading to the supply chain certain work we currently perform in-house. As a result of higher current costs which have exceeded estimates and recent negotiations with suppliers, the Company has revised supplier costs across several of the development programs.

New and Maturing Programs Summary Performance

Due primarily to the events described above in this Note 3, in 2013 we recorded forward loss charges of \$78.6 on the recurring A350 XWB Section 15, \$32.7 on the non-recurring A350 XWB Section 15, \$240.9 on the G280 program, \$288.3 on the G650 program and \$422.0 on the B787 program. Additionally, due to program performance and/or changes in estimates in 2013 we recorded forward loss charges of \$41.1, \$16.4 and \$21.7 on the B747, B767 and Rolls-Royce BR725 programs, respectively. The Rolls-Royce BR725 program also recorded a reduction of forward loss charges of \$8.4 for a total net forward loss of \$13.3 in 2013. These amounts were recorded within the Company's results of operations as part of cost of goods sold as well as on the condensed consolidated balance sheet as forward loss provisions within inventory to the extent each program's inventory balance was sufficient to absorb the charge. In the case that program inventory was not sufficient to absorb the full amount of a charge, the remainder was classified as a current liability.

Due to performance, type certification, work package transfers and finalization of supplier contracts, as well as other events, for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012, we recorded forward loss charges of \$184.0 on the Boeing 787, \$162.5 on the Gulfstream G650, \$151.0 on the Rolls-Royce BR725, \$118.8 on the Gulfstream G280, \$8.9 on the Airbus A350 XWB non-recurring wing, \$11.5 on the Boeing 747-8 program and \$8.0 on the B767 program. These amounts are recorded on the condensed consolidated balance sheet as forward loss provisions within inventory.

Our consolidated net adjustments for costs related to the aforementioned changes in estimates and other circumstances for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 decreased operating profit, before income taxes, by approximately \$1,133.3 and \$644.7, respectively. These adjustments decreased net earnings for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 by approximately \$713.0 (\$5.04 per share) and \$412.0 (\$2.88 per share), respectively.

4. Impact from Severe Weather Event

On April 14, 2012, during a severe weather event, the Company's Wichita, Kansas facility, which includes its headquarters and manufacturing facilities for all Boeing models as well as operations for maintenance, repair and overhaul support and services (MRO), was hit by a tornado which caused significant damage to many buildings, disrupted utilities and resulted in complete suspension of production

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

for eight days. The Company's work-in-process and production equipment generally remained intact, and the Company resumed production on April 23, 2012, although some inefficiencies continued thereafter as a result of the damage and repair efforts.

As of December 31, 2012, the Company had received a total of \$234.9 in insurance payments based on estimated losses incurred as a result of the April 14, 2012 tornado. In accordance with its credit agreement, the Company provided a certificate to its lenders indicating that all net proceeds received in connection with the destruction caused by the April 14, 2012 tornado would be used for repair, replacement or restoration at the Wichita facility.

On October 19, 2012, the Company reached an agreement with its insurers on a final settlement for all claims relating to the April 14, 2012 severe weather event. Under the terms of this settlement the insurers agreed to pay to the Company \$234.9 (including payments previously made) to resolve all property damage, clean-up and recovery costs related to the severe weather event as well as all expenses incurred to make up for the interruption of production and to reduce further disruptions. Under the settlement agreement, the Company assumes all further risk involving the severe weather event on April 14, 2012.

For the twelve months ended December 31, 2012, the Company recorded a net gain of \$146.2 under severe weather event, which represents the settlement amount of \$234.9 less cumulative charges of \$88.7, which primarily relates to repair, clean-up, asset impairment and incremental freight, labor and warehousing costs to restore normal operations.

During the twelve months ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company recorded an impairment charge of zero and \$0.2, respectively, for certain assets that were destroyed during the severe weather event. Any future impairment charges are expected to be immaterial.

During the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, the Company recorded expenses of \$30.3 under severe weather event, which represents continuing incremental freight, warehousing and other costs which are recorded as incurred.

5. Accounts Receivable, net

Accounts receivable, net consists of the following:

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Trade receivables ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	\$ 544.2	\$ 415.9
Other	6.8	9.1
Less: allowance for doubtful accounts	(0.2)	(4.3)
Accounts receivable, net	\$ 550.8	\$ 420.7

(1) Includes unbilled receivables of \$33.5 and \$25.6 at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

(2) Includes \$135.1 and \$102.0 held in retainage at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

(3)

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

Includes \$24.6 of withheld payments by a customer pending completion of retrofit work.

Accounts receivable, net includes unbilled receivables on long-term aerospace contracts, comprised principally of revenue recognized on contracts for which amounts were earned but not contractually

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

billable as of the balance sheet date, or amounts earned in which the recovery will occur over the term of the contract, which could exceed one year.

Also included in accounts receivable are amounts held in retainage which, as of December 31, 2013, are all related to Gulfstream and represent amounts due on G650 deliveries from 2010 through the third quarter of 2013. As described in more detail in Note 22, "Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees," in August 2013, the Company instituted a demand for arbitration against Gulfstream Aerospace Corporation, seeking damages from Gulfstream for its incomplete payments to Spirit, as well as other damages and relief. Gulfstream counterclaimed against Spirit in the arbitration, seeking liquidated damages for delayed deliveries of wings, as well as other damages and relief. While we believe that the short-paid amount is collectible, if we are unable to collect this amount or if it becomes part of an overall settlement or arbitration award, recognition of additional forward losses on the G650 program could be required and the future cash flows of the Company could be significantly impacted.

On May 3, 2012, one of our customers, Hawker Beechcraft, filed for bankruptcy protection under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code. Subsequent to the bankruptcy filing, the Company reserved the remaining balance of its \$3.5 receivable from Hawker in 2012. This balance was written off in 2013.

6. Inventory

Inventories are summarized as follows:

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012⁽¹⁾
Raw materials	\$ 240.2	\$ 250.3
Work-in-process	1,057.8	1,033.6
Finished goods	43.7	35.9
Product inventory	1,341.7	1,319.8
Capitalized pre-production ⁽²⁾	486.2	524.6
Deferred production	1,661.2	1,173.8
Forward loss provision	(1,646.5)	(607.4)
Total inventory, net	\$ 1,842.6	\$ 2,410.8

(1) For December 31, 2012, deferred production of \$1,173.8 was reclassified from work-in-process to conform to current year presentation.

(2) For December 31, 2013, \$17.9 of work-in-process was reclassified to capitalized pre-production.

Capitalized pre-production costs include certain contract costs, including applicable overhead, incurred before a product is manufactured on a recurring basis. Significant unfunded statement of work changes can also cause pre-production costs to be incurred. These costs are typically recovered over a certain number of ship set deliveries and the Company believes these amounts will be fully recovered.

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

Total inventory includes deferred production costs for the excess of production costs over the estimated average cost per ship set, and credit balances for favorable variances on contracts between actual costs incurred and the estimated average cost per ship set for units delivered under the current production blocks. Recovery of excess-over-average deferred production costs is dependent on the number of ship sets ultimately sold and the ultimate selling prices and lower production costs associated with future production under these contract blocks. The Company believes these amounts will be fully recovered. Sales significantly under estimates or costs significantly over estimates could result in the realization of losses on these contracts in future periods.

Non-recurring production costs include design and engineering costs and test articles.

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

Inventories are summarized by platform and costs below:

December 31, 2013

	Product Inventory				Forward Loss Provision ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	Total Inventory, net December 31, 2013
	Inventory	Non-Recurring	Capitalized Pre-Production	Deferred Production		
B747 ⁽³⁾	\$ 96.4	\$ 0.1	\$ 4.4	\$ 1.0	\$ (37.2)	\$ 64.7
B787	263.9	14.7	158.2	597.3	(606.0)	428.1
Boeing All other platforms ⁽⁴⁾	421.4	11.5	7.0	(21.7)	(18.6)	399.6
A350 ⁽⁵⁾	166.7	42.5	76.5	388.8	(120.8)	553.7
Airbus All other platforms	83.2			18.8		102.0
G280 ⁽⁶⁾	46.9		4.9	233.7	(285.5)	
G650	59.2		192.7	373.3	(450.8)	174.4
Rolls-Royce ⁽⁷⁾	15.8		42.5	69.3	(127.6)	
Sikorsky		5.4				5.4
Bombardier C-Series	9.1			0.7		9.8
Aftermarket	37.0					37.0
Other platforms ⁽⁸⁾	67.1	0.8				67.9
Total	\$ 1,266.7	\$ 75.0	\$ 486.2	\$ 1,661.2	\$ (1,646.5)	\$ 1,842.6

December 31, 2012

	Product Inventory				Forward Loss Provision ⁽¹⁾	Total Inventory, net December 31, 2012
	Inventory	Non-Recurring	Capitalized Pre-Production	Deferred Production		
B747	\$ 83.6	\$ (0.7)	\$ 7.2	\$ 3.6	\$ (11.5)	\$ 82.2
B787	225.2	26.6	189.5	595.1	(184.0)	852.4
Boeing All other platforms ⁽⁴⁾	392.3	31.6	5.8	(67.6)	(6.5)	355.6
A350	133.2	51.3	56.8	177.4	(8.9)	409.8
Airbus All other platforms	88.2			18.2		106.4
G280	83.3		5.5	98.3	(118.8)	68.3
G650	36.7		208.4	297.3	(162.5)	379.9
Rolls-Royce ⁽⁷⁾	12.6		51.4	51.2	(115.2)	
Sikorsky		4.7				4.7
Bombardier C-Series	3.9			0.3		4.2
Aftermarket	45.0					45.0
Other platforms ⁽⁸⁾	98.3	4.0				102.3

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

Total	\$ 1,202.3	\$ 117.5	\$ 524.6	\$ 1,173.8	\$ (607.4)	\$ 2,410.8
-------	------------	----------	----------	------------	------------	------------

-
- (1) Forward loss charges taken since January 1, 2012 on blocks that have not closed.
- (2) Forward loss charges taken through December 31, 2011 were reflected within capitalized pre-production and inventory for the respective programs and are therefore not reflected as part of

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

the Forward Loss Provision figure presented. The cumulative forward loss charges, net of contract liabilities, through December 31, 2013 on open blocks are \$123.8, \$463.1 and \$29.0 for the A350 XWB, G280 and Sikorsky programs, respectively.

- (3) Forward loss provision of \$41.1 recorded on the fuselage portion of the B747 program in 2013 included \$21.2 of charges on the subsequent planning block. The total provision exceeded the inventory balance which resulted in a contract liability of \$3.9 which will be reduced as additional contact costs are incurred.
- (4) Forward loss provision of \$11.6 recorded in 2013 on the B767 program of which \$7.5 related to propulsion which exceeded the inventory balance for the propulsion portion of the program. The excess of charge over program inventory was classified as a contract liability of \$5.8 which will be reduced as additional contract costs are incurred. Forward loss provision of \$8.0 recorded on the B767 program in the fourth quarter of 2012 exceeded the total inventory balance. The excess of the charge over program inventory was classified as a contract liability of \$1.5 as of December 31, 2012 and was reduced to zero as additional inventory was generated as of June 27, 2013.
- (5) Forward loss provision of \$32.7 recorded on the non-recurring fuselage portion of the A350-1000 XWB program in 2013 exceeded the total inventory balance. The excess of the charge over the program inventory is classified as a contract liability of \$7.7, reduced to zero as additional inventory was generated as of December 31, 2013. This liability was reported in other current liabilities and was absorbed back into the forward loss category of inventory as inventory on the non-recurring portion of the program increased. In 2013, non-recurring in the amount of \$17.9 was reclassified to capitalized pre-production.
- (6) Forward loss provision of \$240.9 recorded in 2013 exceeded the total inventory balance. The excess of the charge over program inventory is classified as a contract liability. The total contract liability as of December 31, 2013 is \$74.2. This contract liability is reported in other current liabilities. This liability will flow back into the forward loss category of inventory as inventory on the program increases.
- (7) Forward loss provision of \$151.0 recorded in 2012 exceeded the total inventory balance. The excess of the charge over program inventory is classified as a contract liability. In 2013 a forward loss provision of \$21.7 and forward loss reduction of \$8.4 was recorded, the net of which increased the contract liability from 2012. The total contract liability is \$36.7 as of December 31, 2013. This contract liability is reported in other current liabilities. This liability will flow back into the forward loss category of inventory as inventory on the program increases.
- (8) Includes over-applied and under-applied overhead.

The following is a roll forward of the capitalized pre-production costs included in the inventory balance at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

	2013	2012
Balance, January 1	\$ 524.6	\$ 553.2
Charges to costs and expenses	(64.8)	(59.7)
Capitalized costs ⁽¹⁾	26.4	31.1
Balance, December 31	\$ 486.2	\$ 524.6

-
- (1) Capitalized costs in 2013 primarily due to reclassification of \$17.9 from non-recurring to capitalized pre-production.

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

The following is a roll forward of the deferred production included in the inventory balance at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

	2013 ⁽¹⁾	2012 ⁽¹⁾
Balance, January 1	\$ 1,173.8	\$ 813.2
Charges to costs and expenses	(591.2)	(260.1)
Capitalized costs	1,072.8	617.6
Exchange rate	5.8	3.1
Balance, December 31	\$ 1,661.2	\$ 1,173.8

-
- (1) Increases in deferred production are driven primarily by new and maturing programs.

Significant amortization of capitalized pre-production and deferred production inventory will occur over the following contract blocks:

	Contract Block	
	Quantity	Orders⁽¹⁾
B787	500	916
A350 XWB	400	812
G280	250	85
G650	350	145
Rolls-Royce	350	136

-
- (1) Orders are from the published firm-order backlogs of Airbus and Boeing. For all other programs, orders represent purchase orders received from OEMs and are not reflective of OEM sales backlog. Orders reported are total block orders, including delivered units.

Current block deliveries are as follows:

Model	Current Block Deliveries
B787	164
A350 XWB	11
Business/Regional Jets	217

Contract block quantity is projected to fully absorb the balance of deferred production inventory. Capitalized pre-production and deferred production inventories are at risk to the extent that we do not achieve the orders in the forecasted blocks or if future actual costs exceed current projected estimates, as those categories of inventory are recoverable over future deliveries. In the case of capitalized pre-production this may be over multiple blocks. Should orders not materialize in future periods to fulfill the block, potential forward loss charges may be necessary to the extent the final delivered quantity does not absorb deferred inventory costs.

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)**7. Property, Plant and Equipment, net**

Property, plant and equipment, net consists of the following:

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Land	\$ 17.9	\$ 17.7
Buildings (including improvements)	566.0	504.7
Machinery and equipment	1,084.0	960.0
Tooling	801.6	722.4
Capitalized software	172.2	170.2
Construction-in-progress	130.2	143.0
Total	2,771.9	2,518.0
Less: accumulated depreciation	(968.6)	(819.5)
Property, plant and equipment, net	\$ 1,803.3	\$ 1,698.5

Interest costs associated with construction-in-progress are capitalized until the assets are completed and ready for use. Capitalized interest was \$5.8 and \$7.5 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. Repair and maintenance costs are expensed as incurred. The Company recognized repair and maintenance costs, excluding the impact of the severe weather event, of \$112.5, \$124.2 and \$115.5 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

We capitalize certain costs, such as software coding, installation and testing, that are incurred to purchase or to create and implement internal use computer software in accordance with FASB authoritative guidance pertaining to capitalization of costs for internal-use software. Depreciation expense related to capitalized software was \$19.6, \$18.6 and \$18.6 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Spirit reviews capital and amortizing intangible assets (long-lived assets) for impairment on an annual basis or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable in accordance with FASB authoritative guidance on accounting for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets. We evaluated the long-lived assets at our locations and determined no impairment was necessary.

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)**8. Other Assets**

Other assets are summarized as follows:

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Intangible assets		
Patents	\$ 1.9	\$ 2.0
Favorable leasehold interests	6.3	9.7
Customer relationships	28.7	28.1
Total intangible assets	36.9	39.8
Less: Accumulated amortization-patents	(1.3)	(1.2)
Accumulated amortization-favorable leasehold interest	(3.1)	(4.8)
Accumulated amortization-customer relationships	(27.8)	(23.7)
Intangible assets, net	4.7	10.1
Deferred financing		
Deferred financing costs	80.5	76.4
Less: Accumulated amortization-deferred financing costs	(56.3)	(49.6)
Deferred financing costs, net	24.2	26.8
Other		
Fair value of derivative instruments		
Goodwill Europe	3.0	3.0
Equity in net assets of affiliates	1.4	5.1
Customer supply agreement ⁽¹⁾	37.6	39.9
Other	36.2	6.1
Total	\$ 107.1	\$ 91.0

(1)

Under an agreement with our customer Airbus, certain payments accounted for as consideration given by the Company to Airbus are being amortized as a reduction to net revenues.

The Company recognized \$5.4, \$4.1 and \$4.1 of amortization expense of intangibles for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Estimated amortization expense associated with the Company's amortizable intangible assets for each of the next five years is as follows:

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

2014	\$ 1.4
2015	\$ 0.5
2016	\$ 0.5
2017	\$ 0.5
2018	\$ 0.4

108

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

The following is a roll forward of the carrying amount of goodwill at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

	2013	2012
Balance, January 1	\$ 3.0	\$ 2.9
Goodwill acquired		
Exchange rate		0.1
Balance, December 31	\$ 3.0	\$ 3.0

9. Research and Development Milestones

Milestone payments. Milestone payments are recognized as revenue when milestones are deemed to be substantive and are achieved. A substantive milestone is one that is based on successful performance by the Company and not solely contingent upon the passage of time or performance by another party. Milestone payments collected in advance that have significant future performance obligations are presented as advance payments or deferred revenue, and are recognized when the milestone is achieved.

As part of our ongoing participation in the B787-9 program, we received research and development milestone payments of \$18.3 and \$30.0 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. Revenue and cost associated with the performance of the research and development are included in program revenue and costs. We expect to receive additional payments related to research and development on this program. These additional payments remain to be negotiated as of December 31, 2013.

10. Advance Payments and Deferred Revenue/Credits

Advance payments. Advance payments are those payments made to Spirit by third parties in contemplation of the future performance of services, receipt of goods, incurrence of expenditures, or for other assets to be provided by Spirit on a contract and are repayable if such obligation is not satisfied. The amount of advance payments to be recovered against units expected to be delivered within a year is classified as a short-term liability, with the balance of the unliquidated advance payments classified as a long-term liability.

In March 2012, we signed a Memorandum of Agreement with Airbus providing for us to receive advance payments in 2012. The advance payments will be offset against the recurring price of A350 XWB ship sets invoiced by Spirit at a rate of \$1.25 per ship set. We received zero and \$250.0 in the twelve months ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Deferred revenue/credits. Deferred revenue/credits generally consist of nonrefundable amounts received in advance of revenue being earned for specific contractual deliverables. These payments are classified as deferred revenue/credits when received and recognized as revenue as the production units are delivered.

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

Advance payments and deferred revenue/credits are summarized by platform as follows:

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
B737	\$ 18.7	\$ 20.5
B787	600.2	629.8
A350 XWB	243.9	250.2
Airbus All other platforms	7.3	6.7
Gulfstream	22.0	28.2
Other	21.0	18.1
Total advance payments and deferred revenue/credits	\$ 913.1	\$ 953.5

11. Government Grants

We received grants in the form of government funding for a portion of the site construction and other specific capital asset cost at our Kinston, North Carolina and Subang, Malaysia sites. Deferred grant income is being amortized as a reduction to production cost. This amortization is based on specific terms associated with the different grants. In North Carolina, the deferred grant income related to the capital investment criteria, which represents half of the grant, is being amortized over the lives of the assets purchased to satisfy the capital investment performance criteria. The other half of the deferred grant income is being amortized over a 10-year period in a manner consistent with the job performance criteria. In Malaysia, the deferred grant income is being amortized based on the lives of the eligible assets constructed with the grant funds as there are no performance criteria. The value recorded within property, plant and equipment prior to amortization, including foreign exchange rate changes, related to the use of grant funds in North Carolina and Malaysia was \$153.8 and \$148.7 as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Deferred grant income liability consists of the following:

	2013	2012
Balance, January 1	\$ 123.5	\$ 127.9
Grant income recognized	(7.3)	(6.1)
Exchange rate	0.6	1.7
Total deferred grant income liability, December 31	\$ 116.8	\$ 123.5

The asset related to the deferred grant income consists of the following:

	2013	2012
Beginning Balance, January 1	\$ 124.9	\$ 128.3
Amortization	(5.1)	(5.1)
Exchange rate	0.5	1.7

Total asset value related to deferred grant income, December 31 \$ 120.3 \$ 124.9

12. Fair Value Measurements

FASB's authoritative guidance on fair value measurements defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. It also establishes a fair value hierarchy, which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The guidance discloses three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

- Level 1** Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 1 assets and liabilities include debt and equity securities and derivative contracts that are traded in an active exchange market.
- Level 2** Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities. Level 2 assets and liabilities include debt securities with quoted prices that are traded less frequently than exchange-traded instruments and derivative contracts whose value is determined using a pricing model with inputs that are observable in the market or can be derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data. Observable inputs, such as current and forward interest rates and foreign exchange rates, are used in determining the fair value of our interest rate swaps and foreign currency hedge contracts.
- Level 3** Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of assets and liabilities. Level 3 assets and liabilities include financial instruments whose value is determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques, as well as instruments for which the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation.

Fair Value Measurements

Description	December 31, 2013			At December 31, 2013 using		
	Total	Assets	Liabilities	Quoted	Significant	Significant
	Carrying	Measured	Measured at	Prices in	Other	Significant
	Amount in	at	Fair	Active	Observable	Unobservable
	Balance	Fair Value	Value	Markets	Inputs	Inputs
	Sheet			for Identical	(Level 2)	(Level 3)
				Assets		
				(Level 1)		
<i>Money Market Fund</i>	\$ 293.3	\$ 293.3	\$	\$ 293.3	\$	\$
<i>Interest Rate Swaps</i>	\$ (1.4)	\$	\$ (1.4)	\$	\$ (1.4)	\$

Fair Value Measurements

Description	December 31, 2012			At December 31, 2012 using		
	Total	Assets	Liabilities	Quoted	Significant	Significant
	Carrying	Measured	Measured at	Prices in	Other	Significant
	Amount in	at	Fair	Active	Observable	Unobservable
	Balance	Fair Value	Value	Markets	Inputs	Inputs
	Sheet			for Identical	(Level 2)	(Level 3)
				Assets		
				(Level 1)		
<i>Money Market Fund</i>	\$ 312.2	\$ 312.2	\$	\$ 312.2	\$	\$
<i>Interest Rate Swaps</i>	\$ (4.0)	\$	\$ (4.0)	\$	\$ (4.0)	\$

The fair value of the interest rate swaps and foreign currency hedge contracts are determined by using mark-to-market reports generated for each derivative and evaluated for counterparty risk. In the case of the interest rate swaps, the Company evaluated its counterparty risk using credit default swaps, historical default rates and credit spreads.

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

The Company's long-term debt consists of a senior secured term loan, senior unsecured notes, and the Malaysian term loan. The estimated fair value of our debt obligations is based on the quoted market prices for such obligations or the historical default rate for debt with similar credit ratings.

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

The following table presents the carrying amount and estimated fair value of long-term debt in accordance with FASB authoritative guidance on fair value measurements related to disclosures of financial instruments:

	December 31, 2013		December 31, 2012	
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
Senior secured term loan (including current portion)	\$ 538.2	\$ 541.9 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 543.4	\$ 550.0 ⁽¹⁾
Senior unsecured notes due 2017	296.4	309.0 ⁽¹⁾	295.6	321.8 ⁽¹⁾
Senior unsecured notes due 2020	300.0	323.4 ⁽¹⁾	300.0	321.8 ⁽¹⁾
Malaysian loan	10.0	8.5 ⁽²⁾	13.4	11.8 ⁽²⁾
Total	\$ 1,144.6	\$ 1,182.8	\$ 1,152.4	\$ 1,205.4

(1) Level 1 Fair Value hierarchy

(2) Level 2 Fair Value hierarchy

See Note 14, "Investments" for fair value disclosure on government and corporate debt securities.

13. Derivative and Hedging Activities

The Company enters into interest rate swap agreements to reduce its exposure to the variable rate portion of its long-term debt. The Company could enter into foreign currency hedge contracts to reduce the risks associated with the changes in foreign exchange rates on sales and cost of sales denominated in currencies other than the entities' functional currency. Any gains or losses on hedges are included in earnings when the underlying transaction that was hedged occurs. The Company does not use contracts for speculative or trading purposes. On the inception date, the Company designates a derivative contract as either a fair value or cash flow hedge in accordance with FASB guidance on accounting for derivatives and hedges and links the contract to either a specific asset or liability on the balance sheet, or to forecasted commitments or transactions. The Company formally documents the hedging relationship between the hedging instrument and the hedged item, as well as its risk-management objective and strategy for undertaking the hedge, the nature of the risk being hedged, how the hedging instrument's effectiveness in offsetting the hedged risk will be assessed and a description of the method of measuring ineffectiveness. The Company also formally assesses, both at the hedge's inception and on a quarterly basis, whether the derivative item is effective in offsetting changes in fair value or cash flows.

Changes in the fair value of derivative instruments considered to be effective hedges are reported in other comprehensive income, net of tax. In the case of interest rate swaps, amounts are subsequently reclassified into interest expense as a yield adjustment of the hedged interest payments in the same period in which the related interest affects earnings. If the actual interest rate on the fixed rate portion of debt is less than LIBOR, the monies received are recorded as an offset to interest expense. Conversely, if the actual interest rate on the fixed rate portion of debt is greater than LIBOR, then the Company pays the difference, which is recorded to interest expense. Reclassifications of any amounts related to foreign currency hedge contracts would be recorded to earnings in the same period in which the underlying transaction occurs. Any change in the fair value resulting from ineffectiveness is immediately recognized in earnings.

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

The Company also considers counterparty credit risk and its own credit risk in its determination of all estimated fair values. The Company has applied these valuation techniques as of December 31, 2013 and believes it has obtained the most accurate information available for the types of derivative contracts it holds. The Company attempts to manage exposure to counterparty credit risk by only entering into agreements with major financial institutions, which are expected to be able to fully perform under the terms of the agreement.

The Company discontinues hedge accounting prospectively when it is determined that the derivative is no longer effective in offsetting changes in the cash flows of the hedged item; the derivative expires or is sold, terminated or exercised; the derivative is no longer designated as a hedging instrument because it is unlikely that a forecasted transaction will occur; or management determines that the designation of the derivative as a hedging instrument is no longer appropriate. When hedge accounting is discontinued, the Company would carry the derivative instrument on the balance sheet at its fair value with subsequent changes in fair value included in earnings, and gains and losses that were accumulated in other comprehensive income are recognized immediately in earnings to the extent the forecasted transaction is not expected to occur, or when the underlying transaction settles.

To the extent that derivative instruments do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment, the changes in fair market value of the instruments are reported in the results of operations for the current period. As a result of the senior secured Credit Agreement entered into on April 18, 2012, the interest rate swaps no longer qualify for hedge accounting while LIBOR is below the LIBOR floor of 75 basis points under the Credit Agreement. Amounts in other comprehensive income for interest rate swaps as of April 18, 2012 have been included in earnings.

The Company enters into master netting arrangements for its derivatives to mitigate the credit risk of financial instruments. The master netting arrangements do not impact the consolidated balance sheets for December 31, 2013 or December 31, 2012, respectively.

The Company has certain derivative instruments covered by master netting arrangements whereby, in the event of a default as defined by the senior secured credit facility or termination event, the non-defaulting party has the right to offset any amounts payable against any obligation of the defaulting party under the same counterparty agreement.

The entire asset classes of the Company are pledged as collateral for both the term loan and the revolving credit facility under the Company's senior secured credit facility (see Note 15, "Debt").

Interest Rate Swaps

We enter into floating-to-fixed interest rate swap agreements periodically. As of December 31, 2013, the interest rate swap agreements had notional amounts totaling \$225.

Notional Amount	Expires	Variable Rate	Fixed Rate⁽¹⁾	Effective Fixed Rate⁽²⁾	Fair Value, December 31, 2013
\$ 225	July 2014	1 Month LIBOR	1.37%	N/A	\$ (1.4)

(1) The fixed rate represents the rate at which interest is paid by the Company pursuant to the terms of its interest rate swap agreements.

(2) As of December 31, 2013 the interest rate swaps are no longer effective and therefore the effective fixed rate is not applicable.

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

The purpose of entering into these swaps was to reduce the Company's exposure to variable interest rates. The interest rate swaps settle on a monthly basis when interest payments are made. These settlements occur through the maturity date. The interest rate swaps are being accounted for as cash flow hedges in accordance with FASB authoritative guidance. The fair value of the interest rate swaps was a liability (unrealized loss) of (\$1.4) at December 31, 2013 and (\$4.0) at December 31, 2012.

Foreign Currency Forward Contracts

We could use foreign currency hedge contracts to reduce our exposure to currency exchange rate fluctuations, which include hedging contracts to hedge U.S. dollar revenue from certain customers. The objective of these contracts would minimize the impact of currency exchange rate movements on our operating results. The hedges would be accounted for as cash flow hedges in accordance with FASB authoritative guidance. Gains and losses from cash flow hedges would be recorded to other comprehensive income until the underlying transaction for which the hedge was placed occurs and then the value in other comprehensive income is reclassified to earnings. The exception to the aforementioned treatment of realized gains/losses involves certain cash payments to Airbus, payable in British pounds sterling which were hedged, and this amount in other comprehensive income was reclassified into other assets when the underlying transaction occurred and will be amortized over the first A350 XWB contract block.

The following table summarizes the Company's fair value of outstanding derivatives at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

	Other Liability Derivatives	
	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments		
Interest rate swaps		
Current	\$ 1.4	\$ 2.8
Non-current		1.2
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments	1.4	4.0
Total derivatives	\$ 1.4	\$ 4.0

The impact on other comprehensive income ("OCI") and earnings from cash flow hedges for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 was as follows:

Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in OCI, net of tax, on Derivative (Effective Portion) For the Twelve Months Ended		Location of (Gain) or Loss Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Amount of Loss Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion) For the Twelve Months Ended	
	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012		December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Interest rate swaps	\$	\$	Interest expense Sales/ Revenue	\$	\$ 6.1

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

Foreign currency hedge
contracts

Total	\$	\$	\$	\$	6.1
-------	----	----	----	----	-----

The impact on earnings from interest rate swaps that are no longer effective was a loss of \$(0.8) and \$(1.0) for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

The impact on earnings from foreign currency hedge contracts that do not qualify as cash flow hedges was zero and income of \$0.3 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

14. Investments

The amortized cost and approximate fair value of held-to-maturity securities are as follows:

	December 31, 2013		December 31, 2012	
	Current	Noncurrent	Current	Noncurrent
Government and Corporate Debt Securities				
Amortized cost	\$ 0.5	\$ 3.1	\$ 0.6	\$ 2.8
Unrealized gains		0.1		0.1
Unrealized losses		(0.1)		
Fair value	\$ 0.5	\$ 3.1	\$ 0.6	\$ 2.9

Maturities of held-to-maturity securities at December 31, 2013 are as follows:

	Amortized Cost	Approximate Fair Value
Within One Year	\$ 0.5	\$ 0.5
One to Five Years	1.2	1.2
Five to Ten Years	0.1	0.1
After Ten Years	1.8	1.8
Total	\$ 3.6	\$ 3.6

At December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the fair value of certain investments in debt and marketable securities are less than their historical cost. Total fair value of these investments was \$1.8 and \$0.8, respectively, for the periods then ended, which is approximately 51% and 22%, respectively, of the Company's held-to-maturity investment portfolio. These declines primarily resulted from decreases in market interest rates and failure of certain investments to maintain consistent credit quality ratings or meet projected earnings targets.

Based on evaluation of available evidence, including changes in market interest rates, credit rating information and information obtained from regulatory filings, management believes the declines in fair value for these securities are temporary.

Should the impairment of any of these securities become other-than-temporary, the cost basis of the investment will be reduced and the resulting loss recognized in net income in the period in which the permanent impairment is identified.

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)**15. Debt**

Total debt shown on the balance sheet is comprised of the following:

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Senior secured term loan (short and long-term)	\$ 538.2	\$ 543.4
Senior notes (due 2017 and 2020)	596.4	595.6
Malaysian term loan	10.0	13.4
Present value of capital lease obligations	15.3	16.4
Other	7.4	7.4
Total	\$ 1,167.3	\$ 1,176.2

Senior Secured Credit Facilities

On April 18, 2012, Spirit entered into a \$1.2 billion senior secured Credit Agreement (the "Credit Agreement") consisting of a \$650.0 revolving credit facility and a \$550.0 term loan B facility. The Credit Agreement refinanced and replaced the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of November 27, 2006, as amended. Proceeds of the new term loan were used to pay off outstanding amounts under the prior credit agreement. The revolving credit facility matures April 18, 2017 and bears interest, at Spirit's option, at either LIBOR, or a defined "base rate" plus an applicable margin based on Spirit's debt-to-EBITDA ratio (see table below). The term loan matures April 18, 2019 and bears interest, at Spirit's option, at LIBOR plus 3.00% with a LIBOR floor of 0.75% or base rate plus 2.00%, subject to a step down to LIBOR plus 2.75% or base rate plus 1.75%, as applicable, in the event Spirit's secured debt-to-EBITDA ratio is below 1:1 at any time after 2012. Substantially all of Spirit's assets, including inventory and property, plant and equipment, were pledged as collateral for both the term loan and the revolving credit facility. As of December 31, 2013, the outstanding balance of the term loan was \$540.4. As of December 31, 2012, the outstanding balance of the term loan was \$545.9. As of December 31, 2013, the carrying amount of the term loan was \$538.2. The amount outstanding under the revolving credit facility was zero as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012. The Company recorded a charge of \$9.5 in 2012 for unamortized deferred financing fees as a result of extinguishment of the debt under the prior credit agreement.

In addition to paying interest on outstanding principal under the Credit Agreement, Spirit is required to pay an unused line fee on the unused portion of the commitments under the revolving credit facility based on Spirit's debt-to-EBITDA ratio (see table below). Spirit is required to pay letter of credit fees equal to the applicable margin for LIBOR rate revolving credit borrowings with respect to letters of credit issued under the revolving credit facility (see table below). Spirit is also required to pay to the issuing banks that issue any letters of credit, letter of credit fronting fees in respect of letters of credit at a rate equal to twenty basis points per year, and to the administrative agent thereunder customary administrative fees.

Pricing Tier	Debt-to-EBITDA Ratio	Commitment Fee	Letter of Credit Fee		Eurodollar Rate Loans	Base Rate Loans
1	≥3.0:1	0.450%	2.50%	2.50%	1.50%	
2	<3.0:1 but ≥2.25:1	0.375%	2.25%	2.25%	1.25%	
3	<2.25:1 but ≥1.75:1	0.300%	2.00%	2.00%	1.00%	
4	<1.75:1	0.250%	1.75%	1.75%	0.75%	

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

The Credit Agreement contains customary affirmative and negative covenants, including restrictions on indebtedness, liens, type of business, acquisitions, investments, sales or transfers of assets, payments of dividends, transactions with affiliates, change in control and other matters customarily restricted in such agreements. The Credit Agreement also contained the following financial covenants (as defined in the Credit Agreement):

Senior Secured Leverage Ratio	Shall not exceed 2.75:1.0
Interest Coverage Ratio	Shall not be less than 4.0:1.0
Total Leverage Ratio	Shall not exceed 4.0:1.0

To address the forward loss charges that the Company recognized in the third quarter of 2012, the Company amended the Credit Agreement effective October 26, 2012. The amendment resulted in a temporary revision of the quarterly financial covenant ratios and increased the amount of time the Company has to apply the proceeds from the insurance settlement in connection with the severe weather event against expenses resulting from the event from 12 months to 24 months before the proceeds may be considered eligible for prepayment against the senior secured credit facility.

Additionally, to address the forward loss charges that the Company recognized in the second quarter of 2013, the Company amended the Credit Agreement effective August 2, 2013. The amendment suspended the existing financial covenant ratios until December 31, 2014. The amendment requires Spirit to meet certain minimum liquidity and borrowing base requirements while the existing financial covenant ratios are suspended. Among other things, the amendment provides for the following key changes during the suspension period:

The applicable margin for the revolving credit facility component of the senior secured Credit Agreement shall be the applicable percentage per annum set forth in Pricing Tier 1 (see table above), plus one-half of one percent (0.5%).

The applicable margin for the term loan B credit facility component of the senior secured Credit Agreement shall be 3.00% per annum for Eurodollar Rate Loans and 2.00% per annum for Base Rate Loans.

The Total Secured Outstandings (as defined in the Credit Agreement) shall not exceed the Aggregate Borrowing Base Amount (as defined in the Credit Agreement) Spirit is required to maintain and liquidity is not to be less than \$500.0.

In addition, pursuant to the amendment the mandatory application of proceeds from the potential sale of the Oklahoma sites to repay the borrowings under the senior secured credit agreement is reduced from 100% to 50%. The Company expects to be in compliance with all required covenants through December 31, 2014.

On February 6, 2014, Moody's Investors Service placed the credit ratings of Spirit AeroSystems, Inc. under review for possible downgrade. A downgrade of our credit ratings could trigger a prepayment based on the excess cash flow prepayment provision under our term loan depending on our total leverage ratio.

Senior Notes

On November 18, 2010, we issued \$300.0 aggregate of 6.75% Senior Notes due December 15, 2020 (the "2020 Notes"), with interest payable, in cash in arrears, on June 15 and December 15 of each year, beginning June 15, 2011. The 2020 Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally, on a senior unsecured basis by the Company and Spirit's existing and future domestic subsidiaries that

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

guarantee Spirit's obligations under Spirit's senior secured credit facility. The carrying value of the 2020 Notes was \$300.0 as of December 31, 2013.

On September 30, 2009, we issued \$300.0 of 7.50% Senior Notes due October 1, 2017 (the "2017 Notes"), with interest payable, in cash in arrears, on April 1 and October 1 of each year, beginning April 1, 2010. The 2017 Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally, on a senior unsecured basis by the Company and Spirit's existing and future domestic subsidiaries that guarantee Spirit's obligations under Spirit's senior secured credit facility. The carrying value of the 2017 Notes was \$296.4 as of December 31, 2013.

As of December 31, 2013, we were and expect to remain in full compliance with all covenants contained in the indentures governing the 2020 Notes and the 2017 Notes through December 31, 2014.

Malaysian Facility Agreement

On June 2, 2008, the Company's wholly-owned subsidiary, Spirit AeroSystems Malaysia SDN BHD entered into a Facility Agreement for a term loan facility for Ringgit Malaysia ("RM") 69.2 (approximately USD \$20.0 equivalent) (the "Malaysia Facility"), with the Malaysian Export-Import Bank. The Malaysia Facility requires quarterly principal repayments of RM3.3 (approximately USD \$1.0) from September 2011 through May 2017 and quarterly interest payments payable at a fixed interest rate of 3.50% per annum. The Malaysia Facility loan balance as of December 31, 2013 was \$10.0.

French Factory Capital Lease Agreement

On July 17, 2009, the Company's indirect wholly-owned subsidiary, Spirit AeroSystems France SARL entered into a capital lease agreement for €9.0 (approximately USD \$13.1 equivalent) with a subsidiary of BNP Paribas Bank to be used towards the construction of our aerospace-related component assembly plant in Saint-Nazaire, France. Lease payments are variable, subject to the three-month Euribor rate plus 2.20%. Lease payments are due quarterly through April 2025. As of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Saint-Nazaire capital lease balance was \$10.7 and \$11.0, respectively.

Nashville Design Center Capital Lease Agreement

On September 21, 2012, the Company entered into a capital lease agreement for \$2.6 for a portion of an office building in Nashville, Tennessee to be used for design of aerospace components. Lease payments are due monthly, and are subject to yearly rate increases until the end of the lease term of 124 months. As of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Nashville Design Center capital lease balance was \$2.5 and \$2.6, respectively.

16. Pension and Other Post-Retirement Benefits

Multi-employer Pension Plan

In connection with the collective bargaining agreement signed with the International Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers (IAM), the Company contributes to a multi-employer defined benefit pension plan (IAM National Pension Fund). The level of contribution, as specified in the bargaining agreement was \$1.35 per hour of employee service for a maximum of 80 hours per bi-weekly pay period through June 30, 2010. Effective July 1, 2010 the contribution per the collective bargaining agreement is \$1.50 per hour of employee service for a maximum of 80 hours per bi-weekly pay period. The IAM bargaining agreement provides for a \$0.05 increase per hour in the contribution rate beginning on July 1, 2011, with an additional \$0.05 increase effective July 1 of each year through 2019.

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

The collective bargaining agreement with the UAW requires the Company to contribute a specified amount per hour of service to a multi-employer defined benefit pension plan (IAM National Pension Fund). The specified amount was \$1.30 in 2010. Per the negotiated UAW collective bargaining agreement, the pension contributions will be as follows:

Effective 1/1/2011	\$1.45
Effective 1/1/2012	\$1.50
Effective 1/1/2014	\$1.55
Effective 1/1/2016	\$1.60
Effective 1/1/2018	\$1.65
Effective 1/1/2019	\$1.70
Effective 1/1/2020	\$1.75

The risk of this multi-employer plan is different from single-employer plans in the following aspects:

1. Assets contributed to the multi-employer plan by one employer may be used to provide benefits to employees of other participating employers.
2. If a participating employer stops contributing to the plan, the unfunded obligations of the plan may be borne by the remaining participating employers.
3. If the Company chooses to stop participating in the multi-employer plan, the Company may be required to pay the plan an amount based on the underfunded status of the plan, referred to as a withdrawal liability.

The following table summarizes the multi-employer plan to which the Company contributes:

Pension Fund	EIN/Pension Plan Number	Pension Protection Act Zone Status		FIP/RP Status Pending/Implemented	Contributions of the Company			Surcharge Imposed	Expiration Date of Collective-Bargaining Agreement
		2012	2013		2011	2012	2013		
IAM National Pension Fund	51-60321295	Green	Green	No	\$ 22.8	\$ 25.9	\$ 27.9	No	IAM June 27, 2020 UAW November 30, 2020

Pension Fund	Year Company Contributions to Plan Exceeded More Than 5 Percent of Total Contributions (as of December 31 of the Plan's Year-End)
IAM National Pension Fund	2011, 2012, 2013

Defined Contribution Plans

The Company contributes to a defined contribution plan available to all U.S. employees, excluding IAM and UAW represented employees. Under the plan, the Company makes a matching contribution of 75% of the employee contribution to a maximum 8% of eligible individual employee compensation. In addition, non-matching contributions based on an employee's age and years of service are paid at the end of each calendar year for certain employee groups.

The Company recorded \$39.1, \$39.5 and \$38.4 in contributions to these plans for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

On April 1, 2006, as part of the acquisition of BAE Aerostructures, the Company established a defined contribution pension plan for those employees who are hired after the date of acquisition. Under the plan, the Company contributes 8% of basic salary while participating employees are required to contribute 4% of basic salary. The Company recorded \$1.8 in contributions to this plan for the period ended December 31, 2013, \$0.8 in contributions for the period ended December 31, 2012 and \$0.7 in contributions for the period ended December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

Defined Benefit Pension Plans

Effective June 17, 2005, pension assets and liabilities were spun-off from three Boeing qualified plans into four qualified Spirit AeroSystems plans for each Spirit employee who did not retire from Boeing by August 1, 2005. Effective December 31, 2005, all four qualified plans were merged together. In addition, Spirit has one nonqualified plan providing supplemental benefits to executives (SERP) who transferred from a Boeing nonqualified plan to a Spirit AeroSystems plan and elected to keep their benefits in this plan. Both plans are frozen as of the date of the Boeing Acquisition (i.e., no future service benefits are being earned in these plans). We intend to fund our qualified pension plan through a trust. Pension assets are placed in trust solely for the benefit of the pension plans' participants and are structured to maintain liquidity that is sufficient to pay benefit obligations.

On April 1, 2006, as part of the acquisition of BAE Aerostructures, the Company established a defined benefit pension plan for those employees that had pension benefits remaining in BAE Systems' pension plan. In accordance with U.K. legislation, the plan and its assets are managed by an independent trustee company. The investment strategy adopted by this trustee is documented in a Statement of Investment Principles in line with U.K. legislation. The principles for the investment strategy are to maximize the long-term rate of return on plan assets within an acceptable level of risk while maintaining adequate funding levels. The trustee has invested the plan assets in pooled arrangements with authorized investment companies which were selected to be consistent with the plan's overall investment principles and strategy. The specified target asset allocation is 55% equities, 5% real estate, 20% corporate bonds and 20% government bonds.

Effective December 31, 2013, the U.K. pension plan was closed and benefits were frozen and thereafter subject only to statutory pension revaluation, resulting in a net curtailment gain of \$13.1. This gain was due to the loss of salary linkage for employed members of the plan, less the cost of other benefit changes made as part of the plan closure.

Other Post-Retirement Benefit Plans

We also have post-retirement health care coverage for eligible U.S. retirees and qualifying dependents prior to age 65. Eligibility for employer-provided benefits is limited to those employees who were employed at the date of acquisition (Spirit) and retire on or after attainment of age 62 and 10 years of service. Employees who do not satisfy these eligibility requirements can retire with post-retirement medical benefits at age 55 and 10 years of service, but they must pay the full cost of medical benefits provided.

Obligations and Funded Status

The following tables reconcile the funded status of both pension and post-retirement medical benefits to the balance on the consolidated balance sheets for the fiscal years 2013 and 2012. Benefit obligation balances presented in the tables reflect the projected benefit obligation (PBO) and accumulated benefit obligation (ABO) for our pension plans, and accumulated post-retirement benefit obligations (APBO) for our post-retirement medical plan. We use an end of fiscal year measurement date of December 31 for our U.S. pension and post-retirement medical plans as required by FASB authoritative guidance.

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

U.S. Plans	Pension Benefits Periods Ended December 31,		Other Post-Retirement Benefits Periods Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Change in projected benefit obligation:				
Beginning balance	\$ 1,080.3	\$ 909.9	\$ 75.7	\$ 83.8
Service cost			2.8	3.3
Employee contributions				
Interest cost	42.9	42.5	2.1	3.5
Actuarial (gains) and losses	(148.5)	135.0	(8.6)	(14.7)
Special Termination Benefits	(4.1)			
Benefits paid	(21.2)	(7.1)	(0.4)	(0.2)
Projected benefit obligation at the end of the period	\$ 949.4	\$ 1,080.3	\$ 71.6	\$ 75.7
Assumptions used to determine benefit obligation:				
Discount rate	4.89%	4.69%	3.89%	2.94%
Rate of compensation increase	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Medical assumptions:				
Trend assumed for the year	N/A	N/A	8.05%	8.50%
Ultimate trend rate	N/A	N/A	4.50%	4.50%
Year that ultimate trend rate is reached	N/A	N/A	2030	2030
Change in fair value of plan assets:				
Beginning balance	\$ 1,149.0	\$ 1,027.2	\$	\$
Actual return on assets	51.5	130.9		
Employer contributions to plan			0.4	0.2
Benefits paid	(21.2)	(7.1)	(0.4)	(0.2)
Expenses paid		(2.0)		
Ending balance	\$ 1,179.3	\$ 1,149.0	\$	\$
Reconciliation of funded status to net amounts recognized:				
Funded status (deficit)	\$ 230.0	\$ 68.7	\$ 71.6	\$ 75.7
Employer contributions between measurement date and fiscal year end				
Net amounts recognized	\$ 230.0	\$ 68.7	\$ 71.6	\$ 75.7

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

Amounts recognized in the balance sheet:

Noncurrent assets	\$	231.1	\$	69.9	\$		\$
Current liabilities						(2.9)	(1.3)
Noncurrent liabilities		(1.1)		(1.2)		(68.7)	(74.4)
Net amounts recognized	\$	230.0	\$	68.7	\$	(71.6)	\$ (75.7)

Amounts not yet reflected in net periodic benefit cost and included in AOCI:

Accumulated gain (loss)		(89.7)		(221.5)		4.2	(4.4)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI)	\$	(89.7)	\$	(221.5)	\$	4.2	\$ (4.4)
Cumulative employer contributions in excess of net periodic benefit cost		319.7		290.2		(75.8)	(71.2)
Net amount recognized in the balance sheet	\$	230.0	\$	68.7	\$	(71.6)	\$ (75.6)

Information for pension plans with benefit obligations in excess of plan assets:

Projected benefit obligation/APBO	\$	1.1	\$	1.2	\$	71.6	\$ 75.7
Accumulated benefit obligation		1.1		1.2			

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

U.K. Plans	Pension Benefits Periods Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Change in projected benefit obligation:		
Beginning balance	\$ 70.3	\$ 57.2
Service cost	7.2	7.4
Interest cost	3.2	2.8
Employee contributions	0.1	0.1
Actuarial (gains) and losses	9.6	(0.2)
Benefits paid	(0.6)	(0.3)
Rebates from U.K. Government		0.2
Plan Curtailments	(13.1)	
Exchange rate changes	1.6	3.1
Projected benefit obligation at the end of the period	\$ 78.3	\$ 70.3
Assumptions used to determine benefit obligation:		
Discount rate	4.75%	4.70%
Rate of compensation increase	3.25%	3.10%
Change in fair value of plan assets:		
Beginning balance	\$ 78.8	\$ 57.7
Actual return on assets	9.9	8.1
Company contributions	9.0	9.0
Employee contributions	0.1	0.1
Rebates from U.K. Government		0.9
Benefits paid	(0.6)	(0.3)
Exchange rate changes	2.5	3.3
Ending balance	\$ 99.7	\$ 78.8
Reconciliation of funded status to net amounts recognized:		
Funded status (deficit)	21.5	8.5
Net amounts recognized	\$ 21.5	\$ 8.5

Amounts recognized in the balance sheet:

Noncurrent assets	\$ 21.5	\$ 8.5
Noncurrent liabilities		

Net amounts recognized	\$ 21.5	\$ 8.5
------------------------	---------	--------

Amounts not yet reflected in net periodic benefit cost and included in AOCI:

Accumulated gain (loss)	4.4	8.9
-------------------------	-----	-----

Accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI)	4.4	8.9
---	-----	-----

Prepaid (unfunded accrued) pension cost	17.1	(0.4)
---	------	-------

Net amount recognized in the balance sheet	\$ 21.5	\$ 8.5
--	---------	--------

Information for pension plans with benefit obligations in excess of plan assets:

Projected benefit obligation/APBO	\$	\$
-----------------------------------	----	----

Accumulated benefit obligation

Fair value of assets	\$	\$
----------------------	----	----

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)*Annual Expense*

The components of pension and other post-retirement benefit plans expense for the U.S. plans and the assumptions used to determine benefit obligations for 2013, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

U.S. Plans	Pension Benefits Periods Ended December 31,			Other Post-Retirement Benefits Periods Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011	2013	2012	2011
Components of net periodic benefit cost (income):						
Service cost	\$	\$	\$	\$ 2.7	\$ 3.3	\$ 3.0
Interest cost	42.9	42.5	41.9	2.1	3.5	3.7
Expected return on plan assets	(80.0)	(71.6)	(63.8)			
Amortization of net (gain) loss	11.8	5.7			1.2	0.7
Special Termination Benefits	(4.1)					
Net periodic benefit cost (income)	(29.4)	(23.4)	(21.9)	4.8	8.0	7.4

Other changes recognized in OCI:

Total recognized in OCI (income) loss	\$ (131.8)	\$ 72.0	\$ 73.3	\$ (8.6)	\$ (15.9)	\$ 4.6
Total recognized in net periodic benefit cost and OCI	\$ (161.2)	\$ 48.6	\$ 51.4	\$ (3.8)	\$ (7.9)	\$ 12.0

Assumptions used to determine net periodic benefit costs:

Discount rate	4.01%	4.69%	5.67%	2.94%	4.23%	5.33%
Expected return on plan assets	7.00%	7.00%	7.00%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Salary increases	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Medical Assumptions:

Trend assumed for the year	N/A	N/A	N/A	8.50%	8.97%	9.47%
Ultimate trend rate	N/A	N/A	N/A	4.50%	4.50%	4.50%
Year that ultimate trend rate is reached	N/A	N/A	N/A	2030	2030	2030

The estimated net (gain) loss that will be amortized from other comprehensive income into net periodic benefit cost over the next fiscal year for each of Pension Benefits and Other Post-Retirement Benefits plans is zero.

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

The components of the pension benefit plan expense for the U.K. plans and the assumptions used to determine benefit obligations for 2013, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

U.K. Plans	Pension Benefits Periods Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Components of net periodic benefit cost (income):			
Service cost	\$ 7.2	\$ 7.4	\$ 6.0
Interest cost	3.2	2.8	2.5
Expected return on plan assets	(4.6)	(3.7)	(3.3)
Amortization of net (gain)	(0.1)		(0.3)
Curtailement (gain) loss recognized	(13.1)		
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ (7.4)	\$ 6.5	\$ 4.9
Other changes recognized in OCI:			
Total income recognized in OCI	\$ 4.5	\$ (4.9)	\$ 5.9
Total recognized in net periodic benefit cost and OCI	\$ (2.9)	\$ 1.6	\$ 10.8

Assumptions used to determine net periodic benefit costs:

Discount rate	4.70%	4.80%	5.30%
Expected return on plan assets	5.80%	5.80%	6.10%
Salary increases	3.10%	3.20%	3.55%

The estimated net (gain) loss that will be amortized from other comprehensive income into net periodic benefit cost over the next fiscal year for the U.K. plan is zero.

Assumptions

The Company sets the discount rate assumption annually for each of its retirement-related benefit plans as of the measurement date, based on a review of projected cash flow and a long-term high-quality corporate bond yield curve. The discount rate determined on each measurement date is used to calculate the benefit obligation as of that date, and is also used to calculate the net periodic benefit (income)/cost for the upcoming plan year.

The pension expected return on assets assumption is derived from the long-term expected returns based on the investment allocation by class specified in the Company's investment policy. The expected return on plan assets determined on each measurement date is used to calculate the net periodic benefit (income)/cost of the upcoming plan year.

Assumed health care cost trend rates have a significant effect on the amounts reported for the health care plans. To determine the health care cost trend rates the Company considers national health trends and adjusts for its specific plan design and locations.

A one-percentage point increase in the initial through ultimate assumed health care trend rates would have increased the accumulated post-retirement benefit obligation by \$5.7 at December 31, 2013 and the aggregate service and interest cost components of non-pension

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

post-retirement benefit expense for 2013 by \$0.4. A one-percentage point decrease would have decreased the obligation by \$5.2 and the aggregate service and interest cost components of non-pension post-retirement benefit expense for 2013 by \$0.4.

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)**U.S. Plans**

The Company's investment objective is to achieve long-term growth of capital, with exposure to risk set at an appropriate level. This objective shall be accomplished through the utilization of a diversified asset mix consisting of equities (domestic and international) and taxable fixed income securities. The allowable asset allocation range is:

Equities	20-50%
Fixed income	50-80%
Real estate	0-7%

Investment guidelines include that no security, except issues of the U.S. Government, shall comprise more than 5% of total Plan assets and further, no individual portfolio shall hold more than 7% of its assets in the securities of any single entity, except issues of the U.S. Government. The following derivative transactions are prohibited – leverage, unrelated speculation and "exotic" collateralized mortgage obligations or CMOs. Investments in hedge funds, private placements, oil and gas and venture capital must be specifically approved by the Company in advance of their purchase.

The Company's plans have asset allocations for the U.S., as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, as follows:

Asset Category	U.S.	2013	2012
Equity securities	U.S.	29%	29%
Equity securities	International	4%	4%
Debt securities		65%	65%
Real estate		2%	2%
Total		100%	100%

U.K. Plans

The Trustee's investment objective is to ensure that they can meet their obligation to the beneficiaries of the Plan. An additional objective is to achieve a return on the total Plan, which is compatible with the level of risk considered appropriate. The overall benchmark allocation of the Plan's assets is:

Equity securities	55%
Debt securities	40%
Property	5%

The Company's plans have asset allocations for the U.K., as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, as follows:

Asset Category	U.K.	2013	2012
Equity securities		54%	56%
Debt securities		37%	39%
Other		9%	5%

Total	100%	100%
-------	------	------

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)**Projected contributions and benefit payments**

Required pension contributions under Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA) regulations are expected to be zero in 2014 and discretionary contributions are not expected in 2014. SERP and post-retirement medical plan contributions in 2014 are not expected to exceed \$2.9. Expected contributions to the U.K. plan for 2014 are \$0.7.

We monitor our defined benefit pension plan asset investments on a quarterly basis and we believe that we are not exposed to any significant credit risk in these investments.

The total benefits expected to be paid over the next ten years from the plans' assets or the assets of the Company, by country, are as follows:

U.S.	Pension Plans	Other Post-Retirement Benefit Plans
2014	\$ 15.3	\$ 2.9
2015	\$ 19.6	\$ 5.3
2016	\$ 24.6	\$ 6.0
2017	\$ 29.7	\$ 6.7
2018	\$ 35.0	\$ 7.7
2019-2023	\$ 254.6	\$ 42.3

U.K.	Pension Plans
2014	\$ 0.7
2015	\$ 0.7
2016	\$ 0.7
2017	\$ 0.7
2018	\$ 0.8
2019-2023	\$ 4.2

Fair Value Measurements

The pension plan assets are valued at fair value. A financial instrument's level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of any input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for the investments measured at fair value, including the general classification of such instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy.

Temporary Cash Investments These investments consist of U.S. dollars and foreign currencies held in master trust accounts. Foreign currencies held are reported in terms of U.S. dollars based on currency exchange rates readily available in active markets. These temporary cash investments are classified as level 1 investments.

Collective Investment Trusts These investments are public investment vehicles valued using middle market prices and performance of the fund. The trust allocates notional units to the policy holder based on the underlying notional unit buy (offer) price using the middle market price plus transaction costs. These investments are classified within level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. In addition, the collective investment trust includes a real estate fund which is classified within level 3 of the valuation hierarchy.

Commingled Equity and Bond Funds These investments are valued at the closing price reported by the Plan Trustee. These investments are not being traded in an active market, but are backed by various investment securities managed by the Bank of New York. Fair value is being calculated using unobservable inputs that rely on the Bank of New York's own assumptions and are therefore classified within level 2 of the valuation hierarchy, although these assumptions are based on underlying investments which are traded on an active market.

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

As of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the pension plan assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis were as follows:

Description	December 31, 2013 Total	At December 31, 2013 Using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Temporary cash investments	\$ 1.0	\$ 1.0	\$	\$
Collective Investment Trusts	\$ 98.7	\$	\$ 94.0	\$ 4.7
Commingled Equity and Bond Funds	\$ 1,179.3	\$	\$ 1,179.3	\$
	\$ 1,279.0	\$ 1.0	\$ 1,273.3	\$ 4.7

Description	December 31, 2012 Total	At December 31, 2012 Using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Temporary cash investments	\$ 0.8	\$ 0.8	\$	\$
Collective Investment Trusts	\$ 78.0	\$	\$ 74.1	\$ 3.9
Commingled Equity and Bond Funds	\$ 1,149.0	\$	\$ 1,149.0	\$
	\$ 1,227.8	\$ 0.8	\$ 1,223.1	\$ 3.9

The table below sets forth a summary of changes in the fair value of the Plan's level 3 investment assets and liabilities for the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

Description	December 31, 2013					
	Beginning Fair Value	Purchases	Gain (Loss)	Sales, Maturities, Settlements, Net	Exchange rate	Ending Fair Value
Collective Investment Trusts	\$ 3.9	\$ 0.4	\$ 0.4	\$	\$	\$ 4.7
	\$ 3.9	\$ 0.4	\$ 0.4	\$	\$	\$ 4.7

Description	December 31, 2012						Ending Fair Value
	Beginning Fair Value	Purchases	Gain (Loss)	Sales, Maturities, Settlements, Net	Exchange rate		
<i>Collective Investment Trusts</i>	\$ 3.1	\$ 0.6	\$ 0.2	\$	\$	\$ 3.9	
	\$ 3.1	\$ 0.6	\$ 0.2	\$	\$	\$ 3.9	

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

17. Capital Stock

Holdings has authorized 360,000,000 shares of stock. Of that, 200,000,000 shares are class A common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, one vote per share, 150,000,000 shares are class B common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, ten votes per share, and 10,000,000 shares are preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share.

In association with the Boeing Acquisition, Spirit executives with balances in Boeing's Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (SERP) were authorized to purchase a fixed number of units of Holdings "phantom stock" at \$3.33 per unit based on the present value of their SERP balances. Under this arrangement, 860,244 phantom units were purchased. Any payment on account of units may be made in cash or shares of common stock at the sole discretion of Holdings.

18. Stock Compensation

Holdings has established various stock compensation plans which include restricted share grants and stock purchase plans. Compensation values are based on the value of Holdings' common stock at the grant date. The common stock value is added to equity and charged to period expense or included in inventory and cost of sales.

For the fiscal period ended December 31, 2013, Holdings has recognized a net total of \$19.6 of stock compensation expense. The entire \$19.6 of net stock compensation expense recorded in 2013 was charged directly to selling, general and administrative expense accordance with FASB authoritative guidance, which included \$0.5 of accelerated vesting expense for participants meeting the conditions for "qualifying retirement" under our Short-Term Incentive Plan. Holdings recognized a total of \$15.3 and \$11.2 of stock compensation expense for the periods ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The total income tax benefit recognized in the income statement for share based compensation arrangements was \$7.1, \$5.6, and \$4.1 for 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively.

Executive Incentive Plan

The Company's Executive Incentive Plan, or EIP, is designed to provide participants with the opportunity to acquire an equity interest in the Company through direct purchase of the Company's class B common stock shares at prices established by the Board of Directors or through grants of class B restricted common stock shares with performance based vesting. The Company has the sole authority to designate either stock purchases or grants of restricted shares. The total number of shares authorized under the EIP is 12,000,000 and the grant terminates at the end of ten years.

The Company has issued restricted shares as part of the Company's EIP. The restricted shares have been granted in groups of four shares. Participants do not have the unrestricted rights of stockholders until those shares vest. The shares may vest upon a liquidity event, with the number of shares vested based upon a participant's number of years of service to the Company, the portion of the investment by Onex and its affiliates liquidated through the date of the liquidity event and the return on invested capital by Onex and its affiliates through the date of the liquidity event. If a specific type of liquidity event has not occurred by the 10th year, shares may vest based on a valuation of the Company. The Company's initial public offering in November 2006 (the "IPO") and secondary offerings in May 2007 and April 2011 were considered liquidity events under the EIP. The Company records expenses equal to the fair value of the award over a five-year vesting period. The fair value of the award is based on the value of each share at the time of the grant multiplied by the probability of the share vesting based on historical performance of Onex's controlled investments.

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

The Company did not recognize any expense under the EIP for the year ended December 31, 2013. The Company expensed zero and a net total of less than \$0.1 for the periods ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The weighted average remaining period for the vesting of these shares is 1.5 years. The intrinsic value of the unvested shares based on the value of the Company's stock at December 31, 2013 was \$29.6, based on the value of the Company's stock and the number of unvested shares.

The following table summarizes the activity of restricted shares under the EIP for the periods ended December 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

	Shares (Thousands)	Value ⁽¹⁾
Executive Incentive Plan		
Nonvested at December 31, 2010	1,366	15.4
Vested during period	(446)	(5.1)
Forfeited during period	(40)	(0.5)
Nonvested at December 31, 2011	880	9.8
Vested during period		
Forfeited during period		
Nonvested at December 31, 2012	880	9.8
Vested during period		
Forfeited during period	(11)	
Nonvested at December 31, 2013	869	\$ 9.8

(1) Value represents grant date fair value.

Board of Directors Stock Awards

The Company's Director Stock Plan provides non-employee directors the opportunity to receive grants of restricted shares of class A common stock, or Restricted Stock Units (RSUs) or a combination of both common stock and RSUs. The class A common stock grants and RSU grants vest one year from the grant date. The RSU grants are payable upon the director's separation from service. The Board of Directors or its authorized committee may make discretionary grants of shares or RSUs from time to time. The maximum aggregate number of shares that may be granted to participants is 3,000,000 shares. In April 2008, the Director Stock Plan was amended such that all issuances of stock pursuant to the plan after that date would be grants of class A common stock or RSUs. All shares granted prior to April 2008 were class B common stock.

For each non-employee director of the Company, at least one-half of their annual director compensation is required to be paid in the form of a grant of class A common stock and/or RSUs, as elected by each director. In addition, each director may elect to have all or any portion of the remainder of their annual director compensation paid in cash or in the form of a grant of class A stock and/or RSUs. If participants cease to serve as directors within a year of the grant, the restricted shares and/or RSUs are forfeited. In May and December of 2013, the Board of Directors

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

authorized a grant of 34,747 and 4,321 shares of restricted class A common stock, respectively, valued at \$0.9 based on the share price of the Company's common stock at the grant dates. The Company expensed a net amount of \$0.7 for the Board of Directors shares for the period ended December 31, 2013. The Company expensed \$0.7 during each of the periods ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011. The Company's unamortized stock

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

compensation related to these restricted shares is \$0.4 which will be recognized over a weighted average remaining period of 11 months. The intrinsic value of the unvested shares based on the value of the Company's stock at December 31, 2013 was \$1.3, based on the value of the Company's stock and the number of unvested shares.

The following table summarizes stock and RSU grants to members of the Company's Board of Directors for the periods ended December 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

	Shares		Value ⁽¹⁾	
	Class A	Class B	Class A	Class B
	(Thousands)			
Board of Directors Stock Grants				
Nonvested at December 31, 2010	33		\$ 0.7	\$
Granted during period	30		0.7	
Vested during period	(33)		(0.7)	
Forfeited during period	(3)		(0.1)	
Nonvested at December 31, 2011	27		0.6	
Granted during period	29		0.7	
Vested during period	(27)		(0.6)	
Forfeited during period				
Nonvested at December 31, 2012	29		0.7	
Granted during period	39		0.9	
Vested during period	(29)		(0.7)	
Forfeited during period				
Nonvested at December 31, 2013	39		\$ 0.9	\$

(1) Value represents grant date fair value.

Short-Term Incentive Plan

The Second Amended and Restated Short-Term Incentive Plan ("STIP") enables eligible employees to receive incentive benefits in the form of restricted stock in the Company, cash, or both, as determined by the Board of Directors or its authorized committee. The stock portion vests one year from the date of grant. Restricted shares are forfeited if the employee's employment terminates prior to vesting. In August 2011, the STIP was amended such that all unvested stock will vest in the event of a qualifying retirement or change in control.

In February 2013, 86,063 shares of Class A common stock with a value of \$1.4 were granted under the Company's STIP for 2012 performance and will vest on the one-year anniversary of the grant date. The Company expensed \$1.3 for shares granted under the STIP for the period ended December 31, 2013. The Company expensed \$2.9 and \$3.9 for the shares for the periods ended December 31, 2012 and

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

December 31, 2011, respectively. The Company's unamortized stock compensation related to the unvested shares is \$0.1, which will be recognized over a weighted average remaining period of 2 months. The intrinsic value of the unvested shares at December 31, 2013 was \$2.1 based on the value of the Company's stock and the number of unvested shares.

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

The following table summarizes the activity of the restricted shares under the STIP for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

	Shares (Thousands)	Value ⁽¹⁾
Short-Term Incentive Plan		
Nonvested at December 31, 2010		
Granted during period	185	4.7
Vested during period	(10)	(0.3)
Forfeited during period	(4)	(0.1)
Nonvested at December 31, 2011	171	4.3
Granted during period	104	2.5
Vested during period	(170)	(4.3)
Forfeited during period	(9)	(0.2)
Nonvested at December 31, 2012	96	2.3
Granted during period	86	1.4
Vested during period	(113)	(2.6)
Forfeited during period	(7)	(0.1)
Nonvested at December 31, 2013	62	\$ 1.0

(1) Value represents grant date fair value.

Long-Term Incentive Plan

The Fourth Amended and Restated Long-Term Incentive Plan ("LTIP") is designed to encourage retention of key employees.

For shares granted in 2007, one-half of the granted restricted shares of class B common stock vested on the second anniversary of the grant date in February 2009, and the other one-half vested on the fourth anniversary of the grant date in 2011. Restricted shares are forfeited if the participant's employment terminates prior to vesting. In the first quarter of 2007, 67,391 shares valued at \$2.0 were granted. The Company expensed zero, zero and less than \$0.1 net of forfeitures for each of the periods ended December 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

In February and April 2013, as part of the Company's 2012 Long-Term Incentive Plan, 9,460 and 33,784 shares of class A common stock with an aggregate grant date fair value of \$0.8 were granted by the Board of Directors, and such shares will vest annually in three equal installments beginning on the two-year anniversary of the grant date.

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

In May 2013, 1,326,299 class A shares valued at \$27.6 were granted pursuant to the LTIP and will vest annually in three equal installments beginning on the two-year anniversary of the grant date. Additionally, 288,047 class A shares valued at \$6.0 were granted and will vest annually in three equal installments beginning on the one-year anniversary date of April 2013.

In June 2013, 34,425 class A shares valued at \$0.7 were granted pursuant to the LTIP to employees at the UK location pursuant to a union contract ratification. These shares vested approximately one week after issuance.

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

In August and November 2013, an additional 37,754 and 103,337 class A shares totaling \$4.0 were granted pursuant to the LTIP and will vest annually in three equal installments beginning on the two-year anniversary of the May 2013 grant date. Additionally, 33,859 class A shares valued at \$1.0 were granted in November 2013 and will vest annually in three equal installments beginning September 2014.

In May 2012, 618,804 class A shares valued at \$15.3 were granted and will vest annually in three equal installments beginning on the two-year anniversary of the grant date. In August and November 2012, an additional 21,590 and 35,578 class A shares valued at \$1.1 were granted and will vest annually in three equal installments beginning on the two-year anniversary of the May 2012 grant date. An additional 6,153 shares valued at \$0.1 were granted during 2012. These shares will vest annually in three equal installments beginning on the two-year anniversary of the May 2011 grant date. In May 2011, 548,334 class A shares valued at \$12.9 were granted (and an additional 1,826 class A shares valued at less than \$0.1 were granted during February 2011 for 2010 compensation). These shares begin to vest annually in three equal installments beginning on the two-year anniversary of the May 2010 grant date.

During 2012, 92,250 shares of class A common stock valued at \$2.2 were granted to members of the UAW union pursuant to performance improvements set forth in the 2010 ten-year labor contract. These shares vested immediately upon issuance.

During 2011, 500 shares of class A common stock with a value of less than \$0.1 were granted to members of the UAW union under the LTIP pursuant to the ten-year labor contract. These shares vested immediately and the value was charged directly to cost of sales.

The Company expensed a total of \$17.6 for the unvested class A LTIP shares in the twelve months ended December 31, 2013. The Company expensed a net total of \$11.9 and \$7.0 for class A LTIP shares for the periods ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

The Company's unamortized stock compensation related to these unvested class A shares is \$22.7 which will be recognized over a weighted average remaining period of 3.2 years. The intrinsic value of the unvested class A LTIP shares at December 31, 2013 was \$80.3, based on the value of the Company's common stock and the number of unvested shares.

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

The following table summarizes the activity of the restricted shares under the LTIP for the periods ended December 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

	Shares		Value ⁽¹⁾	
	Class A	Class B	Class A	Class B
	(Thousands)			
Long-Term Incentive Plan				
Nonvested at December 31, 2010	1,490	28	\$ 27.6	\$ 0.8
Granted during period	550		13.0	
Vested during period	(307)	(28)	(5.3)	(0.8)
Forfeited during period	(175)		(3.3)	
Nonvested at December 31, 2011	1,558		32.0	
Granted during period	774		18.8	
Vested during period	(513)		(10.0)	
Forfeited during period	(115)		(2.5)	
Nonvested at December 31, 2012	1,704		38.3	
Granted during period	1,867		40.1	
Vested during period	(552)		(11.0)	
Forfeited during period	(661)		(15.1)	
Nonvested at December 31, 2013	2,358		\$ 52.3	\$

(1) Value represents grant date fair value.

19. Income Taxes

The following summarizes pretax income:

	2013	2012	2011
U.S.	\$ (499.8)	\$ (33.6)	\$ 265.9
International	69.0	45.0	14.4
Total	\$ (430.8)	\$ 11.4	\$ 280.3

The tax provision contains the following components:

	2013	2012	2011
Current			
Federal	\$ (17.1)	\$ 89.8	\$ 59.6
State	(2.1)	1.9	3.4
Foreign	4.2	4.3	5.8
Total current	\$ (15.0)	\$ 96.0	\$ 68.8
Deferred			
Federal	\$ 139.0	\$ (104.0)	\$ 20.3
State	57.8	(19.0)	(2.0)
Foreign	9.3	2.9	(0.2)
Total deferred	206.1	(120.1)	18.1
Total tax expense	\$ 191.1	\$ (24.1)	\$ 86.9

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

The income tax provision from operations differs from the tax provision computed at the U.S. federal statutory income tax rate due to the following:

	2013		2012		2011	
Tax at U.S. Federal statutory rate	\$ (150.8)	35.0%	\$ 4.0	35.0%	\$ 98.1	35.0%
State income taxes, net of Federal benefit	(12.2)	2.8	(1.8)	(15.8)	6.3	2.2
State income tax credits, net of Federal benefit	(7.7)	1.8	(9.8)	(86.0)	(5.4)	(1.9)
Foreign rate differences	(6.8)	1.6	(4.6)	(40.4)	1.4	0.5
Research and Experimentation	(10.9)	2.5	(3.2)	(28.1)	(10.2)	(3.6)
Domestic Production Activities Deduction			(8.8)	(77.2)	(4.7)	(1.7)
Interest on assessments	(0.6)	0.1	0.3	2.6	0.8	0.4
Valuation Allowance U.S. Deferred Tax Asset	381.0	(88.4)				
Other	(0.9)	0.2	(0.2)	(1.5)	0.6	0.1
Total provision for income taxes	\$ 191.1	(44.4)%	\$ (24.1)	(211.4)%	\$ 86.9	31.0%

Significant tax effected temporary differences comprising the net deferred tax asset are as follows:

	2013	2012
Long-term contracts	\$ 409.9	\$ 234.6
Post-retirement benefits other than pensions	26.6	28.6
Pension and other employee benefit plans	(68.0)	(3.9)
Employee compensation accruals	45.8	35.9
Depreciation and amortization	(123.7)	(106.9)
Inventory	3.4	(1.4)
Interest swap contracts	0.9	(0.1)
State income tax credits	61.1	49.8
Accruals and reserves	36.6	20.2
Deferred production	4.1	19.5
Deferred gain severe weather event	(21.5)	(39.1)
Net operating loss carryforward	1.3	10.7
Other	4.2	1.1

Net deferred tax asset	380.7	249.0
Valuation allowance	(396.5)	(10.4)

Net deferred tax asset	\$ (15.8)	\$ 238.6
------------------------	-----------	----------

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

Deferred tax detail above is included in the consolidated balance sheet and supplemental information as follows:

	2013	2012
Current deferred tax assets	\$ 26.9	\$ 57.1
Current deferred tax liabilities	(0.5)	(3.3)
Net current deferred tax asset	\$ 26.4	\$ 53.8
Non-current deferred tax assets	0.0	192.0
Non-current deferred tax liabilities	(42.2)	(7.2)
Net non-current deferred tax asset	\$ (42.2)	\$ 184.8
Total deferred tax asset	\$ (15.8)	\$ 238.6

A valuation allowance, if needed, reduces deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized. When determining the amount of net deferred tax assets that are more likely than not to be realized, Management assesses all available positive and negative evidence. This evidence includes, but is not limited to, prior earnings history, expected future earnings, carry-back and carry-forward periods and the feasibility of ongoing tax strategies that could potentially enhance the likelihood of the realization of a deferred tax asset. The weight given to the positive and negative evidence is commensurate with the extent the evidence may be objectively verified. As such, it is generally difficult for positive evidence regarding projected future taxable income exclusive of reversing taxable temporary differences to outweigh objective negative evidence of recent financial reporting losses.

Based on these criteria and the relative weighting of both the positive and negative evidence available, and in particular the activity surrounding the Company's prior earnings history including the forward losses previously recognized in the U.S., management determined that it was necessary to establish a valuation allowance against nearly all of its net U.S. deferred tax assets at December 31, 2013. This determination was made as the Company entered into a cumulative loss position in recent years once results from the year ended December 31, 2013 were included, the threshold after which there is a presumption that a company should no longer rely solely on projected future income in determining whether the deferred tax asset is more likely than not to be realized. As of December 31, 2013, the total net U.S. deferred tax asset was \$399.6. The net U.S. deferred tax asset after recording valuation allowances is \$3.7. Valuation allowances recorded against the consolidated net U.S. deferred tax asset in the current year were \$381.0. Additionally, the Company maintains a \$14.9 valuation allowance against separate company state income tax credits and previously recorded other U.S. issues and \$0.6 for other foreign issues which is an increase of \$5.1 from the prior year. The Company will continue to monitor its deferred tax position and may adjust the valuation allowance, if necessary, for utilization of the underlying deferred tax assets through current taxable income or as available evidence changes.

Certain amounts in the 2012 and 2011 tax footnote have been reclassified to conform to the 2013 presentation.

The increase from 2012 to 2013 in the long-term contracts deferred tax asset is primarily due to forward losses recognized during 2013 that are not currently deductible for tax.

The decrease from 2012 to 2013 in the severe weather event deferred tax liability represents the identification and capitalization of qualified replacement property for book purposes with a corresponding increase to the deferred tax liability for depreciation and amortization.

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

We have recognized cumulative book income for our international operations, but have incurred cumulative taxable losses in the United Kingdom. The resulting net operating loss carryforward is primarily due to the manner in which the United Kingdom treats long-term contract income accounting and capital allowances.

As required under FASB authoritative guidance, \$0.0 and \$1.1 was recorded to Additional Paid in Capital, representing the tax effect associated with the net excess tax pool created during the periods ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

As of December 31, 2013, the Company has not provided U.S. tax on its cumulative undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries of approximately \$129.0 because it is the Company's intention to reinvest these earnings indefinitely. The calculation of the unrecognized deferred tax liability related to these earnings is complex and the calculation is not practicable. If earnings were distributed, the Company would be subject to U.S. taxes and withholding taxes payable to foreign governments. Based on the facts and circumstances at that time, the Company would determine whether a credit for foreign taxes paid would be available to reduce or offset the U.S. tax liability.

The beginning and ending unrecognized tax benefits reconciliation is as follows:

	2013	2012	2011
Beginning balance	\$ 16.9	\$ 15.5	\$ 15.2
Gross increases related to current period tax positions	3.8	4.2	6.1
Gross increases related to prior period tax positions	0.4	1.8	33.5
Gross decreases related to prior period tax positions	(2.7)	(3.8)	(9.3)
Statute of limitations' expiration		(0.8)	(0.8)
Settlements			(29.2)
Ending balance	\$ 18.4	\$ 16.9	\$ 15.5

Included in the December 31, 2013 balance was \$16.5 in tax affected unrecognized tax benefits which, if ultimately recognized, will reduce the Company's effective tax rate. The Internal Revenue Service's examination of the Company's 2012 U.S. Federal income tax return is complete. The Company will continue to participate in the Compliance Assurance Process ("CAP") program for our 2013 tax year. Additionally, we have been selected for the Compliance Maintenance phase of the CAP program for the 2014 tax year. The CAP program's objective is to resolve issues in a timely, contemporaneous manner and eliminate the need for a lengthy post-filing examination. HM Revenue & Customs is currently examining our 2009, 2010 and 2011 U.K. income tax returns. The Directorate General of Public Finance is currently examining our 2011 and 2012 France income tax returns. While a change could result from the ongoing examinations, the Company expects no material change in its recorded unrecognized tax benefit liability in the next 12 months, other than the potential \$12.2 reduction for Malaysia mentioned below.

Our U.S. federal income tax return for the 2010 tax year is subject to examination. We are also subject to examination in various states and foreign jurisdictions for the 2009-2013 tax years.

We report interest and penalties, if any, related to unrecognized tax benefits in the income tax provision. As of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, accrued interest on our unrecognized tax benefit liability included in the consolidated balance sheets was \$0.1 and \$0.7, respectively. The impact of interest on our unrecognized tax benefit liability during 2013 and 2012 was \$(0.6) and \$0.6, respectively.

We operate under a tax holiday in Malaysia effective through September 2024. During the current year, management continues to maintain a reserve for potential uncertainty in meeting the tax holiday's

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

conditional employment and investment thresholds. While management believes we have met the required employment and investment thresholds, we continue to work through the process of validating the results with the respective Malaysian governmental authorities. If we can successfully demonstrate that the objectives have been achieved and the respective Malaysian authorities certify the results, we expect a \$12.2 reduction in our unrecognized tax benefit liability.

At December 31, 2013, we had \$2.6 in United Kingdom net operating loss carryforwards that do not expire and \$18.1 in North Carolina net operating loss carryforwards that expire in 2025.

At December 31, 2013, we had \$1.1 of U.S. Foreign Tax Credit carryforwards, a portion of which will expire beginning in 2018.

On January 2, 2013, the President signed legislation retroactively extending the U.S. Research Tax Credit for two years, from January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2013. Our income tax expense for 2013 reflects the entire benefit of the Research Tax Credit attributable to 2012, which was \$5.8. We also recorded the benefit of the 2013 Research Tax Credit of \$5.1 in our 2013 tax expense.

Included in the deferred tax assets at December 31, 2013 are \$36.6 in Kansas High Performance Incentive Program ("HPIP") Credit, \$7.3 in Kansas Research & Development ("R&D") Credit, and \$2.7 in Kansas Business and Jobs Development Credit, totaling \$46.6 in Kansas state income tax credit carryforwards, net of federal benefit. The HPIP Credit provides a 10% investment tax credit for qualified business facilities located in Kansas for which \$5.9 expires in 2024, \$0.6 expires in 2025, \$3.5 expires in 2026, \$5.0 expires in 2027, \$9.7 expires in 2028 and the remainder expires in 2029. The R&D Credit provides a credit for qualified research and development expenditures conducted within Kansas. This credit can be carried forward indefinitely. The Business and Jobs Development Credit provides a tax credit for increased employment in Kansas. This credit can be carried forward indefinitely. As previously discussed, management determined that it was necessary to establish a valuation allowance against nearly all of its net U.S. deferred tax assets at December 31, 2013. This determination was made as the Company entered into a cumulative loss position in recent years once results from the year ended December 31, 2013 were included, the threshold after which there is a presumption that the Company should no longer rely solely on projected future income in determining whether the deferred tax asset is more likely than not to be realized. As a result, a full valuation allowance against all Kansas credits included as deferred tax assets is reflected within the total valuation allowance amount.

Included in the deferred tax assets at December 31, 2013 are \$6.8 in North Carolina Investing in Business Property Credit, \$3.9 in North Carolina Investment in Real Property Credit, and \$3.9 in North Carolina Creating Jobs Credit, totaling \$14.6 in North Carolina state income tax credit carryforwards, net of federal benefit. The Investing in Business Property Credit provides a 7% investment tax credit for property located in a North Carolina development area and the Investment in Real Property Credit provides a 30% investment tax credit for real property located in a North Carolina development area. The Creating Jobs Credit provides a tax credit for increased employment in North Carolina. These North Carolina state income tax credits can be carried forward 20 years. It is management's opinion that \$1.6 of these North Carolina state income tax credits will be utilized before they expire and a \$12.9 valuation allowance was recorded, net of federal benefit.

20. Equity

Earnings per Share Calculation

Basic net income per share is computed using the weighted-average number of outstanding shares of common stock during the measurement period. Diluted net income per share is computed using the weighted-average number of outstanding shares of common stock and, when dilutive, potential outstanding shares of common stock during the measurement period.

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

Subject to preferences that may apply to shares of preferred stock outstanding at the time, holders of the Company's outstanding common stock are entitled to any dividend declared by the Board of Directors out of funds legally available for this purpose. No dividend may be declared on the Class A or Class B common stock unless at the same time an equal dividend is paid on every share of Class A and Class B common stock. Dividends paid in shares of the Company's common stock must be paid, with respect to a particular class of common stock, in shares of that class. The Company does not intend to pay cash dividends on its common stock. In addition, the terms of the Company's current financing agreements preclude it from paying any cash dividends on its common stock.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share:

	For the Twelve Months Ended								
	December 31, 2013			December 31, 2012			December 31, 2011		
	Loss	Shares	Per Share Amount	Income	Shares	Per Share Amount	Income	Shares	Per Share Amount
Basic EPS									
(Loss) income available to common shareholders	\$ (621.4)	141.3	\$ (4.40)	\$ 34.4	140.7	\$ 0.24	\$ 189.2	139.2	\$ 1.36
Income allocated to participating securities				0.4	1.5		3.2	2.3	
Net (loss) income	\$ (621.4)			\$ 34.8			\$ 192.4		
Diluted potential common shares					0.5			0.8	
Diluted EPS									
Net (loss) income	\$ (621.4)	141.3	\$ (4.40)	\$ 34.8	142.7	\$ 0.24	\$ 192.4	142.3	\$ 1.35

The balance of outstanding common shares presented in the consolidated statement of shareholders' equity was 144.8 million, 143.7 million and 142.9 million at December 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. Included in the outstanding common shares were 3.4 million, 2.7 million and 2.6 million of issued but unvested shares at December 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, which are excluded from the basic EPS calculation. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, 1.0 million shares are not included in the calculation of diluted earnings per share, as their inclusion would have been anti-dilutive. These securities could be dilutive in future periods.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss is summarized by component as follows:

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Pension	\$ (52.7)	\$ (132.0)
SERP/ Retiree medical	3.1	(2.6)
Foreign currency impact on long term intercompany loan	(2.2)	(3.5)
Currency translation adjustment	(2.8)	(7.1)
Total accumulated other comprehensive loss	\$ (54.6)	\$ (145.2)

Noncontrolling Interest

Noncontrolling interest at December 31, 2013 remained unchanged from the prior year at \$0.5.

138

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

21. Related Party Transactions

On May 3, 2012, Hawker Beechcraft, Inc. ("Hawker") filed for bankruptcy protection under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code and emerged from bankruptcy on February 19, 2013 as Beechcraft Corporation. The Company's Prestwick facility provided wing components for the Hawker 800 Series manufactured by Hawker. For the the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 sales to Hawker were zero, \$1.2 and \$10.2, respectively. Receivables due from Hawker were \$3.5 as of December 31, 2012, net of a \$0.3 receivable write-off. The receivable was reserved against in 2012. The Company's \$3.5 receivable balance from Hawker was written off during 2013.

The Company paid \$0.4, \$0.3 and \$0.2 to a subsidiary of Onex for services rendered for each of the twelve month periods ended December 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. Management believes the amounts charged were reasonable in relation to the services provided.

A director (and former executive) of the Company is a member of the Board of Directors of Rockwell Collins, Inc., a supplier of manufacturing parts to the Company. Under the commercial terms of the arrangement with the supplier, Spirit paid \$0.1 and less than \$0.1 for the twelve month periods ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The amounts owed to Rockwell Collins and recorded as accrued liabilities were less than \$0.1 as of both December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

A director (and former executive) of the Company is a member of the Board of Directors of a Wichita, Kansas bank that provides banking services to Spirit. In connection with the banking services provided to Spirit, the Company pays fees consistent with commercial terms that would be available to unrelated third parties. Such fees are not material to the Company.

22. Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees

Litigation

From time to time we are subject to, and are presently involved in, litigation or other legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. While the final outcome of these matters cannot be predicted with certainty, considering, among other things, the meritorious legal defenses available, it is the opinion of the Company that none of these items, when finally resolved, will have a material adverse effect on the Company's long-term financial position or liquidity. Consistent with the requirements of authoritative guidance on accounting for contingencies, we had an accrual of less than \$1.0 and zero at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. However, an unexpected adverse resolution of one or more of these items could have a material adverse effect on the results of operations and cash flows in a particular quarter or fiscal year.

From time to time, in the ordinary course of business and like others in the industry, we receive requests for information from government agencies in connection with their regulatory or investigational authority. Such requests can include subpoenas or demand letters for documents to assist the government in audits or investigations. We review such requests and notices and take appropriate action. We have been subject to certain requests for information and investigations in the past and could be subject to such requests for information and investigations in the future. Additionally, we are subject to federal and state requirements for protection of the environment, including those for disposal of hazardous waste and remediation of contaminated sites. As a result, we are required to participate in certain government investigations regarding environmental remediation actions.

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

In December 2005, a federal grand jury sitting in Topeka, Kansas issued subpoenas regarding the vapor degreasing equipment at our Wichita, Kansas facility. The government's investigation appeared to focus on whether the degreasers were operating within permit parameters and whether chemical wastes from the degreasers were disposed of properly. The subpoenas covered a time period both before and after our purchase of the Wichita, Kansas facility. Subpoenas were issued to Boeing, Spirit and individuals who were employed by Boeing prior to the Boeing Acquisition, but are now employed by us. We responded to the subpoena and provided additional information to the government as requested. On March 25, 2008, the U.S. Attorney's Office informed the Company that it was closing its criminal file on the investigation. We understand that a civil investigation into this matter may be ongoing but the Company has not been contacted about this matter since the closing of the criminal investigation. Management believes the resolution of this matter will not materially affect the Company's financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

On February 16, 2007, an action entitled Harkness et al. v. The Boeing Company et al. was filed in the U.S. District Court for the District of Kansas. The defendants were served in early July 2007. The defendants included Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc., Spirit AeroSystems, Inc., the Spirit AeroSystems Holdings Inc. Retirement Plan for the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers (IBEW), Wichita Engineering Unit (SPEEA WEU) and Wichita Technical and Professional Unit (SPEEA WTPU) Employees, and the Spirit AeroSystems Retirement Plan for International Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers (IAM) Employees, along with Boeing and Boeing retirement and health plan entities. The named plaintiffs are twelve former Boeing employees, eight of whom were or are employees of Spirit. The plaintiffs assert several claims under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act and general contract law and brought the case as a class action on behalf of similarly situated individuals. The putative class consists of approximately 2,500 current or former employees of Spirit. The parties agreed to class certification. The sub-class members who asserted claims against the Spirit entities are those individuals who, as of June 2005, were employed by Boeing in Wichita, Kansas, were participants in the Boeing pension plan, had at least 10 years of vesting service in the Boeing plan, were in jobs represented by a union, were between the ages of 49 and 55, and who went to work for Spirit on or about June 17, 2005. Although there were many claims in the suit, the plaintiffs' claims against the Spirit entities, asserted under various theories, were (1) that the Spirit plans wrongfully failed to determine that certain plaintiffs are entitled to early retirement "bridging rights" to pension and retiree medical benefits that were allegedly triggered by their separation from employment by Boeing and (2) that the plaintiffs' pension benefits were unlawfully transferred from Boeing to Spirit in that their claimed early retirement "bridging rights" are not being afforded these individuals as a result of their separation from Boeing, thereby decreasing their benefits. The plaintiffs initially sought a declaration that they were entitled to the early retirement pension benefits and retiree medical benefits, an injunction ordering that the defendants provide the benefits, damages pursuant to breach of contract claims and attorney fees. On June 20, 2013, the district court entered an order dismissing all claims against the Spirit entities with prejudice. Plaintiffs' claims against Boeing entities remain pending in the litigation. Boeing has notified Spirit that it believes it is entitled to indemnification from Spirit for any "indemnifiable damages" it may incur in the Harkness litigation, under the terms of the asset purchase agreement from the Boeing Acquisition between Boeing and Spirit. Spirit disputes Boeing's position on indemnity. Management believes the resolution of this matter will not materially affect the Company's financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

On July 21, 2005, the International Union, Automobile, Aerospace and Agricultural Implement Workers of America ("UAW") filed a grievance against Boeing on behalf of certain former Boeing employees in Tulsa and McAlester, Oklahoma, regarding issues that parallel those asserted in Harkness et al. v. The Boeing Company et al. Boeing denied the grievance, and the UAW subsequently filed suit to compel arbitration, which the parties eventually agreed to pursue. The arbitration was conducted in

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

January 2008. In July 2008, the arbitrator issued an opinion and award in favor of the UAW. The arbitrator directed Boeing to reinstate the seniority of the employees and "afford them the benefits appurtenant thereto." On March 5, 2009, the arbitrator entered an Opinion and Supplemental Award that directed Boeing to award certain benefits to UAW members upon whose behalf the grievance was brought, notwithstanding the prior denial of such benefits by the Boeing Plan Administrator. On April 10, 2009, Boeing filed a Complaint in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, seeking a ruling that the arbitrator exceeded his authority in granting the Supplemental Award. On September 16, 2009, the District Court entered an order affirming the arbitrator's Supplemental Award. Boeing appealed the District Court's decision to the U.S. Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals, which affirmed the District Court's decision. Boeing previously notified Spirit of its intent to seek indemnification from Spirit for any "indemnifiable damages" it may incur in the UAW matter, pursuant to the terms of the asset purchase agreement from the Boeing Acquisition. Spirit disputes Boeing's position on indemnity. Management believes the resolution of this matter will not materially affect the Company's financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

On May 11, 2009, Spirit filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the District of Kansas against SPS Technologies LLC ("SPS") and Precision Castparts Corp. Spirit's claims are based on the sale by SPS of certain non-conforming nut plate fasteners to Spirit between August 2007 and August 2008. Many of the fasteners were used on assemblies that Spirit sold to a customer. In the fall of 2008, Spirit discovered the non-conformity and notified the customer of the discrepancy. Subsequently, Spirit and the customer removed and replaced nut plates on various in-process aircraft assemblies and subsequently agreed to an appropriate cost related to those efforts. Spirit's lawsuit seeks damages, including damages related to these efforts, under various theories, including breach of contract and breach of implied warranty.

On June 3, 2013, a putative class action lawsuit was commenced against the Company, Jeffrey L. Turner, and Philip D. Anderson in the U.S. District Court for the District of Kansas. The named plaintiff, who alleges that he is a purchaser of Holdings securities, alleges that defendants violated the federal securities laws by making material misrepresentations and omissions in the Company's public disclosures about the circumstances underlying the Company's accrual of \$590.0 in forward loss charges in the third quarter of 2012. The lawsuit seeks certification of a class of all persons other than defendants who purchased Holdings securities between May 5, 2011 and October 24, 2012, and seeks an unspecified amount of damages on behalf of the putative class. On February 5, 2014 the Court entered an order naming two lead plaintiffs. The Company intends to vigorously defend against these allegations, and management believes the resolution of this matter will not materially affect the Company's financial position, results of operations, or liquidity.

In August 2013, the Company instituted a demand for arbitration against Gulfstream Aerospace Corporation. Spirit seeks damages from Gulfstream for its incomplete payments to Spirit for the wings Spirit manufactures for the G650 airplane, as well as other damages and relief. Gulfstream counterclaimed against Spirit in the arbitration, seeking liquidated damages for delayed deliveries of wings, as well as other damages and relief. The parties have selected arbitrators, and currently expect the arbitration hearing will take place in the first quarter of 2015. The Company intends to vigorously prosecute and defend the claims in arbitration. Management believes the resolution of this matter will not materially affect the Company's financial position, results of operations, or liquidity.

In October 2012, Spirit was advised by the Staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission that they are conducting an inquiry that the Company believes to be focused on the timing of forward losses recognized in the third quarter of 2012. The Company is fully cooperating with the inquiry. The Company

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

cannot predict or determine whether any proceeding may be instituted as a result of the inquiry or the outcome of any proceeding that may be instituted.

Commitments

The Company leases equipment and facilities under various non-cancelable capital and operating leases. The capital leasing arrangements extend through 2025. Minimum future lease payments under these leases at December 31, 2013 are as follows:

		Capital			
	Operating	Present Value	Interest	Total	
2014	\$ 20.8	\$ 1.1	\$ 0.5	\$ 22.4	
2015	\$ 17.3	\$ 1.1	\$ 0.5	\$ 18.9	
2016	\$ 10.6	\$ 1.0	\$ 0.5	\$ 12.1	
2017	\$ 5.2	\$ 1.0	\$ 0.4	\$ 6.6	
2018	\$ 4.9	\$ 1.1	\$ 0.4	\$ 6.4	
2019 and thereafter	\$ 14.7	\$ 10.1	\$ 8.8	\$ 33.6	

Operating lease payments were as follows:

	2013	2012	2011
Minimum rentals	\$ 22.6	\$ 19.6	\$ 20.2
Contingent rentals			
Less: Sub-lease			
Total	\$ 22.6	\$ 19.6	\$ 20.2

Spirit's aggregate capital commitments totaled \$226.0 and \$264.8 at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

The Company paid \$0.9 and \$1.2 in interest expense related to the capital leases for periods ending December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Guarantees

Contingent liabilities in the form of letters of credit, letters of guarantee and performance bonds have been provided by the Company. These letters of credit reduce the amount of borrowings available under the revolving credit facility. As of both December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, outstanding letters of credit were \$19.9. Outstanding guarantees were \$24.8 and \$25.6 at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Indemnification

The Company has entered into customary indemnification agreements with each of its Directors, and some of its executive employment agreements include indemnification provisions. Under those agreements, the Company agrees to indemnify each of these individuals against claims arising out of events or occurrences related to that individual's service as the Company's agent or the agent of any of its subsidiaries to the fullest extent legally permitted.

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)***Service and Product Warranties and Extraordinary Rework***

The Company provides service and warranty policies on its products. Liability under service and warranty policies is based upon specific claims and a review of historical warranty and service claim experience. Adjustments are made to accruals as claim data and historical experience change. In addition, the Company incurs discretionary costs to service its products in connection with product performance or quality issues.

The following is a roll forward of the service warranty and extraordinary rework balance at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

	2013	2012
Balance, January 1	\$ 30.9	\$ 19.6
Charges to costs and expenses	38.3	12.0
Write-offs, net of recoveries	(0.6)	(0.9)
Exchange rate	0.1	0.2
Balance, December 31	\$ 68.7	\$ 30.9

Bonds

Spirit utilized City of Wichita issued Industrial Revenue Bonds ("IRBs") to finance self-constructed and purchased real and personal property at the Wichita site. Tax benefits associated with IRBs include provisions for a ten-year complete property tax abatement and a Kansas Department of Revenue sales tax exemption on all IRB funded purchases. Spirit and the Predecessor purchased these IRBs so they are bondholders and debtor / lessee for the property purchased with the IRB proceeds.

Spirit recorded the property on its consolidated balance sheet in accordance with FASB authoritative guidance, along with a capital lease obligation to repay the IRB proceeds. Therefore, Spirit and the Predecessor have exercised their right to offset the amounts invested and obligations for these bonds on a consolidated basis. The assets and liabilities associated with these IRBs were \$394.7 and \$345.7 at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Spirit utilized \$80.0 in Kansas Development Finance Authority ("KDFA") issued bonds to receive a rebate of payroll taxes from the Kansas Department of Revenue to KDFA bondholders. Concurrently, a Spirit subsidiary issued an intercompany note with identical principal, terms, and conditions to the KDFA bonds. In accordance with FASB authoritative guidance, the principal and interest payments on these bonds offset in the consolidated financial statements.

23. Other Income (Expense), Net

Other income (expense), net is summarized as follows:

	For the Twelve Months Ended		
	12/31/2013	12/31/2012	12/31/2011
KDFA bond	\$ 3.4	\$ 4.5	\$ 4.3
Rental and miscellaneous (expense)	(1.1)	(8.4)	(0.7)
Foreign currency gains (loss)	1.0	5.7	(2.2)

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

Foreign currency gains (loss) are due to the impact of movement in foreign currency exchange rates on trade and intercompany receivables/payables and other long-term contractual rights/obligations denominated in a currency other than the entity's functional currency.

24. Significant Concentrations of Risk*Economic Dependence*

The Company's largest customer (Boeing) accounted for approximately 84%, 84%, and 85% of the revenues for the periods ending December 31, 2013, December 31, 2012, and December 31, 2011, respectively. Approximately 27% and 28% of the Company's accounts receivable balance at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, was attributable to Boeing.

The Company's second largest customer (Airbus) accounted for approximately 10%, 9% and 10% of the revenues for the periods ending December 31, 2013, December 31, 2012, and December 31, 2011, respectively. Approximately 28% and 26% of the Company's accounts receivable balance at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, was attributable to Airbus.

Approximately 29% and 25% of the Company's accounts receivable balance at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, was attributable to Gulfstream.

25. Supplemental Balance Sheet Information

Accrued expenses and other liabilities consist of the following:

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Accrued expenses		
Accrued wages and bonuses	\$ 26.8	\$ 35.8
Accrued fringe benefits	123.1	110.1
Accrued interest	8.6	7.8
Workers' compensation	9.1	9.0
Property and sales tax	26.3	27.0
Warranty/extraordinary rework reserve current	0.8	1.4
Other	25.9	25.2
Total	\$ 220.6	\$ 216.3
Other liabilities		
Federal income taxes non-current	\$ 13.3	\$ 12.4
Deferred tax liability non-current	42.2	7.2
Warranty/extraordinary rework reserve non-current	67.9	29.5
Customer cost recovery ⁽¹⁾	62.0	62.0
Other	16.9	17.8
Total	\$ 202.3	\$ 128.9

(1)

As part of the B787 Amendment, Spirit agreed to pay Boeing for work to complete initial production units.

26. Segment Information

The Company operates in three principal segments: Fuselage Systems, Propulsion Systems and Wing Systems. Substantially all revenues in the three principal segments are from Boeing, with the exception of Wing Systems, which includes revenues from Airbus and other customers. Approximately 94% of the

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

Company's net revenues for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013 came from our two largest customers, Boeing and Airbus. All other activities fall within the All Other segment, principally made up of sundry sales of miscellaneous services, tooling contracts, and sales of natural gas through a tenancy-in-common with other companies that have operations in Wichita, Kansas. The Company's primary profitability measure to review a segment's operating performance is segment operating income before unallocated corporate selling, general and administrative expenses, unallocated impact of severe weather event, unallocated research and development and unallocated cost of sales. Unallocated corporate selling, general and administrative expenses include centralized functions such as accounting, treasury and human resources that are not specifically related to our operating segments and are not allocated in measuring the operating segments' profitability and performance and operating margins. Unallocated impact of severe weather event includes property repairs, clean up and recovery costs related to the April 14, 2012 tornado at the Company's Wichita facility. Unallocated research and development includes research and development efforts that benefit the Company as a whole and are not unique to a specific segment. Unallocated cost of sales includes general costs not directly attributable to segment operations, such as early retirement and other incentives. All of these unallocated items are not specifically related to our operating segments and are not allocated in measuring the operating segments' profitability and performance and operating margins.

We are evaluating the potential realignment of our reportable segments as part of our 2014 business strategy. The reportable segment amounts and discussions reflected in this Annual Report reflect the management reporting that existed through the end of our 2013 fiscal year.

The Company's Fuselage Systems segment includes development, production and marketing of forward, mid and rear fuselage sections and systems, primarily to aircraft OEMs (OEM refers to aircraft original equipment manufacturer), as well as related spares and maintenance, repairs and overhaul. The Fuselage Systems segment manufactures products at our facilities in Wichita, Kansas and Kinston, North Carolina. The Fuselage Systems segment also includes an assembly plant for the A350 XWB aircraft in Saint-Nazaire, France.

The Company's Propulsion Systems segment includes development, production and marketing of struts/pylons, nacelles (including thrust reversers) and related engine structural components primarily to aircraft or engine OEMs, as well as related spares and MRO services. The Propulsion Systems segment manufactures products at our facilities in Wichita and Chanute, Kansas.

The Company's Wing Systems segment includes development, production and marketing of wings and wing components (including flight control surfaces) as well as other miscellaneous structural parts primarily to aircraft OEMs, as well as related spares and MRO services. These activities take place at the Company's facilities in Tulsa and McAlester, Oklahoma; Kinston, North Carolina; Prestwick, Scotland; and Subang, Malaysia.

The Company's definition of segment operating income differs from operating income as presented in its primary financial statements and a reconciliation of the segment and consolidated results is provided in the table set forth below. Most selling, general and administrative expenses, and all interest expense or income, related financing costs and income tax amounts, are not allocated to the operating segments.

While some working capital accounts are maintained on a segment basis, much of the Company's assets are not managed or maintained on a segment basis. Property, plant and equipment, including tooling, is used in the design and production of products for each of the segments and, therefore, is not allocated to any individual segment. In addition, cash, prepaid expenses, other assets and deferred taxes are managed and maintained on a consolidated basis and generally do not pertain to any particular segment. Raw materials and certain component parts are used in the production of aerostructures across all segments. Work-in-process inventory is identifiable by segment, but is managed and evaluated at the program level. As there is no segmentation of the Company's productive assets, depreciation expense (included in fixed manufacturing costs and selling, general and administrative expenses) and capital expenditures, no allocation of these amounts has been made solely for purposes of segment disclosure requirements.

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

The following table shows segment revenues and operating income for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

	Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2013	Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2012	Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2011
Segment Revenues			
Fuselage Systems	\$ 2,861.1	\$ 2,590.6	\$ 2,425.0
Propulsion Systems	1,581.3	1,420.9	1,221.5
Wing Systems	1,502.5	1,375.1	1,207.8
All Other	16.1	11.1	9.5
	\$ 5,961.0	\$ 5,397.7	\$ 4,863.8
Segment Operating (Loss) Income			
Fuselage Systems ⁽¹⁾	\$ 70.1	\$ 391.9	\$ 323.1
Propulsion Systems ⁽²⁾	235.8	67.5	196.4
Wing Systems ⁽³⁾	(414.0)	(335.6)	0.5
All Other	4.4	1.0	1.3
	(103.7)	124.8	521.3
Unallocated corporate SG&A	(181.5)	(155.3)	(145.5)
Unallocated impact of severe weather event ⁽⁴⁾	(30.3)	146.2	
Unallocated research and development	(8.9)	(4.4)	(1.9)
Unallocated cost of sales ⁽⁵⁾	(39.9)	(19.0)	(17.8)
Total operating (loss) income	\$ (364.3)	\$ 92.3	\$ 356.1

(1) For 2013, inclusive of forward loss charges of \$41.1, \$4.1, \$333.1 and \$111.3 for the B747-8, B767, B787 and A350 XWB programs, respectively. A350 XWB forward loss of \$111.3 is comprised of \$32.7 on the A350-1000 XWB non-recurring fuselage portion and \$78.6 on the A350 XWB recurring fuselage program. For 2012, includes a forward loss charge of \$6.4 for the B747-8 program. For 2011, includes a \$29.0 forward loss charge recorded for the Sikorsky CH-53K helicopter program and a \$12.6 forward loss charge for the B747-8 program. Also includes cumulative catch-up adjustments for periods prior to 2013 and 2012 of \$60.1 and \$(2.4), respectively.

(2)

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

Inclusive of forward loss charges of \$12.3, \$30.6 and \$21.7 for the B767, B787 and Rolls-Royce BR725 programs, respectively, for 2013. Also includes \$8.4 reduction of forward loss charge recorded due to change in estimate for the Rolls-Royce program in 2013. For 2012, includes forward loss charges of \$151.0 recorded on our Rolls-Royce program and \$8.0 on our B767 program. Also includes cumulative catch-up adjustments for periods prior to 2013 and 2012 of \$30.0 and \$7.3, respectively.

(3)

For 2013, includes forward loss charges of \$58.3, \$240.9 and \$288.3 for the B787, G280 and G650 programs, respectively. For 2012, includes forward loss charges recorded of \$184.0 for the B787 wing program, \$162.5 for the G650 wing program, \$118.8 for the G280 wing program, \$8.9 for the A350 XWB non-recurring wing contract, and \$5.1 for the B747-8 wing program. Also includes cumulative catch-up adjustments for periods prior to 2013 and 2012 of \$5.4 and \$9.8, respectively. For 2011, includes a \$81.8 forward loss charge recorded for the G280 wing program, a \$5.7 forward loss charge for the B747-8 program and a \$3.0 forward loss on the A350 XWB non-recurring wing contract.

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

- (4) For 2012, gain includes a \$234.9 insurance settlement amount, offset by \$88.7 of costs incurred related to the April 14, 2012 severe weather event. Costs include assets impaired by the storm, clean-up costs, repair costs and incremental labor, freight and warehousing costs associated with the impacts of the storm.
- (5) Inclusive of charges of \$38.1, \$17.8, and \$1.6 related to warranty reserve adjustments, reduction in workforce and early retirement incentives in 2013. Also, includes gains related to pension activity of \$15.4 for the same period. For 2012 and 2011, \$11.0 and \$6.9, respectively, were reclassified from segment operating income to unallocated cost of sales to conform to current year presentation. Includes charges in the second quarter of 2012 of \$3.6 related to asset impairments, \$2.2 related to stock incentives for certain UAW-represented employees and \$2.1 in early retirement incentives to eligible employees and charges in the second quarter of 2011 of \$9.0 due to a change in estimate to increase warranty and extraordinary rework reserves and \$1.9 in early retirement incentives elected by eligible UAW-represented employees.

The following chart illustrates the split between domestic and foreign revenues:

Revenue Source ⁽¹⁾	Year Ended December 31, 2013		Year Ended December 31, 2012		Year Ended December 31, 2011	
	Net Revenues	Percent of Total Net	Net Revenues	Percent of Total Net	Net Revenues	Percent of Total Net
		Revenues		Revenues		Revenues
United States	\$ 5,154.9	87%	\$ 4,612.0	85%	\$ 4,210.7	87%
International						
United Kingdom	559.7	9%	470.4	9%	422.6	8%
Other	246.4	4%	315.3	6%	230.5	5%
Total International	806.1	13%	785.7	15%	653.1	13%
Total Revenues	\$ 5,961.0	100%	\$ 5,397.7	100%	\$ 4,863.8	100%

(1) Net Revenues are attributable to countries based on destination where goods are delivered.

Most of the Company's long-lived assets are located within the United States. Approximately 6% of our long-lived assets based on book value are located in the United Kingdom as part of Spirit Europe with approximately another 5% of our total long-lived assets located in countries outside the United States and the United Kingdom. The following chart illustrates the split between domestic and foreign assets:

Asset Location	Year Ended December 31, 2013		Year Ended December 31, 2012	
	Total Long-Lived Assets	Percent of Total Long-Lived Assets	Total Long-Lived Assets	Percent of Total Long-Lived Assets
		Long-Lived Assets		Long-Lived Assets
United States	\$ 1,608.2	89%	\$ 1,506.9	89%

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

International				
United Kingdom	99.3	6%	101.1	6%
Other	95.8	5%	90.5	5%
Total International	195.1	11%	191.6	11%
Total Long-Lived Assets	\$ 1,803.3	100%	\$ 1,698.5	100%

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)**27. Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)**

	Quarter Ended			
	December 31, 2013 ⁽¹⁾	September 27, 2013 ⁽²⁾	June 28, 2013 ⁽³⁾	March 29, 2013 ⁽⁴⁾
2013				
Revenues	\$ 1,494.4	\$ 1,503.7	\$ 1,520.7	\$ 1,442.2
Operating (Loss) income	\$ (320.8)	\$ 50.5	\$ (238.5)	\$ 144.5
Net (loss) income	\$ (586.9)	\$ 93.7	\$ (209.4)	\$ 81.2
(Loss) earnings per share, basic	\$ (4.15)	\$ 0.66	\$ (1.48)	\$ 0.57
(Loss) earnings per share, diluted	\$ (4.15)	\$ 0.65	\$ (1.48)	\$ 0.57

	Quarter Ended			
	December 31, 2012 ⁽⁵⁾	September 29, 2012 ⁽⁶⁾	June 30, 2012 ⁽⁷⁾	March 31, 2012 ⁽⁸⁾
2012				
Revenues	\$ 1,425.6	\$ 1,365.3	\$ 1,341.0	\$ 1,265.8
Operating Income (loss)	\$ 98.0	\$ (210.5)	\$ 82.5	\$ 122.3
Net income (loss)	\$ 60.7	\$ (134.4)	\$ 34.9	\$ 73.6
Earnings (loss) per share, basic	\$ 0.43	\$ (0.96)	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.52
Earnings (loss) per share, diluted	\$ 0.43	\$ (0.96)	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.52

- (1) Fourth quarter 2013 earnings include the impacts of forward loss charges of \$30.8, \$4.1, \$7.5, \$333.1, \$30.6, \$21.0, \$43.0, \$54.1 and \$21.7 on the B747 fuselage, B767 fuselage, B767 propulsion, B787 fuselage, B787 propulsion, B787 wing, G650 wing, G280 wing and BR725 propulsion portions of programs, respectively, and a \$382.6 charge resulting from the establishment of a deferred tax asset valuation allowance.
- (2) Third quarter 2013 earnings include the impacts of forward loss charges of \$5.3, \$0.8, \$6.4, \$32.7 and \$78.6 on the B747 fuselage, B767 propulsion, G280 wing, A350-1000 XWB non-recurring fuselage and A350 XWB recurring fuselage portions of programs, respectively.
- (3) Second quarter 2013 earnings included the impacts of forward loss charges of \$5.0, \$4.0, \$22.0, \$234.2 and \$191.5 on the B747 fuselage, B767 propulsion, B787 fuselage, G650 wing and G280 wing portions of programs, respectively. Also includes \$8.4 reduction in forward loss due to change in estimate recorded for the BR725 program.
- (4) First quarter of 2013 earnings includes the impact of the forward loss charge of \$15.3 on the B787 wing portion of the program.
- (5) Fourth quarter 2012 earnings include the impacts of forward loss charges of \$6.4 on the B747 fuselage program, \$8.0 on the B767 propulsion program and \$20.0 on the G280 wing program. Also includes charges of \$18.1 related to the April 14, 2012 severe weather event.
- (6) Third quarter 2012 earnings included the impacts of a \$151.0 forward loss charge for our Rolls-Royce program, a charge of \$184.0 for the B787 wing program, a charge of \$88.1 for the G280 wing program, a charge of \$162.5 for the G650 wing program, a charge of \$2.4 for the A350 XWB program, a charge of \$2.4 for the B747-8 wing program, and a net gain of \$218.8 resulting from the insurance settlement net of cost incurred related to the April 14, 2012 severe weather event.

(7)

Second quarter 2012 earnings included the impacts of a \$6.5 forward loss charge recorded on our A350 XWB non-recurring wing contract, charges of \$3.6 for asset impairment, \$2.2 related to stock

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

incentives for certain UAW-represented employees, and \$1.1 in early retirement incentives to eligible employees. Also includes a charge of \$54.5 related to the April 14, 2012 severe weather event.

- (8) First quarter 2012 earnings included a \$2.7 forward loss charge recorded for the B747-8 program, \$10.7 forward loss charge for the G280 wing program and \$1.0 related to early retirement incentives to eligible employees.

28. Condensed Consolidating Financial Information

On November 18, 2010, Spirit completed an offering of \$300.0 aggregate principal amount of its 2020 Notes. On September 30, 2009, Spirit completed an offering of \$300.0 aggregate principal amount of its 2017 Notes. Both the 2017 Notes and the 2020 Notes were sold to qualified institutional buyers pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), and outside the United States only to non-U.S. persons pursuant to Regulation S promulgated under the Securities Act.

In connection with the initial sales of the 2017 Notes and the 2020 Notes, the Company entered into Registration Rights Agreements with the initial purchasers of the 2017 Notes and the 2020 Notes, respectively, pursuant to which the Company, Spirit and the Subsidiary Guarantors (as defined below) agreed to file (x) a registration statement with respect to an offer to exchange original 2017 Notes for a new issue of substantially identical notes registered under the Securities Act (the "2017 Notes Exchange Offer") and (y) a registration statement with respect to an offer to exchange the original 2020 Notes for a new issue of substantially identical notes registered under the Securities Act (the "2020 Notes Exchange Offer"). The 2017 Notes Exchange Offer was consummated on May 26, 2010. The 2020 Notes Exchange Offer was consummated on January 31, 2011. The 2017 Notes and 2020 Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed on a joint and several senior unsecured basis by the Company and its 100% owned domestic subsidiaries, other than Spirit (the "Subsidiary Guarantors").

The following condensed consolidating financial information, which has been prepared in accordance with the requirements for presentation of Rule 3-10(d) of Regulation S-X promulgated under the Securities Act, presents the condensed consolidating financial information separately for:

- (i) Holdings, as the parent company;
- (ii) Spirit, as the subsidiary issuer of the 2017 Notes and the 2020 Notes;
- (iii) The Subsidiary Guarantors, on a combined basis, as guarantors of the 2017 Notes and the 2020 Notes;
- (iv) The Company's subsidiaries, other than the Subsidiary Guarantors, which are not guarantors of the 2017 Notes and the 2020 Notes (the "Subsidiary Non-Guarantors"), on a combined basis;
- (v) Consolidating entries and eliminations representing adjustments to (a) eliminate intercompany transactions between or among Holdings, the Subsidiary Guarantors and the Subsidiary Non-Guarantors, (b) eliminate the investments in the Company's subsidiaries and (c) record consolidating entries; and
- (vi) Holdings and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis.

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)Condensed Consolidating Statements of Operations
For the Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2013

	Holdings	Spirit	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Total
Net Revenues	\$	\$ 5,393.4	\$ 205.9	\$ 738.9	\$ (377.2)	\$ 5,961.0
Operating costs and expenses						
Cost of sales		5,602.1	197.8	636.8	(377.2)	6,059.5
Selling, general and administrative	3.0	174.4	3.0	20.4		200.8
Impact from severe weather event		30.3				30.3
Research and development		32.2	0.1	2.4		34.7
Total operating costs and expenses	3.0	5,839.0	200.9	659.6	(377.2)	6,325.3
Operating income (loss)	(3.0)	(445.6)	5.0	79.3		(364.3)
Interest expense and financing fee amortization		(69.2)		(11.2)	10.3	(70.1)
Interest income		10.5		0.1	(10.3)	0.3
Other income (expense), net		3.1		0.2		3.3
Income (loss) before income taxes and equity in net (loss) income of affiliates and subsidiaries	(3.0)	(501.2)	5.0	68.4		(430.8)
Income tax benefit (provision)	(0.1)	(175.6)	(1.9)	(13.5)		(191.1)
Income (loss) before equity in net income (loss) of affiliates and subsidiaries	(3.1)	(676.8)	3.1	54.9		(621.9)
Equity in net income (loss) of affiliates	0.5			0.5	(0.5)	0.5
Equity in net income (loss) of subsidiaries	(618.8)	58.2			560.6	
Net income (loss)	(621.4)	(618.6)	3.1	55.4	560.1	(621.4)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	90.6	5.8		84.8	(90.6)	90.6
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ (530.8)	\$ (612.8)	\$ 3.1	\$ 140.2	\$ 469.5	\$ (530.8)

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)Condensed Consolidating Statements of Operations
For the Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2012

	Holdings	Spirit	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Total
Net Revenues	\$	\$ 4,910.1	\$ 151.5	\$ 594.2	\$ (258.1)	\$ 5,397.7
Operating costs and expenses						
Cost of sales		4,842.1	141.4	519.9	(258.1)	5,245.3
Selling, general and administrative	3.0	145.8	2.8	20.6		172.2
Impact from severe weather event		(146.2)				(146.2)
Research and development		32.8		1.3		34.1
Total operating costs and expenses	3.0	4,874.5	144.2	541.8	(258.1)	5,305.4
Operating income (loss)	(3.0)	35.6	7.3	52.4		92.3
Interest expense and financing fee amortization		(81.9)		(10.3)	9.3	(82.9)
Interest income		9.4		0.1	(9.3)	0.2
Other income (expense), net		(0.9)	(0.1)	2.8		1.8
Income (loss) before income taxes and equity in net income (loss) of affiliates and subsidiaries	(3.0)	(37.8)	7.2	45.0		11.4
Income tax benefit (provision)	(0.2)	34.1	(2.7)	(7.1)		24.1
Income (loss) before equity in net income (loss) of affiliates and subsidiaries	(3.2)	(3.7)	4.5	37.9		35.5
Equity in net income (loss) of affiliates	(0.7)	(1.3)		0.6	0.7	(0.7)
Equity in net income (loss) of subsidiaries	38.7	42.4			(81.1)	
Net income (loss)	34.8	37.4	4.5	38.5	(80.4)	34.8
Other comprehensive income (loss)	(19.0)	(32.2)		13.2	19.0	(19.0)
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 15.8	\$ 5.2	\$ 4.5	\$ 51.7	\$ (61.4)	\$ 15.8

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)Condensed Consolidating Statements of Operations
For the Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2011

	Holdings	Spirit	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Total
Net Revenues	\$	\$ 4,401.4	\$ 22.4	\$ 536.6	\$ (96.6)	\$ 4,863.8
Operating costs and expenses						
Cost of sales		3,898.2	18.3	492.2	(96.6)	4,312.1
Selling, general and administrative	4.4	134.0	2.6	18.9		159.9
Impact from severe weather event						
Research and development		34.9		0.8		35.7
Total operating costs and expenses	4.4	4,067.1	20.9	511.9	(96.6)	4,507.7
Operating income (loss)	(4.4)	334.3	1.5	24.7		356.1
Interest expense and financing fee amortization		(76.3)		(7.2)	6.0	(77.5)
Interest income		6.3			(6.0)	0.3
Other income (expense), net		4.5		(3.1)		1.4
Income (loss) before income taxes and equity in net income (loss) of affiliates and subsidiaries	(4.4)	268.8	1.5	14.4		280.3
Income tax benefit (provision)	1.6	(82.3)	(0.6)	(5.6)		(86.9)
Income (loss) before equity in net income (loss) of affiliates and subsidiaries	(2.8)	186.5	0.9	8.8		193.4
Equity in net income (loss) of affiliates	(1.0)	(0.7)		(0.3)	1.0	(1.0)
Equity in net income (loss) of subsidiaries	196.2	9.8			(206.0)	
Net income (loss)	192.4	195.6	0.9	8.5	(205.0)	192.4
Other comprehensive income (loss)	(50.9)	(46.8)		(4.1)	50.9	(50.9)
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 141.5	\$ 148.8	\$ 0.9	\$ 4.4	\$ (154.1)	\$ 141.5

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet
December 31, 2013

	Holdings	Spirit	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Total
Current assets						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	\$ 359.2	\$	\$ 61.5	\$	\$ 420.7
Accounts receivable, net		643.3	15.3	214.5	(322.3)	550.8
Inventory, net		1,340.2	208.7	293.7		1,842.6
Deferred tax asset - current		25.2		1.7		26.9
Other current assets		100.7		2.5		103.2
Total current assets		2,468.6	224.0	573.9	(322.3)	2,944.2
Property, plant and equipment, net		1,308.0	305.3	190.0		1,803.3
Pension assets		231.1		21.5		252.6
Investment in subsidiary	1,026.3	281.5			(1,307.8)	
Equity in net assets of subsidiaries	454.7	119.4			(574.1)	
Other assets		422.4	80.0	24.2	(419.5)	107.1
Total assets	\$ 1,481.0	\$ 4,831.0	\$ 609.3	\$ 809.6	\$ (2,623.7)	\$ 5,107.2
Current liabilities						
Accounts payable	\$	\$ 666.5	\$ 224.2	\$ 185.2	\$ (322.2)	\$ 753.7
Accrued expenses		189.9	0.5	30.2		220.6
Profit sharing		35.7		2.7		38.4
Current portion of long-term debt		12.9		3.9		16.8
Advance payments, short-term		133.5				133.5
Deferred revenue, short-term		15.7		4.1		19.8
Deferred grant income liability - current			7.3	1.3		8.6
Other current liabilities		137.1		7.1		144.2
Total current liabilities		1,191.3	232.0	234.5	(322.2)	1,335.6
Long-term debt		1,131.4	80.0	278.6	(339.5)	1,150.5
Advance payments, long-term		728.9				728.9
Pension/OPEB obligation		69.8				69.8
Deferred grant income liability - non-current			75.6	32.6		108.2
Deferred revenue and other deferred credits		22.7		8.2		30.9
Other liabilities		245.6		36.7	(80.0)	202.3
Total equity	1,481.0	1,441.3	221.7	219.0	(1,882.0)	1,481.0

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 1,481.0	\$ 4,831.0	\$ 609.3	\$ 809.6	\$ (2,623.7)	\$ 5,107.2
--	------------	------------	----------	----------	--------------	------------

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet
December 31, 2012

	Holdings	Spirit	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Total
Current assets						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	\$ 369.1	\$	\$ 71.6	\$	\$ 440.7
Accounts receivable, net		513.2	13.7	171.7	(277.9)	420.7
Inventory, net		2,006.9	164.3	239.7	(0.1)	2,410.8
Deferred tax asset - current		57.1				57.1
Other current assets		22.2		3.9		26.1
Total current assets		2,968.5	178.0	486.9	(278.0)	3,355.4
Property, plant and equipment, net		1,221.1	289.3	188.1		1,698.5
Pension assets		69.9		8.5		78.4
Investment in subsidiary	1,013.7	281.4			(1,295.1)	
Equity in net assets of subsidiaries	983.2	58.2			(1,041.4)	
Deferred tax asset - non-current, net		192.3		(0.3)		192.0
Other assets		405.0	80.0	27.5	(421.5)	91.0
Total assets	\$ 1,996.9	\$ 5,196.4	\$ 547.3	\$ 710.7	\$ (3,036.0)	\$ 5,415.3
Current liabilities						
Accounts payable	\$	\$ 616.3	\$ 157.2	\$ 163.4	\$ (277.9)	\$ 659.0
Accrued expenses		188.4	2.6	25.3		216.3
Profit sharing		25.9		2.4		28.3
Current portion of long-term debt		6.6		3.7		10.3
Advance payments, short-term		70.7				70.7
Deferred revenue, short-term		16.6		1.8		18.4
Deferred grant income liability - current			5.7	1.2		6.9
Other current liabilities		52.6		4.5		57.1
Total current liabilities		977.1	165.5	202.3	(277.9)	1,067.0
Long-term debt		1,142.9	80.0	284.5	(341.5)	1,165.9
Advance payments, long-term		833.6				833.6
Pension/OPEB obligation		75.6				75.6
Deferred grant income liability - non-current			83.3	33.3		116.6
Deferred revenue and other deferred credits		21.1		9.7		30.8

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

Other liabilities		189.3		19.6	(80.0)	128.9
Total equity	1,996.9	1,956.8	218.5	161.3	(2,336.6)	1,996.9

Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 1,996.9	\$ 5,196.4	\$ 547.3	\$ 710.7	\$ (3,036.0)	\$ 5,415.3
--	------------	------------	----------	----------	--------------	------------

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows
For the Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2013

	Holdings	Spirit	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Total
Operating activities						
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ (621.4)	\$ 219.0	\$ 34.2	\$ 7.4	\$ 621.4	\$ 260.6
Investing activities						
Purchase of property, plant and equipment		(190.9)	(34.2)	(9.1)		(234.2)
Purchase of property, plant and equipment severe weather event		(38.4)				(38.4)
Proceeds from sale of assets		0.7				0.7
Equity in net assets of subsidiaries	621.4	3.0		0.7	(621.4)	3.7
Other		4.8		(4.8)		
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	621.4	(220.8)	(34.2)	(13.2)	(621.4)	(268.2)
Financing activities						
Proceeds from revolving credit facility						
Payments on revolving credit facility						
Proceeds from issuance of debt						
Principal payments of debt		(6.6)		(3.8)		(10.4)
Collection on (repayment of) intercompany debt		2.0		(2.0)		
Debt issuance and financing costs		(4.1)				(4.1)
Excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements		0.6				0.6
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities		(8.1)		(5.8)		(13.9)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents				1.5		1.5
Net (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents for the period		(9.9)		(10.1)		(20.0)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period		369.1		71.6		440.7
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$	\$ 359.2	\$	\$ 61.5	\$	\$ 420.7

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows
For the Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2012

	Holdings	Spirit	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Total
Operating activities						
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 34.8	\$ 586.6	\$ 4.6	\$ (46.8)	\$ (34.8)	\$ 544.4
Investing activities						
Purchase of property, plant and equipment		(204.7)	(4.6)	(26.8)		(236.1)
Purchase of property, plant and equipment severe weather event		(12.9)				(12.9)
Proceeds from sale of assets		0.4		1.2		1.6
Equity in net assets of subsidiaries	(34.8)	(1.6)		0.2	34.8	(1.4)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(34.8)	(218.8)	(4.6)	(25.4)	34.8	(248.8)
Financing activities						
Proceeds from revolving credit facility		170.0				170.0
Payments on revolving credit facility		(170.0)				(170.0)
Proceeds from issuance of debt		547.2		0.4		547.6
Principal payments of debt		(567.4)		(3.6)		(571.0)
Collection on (repayment of) intercompany debt		(74.0)		74.0		
Debt issuance and financing costs		(12.4)				(12.4)
Excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements		1.2				1.2
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities		(105.4)		70.8		(34.6)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents				1.9		1.9
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents for the period		262.4		0.5		262.9
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period		106.7		71.1		177.8
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$	\$ 369.1	\$	\$ 71.6	\$	\$ 440.7

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(\$, €, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows
For the Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2011

	Holdings	Spirit	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Total
Operating activities						
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 193.5	\$ (63.2)	\$ 25.7	\$ (9.8)	\$ (193.5)	\$ (47.3)
Investing activities						
Purchase of property, plant and equipment		(184.7)	(25.7)	(39.3)		(249.7)
Proceeds from the sale of assets		0.3		0.2		0.5
Equity in net assets of subsidiaries	(193.5)				193.5	
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(193.5)	(184.4)	(25.7)	(39.1)	193.5	(249.2)
Financing activities						
Proceeds from revolving credit facility		30.0				30.0
Payments on revolving credit facility		(30.0)				(30.0)
Principal payments of debt		(5.8)		(2.2)		(8.0)
Collection on (repayment of) intercompany debt		(57.3)		57.3		
Excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements		1.3				1.3
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities		(61.8)		55.1		(6.7)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents				(0.6)		(0.6)
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents for the period		(309.4)		5.6		(303.8)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period		416.1		65.5		481.6
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$	\$ 106.7	\$	\$ 71.1	\$	\$ 177.8

29. Subsequent Events

In January 2014, the Company completed its negotiations with its customer for the initial delivery unit of the Bell V280 helicopter. The Company agreed to invest \$5.0 in the program over the next five years. Under contract accounting the investment was recorded as a forward loss.

Table of Contents

Item 9. *Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure*

None.

Item 9A. *Controls and Procedures*

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our President and Chief Executive Officer and Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls as of December 31, 2013 and have concluded that, because of the material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting discussed below, these disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) were not effective. Disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time period specified in the SEC rules and forms. These disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit is accumulated and communicated to management of the Company, including the President and Chief Executive Officer and the Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In light of the material weakness discussed below, the Company performed additional analysis and other post-closing procedures to ensure our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Accordingly, our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has concluded that the consolidated financial statements included in this Form 10-K present fairly, in all material respects, our financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, our CEO and CFO and effected by the Company's board of directors, management and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance of the reliability of our financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatement. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in condition, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal Control – Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the company's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. We did not maintain effective controls over the completeness, accuracy and valuation of inventory and cost of sales related to the Airbus A350 XWB Section 15 recurring program. Specifically, we did not maintain controls over the completeness and accuracy of the bill of materials used in the contract accounting estimates for this program. These controls were not designed effectively to ensure that the bill of materials used in the accounting estimates were accurate and provided a sound basis for estimating future costs. Although this material weakness did not result in a material misstatement of the Company's consolidated financial statements, the existence of the

Table of Contents

control deficiency could result in an undetected material misstatement of the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In addition, we did not maintain effective controls over the completeness, accuracy and valuation of inventory and cost of sales for the Gulfstream G280 and G650 programs. Specifically, controls over contract accounting estimates related to these programs were not operating effectively in order to ensure that (1) the bills of materials used in the accounting estimates were complete and provided a sound basis for estimating future costs; (2) the evaluation of current actual trends impacting prior estimates of supply chain and labor costs were identified and incorporated into the accounting estimates on a timely basis; and (3) the estimation of the number of production units used in the accounting estimates was accurate. This control deficiency resulted in audit adjustments to the cost of sales and inventory accounts and related financial disclosures within the Company's consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2012 and the condensed consolidated financial statements for the quarter ended June 27, 2013.

Because of these material weaknesses, management concluded that the Company did not maintain effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by COSO.

The effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm as stated in their report which appears herein.

Changes in Internal Controls over Financial Reporting

During 2013, several of our sites were implementing a new enterprise resource planning (ERP) system. This conversion affected certain general ledger functions, and resulted in changes to processes and controls as we migrated from legacy systems to the new ERP platforms. Other than this item, there were no other changes in our internal controls over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Remediation Plan

Management has been actively engaged in developing a remediation plan to address the above material weakness. The remediation efforts expected to be implemented include the following:

Establish a more comprehensive review and approval procedure, with increased Corporate oversight for the G280 and G650 programs at our Tulsa business unit.

Enhance analysis and review of cost estimates related to supply chain management, labor and the bill of material for the G280 and G650 programs at our Tulsa business unit.

Increase Corporate oversight of changes to EAC assumptions specifically regarding estimates of production units for the G280 and G650 programs at our Tulsa business unit.

Enhance analysis and review of the bill of materials and its impact on cost estimates for the A350 XWB Section 15 recurring program.

Management has developed plans for the implementation of the foregoing remediation efforts and will monitor the implementation. Under the direction of the Audit Committee, management will continue to review and make necessary changes to the overall design of the Company's internal control environment to improve the overall effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting.

Management believes the foregoing efforts will effectively remediate the material weakness. As the Company continues to evaluate and work to improve its internal control over financial reporting, management may determine to take additional measures to address the control deficiency or determine to modify the remediation plan described above.

Table of Contents

If not remediated, this control deficiency could result in future material misstatements to the Company's financial statements.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

Table of Contents

PART III

Item 10. *Director, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance*

Information concerning the directors of Spirit Holdings will be provided in Spirit Holdings' proxy statement for its 2014 annual meeting of stockholders, which will be filed with the SEC no later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year, and that information is hereby incorporated by reference.

Information concerning the executive officers of Spirit is included in Part I of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Information concerning compliance with Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 will be provided in Spirit Holdings' proxy statement for its 2014 annual meeting of stockholders which will be filed with the SEC no later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year, and that information is hereby incorporated by reference.

Information concerning Corporate Governance and the Board of Directors of Spirit Holdings will be provided in Spirit Holdings' proxy statement for its 2014 annual meeting of stockholders which will be filed with the SEC no later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year, and that information is hereby incorporated by reference.

The Company has adopted a Code of Ethics and a Finance Code of Professional Conduct that applies to the Company's Principal Executive Officer, Principal Financial Officer, Principal Accounting Officer, and persons performing similar functions. A copy of the Code of Ethics and Finance Code of Professional Conduct is available on the Company's website at www.spiritaero.com under the "Investor Relations" link, and any waiver from the Code of Ethics or Finance Code of Professional Conduct will be timely disclosed on the Company's website or a Current Report on Form 8-K, as will any amendments to the Code of Ethics or Spirit Finance Code of Conduct.

Item 11. *Executive Compensation*

Information concerning the compensation of directors and executive officers of Spirit Holdings will be provided in Spirit Holdings' proxy statement for its 2014 annual meeting of stockholders, which will be filed with the SEC no later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year, and that information is hereby incorporated by reference.

Item 12. *Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters*

Information concerning the ownership of Spirit Holdings' equity securities by certain beneficial owners and by management will be provided in Spirit Holdings' proxy statement for its 2014 annual meeting of stockholders, which will be filed with the SEC no later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year, and that information is hereby incorporated by reference.

Equity Compensation Plan Information is included in Part II of this Annual Report.

Item 13. *Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence*

Information concerning certain relationships and related transactions and director independence will be provided in Spirit Holdings' proxy statement for its 2014 annual meeting of stockholders, which will be filed with the SEC no later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year, and that information is hereby incorporated by reference.

Item 14. *Principal Accounting Fees and Services*

Information concerning principal accounting fees and services will be provided in Spirit Holdings' proxy statement for its 2014 annual meeting of stockholders, which will be filed with the SEC no later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year, and that information is hereby incorporated by reference.

Table of Contents**Part IV****Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules**

Article I. Exhibit Number	Section 1.01 Exhibit	Incorporated by Reference to the Following Documents
2.1	Asset Purchase Agreement, dated as of February 22, 2005, between Spirit AeroSystems, Inc. (f/k/a Mid-Western Aircraft Systems, Inc.) and The Boeing Company	Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135486), filed June 30, 2006, Exhibit 2.1
2.2	First Amendment to Asset Purchase Agreement, dated June 15, 2005, between Spirit AeroSystems, Inc. (f/k/a Mid-Western Aircraft Systems, Inc.) and The Boeing Company	Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135486), filed June 30, 2006, Exhibit 2.2
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.	Annual Report on Form 10-K (File No. 001-33160), filed February 20, 2009, Exhibit 3.1
3.2	Third Amended and Restated By Laws of Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.	Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-33160), filed May 3, 2010, Exhibit 3.1
4.1	Form of Class A Common Stock Certificate	Amendment No. 5 to Registration Statement on Form S-1/A (File No. 333-135486), filed November 17, 2006, Exhibit 4.1
4.2	Form of Class B Common Stock Certificate	Amendment No. 5 to Registration Statement on Form S-1/A (File No. 333-135486), filed November 17, 2006, Exhibit 4.2
4.3	Registration Agreement, dated June 16, 2005, among Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. (f/k/a Mid-Western Aircraft Systems, Inc.) and the persons listed on Schedule A thereto	Registration Statement on Forms S-1 (File No. 333-135486), filed June 30, 2006, Exhibit 4.4
4.4	Indenture dated as of September 30, 2009, governing the 7 ^{1/2} % Senior Notes due 2017, by and among Spirit AeroSystems, Inc., the guarantors identified therein and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A.	Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-33160), filed October 1, 2009, Exhibit 4.1
4.5	Form of 7 ^{1/2} % Senior Note due 2017	Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-33160), filed October 1, 2009, included as Exhibit A to Exhibit 4.1
4.6	Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of September 30, 2009, among Spirit AeroSystems, Inc., the guarantors identified therein, Banc of America Securities LLC and the other initial purchasers of the Notes named therein	Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-33160), filed October 1, 2009, Exhibit 4.3
4.7	Indenture dated as of November 18, 2010, governing the 6 ^{3/4} % Senior Notes due 2020, by and among Spirit AeroSystems, Inc., the guarantors identified therein and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A.	Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-33160), filed November 18, 2010, Exhibit 4.1
4.8	Form of 6 ^{3/4} % Senior Note due 2020	Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-33160), filed November 18, 2010, included as Exhibit A to Exhibit 4.2

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Article I. Exhibit Number	Section 1.01 Exhibit	Incorporated by Reference to the Following Documents
4.9	Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of November 18, 2010, among Spirit AeroSystems, Inc., the guarantors identified therein, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated on behalf of itself and as representative of the several initial purchasers of the notes named therein	Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-33160), filed November 18, 2010, Exhibit 4.3
4.10	Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 11, 2010	Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 001-33160), filed November 5, 2010, Exhibit 4.1
10.1	Employment Agreement, dated June 16, 2005, between Jeffrey L. Turner and Spirit AeroSystems, Inc. (f/k/a Mid-Western Aircraft Systems, Inc.)	Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135486), filed June 30, 2006, Exhibit 10.1
10.2	Amendment to Employment Agreement between Spirit AeroSystems, Inc. and Jeffrey L. Turner, dated December 31, 2008	Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-33160), filed January 6, 2009, Exhibit 10.1.1
10.3	Employment Agreement, dated September 13, 2005, between Spirit AeroSystems, Inc. and H. David Walker	Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135486), filed June 30, 2006, Exhibit 10.3
10.4	Employment Agreement, dated December 28, 2005, between Spirit AeroSystems, Inc. and John Lewelling	Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135486), filed June 30, 2006, Exhibit 10.4
10.5	Employment Agreement between Spirit AeroSystems, Inc. and Philip D. Anderson, dated February 12, 2010	Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-33160), filed February 17, 2010, Exhibit 10.1
10.6	Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. Amended and Restated Executive Incentive Plan	Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 001-33160), filed October 31, 2008, Exhibit 10.7
10.7	Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. (f/k/a Mid-Western Aircraft Systems, Inc.) Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan	Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135486), filed June 30, 2006, Exhibit 10.8
10.8	Amendment to Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, dated July 30, 2007	Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-146112), filed September 17, 2007, Exhibit 10.2
10.9	Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. Second Amended and Restated Short-Term Incentive Plan.	Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 001-33160), filed August 5, 2011, Exhibit 10.3
10.10	Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. Fourth Amended and Restated Long-Term Incentive Plan.	Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 001-33160), filed August 5, 2011, Exhibit 10.4
10.11	Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. Cash Incentive Plan	Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135486), filed June 30, 2006, Exhibit 10.11
10.12	Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. Union Equity Participation Program	Amendment No. 2 to Registration Statement on Form S-1/A (File No. 333-135486), filed October 30, 2006, Exhibit 10.12
10.13	Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. Second Amended and Restated Director Stock Plan	Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-150402), filed April 23, 2008, Exhibit 10.1
10.14	Form of Indemnification Agreement	Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form S-1/A (File No. 333-135486), filed August 29, 2006, Exhibit 10.14

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Article I. Exhibit Number	Section 1.01 Exhibit	Incorporated by Reference to the Following Documents
10.15	Security Agreement, dated as of June 16, 2005, made by and among Spirit AeroSystems, Inc. (f/k/a Mid-Western Aircraft Systems, Inc.), Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. (f/k/a Mid-Western Aircraft Systems Holdings, Inc.), Onex Wind Finance LP, 3101447 Nova Scotia Company, Onex Wind Finance LLC and Citicorp North America, Inc., as collateral agent.	Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135486), filed June 30, 2006, Exhibit 10.20
10.16	Security Agreement, dated as of June 16, 2005, made by and among Spirit AeroSystems, Inc. (f/k/a Mid-Western Aircraft Systems, Inc.), Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. (f/k/a Mid-Western Aircraft Systems Holdings, Inc.), Spirit AeroSystems Finance, Inc. (f/k/a Mid-Western Aircraft Finance, Inc.), Onex Wind Finance LP, 3101447 Nova Scotia Company, Onex Wind Finance LLC and The Boeing Company, as agent.	Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135486), filed June 30, 2006, Exhibit 10.22
10.17	Special Business Provisions (Sustaining), as amended through February 6, 2013, between The Boeing Company and Spirit AeroSystems, Inc.	*
10.18	General Terms Agreement (Sustaining and others), dated as of June 16, 2005, between The Boeing Company and Spirit AeroSystems, Inc. (f/k/a Mid-Western Aircraft Systems, Inc.)	Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135486), filed June 30, 2006, Exhibit 10.24
10.19	Hardware Material Services General Terms Agreement, dated as of June 16, 2005, between The Boeing Company and Spirit AeroSystems, Inc. (f/k/a Mid-Western Aircraft Systems, Inc.)	Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135486), filed June 30, 2006, Exhibit 10.25
10.20	Ancillary Know-How Supplemental License Agreement, dated as of June 16, 2005, between The Boeing Company and Spirit AeroSystems, Inc. (f/k/a Mid-Western Aircraft Systems, Inc.)	Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135486), filed June 30, 2006, Exhibit 10.26
10.21	Sublease Agreement, dated as of June 16, 2005, among The Boeing Company, Boeing IRB Asset Trust and Spirit AeroSystems, Inc. (f/k/a Mid-Western Aircraft Systems, Inc.)	Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135486), filed June 30, 2006, Exhibit 10.27
10.22	Inducement Agreement between Spirit AeroSystems, Inc. and The North Carolina Global TransPark Authority, dated May 14, 2008	Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 001-33160), filed August 1, 2008, Exhibit 10.2
10.23	Lease Agreement between Spirit AeroSystems, Inc. and The North Carolina Global TransPark Authority, dated May 14, 2008	Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 001-33160), filed August 1, 2008, Exhibit 10.3
10.24	Construction Agency Agreement between Spirit AeroSystems, Inc. and The North Carolina Global TransPark Authority, dated May 14, 2008	Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 001-33160), filed August 1, 2008, Exhibit 10.4

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Article I. Exhibit Number	Section 1.01 Exhibit	Incorporated by Reference to the Following Documents
10.25	Amendment to the Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. Amended and Restated Executive Incentive Plan.	Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 001-33160), filed May 6, 2010, Exhibit 10.1
10.26	Amendment No. 3 dated as of October 15, 2010, to the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of November 27, 2006, among Spirit AeroSystems, Inc. (f/k/a Mid-Western Aircraft Systems, Inc.), Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. (f/k/a Mid-Western Aircraft Systems Holdings, Inc.), the guarantors party thereto; Bank of America, N.A. and the other lenders party thereto.	Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-33160), filed October 20, 2010, Exhibit 10.1
10.27	Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Plan, As Amended	Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 001-33160), filed May 6, 2011, Exhibit 10.34
10.28	Employment Agreement between Spirit AeroSystems, Inc. and David Coleal, effective as of July 14, 2011	Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 001-33160), filed November 4, 2011, Exhibit 10.1
10.29	Credit Agreement, dated as of April 18, 2012, among Spirit AeroSystems, Inc., the other guarantors party thereto, Bank of America, N.A. and the other agents and lenders party thereto	Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 001-33160), filed August 7, 2012, Exhibit 10.1
10.30	Amendment No. 1, dated as of October 26, 2012, to Credit Agreement dated as of April 18, 2012 among Spirit Aerosystems, Inc., Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc., the other guarantors party thereto, Bank of America, N.A. and the other agents and lenders party thereto	Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-33160), filed October 30, 2012, Exhibit 10.1
10.31	Amendment No. 2, dated as of August 2, 2013, to Credit Agreement dated as of April 18, 2012 among Spirit Aerosystems, Inc., Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc., the other guarantors party thereto, Bank of America, N.A. and the other agents and lenders party thereto	*
10.32	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, between Spirit AeroSystems, Inc. and Jon Lammers, effective as of July 24, 2012	Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 001-33160), filed November 5, 2012, Exhibit 10.1
10.33	Amendment No. 2, dated March 4, 2011, to General Terms Agreement (Sustaining and Others) between The Boeing Company and Spirit AeroSystems, Inc.	Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 001-33160), filed November 5, 2012, Exhibit 10.2
10.35	Memorandum of Agreement, between The Boeing Company and Spirit AeroSystems, Inc., made as of March 9, 2012, amending Special Business Provisions (Sustaining)	Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 001-33160), filed November 5, 2012, Exhibit 10.4
10.36	Employment Agreement between Spirit AeroSystems, Inc. and Larry A. Lawson, effective as of March 18, 2013	Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-33160), filed March 22, 2013, Exhibit 10.1

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Article I. Exhibit Number	Section 1.01 Exhibit	Incorporated by Reference to the Following Documents
10.37	Retirement and Consulting Agreement and General Release between Spirit AeroSystems, Inc., Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. and Jeffrey L. Turner, effective as of May 2, 2013	Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-33160), filed May 6, 2013, Exhibit 10.1
10.38	Retirement and Consulting Agreement and General Release between Spirit AeroSystems, Inc., Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. and Michael G. King, effective as of June 18, 2013	Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-33160), filed June 24, 2013, Exhibit 10.1
10.39	Employment Agreement between Spirit AeroSystems, Inc. and Sanjay Kapoor, effective as of August 23, 2013	Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-33160), filed August 26, 2013, Exhibit 10.1
10.40	Employment Agreement between Spirit AeroSystems, Inc. and Heidi Wood, effective as of July 15, 2013	*
10.41	Amendment to Employment Agreement between Spirit Aerosystems, Inc. and Heidi Wood, effective as of July 15, 2013	*
10.42	Form of Executive Compensation Letter	*
12.1	Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges	*
14.1	Code of Ethics	
	(i) Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. Code of Ethics and Business Conduct, as amended	Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 001-33160), filed August 5, 2011, Exhibit 14.1
	(ii) Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. Code of Conduct for Finance Employees	Annual Report on Form 10-K (File No. 001-33160), filed March 5, 2007, Exhibit 14.1
21.1	Subsidiaries of Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.	*
23.1	Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP	*
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	*
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	*
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	**
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	**
101.INS@	XBRL Instance Document.	*
101.SCH@	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.	*
101.CAL@	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.	*
101.DEF@	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.	*
101.LAB@	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.	*

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Article I. Exhibit Number	Section 1.01 Exhibit	Incorporated by Reference to the Following Documents
101.PRE@	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.	*

Indicates management contract or compensation plan or arrangement

Indicates that portions of the exhibit have been omitted and separately filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to a request for confidential treatment

*
Filed herewith

**
Furnished herewith

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

/s/ Christopher E. Kubasik _____ Christopher E. Kubasik	Director	February 19, 2014
/s/ Tawfiq Popatia _____ Tawfiq Popatia	Director	February 19, 2014
/s/ Francis Raborn _____ Francis Raborn	Director	February 19, 2014
/s/ Jeffrey L. Turner _____ Jeffrey L. Turner	Director	February 19, 2014

Table of Contents

SCHEDULE II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts, Warranties and Extraordinary Rework, and Deferred Tax Asset Valuation
(Deducted from assets to which they apply)

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts	2013	2012	2011
Balance, January 1	\$ 4.3	\$ 1.4	\$
Charges to costs and expenses	(0.2)	3.2	1.6
Write-offs, net of recoveries	(4.3)	(0.3)	(0.2)
Balance, December 31	\$ (0.2)	\$ 4.3	\$ 1.4

Warranties and Extraordinary Rework	2013	2012	2011
Balance, January 1	\$ 30.9	\$ 19.6	\$ 18.7
Charges to costs and expenses	38.3	12.0	18.4
Write-offs, net of recoveries	(0.6)	(0.9)	(17.5)
Exchange rate	0.1	0.2	
Balance, December 31	\$ 68.7	\$ 30.9	\$ 19.6

Deferred Tax Asset Valuation Allowance	2013	2012	2011
Balance, January 1	\$ 10.4	\$ 8.2	\$ 5.3
US deferred tax asset	381.0		
Income tax credits	3.7	1.9	2.9
Depreciation and Amortization	0.2	0.3	
Other	1.2		
Balance, December 31	\$ 396.5	\$ 10.4	\$ 8.2