

TESSCO TECHNOLOGIES INC  
Form DEF 14A  
June 16, 2010

[QuickLinks](#) -- Click here to rapidly navigate through this document

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

**SCHEDULE 14A**

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of  
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.        )

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material under §240.14a-12

**TESSCO Technologies Incorporated**

---

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

---

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
  - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
  - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
  - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
  - (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
  - (5) Total fee paid:

Edgar Filing: TESSCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form DEF 14A

- o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
  - o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
    - (1) Amount Previously Paid:
    - (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
    - (3) Filing Party:
    - (4) Date Filed:
-

## **TESSCO Technologies Incorporated**

**11126 McCormick Road  
Hunt Valley, Maryland USA 21031**

---

### **NOTICE OF 2010 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON July 28, 2010**

---

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of TESSCO Technologies Incorporated, a Delaware corporation (the "Company"), will be held at our offices located at 375 West Padonia Road, Timonium, Maryland 21093, USA, on Wednesday, July 28, 2010 at 9:00 a.m., local time, for the following purposes:

To elect two director nominees to serve on our Board of Directors for three-year terms ending at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held in 2013 and until their respective successors are duly elected and qualified.

To ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2011.

To act upon any other matter, including a shareholder proposal, which may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

The Board of Directors of the Company has fixed the close of business on June 4, 2010 as the record date for determining shareholders of the Company entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting. A list of shareholders as of the record date will be available for inspection at the Company's corporate headquarters during business hours for a period of ten days before the Annual Meeting.

#### **Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on July 28, 2010**

Pursuant to rules and regulations adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission, we have elected to provide access to our proxy materials over the Internet, allowing us to provide the information shareholders need, while lowering delivery and printing expenses. On or about June 16, 2010, we mailed to our shareholders a notice containing instructions on how our shareholders may access online our 2010 Proxy Statement, 2010 Annual Report to Shareholders, and Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 28, 2010, and how our shareholders may request paper copies of these materials, and how our shareholders' may direct their votes. Neither our Annual Report to Shareholders nor our Annual Report on Form 10-K constitute soliciting materials, but provide you with additional information about TESSCO.

We invite your attention to each of these documents, and we invite you to attend the Annual Meeting of Shareholders, in person. If you are a shareholder of record, meaning that you hold shares directly with Mellon Shareholder Services, LLC ("registered holders"), the inspector of elections will have your name on a list, and you will be able to gain entry to the meeting with a form of government-issued photo identification, such as a driver's license, state-issued ID card, or passport. Shareholders holding stock in brokerage accounts ("street name" or "beneficial holders") will need to bring a copy of a brokerage statement reflecting their stock ownership as of the record date. Shareholders attending

---

the meeting in representative capacity will need to bring evidence of their representative status in order to gain entry.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

David M. Young

*Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Corporate Secretary*

Hunt Valley, Maryland

June 16, 2010

EVEN IF YOU PLAN TO ATTEND THE MEETING IN PERSON, PLEASE EITHER SUBMIT YOUR PROXY ONLINE, DIRECT YOUR VOTE VIA TELEPHONE, OR COMPLETE, SIGN AND DATE A PROXY CARD, WHICH IS AVAILABLE TO YOU ONLINE, OR UPON REQUEST, AND RETURN IT PROMPTLY TO US. IF YOU ATTEND THE MEETING IN PERSON, YOU MAY REVOKE YOUR PROXY AND VOTE IN PERSON AT THE MEETING.

---

## TESSCO Technologies Incorporated

11126 McCormick Road  
Hunt Valley, Maryland USA 21031

---

### PROXY STATEMENT

---

#### INTRODUCTION

##### General

This Proxy Statement is made available to shareholders of TESSCO Technologies Incorporated, a Delaware corporation (the "Company"), in connection with the solicitation by the Board of Directors of the Company of proxies for use at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held at our offices located at 375 West Padonia Road, Timonium, Maryland 21093, on Wednesday, July 28, 2010 at 9:00 a.m., local time, and at any adjournment or postponement thereof.

##### Notice of Electronic Availability of Proxy Statement and Annual Report

Pursuant to the e-proxy rules and regulations adopted by the United States Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"), we have elected to provide access to our proxy materials over the Internet. On or about June 16, 2010, we mailed to our shareholders a notice (the "E-Proxy Notice") containing instructions on how to access online our 2010 Proxy Statement, Annual Report to Shareholders and Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 28, 2010, and on how a proxy may be submitted over the Internet. If you would like to receive a printed copy of our proxy materials, you should follow the instructions for requesting proxy materials included in the E-Proxy Notice. These materials will be available free of charge and will be sent to you within three business days of your request. Neither our Annual Report to Shareholders nor our Annual Report on Form 10-K constitutes soliciting materials, but provides you with additional information about TESSCO.

##### Solicitation

The solicitation of proxies is being made primarily by mail and through the internet, but directors, officers, employees, and contractors retained by the Company may also engage in the solicitation of proxies by telephone. The cost of soliciting proxies will be borne by the Company. The Company has retained the services of Innisfree M&A Incorporated to assist in the solicitation of proxies, at a cost to the Company for basic services of approximately \$7,500. Depending upon the circumstances, the scope of services to be provided by Innisfree may expand, and cost would be expected to increase correspondingly. In addition, the Company may reimburse brokers, custodians, nominees and other record holders for their reasonable out-of-pocket expenses in forwarding proxy materials to beneficial owners.

##### Voting Rights and Outstanding Shares

The Board of Directors of the Company has fixed the close of business on June 4, 2010 as the record date for determining the shareholders of the Company entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting. On the record date, 7,401,016 shares of common stock, \$0.01 par value per share, of the Company were issued and outstanding. Each share of common stock entitles the holder to one vote on each matter to be voted on at the Annual Meeting. There is no cumulative voting for the election of directors.

The presence, in person or by proxy, of at least a majority of the total number of shares of common stock entitled to vote is necessary to constitute a quorum at the Annual Meeting. If, for

example, there are not sufficient votes for a quorum, the Annual Meeting may be adjourned from time to time in order to permit the further solicitation of proxies.

**Your vote is important.** Because most of our shareholders cannot attend the Annual Meeting in person, it is necessary for a large number to be represented by proxy. Most shareholders have a choice of directing their vote over the Internet, by using a toll-free telephone number or by completing a proxy card and mailing it in a postage-paid envelope that we will provide to you upon your request. Please check the information forwarded by your bank, broker or other holder of record to see what options are available to you. The Internet and telephone proxy vote facilities for shareholders of record are scheduled to close at 11:59 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time on the business day prior to the Annual Meeting day, but may remain open or be reopened if determined to be necessary or appropriate or in the event of any adjournment or postponement of the Annual Meeting.

A shareholder may, with respect to the election of directors, (i) vote "FOR" the election of the nominees, (ii) "WITHHOLD AUTHORITY" to vote for all nominees, or (iii) vote "FOR" the election of all nominees other than any nominee with respect to whom the shareholder withholds authority to vote. A shareholder may, with respect to each other matter specified in the notice of meeting, (i) vote "FOR" the matter, (ii) vote "AGAINST" the matter, or (iii) "ABSTAIN" from voting on the matter.

All shares of common stock entitled to vote and represented by properly submitted proxies received prior to the Annual Meeting and not revoked, will be voted in accordance with your instructions. If no instructions are indicated, the shares of common stock represented by a properly submitted proxy will be voted in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Directors.

A proxy submitted by a shareholder may indicate that all or a portion of the shares represented by the proxy are not being voted by the shareholder with respect to a particular matter. This could occur, for example, when a broker is not permitted to vote common stock held in street name on certain matters in the absence of instructions from the beneficial owner of the common stock. These "nonvoted shares," i.e., shares subject to a proxy which are not being voted with respect to a particular matter, will be considered shares not present and entitled to vote on such matter, although these shares may be considered present and entitled to vote for other purposes and will count for purposes of determining the presence of a quorum.

#### **Revocation of Proxies**

A proxy may be revoked at any time before its exercise by the filing of a written revocation with David M. Young, Corporate Secretary of the Company, by timely providing a later-dated proxy (including by Internet or telephone vote), or by voting by ballot at the Annual Meeting. Mere attendance at the Annual Meeting will not revoke a proxy, and if you are a beneficial owner of shares not registered in your own name, you will need additional documentation from your record holder to vote personally at the Annual Meeting.

#### **Required Vote**

The affirmative vote of a plurality of the shares of common stock present in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote on the election of directors is required to elect directors. Only nominees nominated by the Board of Directors may be elected at the meeting. Accordingly, if a quorum is present at the Annual Meeting, and the two individuals nominated by the Board of Directors receive any favorable votes, they will be elected to serve as directors. Therefore, provided that a favorable vote is otherwise cast for each such nominee, withholding authority to vote for a director(s) and "nonvoted shares" with respect to the election of directors will not affect the outcome of the election of directors.

The affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of common stock present in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote thereon is required to approve each matter other than the

election of directors. Under Delaware law, abstentions with respect to matters other than the election of directors are generally considered as shares present and entitled to vote and thus have the same effect as a vote against such matter. "Nonvoted shares" with respect to such a matter will not be considered as entitled to vote on the matter and thus will not affect the determination of whether the matter is approved.

The Board of Directors knows of no matters that will be presented for consideration at the Annual Meeting other than those described in this Proxy Statement. Submission of a proxy, however, confers on the designated proxy the authority to vote the shares in accordance with their discretion on such other business, if any, as may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment thereof. If, for example, our Board of Directors or our Chairman and President determines to direct one or more adjournments of the meeting, the persons named as proxies on the enclosed proxy card will have discretionary authority to vote the shares represented by proxies in the event that it is determined to submit a proposal for adjournment to a vote. Proxies solicited by means of this proxy statement will be tabulated by inspectors of election designated by the Board, who will not be employees or directors of the Company or any of its affiliates.

### **Proposal No. 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS**

The Company's Board of Directors is divided into three classes, each class consisting, as nearly as possible, of one-third of the total number of directors, and each class having a three-year term. Each year, the directors in one class are elected to serve for a term of three years and until their successors are duly elected and qualified. The seven member board is divided into three classes, of which two classes are comprised of two members and one class which is comprised of three members. One class of two directors, currently consisting of Dennis J. Shaughnessy and Jay G. Baitler has a term of office expiring at the Annual Meeting and until their successors are duly elected and qualified. Messrs. Shaughnessy and Baitler have been nominated by the Board of Directors for re-election at the Annual Meeting to serve for an additional three-year term expiring at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders in 2013 and until their successors are elected and qualified. In the event that any nominee is unable or unwilling to serve, the Board of Directors may name a substitute nominee and the persons named in the proxy will vote for such substitute nominee or nominees as they, in their discretion, shall determine. The Board of Directors has no reason to believe that any nominee named herein will be unable or unwilling to serve.

**The Board of Directors recommends a vote "FOR" each of the nominees nominated by the Board of Directors.**

Set forth below is information concerning the nominees for election and those directors whose terms continue beyond the date of the Annual Meeting.

#### **Nominees for Director for a Three-Year Term Expiring at the 2013 Annual Meeting**

**Dennis J. Shaughnessy**, age 63, has been a director of the Company since 1989. He is Chairman of the Board of FTI Consulting Inc. FTI is a leading global consulting firm with \$1.4 billion in revenues from operations from 23 countries and is traded on the New York Stock Exchange with a market cap of \$2.0 billion. Prior to joining FTI, Mr. Shaughnessy served as General Partner of Grotech Capital Group, a private equity firm, leading their traditional industry group, managing \$1.0 billion in private equity. Prior to joining Grotech, Mr. Shaughnessy had been President and CEO of CRI International, an international petroleum refining service business, which was sold to Shell Oil in 1989.

Mr. Shaughnessy is well qualified to serve as a member of the Company's board due to his extensive experience in leading a large global consulting firm as well as his experience evaluating and managing various companies during his tenure in the private equity industry.

## Edgar Filing: TESSCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form DEF 14A

**Jay G. Baitler**, age 63, has been a director of the Company since 2007. He has served as Executive Vice President of Staples Contract Division since 2004. He has been with Staples since 1995, and prior to his position as Executive Vice President, Mr. Baitler served as Mid-Atlantic Regional President and Senior Vice President, Contract Division. Prior to joining Staples, Mr. Baitler served as the Northeast Regional President at BT Office Products.

Mr. Baitler has overseen significant growth at Staples, both organic and acquired, and is well qualified to serve as a member of the Company's board due to his sales, procurement, ecommerce and contract expertise.

### **Directors whose term will expire at the 2011 Annual Meeting**

**Robert B. Barnhill, Jr.**, age 66, has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company since founding the business in its current form, in 1982. Mr. Barnhill has been a director of the Company since 1982, and has been Chairman of the Board since November 1993.

Mr. Barnhill is well qualified to serve as a member of the Company's board based on his experience as a long-standing CEO who has presided over the Company's extensive growth.

**Benn R. Konsynski, Ph.D.**, age 59, has been a director of the Company since November 1993. He is the George S. Craft Professor of Business Administration for Information Systems and Operations Management at the Goizueta Business School of Emory University. He was named Hewlett Fellow at the Carter Center in 1995. Prior to arriving at the Goizueta Business School, he was on the faculty at the Harvard Business School for seven years where he taught in the MBA program and several executive programs. Professor Konsynski specializes in issues of digital commerce and information technology in relationships across organizations.

Dr. Konsynski is well qualified to serve as a member of the Company's board based on his knowledge and expertise in technology systems and digital commerce.

### **Directors whose term will expire at the 2012 Annual Meeting**

**John D. Beletic**, age 58, has been a director of the Company since July 1999. Since July 2002, he has been a venture partner with Oak Investment Partners, a venture capital firm. From September 2008 to December 2009, Mr. Beletic served as Chairman of Fiber Tower Inc., a provider of wireless backhaul services to mobile network carriers, where he previously served from August 2006 to September 2008 as Executive Chairman. From July 2002 to September 2004, Mr. Beletic also served as Executive Chairman of Oculan Corporation, a network monitoring and intrusion detection company. From August 1994 until December 2001, Mr. Beletic served as CEO and Chairman of the Board of PageMart Inc., a wireless messaging service, and Weblink Wireless, Inc., a communications service company. Weblink Wireless, Inc. filed a petition for reorganization under Chapter 11 in May 2001. Previously, Mr. Beletic was Chairman and CEO of Tigon Corporation, a voicemail service provider, which was acquired by Ameritech Corporation, a telecommunications company. Mr. Beletic also serves as Chairman of the Board of iPass, Inc., an internet access provider.

Mr. Beletic is well qualified to serve as a member of the Company's board due to his extensive experience leading companies in the telecommunications industry and his experience evaluating and managing various companies during his tenure in the private equity industry.

**Daniel Okrent**, age 62, has been a director of the Company since January 2004. In the fall of 2009, he was the Edward R. Murrow Visiting Lecturer at the John F. Kennedy School of Government at Harvard University. He has previously been public editor of the New York Times and a senior executive at Time Inc., to which he remains a consultant. Mr. Okrent formerly served on the Board of Lands' End, Inc., and is past chairman of the National Portrait Gallery, a division of the Smithsonian Institution.



## Edgar Filing: TESSCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form DEF 14A

Mr. Okrent is well qualified to serve as a member of the Company's board due to his extensive experience in marketing, publishing, media relations and ecommerce as well as his experience serving on other public and private organization boards.

**Morton F. Zifferer, Jr.**, age 62, has been a director of the Company since November 1993. He has served as Chairman and CEO of New Standard Corporation, a metal products manufacturer, since 1983. Mr. Zifferer and New Standard Corporation have partnered with several Fortune 500 companies globally to implement Six-Sigma continuous improvement activities and execute lean manufacturing techniques and sophisticated supply chain strategies and practices.

Mr. Zifferer is well qualified to serve as a member of the Company's board due to his extensive experience in providing manufacturing and supply solutions to large global companies. Mr. Zifferer is also the Audit Committee's financial expert.

### **Board Independence and Leadership Structure**

The Board has determined that, other than Mr. Barnhill, each of the current directors, including the director nominees, is independent within the meaning of the Company's director independence standards, which reflect both the NASDAQ and SEC director independence standards, as currently in effect. Furthermore, the Board has determined that none of the members of the three standing committees of the Board of Directors in existence during the 2010 fiscal year, has any material relationship with the Company (either directly or as a partner, stockholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with the Company) and that each such member is "independent" within the meaning of the independence standards applicable to each such committee.

TESSCO believes that there are a wide array of leadership structures that could apply to many different business models and, therefore, that every company should be afforded the opportunity to determine the ideal structure for its board leadership. Leadership structures may change over time to best suit the Company's current needs. Currently, the Company's Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Barnhill, also serves as Chairman of the Board. The Board of Directors believes that the current Board leadership structure, coupled with a strong emphasis on Board independence, provides effective independent oversight of management while allowing both the Board and management to benefit from Mr. Barnhill's crucial leadership and years of experience in the Company's business. Serving as both Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer since 1993, Mr. Barnhill has been the director most capable of effectively identifying strategic priorities, leading critical discussion and executing the Company's strategy and business plans. Mr. Barnhill possesses detailed and in-depth knowledge of the issues, opportunities, and challenges facing the Company. The Company's independent directors bring experience, oversight and expertise from outside the Company, while the Chief Executive Officer brings company-specific experience and expertise. The Board of Directors believes that Mr. Barnhill's combined role enables decisive leadership, ensures clear accountability, and enhances the Company's ability to communicate its message and strategy clearly and consistently to its stockholders, employees and customers. As further discussed under the caption "Narrative Supplement to the Summary Compensation Table in Fiscal Year 2010," Mr. Barnhill's current employment agreement calls for Mr. Barnhill to transition away from being the Company's Chief Executive Officer in fiscal year 2014 and become solely the Chairman of the Board at that time.

Beginning in fiscal year 2008, the Board of Directors established a Lead Director who is independent and is responsible for (1) assuring that the independent directors meet in executive session typically before and/or after each board meeting, (2) facilitating communications between other independent directors and the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, and (3) consulting with the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer on matters relating to corporate governance and Board performance. The Lead Director is elected by our independent directors, upon the recommendation of the Nominating and Governance Committee and his term as Lead Director runs from one Annual Meeting of Shareholders to the next Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

Mr. Beletic currently serves as our Lead Director, and it is anticipated that he will continue to serve in that role until the Annual Meeting in July 2011.

### Meetings and Committees of the Board of Directors

The Board of Directors has an Audit Committee, a Compensation Committee and a Nominating and Governance Committee. In addition, on April 23, 2010, the Board of Directors established a new committee, a Risk and Strategy Committee, which will begin holding meetings in fiscal year 2011. The membership during the last fiscal year (and the current membership of the Risk and Strategy Committee) and the function of each of the committees is described below. The Board of Directors met six (6) times during fiscal year 2010. During fiscal year 2010, all directors attended every meeting of the Board and Committees on which they served. The Company does not have a policy on director attendance at Annual Meetings, but all of our directors are invited and encouraged to attend Annual Meetings. All of our directors were in attendance at the 2009 Annual Meeting.

#### Board Committee Membership

Director	Audit Committee	Compensation Committee	Nominating and Governance Committee	Risk and Strategy Committee
Jay G. Baitler	X	X		X
Robert B. Barnhill				X
John D. Beletic		X		X
Benn R. Konsynski	X		X	
Daniel Okrent			X	
Dennis J. Shaughnessy		X	X	X
Morton F. Zifferer	X	X		

#### Audit Committee

The Audit Committee is primarily concerned with the effectiveness of the audits of the Company by the Company's independent public accounting firm. The Audit Committee's duties include approving the selection of the independent registered public accounting firm, reviewing the scope of audits conducted by them, as well as the results of their audits, and reviewing the organization and scope of the Company's internal system of accounting and financial controls. The Audit Committee met seven (7) times during fiscal year 2010. A copy of the Audit Committee charter is available for review on our Website ([www.tessco.com](http://www.tessco.com)), under the heading "Investors." The Board of Directors has determined that Mr. Zifferer is the audit committee financial expert as defined by applicable SEC rules and is "independent" with the meaning of the applicable NASDAQ Rules.

#### Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee provides assistance to the members of the Board of Directors in fulfilling their responsibilities to the shareholders, potential shareholders and the investment community relating to compensation practices of the Company, including salary and other forms of compensation. The Compensation Committee's primary duties and responsibilities are to formulate and recommend compensation policies of the Company that will enable the Company to attract and retain high-quality leadership and that are consistent with the Company's established compensation philosophy. The Compensation Committee administers the Company's incentive compensation plans, including our Second Amended and Restated 1994 Stock and Incentive Plan. The Compensation Committee met four (4) times during fiscal year 2010. A copy of the Compensation Committee charter is available for review on our Website ([www.tessco.com](http://www.tessco.com)), under the heading "Investors." Mr. Beletic currently serves as Chairman of the Compensation Committee.

### **Nominating and Governance Committee**

The Company has a Nominating and Governance Committee, the functions of which include making recommendations to the Board regarding matters and practices concerning the Board, its committees and individual directors; evaluation of the current composition and governance structure of the Board of Directors and determination of its future requirements; making recommendations concerning nominees for election to the Board of Directors; and the appointment of Directors to Board Committees and the selection of Chairpersons of the Board Committees. The Nominating and Governance Committee met four (4) times during fiscal year 2010. The Nominating and Governance Committee performs other related functions and is governed by a charter, a copy of which is available for review on our Website ([www.tessco.com](http://www.tessco.com)), under the heading "Investors." Mr. Okrent currently serves as Chairman of the Nominating and Governance Committee.

The Nominating and Governance Committee has determined, in its view, that the minimum qualifications for serving as a director of the Company are that a nominee demonstrate an ability to make a meaningful contribution to the Board's oversight of the business and affairs of the Company, and have an impeccable record and reputation for honest and ethical conduct in both his or her professional and personal activities. The Committee also examines a candidate's specific experiences and skills, time availability, potential conflicts of interest and independence from management and the Company. While the Committee does not have a formal policy with respect to diversity, the Board believes that it is essential that the Board is comprised of members that have diverse backgrounds, skill sets, education and professional experience. The Board also follows the overall Company philosophy regarding maintaining an environment free from discrimination based upon race, color, religion, national origin, sex, age, disability, sexual orientation, marital status or any unlawful factor. Candidates may be identified through various means, including by asking current directors and executive officers to notify the Committee if they become aware of persons meeting the criteria described above, by the retention of third-party consultants to assist in this process, and by considering director candidates recommended by shareholders. In considering candidates submitted by shareholders, the Committee will take into consideration the needs of the Board and the qualifications of the candidate. The Committee may also take into consideration other factors it determines to be relevant, such as the number of shares held by the recommending shareholder and the length of time that such shares have been held. To have a candidate considered by the Committee, a shareholder must submit the recommendation in writing and must include the name of the shareholder and evidence of the person's ownership of Company stock, including the number of shares owned and the length of time of ownership, and the name of the candidate, the candidate's resume or a listing of his or her qualifications to be a director of the Company and the person's consent to be named as a director if selected and nominated. The shareholder recommendation and information must be sent to the Corporate Secretary at 11126 McCormick Road, Hunt Valley, Maryland 21031. Once a potential candidate has been identified, the Committee may collect and review information regarding the candidate to assess whether the person should be considered further. If the Committee determines that the candidate warrants further consideration, personal contact with the candidate may be made and further review of the candidate's accomplishments, qualifications and willingness to serve may be undertaken and compared to other candidates. The Committee's evaluation process does not vary based on whether or not a candidate is recommended by a shareholder, although, as stated above, the Board may take into consideration other factors, such as the number of shares held by the recommending shareholder and the length of time that such shares have been held.

### **Risk and Strategy Committee**

On April 23, 2010, the Board of Directors established a Risk and Strategy Committee of the Board. The primary duties and responsibilities of the Risk and Strategy Committee are to work closely with executive management to assess risks to the business, and capital allocation and growth strategies.

Mr. Shaughnessy currently serves as Chairman of the Risk and Strategy Committee. A charter for the Risk and Strategy Committee is currently in progress and will be completed in fiscal year 2011.

#### **Shareholder Communications with Directors**

The Board of Directors recommends that shareholders initiate any communications with the Board in writing. Written communications may be directed to the Corporate Secretary. Shareholders can send communications by e-mail to *corporatesecretary@tessco.com*, by fax to (410) 229-1669 or by mail to Corporate Secretary, TESSCO Technologies Incorporated, 11126 McCormick Road, Hunt Valley, Maryland 21031. This centralized process will assist the Board in reviewing and responding to shareholder communications in an appropriate manner. The name of any specific intended Board recipient should be noted in the communication. The Board has instructed the Corporate Secretary to forward such correspondence only to the intended recipients; however, the Board has also instructed the Corporate Secretary, prior to forwarding any correspondence, to review such correspondence and, in his discretion, not to forward certain items if they are deemed of a commercial or frivolous nature or otherwise inappropriate for the Board's consideration. In such cases, some of that correspondence may be forwarded elsewhere in the Company for review and possible response.

#### **Board's Role in Risk Oversight**

The Board of Directors oversees the business of the Company, including the CEO and senior management performance and risk management, to assure that the long-term interests of the shareholders are being served. Each committee of the Board of Directors is also responsible for reviewing the risk exposure of the Company related to the committee's areas of responsibility and providing input to management on such risks.

Management and our Board have a process embedded throughout the Company to identify, analyze, manage and report all significant risks facing the Company. The Company's CEO and other senior managers regularly report to the Board and, going forward, the Risk and Strategy Committee, on significant risks facing the Company, including financial, operational, competitive, legal, regulatory and strategic risks. Each of the Board committees reviews with management significant risks related to the committee's area of responsibility and reports to the Board on such risks. The independent Board members also discuss the Company's significant risks when they meet in executive session without management.

#### **Director Compensation for Fiscal Year 2010**

The current compensation program for non-management directors is designed to achieve the following goals: compensation should fairly pay directors for work required for a company of our size; compensation should align directors' interests with the long-term interests of shareholders; and the structure of the compensation should be simple, transparent and easy for shareholders to understand.

In consideration for services on the Board, each non-employee director of the Company is paid \$25,000 per fiscal year and the Lead Director of the Company is paid \$35,000 per fiscal year. In addition, each non-employee director of the Company, including the Lead Director, is paid \$2,500 for each meeting of the Board and \$1,000 for each meeting of a Committee of the Board that he or she attends. The table below does not include reimbursements for reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with attendance at Board or Committee meetings. Non-management directors are also eligible to receive Performance Stock Units, as determined by the Compensation Committee, as described under the heading "Narrative Supplement to the Summary Compensation Table in Fiscal Year 2010."

## Edgar Filing: TESSCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form DEF 14A

The following table summarizes the compensation awarded to, earned by, or paid to the Company's non-management directors during fiscal year 2010:

### DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)(1)	Non-Equity Incentive Change			All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
			Option Award (\$)	Plan Compensation (\$)	Pension in Value		
Jay G. Baitler	\$ 51,000	\$ 65,813	\$	\$	\$	\$ 116,813	
John D. Beletic	54,000	65,813				119,813	
Benn R. Konsynski	51,000	65,813				116,813	
Daniel Okrent	44,000	65,813				109,813	
Dennis J. Shaughnessy	48,000	65,813				113,813	
Morton F. Zifferer	51,000	65,813				116,813	

(1)

This column represents the aggregate grant date fair value of the awards, computed in accordance with the FASB standard on stock compensation, excluding the effect of estimated forfeitures. These amounts reflect the valuation method recently adopted by the Securities and Exchange ("SEC"), which is the aggregate grant date fair value of the equity awards, rather than the dollar amount recognized that year for financial statement reporting purposes, as previously required. The grant date fair value of the PSU awards granted in fiscal year 2010 is \$5.85 per share. Based on fiscal year 2010 performance, each director earned 11,250 shares related to PSU awards made to them in April 2009. Because fiscal year 2010 earnings per share exceeded the target for the PSUs, all PSU's granted in fiscal year 2010 were earned. These shares have vested or will vest ratably on or about May 1 of 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013, assuming that each director remains affiliated with the Company on those dates, and subject to accelerated vesting upon a change in control or termination of service to the Company under certain circumstances. For a discussion of the assumptions made in the valuation of these awards see the notes to the audited consolidated financial statements in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 28, 2010. All share numbers reflect the May 26, 2010 stock dividend in order to effect a 3-for-2 stock split of our common stock.

### Proposal No. 2 RATIFICATION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors has selected the firm of Ernst & Young LLP to serve as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending March 27, 2011, and the Company seeks ratification of such appointment by the shareholders. Ernst & Young LLP has audited our financial statements commencing with the fiscal year ended March 30, 2003. Representatives of Ernst & Young LLP are expected to be present at the Annual Meeting and will have the opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so, and be available to respond to appropriate questions.

Shareholder ratification of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm is not required by our By-laws or otherwise. However, the Board of Directors is submitting the selection of Ernst & Young LLP to the shareholders for ratification as a matter of good corporate practice. If the shareholders fail to approve the selection, the Audit Committee and the Board will reconsider whether or not to retain that firm. Even if the selection is ratified, the Audit Committee in its discretion may direct the appointment of a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if they determine that such a change would be in the best interest of our shareholders.

**The Board of Directors recommends a vote "FOR" the ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2011.**

**Proposal No. 3 SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL ON DECLASSIFICATION OF  
THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

The Company has been advised that one of its shareholders intends to present a proposal at the Company's annual meeting. The name, address and stock ownership of the proponent will be furnished by the Company promptly upon receiving an oral or written request to the Company's Secretary. If the shareholder or its representative who is qualified under applicable law is present at the annual meeting and submits this proposal for a vote, then the shareholder proposal will be voted upon at the annual meeting.

In accordance with applicable proxy regulations, the proposed resolution and supporting statement, exactly as submitted by the shareholder, are set forth below under the heading "Shareholder Proposal." We disclaim all responsibility for the content of the proposal and the supporting statement.

**For the reasons set forth in its Statement in Opposition to Proposal No. 3 immediately following the shareholder proposal below, the Board of Directors does not support this proposal and urges you to vote AGAINST this proposal.**

**Shareholder Proposal**

**RESOLVED**, that the stockholders of TESSCO Technologies Incorporated ("TESSCO") request that the Board of Directors declassify the Board and thereby submit all directors for shareholder election on an annual basis rather than staggering their individual elections to occur once every three years.

**Supporting Statement:**

Discovery Group is a long-term investor in TESSCO. Our motivation for this proposal is the chronic undervaluation of TESSCO shares, which we believe reflects a discount related to the company's small size combined with a perceived reticence on the part of the Board to consider a takeover by larger strategic suitors that could offer shareholders a significantly higher valuation.

Last year, we submitted a proposal to eliminate the company's Rights Plan, commonly referred to as a "Poison Pill." The Board adopted a Poison Pill in 2008 in response to an accumulation of shares by Brightpoint, Inc., a logical strategic acquirer. Our proposal received an overwhelming 75% of the votes cast by non-management shareholders as well as an endorsement from RiskMetrics, the leading proxy advisory firm. The Board ignored the shareholders' directive and maintains this antiquated anti-takeover mechanism. This new proposal affords shareholders another opportunity to address these serious valuation and governance concerns.

In its 2009 US Voting Manual, RiskMetrics states that "directors should be accountable to shareholders on an annual basis." RiskMetrics argues that "the only real motive for board classification is to make it more difficult to change control of the board." RiskMetrics also states: "A classified board can (1) delay a takeover desired by shareholders but opposed by management, and (2) prevent bidders from even approaching a target company if they do not want to wait more than a year to gain majority control. Shareholders lose in both cases, and management has less incentive to keep shares fully valued if the directors' board seats are secure."

There is also strong academic research that highlights the negative effects of a classified board. In the 2002 research paper, "The Powerful Antitakeover Force of Staggered Boards: Theory, Evidence, & Policy," Bebchuk et al. find that a classified board increases the likelihood that the average target will remain independent from 34% to 61%. Moreover, shareholders of companies with classified boards "achieved 31.8% returns in the nine months after a hostile bid was announced, compared to 43.4% returns" for shareholders of companies with non-classified boards.

## Edgar Filing: TESSCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form DEF 14A

In the last decade, the move away from classified boards has accelerated. From 1999 to 2009, according to Geogeson and Sharkrepellent.net, the percentage of S&P 500 companies with classified boards has dropped from 60.4% to 32.2%, a trend similar to the decline in the number of companies with Poison Pill defenses. Clearly, best-of-class companies are embracing improved shareholder rights and protections.

Annual director elections would increase the accountability of TESSCO's Board and eliminate disincentives for its directors to proactively pursue all strategic alternatives that could unlock shareholder value. Vote **FOR** this proposal to communicate to the Board that shareholders should have the right to elect their full slate of directors on an annual basis.

### **The Company's Statement in Opposition to Proposal No 3.**

The Board recommends a vote **AGAINST** the shareholder proposal set out above for the reasons described below.

*Stability and Continuity* Consistent with the Board's corporate governance guidelines, the Board from time to time reviews its corporate governance process and arrangements to ensure that they continue to serve the interests of the Company's shareholders. The Board is structured into classes to provide stability, continuity and independence, while also enhancing long-term planning and ensuring that, at any given time, the Board has experienced directors who are knowledgeable about TESSCO's businesses, products, markets, opportunities and challenges. A classified board also benefits TESSCO and its shareholders because it helps attract and retain highly qualified director candidates who are willing to make long-term commitments of the time and resources necessary to understand TESSCO, its operations and its competitive environment. This commitment is critical to achieve our strategic goals and one that will be best fulfilled by a stable and continuous Board.

*Independence* The Company believes that it has sound corporate governance processes and procedures in place. The Board is comprised entirely of independent directors, with the exception of the Company's Chief Executive Officer. The Company's director independence standards reflect both the NASDAQ Stock Market and the Securities and Exchange Commission director independence standards. The Company has adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics which applies to all officers and directors and the Board has established a Lead Director role that is filled by one of the independent directors. In addition, all four of the standing committees of the Board are chaired by independent directors, and three of the four Committees (Nominating and Governance, Audit, and Compensation Committees) consist solely of independent directors. Electing directors to three-year terms enhances the independence of non-employee directors by providing them with a longer term of office. This longer term provides enhanced independence from management or from special interest groups who may have an agenda contrary to the long-term interests of TESSCO and its shareholders. As a result, independent directors are able to make decisions that are in the best interest of TESSCO and its shareholders without having to consider annual elections.

*Accountability to Shareholders* In accordance with TESSCO's By-Laws, the Board is divided into three classes that serve staggered three-year terms. Directors elected to three-year terms are equally accountable to shareholders as directors elected annually, since all directors are required to uphold their fiduciary duties to TESSCO and its shareholders regardless of their term. Furthermore, the Board believes that the annual election of one-third of the directors provides shareholders with an effective means to achieve change and evaluate the performance of TESSCO and the directors.

*Protection Against Certain Takeovers* Our classified board structure strongly encourages potential acquirers to deal directly with the Board if they are interested in acquiring TESSCO, and better positions the Board to negotiate effectively on behalf of shareholders to realize the greatest possible value for TESSCO's shareholders. The classified board structure is designed to safeguard against a

hostile purchaser replacing a majority of our directors with its own nominees at a single annual meeting, thereby gaining control of TESSCO and its assets without paying fair market value to TESSCO's shareholders. A classified board does not preclude a takeover, but rather provides the Board the time and flexibility necessary to evaluate the adequacy and fairness of any takeover proposal, negotiate on behalf of all shareholders and weigh alternative methods of maximizing share value for all shareholders, without the threat of imminent removal of a majority of Board members.

*Financial Results and Shareholder Value* While the proposal suggests that a declassified board would increase financial returns for TESSCO's shareholders, there is limited objective evidence to confirm this suggestion and this is contrary to TESSCO's recent financial results. TESSCO has reported two consecutive years of record annual earnings per share, and in fact earnings per share have grown at a 22% compound annual growth rate over the last four years (from \$0.53 in FY06 to \$1.19 in FY10, both earnings per share numbers are adjusted for the effect of the May 26, 2010 three-for-two stock split). TESSCO's fiscal 2010 revenues of \$522 million also represented a Company record. As a result of the strong performance and other factors, the market price of TESSCO's stock has grown by over 125% this last year (\$7.04 on June 8, 2009 to \$15.89 on June 8, 2010, both stock prices are adjusted for the effect of the May 26, 2010 three-for-two stock split) and TESSCO stock has significantly outperformed the Russell 2000 and its peer group (see the Stock Performance Graph in the Company's recently filed Form 10-K). The Board believes that these financial results, especially during these challenging economic times, are a direct result of the Board having members who (i) have served long enough to learn TESSCO's business and therefore possess intimate knowledge of the company, (ii) have contributed to the development of TESSCO's strategy to create long-term shareholder value and have overseen management's implementation of that strategy and (iii) are pursuing TESSCO's long term business plans and goals.

It is important to note that shareholder approval of this proposal would not in itself declassify the Board. Approval of this proposal would advise the Board that a majority of the Company's shareholders voting at the annual meeting favor a change and would prefer that the Board take the necessary steps to end the staggered system of electing directors. However, to change the class structure of the Board, either (i) not less than 75% of the members of the Board must approve the amendments to the TESSCO Bylaws or (ii) the TESSCO shareholders would have to approve the amendments to TESSCO's Bylaws by an affirmative vote of not less than 75% of the total voting power of all outstanding shares of TESSCO common stock entitled to vote thereon.

After careful consideration of this proposal, the Nominating and Governance Committee and the entire Board have determined that retention of a classified board structure remains in the best long-term interests of the Company and its shareholders. The Board believes that the benefits of a classified board structure do not come at the expense of director accountability. In addition, the stability and continuity, independence and takeover protection provided by a staggered board structure have all contributed to the success of TESSCO. Moreover, the Board believes that the strong financial performance of TESSCO validates the Board's commitment to TESSCO and its shareholders.

**For the reasons noted above, the Board of Directors recommends a vote "AGAINST" Proposal No. 3 on declassification of the Board of Directors.**



## SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth information regarding the beneficial ownership of common stock of the Company, as of June 4, 2010 by (i) all shareholders known by the Company to beneficially own more than five percent of our common stock, (ii) each of the directors and each of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer during fiscal year 2010 and the other three most highly compensated executive officers at fiscal year end 2010 (the "named executive officers"), and (iii) all directors and named executive officers as a group. Percentage of beneficial ownership is based on 7,401,016 shares of common stock outstanding on June 4, 2010. All share numbers reflect the May 26, 2010 stock dividend in order to effect a 3-for-2 stock split of our common stock.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Class
<i>Directors and Named Executive Officers(1):</i>		
Robert B. Barnhill, Jr.(2)	1,979,827	26.1%
Jay G. Baitler	12,759	*
John D. Beletic	86,017	1.2%
Benn R. Konsynski, Ph.D.	122,182	1.7%
Daniel Okrent	43,413	*
Dennis J. Shaughnessy	45,402	*
Morton F. Zifferer, Jr.	74,352	1.0%
Gerald T. Garland	71,454	1.0%
Douglas A. Rein	64,908	*
Said Tofghi	50,685	*
David M. Young	68,620	*
All Directors and Named Executive Officers as a group (11 persons)(3)	2,619,619	34.6%
<i>Principal Shareholders:</i>		
Discovery Group L.P.(4)	1,020,138	13.8%
Dimensional Fund Advisors(5)	446,187	6.0%
Renaissance Technologies(6)	411,450	5.6%

\*

Less than 1% of the outstanding common stock.

- (1) Unless otherwise noted, each person exercises sole (or shares with a spouse or other immediate family member) voting and dispositive power as to the shares reported. Persons are deemed to beneficially own shares which they have the right to acquire beneficial ownership of within 60 days. Shares subject to options exercisable within 60 days of June 4, 2010 are deemed outstanding for computing the percentage of the outstanding shares held by the person holding such options, but not for computing the percentage of shares held by any other person. Addresses for all Directors and Named Executive Officers is c/o TESSCO Technologies, Incorporated, 11126 McCormick Road, Hunt Valley, Maryland 21031.
- (2) Includes 375,000 shares held by Mr. Barnhill's spouse and children; 180,000 shares subject to currently exercisable stock options; and 29,250 shares held by a private charitable foundation of which Mr. Barnhill and his spouse are the sole directors. Mr. Barnhill disclaims beneficial ownership over the shares held by the foundation. Mr. Barnhill's address is 11126 McCormick Road, Hunt Valley, Maryland 21031.
- (3) Includes 180,000 shares subject to currently exercisable stock options.
- (4) Derived from Schedule 13D filed by Discovery Group I, LLC on April 26, 2010. Discovery's address is 191 North Wacker Drive, Suite 1685, Chicago, Illinois 60606.
- (5) Derived from Form F3 filed by Dimensional Fund Advisors LP on May 06, 2010. Dimensional's address is Building One, 6300 Bee Cave Road, Austin, Texas 78746.

(6)

Derived from Form 13F filed by Renaissance Technologies, LLC. on May 13, 2010. Renaissance's address is 800 Third Avenue, 33<sup>rd</sup> Floor, New York, NY 10022.

## EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

### General

TESSCO operates in the extremely competitive and rapidly changing wireless communication product and service industry. The Compensation Committee (the "Committee") and the Board of Directors (the "Board") believe that compensation programs for our executive officers should be and are designed to attract, motivate and retain talented executives responsible for the long-term success of the Company. These programs are designed within a framework based on the achievement of designated targets and are designed to align the financial interests of executive officers with those of our shareholders. The Committee and the Board believe that alignment between shareholders and executive officers is best achieved by tying a significant portion of total compensation to the achievement of certain financial and non-financial objectives. This philosophy is applied to all team members, with a more significant amount of compensation at risk at the executive levels. The compensation programs for our named executive officers provide base salary, annual cash bonuses, long-term equity incentives, retirement and other benefits that the Committee believes are appropriate and relatively equivalent to typical programs available for comparable executive officers in similarly situated companies. For more details, see "Narrative Supplement to the Summary Compensation Table in Fiscal 2010."

### Stock-Based Compensation Plans

The Company's Second Amended and Restated 1994 Stock and Incentive Plan (the "1994 Plan") is currently the sole equity compensation plan under which we make grants and awards. The Committee and the Board believe that the ability to offer equity compensation incentives to eligible participants in the 1994 Plan, and particularly to our current and prospective senior management, is of paramount importance to our long term success. The 1994 Plan, as currently in effect, provides for the grant or award to regular full-time employees (including officers) and non-employee directors of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units and other performance awards, which may be denominated in shares of common stock or other securities of the Company.

The maximum number of shares of common stock issued or issuable at any time pursuant to awards granted under the 1994 Plan, after accounting for the recent 3-for-2 stock split in the form of a stock dividend, is 2,863,125, subject to further adjustment from time to time to reflect future stock splits and other similar events. Since the beginning of fiscal year 2005, the Compensation Committee has used Performance Stock Units (PSUs) as its primary vehicle for equity awards under the 1994 Plan (prior to fiscal 2005, stock options were typically granted to key contributors). The Committee determined that moving equity based compensation away from stock options to performance-based and time-vested stock grants is generally more aligned with long-term shareholder interests. The Committee has, however, reconsidered and reevaluated this position from time to time since, but with limited exception, has since continued to make equity compensation awards in a manner consistent with this determination. PSUs are typically granted with one year measurement periods, with any shares earned on the basis of performance during that period paid over a four year period, provided that the executive remains employed at the respective payment dates. In August 2006, the Committee also made a restricted stock grant to our Chief Executive Officer in connection with his current employment agreement, as discussed further below.

As of June 4, 2010, an aggregate of 1,058,316 shares of common stock had been issued to participants pursuant to PSUs granted since the establishment of the PSU program in 2005. As of June 4, 2010, 429,840 additional shares of the Company's common stock had been earned pursuant to the PSUs, but remained subject to issuance in accordance with the vesting terms applicable to those PSUs. These terms provide that, absent accelerated vesting upon a change in control or termination of service under specified circumstances, these shares will be issued, provided that the respective

participants remain employed by or associated with the Company on established payment dates. PSUs covering 266,250 shares of common stock remained unearned at June 4, 2010, with earning dependent upon fiscal 2011 performance.

As of June 4, 2010, options for 543,611 shares had been granted and exercised and options for 180,000 shares had been granted and remained outstanding under the 1994 Plan.

During fiscal year 2007, the Company made a restricted stock award for 225,000 shares to Mr. Barnhill, the restrictions under which lapse ratably over a period of ten fiscal years following the grant assuming that Mr. Barnhill remains affiliated with the Company, or earlier upon a change in control or in certain other specified circumstances. As of June 4, 2010, the restrictions had lapsed in respect of 90,000 shares covered by the restricted stock award, leaving 135,000 shares still subject to risk of forfeiture. Also, on April 23, 2010, restricted stock units covering 22,500 shares were issued to various non-executive employees.

Accordingly, as of June 4, 2010, the number of shares of common stock available for future awards under the 1994 Plan was 137,608. The shares of common stock underlying any awards granted under the 1994 Plan that either expire or are terminated or cancelled for any reason, without the issuance of the shares or payment in accordance with the terms of the corresponding award agreement, are returned to the pool of shares available for future awards under the 1994 Plan.

In addition, the Company maintains the Team Member Stock Purchase Plan. This plan permits eligible employees to purchase up to an aggregate of 450,000 shares of the Company's common stock at 85% of the lower of the market price on the first day of a six-month period and the market price on the last day of that same six-month period. During fiscal year 2010, an aggregate of 21,319 shares were sold to employees under this plan. Accordingly, the number of shares of common stock available under this plan as of June 4, 2010 was 296,046.

#### Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table sets forth information as of March 28, 2010, the last day of fiscal year 2010, with respect to the Company's Amended and Restated 1994 Stock and Incentive Plan, Team Member Stock Purchase Plan, and options granted pursuant to other compensation arrangements. All share numbers reflect the May 26, 2010 stock dividend in order to effect a 3-for-2 stock split of our common stock.

Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	994,627(1)	\$5.26(2)	710,779(3)

(1) Includes options exercisable for an aggregate of 180,000 shares of common stock, the non-vested portion of the restricted stock grant made to Mr. Barnhill (135,000 shares), and an aggregate of 679,627 shares of common stock subject to issuance pursuant to performance stock units, or PSUs, in each case granted pursuant to the Second Amended and Restated 1994 Stock and Incentive Plan (1994 Plan). The weighted average contractual life of the outstanding options is 2.11 years. Of the 679,627 shares subject to issuance pursuant to PSUs, 669,127 shares have been earned and will be issued ratably over the remaining term of the corresponding PSU, on or about May 1, 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013, provided that the respective participants remain employed by or associated with the Company on each of these issue dates, subject however, to accelerated vesting upon a

## Edgar Filing: TESSCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form DEF 14A

change in control or termination of service under certain circumstances. PSUs for the remaining 10,500 shares were not earned on the basis of fiscal year 2010 performance and were cancelled in April 2010. Does not reflect additional PSUs issued on April 23, 2010 which provide participants with the opportunity to earn up to an aggregate of 267,000 (of which 750 PSUs have since been cancelled due to a participant no longer being employed by the Company) shares of common stock on the basis of fiscal year 2011 Company and individual performance.

- (2) Reflects a weighted average exercise price of \$5.26 per share for 180,000 issued and outstanding options. Does not reflect any impact for shares issuable pursuant to PSUs or the restricted stock grant made to Mr. Barnhill, as these instruments do not include an exercise price.
- (3) Includes 296,046 shares of common stock available for purchase under the Team Member Stock Purchase Plan and 414,733 shares remaining available for issuance pursuant to future awards under the 1994 Plan. Does not reflect PSUs issued on April 23, 2010 pursuant to the 1994 Plan, which provide participants with the opportunity to earn up to an aggregate of 267,000 (of which 750 PSUs have since been cancelled due to a participant no longer being employed by the Company) shares of common stock on the basis of fiscal year 2011 Company and individual performance. Also, does not reflect the PSUs for 10,500 shares cancelled in April 2010 and 1,125 shares forfeited in May 2010.

**Summary Compensation Table**

The following table summarizes the compensation awarded to, earned by, or paid to the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer during fiscal years 2010 and 2009, and the Company's other three most highly compensated executive officers at each fiscal year end (the "named executive officers"). All equity awards made in fiscal year 2010 were "at risk" at the time of award, meaning that the underlying shares could be earned only to the extent that the Company achieved certain financial targets in fiscal 2010. Historically, over the last six fiscal years, 48% of the at-risk equity awards made by the Company have been earned.

Name and Principal Position	Fiscal Year	Salary (\$)	Stock Awards	Option Awards	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation	Change in Pension	All Other Compensation	Total(16)
			(1)	(2)	(\$)(3)	Value	Compensation	
Robert B. Barnhill Jr., Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer	2010	\$ 600,000	\$ 219,375	\$	\$ 633,234	\$ 61,555(5)	124,934(6)	\$ 1,639,098
	2009	600,000	281,160		364,288	44,586(4)	134,565(7)	1,424,599
Gerald T. Garland, Senior Vice President, Solutions Development and Product Management	2010	300,000	175,500		203,411		19,284(8)	698,195
	2009	300,000	234,300		142,353		22,833(9)	699,486
Douglas A. Rein, Senior Vice President, Fulfillment and Operations	2010	250,000	175,500		169,509		11,105(10)	606,114
	2009	250,000	234,300		122,795		13,795(11)	620,890
Said Tofighi, Senior Vice President, Market Development and Sales	2010	250,000	175,500		169,509		13,005(12)	608,014
	2009	250,000	234,300		122,795		16,497(13)	623,592
David M. Young, Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Corporate Secretary	2010	250,000	175,500		169,509		9,295(14)	604,304
	2009	250,000	234,300		122,795		11,545(15)	618,640

- (1) This column represents the aggregate grant date fair value of the awards, computed in accordance with the FASB standard on stock compensation, excluding the effect of estimated forfeitures. These amounts reflect the valuation method recently adopted by the SEC, which is the aggregate grant date fair value of the equity awards, rather than the dollar amount recognized that year for financial statement reporting purposes, as previously required. The new aggregate grant date fair value method applies to previous years in the table as well. The grant date fair value of the PSU awards granted in fiscal year 2010 and 2009 are \$5.85 per share and \$7.81, respectively. For a discussion of the assumptions made in the valuation of these awards see the notes to the audited consolidated financial statements in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 28, 2010. All share numbers reflect the May 26, 2010 stock dividend in order to effect a 3-for-2 stock split of our common stock.
- (2) This column represents the aggregate grant date fair value of stock option awards, computed in accordance with the FASB standard on stock compensation. No stock options were granted in fiscal year 2009 or fiscal year 2010.
- (3) Represents cash bonuses paid pursuant to the Company's Value Share Plan.
- (4) Represents the change in Mr. Barnhill's Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan for fiscal year 2009. This amount reflects the Company's accounting expense for this plan and does not necessarily correspond to the actual value that will be recognized by Mr. Barnhill.
- (5) Represents the change in Mr. Barnhill's Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan for fiscal year 2010. This amount reflects the Company's accounting expense for this plan and does not necessarily correspond to the actual value that will be recognized by Mr. Barnhill.



## Edgar Filing: TESSCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form DEF 14A

- (6) Includes, (i) premiums in the amount of \$12,500 for a life insurance policy; (ii) payments in the amount of \$65,000 made to Mr. Barnhill in lieu of split-dollar insurance policy cancelled in 2003; (iii) \$4,434 allocated to Mr. Barnhill's Retirement Savings Plan account; (iv) premiums of \$7,842 and \$13,050 for long-term care insurance and supplemental long-term disability coverage, respectively; and (v) \$22,108 for membership fees to various organizations that are used either exclusively or primarily for corporate development and business generation purposes. Total membership fees included annual dues of \$14,400 paid to a club that certain employees use for business generation and corporate purposes. This particular club requires that a specific individual be designated as the member, even though this is a corporate membership. In 2010, Mr. Barnhill was designated as that member.
- (7) Includes, (i) premiums in the amount of \$12,500 for a life insurance policy; (ii) payments in the amount of \$65,000 made to Mr. Barnhill in lieu of split-dollar insurance policy cancelled in 2003; (iii) \$3,618 allocated to Mr. Barnhill's Retirement Savings Plan account; (iv) premiums of \$7,842 and \$13,050 for long-term care insurance and supplemental long-term disability coverage, respectively; and (v) \$32,555 for membership fees to various organizations that are used either exclusively or primarily for corporate development and business generation purposes. Total membership fees included annual dues of \$12,250 paid to a club that certain employees use for business generation and corporate purposes. This particular club requires that a specific individual be designated as the member, even though this is a corporate membership. In 2009, Mr. Barnhill was designated as that member.
- (8) Represents \$1,524 allocated to Mr. Garland's Retirement Savings Plan Account, \$2,940 in premiums for supplemental life insurance coverage, \$2,565 in premiums for long-term care insurance and \$12,255 in premiums for supplemental long-term disability coverage.
- (9) Represents \$5,073 allocated to Mr. Garland's Retirement Savings Plan Account, \$2,940 in premiums for supplemental life insurance coverage, \$2,565 in premiums for long-term care insurance and \$12,255 in premiums for supplemental long-term disability coverage.
- (10) Represents \$1,788 allocated to Mr. Rein's Retirement Savings Plan Account, \$1,638 in premiums for supplemental life insurance coverage, \$2,302 in premiums for long-term care insurance and \$5,377 in premiums for supplemental long-term disability coverage.
- (11) Represents \$4,478 allocated to Mr. Rein's Retirement Savings Plan Account, \$1,638 in premiums for supplemental life insurance coverage, \$2,302 in premiums for long-term care insurance and \$5,377 in premiums for supplemental long-term disability coverage.
- (12) Represents \$1,697 allocated to Mr. Tofighi's Retirement Savings Plan Account, \$1,668 in premiums for supplemental life insurance coverage, \$2,427 in premiums for long-term care insurance and \$7,213 in premiums for supplemental long-term disability coverage.
- (13) Represents \$5,189 allocated to Mr. Tofighi's Retirement Savings Plan Account, \$1,668 in premiums for supplemental life insurance coverage, \$2,427 in premiums for long-term care insurance and \$7,213 in premiums for supplemental long-term disability coverage.
- (14) Represents \$2,229 allocated to Mr. Young's Retirement Savings Plan Account, \$1,060 in premiums for supplemental life insurance coverage, \$2,040 in premiums for long-term care insurance, \$3,966 in premiums for supplemental long-term disability coverage.
- (15) Represents \$4,478 allocated to Mr. Young's Retirement Savings Plan Account, \$1,060 in premiums for supplemental life insurance coverage, \$2,040 in premiums for long-term care insurance and \$3,967 in premiums for supplemental long-term disability coverage.
- (16) In fiscal year 2010, the percentage of total salary and non-equity incentive plan compensation as a percentage of total compensation was 75% for Mr. Barnhill, 72% for Mr. Garland, 69% for Messrs. Rein, Tofighi and Young. In fiscal year 2009, the percentage of total salary and non-equity incentive plan compensation as a percentage of total compensation was 68% for Mr. Barnhill, 63% for Mr. Garland, 60% for Messrs. Rein, Tofighi and Young.



**Narrative Supplement to the Summary Compensation Table in Fiscal Year 2010**

*Annual Base Salary*

Base salary is the fixed component of an executive's annual cash compensation and is set with the goal of attracting talented executives and adequately compensating and rewarding them for services rendered during the fiscal year. The Compensation Committee periodically reviews base salaries for our named executive officers on its own initiative and at the recommendation of the Chief Executive Officer. The annual base salary for each of the named executive officers has remained constant for the last three fiscal years.

*Performance-Based Cash Bonus (Value Share Plan)*

Target cash bonus awards are expressed as a percentage of the actual base salary paid to the named executive officer during each fiscal year. The target percentages are determined by the Compensation Committee based upon the named executive officer's job level and responsibilities and may vary for different officers or business units. We refer to this program as our Value Share Plan.

Early in each fiscal year, the Compensation Committee establishes specific performance objectives for the payment of cash bonus awards for that year. The performance objectives for each year are aligned with our growth and diversification strategies and have included: earnings per share, targeted numbers of commercial customers, cross-sell growth, margin growth, productivity measurements (i.e. net income as a percentage of revenues) and returns (i.e. return on assets). When establishing performance goals for a given year, the Compensation Committee reviews and discusses our business and financial plans for that year and their key underlying assumptions, expectations under then-existing and anticipated market conditions and the opportunity to generate shareholder value. Based on these and other factors, the Compensation Committee establishes various annual performance targets for purposes of the Value Share Plan.

Beginning in fiscal 2009, a portion of the Value Share Plan is payable quarterly, and the remaining portion is payable annually, both upon the achievement of predetermined financial and non-financial targets. Prior to fiscal 2009, the Value Share Plan was based strictly on the achievement of annual targets. Generally, following the close of a fiscal year, or a fiscal quarter, the Committee determines whether the performance objectives for the previous period have been achieved and, in the case of the annual portion of Value Share Plan awards only, evaluates and then rates the individual performance of each of the named executive officers for purposes of the Value Share Plan. This individual rating may increase or decrease the final cash bonus of a named executive officer. The Committee evaluates each named executive officer's leadership skills and contribution by considering a variety of factors, including collaboration within the organization and the individual's ability to drive overall results.

*Performance Stock Award Program and other Equity Incentives*

Similar to the Value Share Plan, the Compensation Committee establishes specific performance objectives for the earning of shares under PSU awards. While those goals need not be the same as those established for the Value Share Plan, they are generally established on the basis of the same criteria and after similar consideration. However, the sole company performance metric for PSUs granted to date has been earnings per share, with the Committee typically establishing "threshold" and "target" earnings per share thresholds for each measurement year. No shares are earned if the "threshold" is not met and a maximum number of shares can be earned if the "target" threshold is met, all consistent with the desire of the Committee to incent the executive officers to increase earnings per share for the benefit of all shareholders. As with the Value Share Plan, each executive officer's performance is evaluated and rated by the Committee, and this rating may increase or decrease the final number of shares earned.

## Edgar Filing: TESSCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form DEF 14A

The Compensation Committee believes that our PSU program is working to align the efforts of recipients toward increasing earnings and maximizing shareholder value, and rewarding recipients only upon achievement of pre-defined performance goals. The Compensation Committee endeavors to set the performance goals in alignment with shareholder interests and believes generally that the full earning of annual PSU grants should be contingent on not only growing the Company's business, but also on producing superior results. We believe the fact that less than half of the PSUs granted since the inception of the PSU program were earned is clear testimony to this view see table below. All share numbers reflect the May 26, 2010 stock dividend in order to effect a 3-for-2 stock split of our common stock.

Fiscal Year	PSUs Granted	PSUs Earned	PSUs Terminated	% of PSUs Earned
2010	396,000	385,500	10,500	97%
2009	412,500	283,680	128,820	69%
2008	282,000		282,000	0%
2007	342,000	327,807	14,193	96%
2006	372,292	127,680	244,612	34%
2005	1,403,439	413,632	989,807	29%
<b>Total/Average</b>	<b>3,208,231</b>	<b>1,538,299</b>	<b>1,669,932</b>	<b>48%</b>

The Compensation Committee has continued the PSU program into our fiscal 2011. During the first quarter of fiscal year 2011, on April 23, 2010, the Compensation Committee, with the unanimous concurrence of the full Board of Directors, made additional PSU awards to 29 key individuals. These individuals include the named executive officers, other members of the Company's management team, key contributors and directors. These PSU awards provide the recipients with the opportunity to earn up to 267,000 shares of common stock in the aggregate (of which 750 PSUs have since been cancelled due to a participant no longer being employed by the Company), depending upon whether certain "threshold" or "target" earnings per share targets are met, and whether individual performance metrics are satisfied, in fiscal year 2011. Any shares earned based on fiscal year 2011 performance vest 25% on or about each of May 1 of 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014, provided that the participant remains employed by or associated with the Company on each such date. The earnings per share targets for these PSUs are \$1.27 per share for threshold and \$1.50 for target (actual fiscal year 2010 earnings per share on a post-split basis was \$1.19). In order for 100% of the shares underlying the April 2010 PSUs to be earned, fiscal year 2011 earnings per share must reach target earnings per share of \$1.50. If fiscal year 2011 actual earnings per share is between \$1.27 and \$1.50, only a portion of the shares may be earned and if fiscal year 2011 actual earnings per share does not reach \$1.27, no shares will be earned. Actual earnings per share in fiscal year 2010 was \$1.19 with fourth quarter EPS of \$0.22. These targets were established only for internal purposes relative to the administration of the PSUs granted in April 2010, and should not be construed, and the reader is cautioned not to construe these targets, in the nature of guidance. The earnings per share targets include the compensation expense for the PSUs. Of the PSUs awarded in April 2010, Mr. Barnhill received PSUs providing him with the opportunity to earn up to 25,500 shares, and the other named executive officers each received PSUs providing them with the opportunity to earn up to 20,250 shares. Also, Messrs. Baitler, Beletic, Konsynski, Okrent, Shaughnessy and Zifferer each received PSUs providing them with the opportunity to earn up to 7,500 shares. See the "Outstanding Equity Awards at 2010 Fiscal Year End" schedule included in this proxy statement for more detail of outstanding equity awards, including PSUs, options and restricted stock for all of the

Named Executive Officers. The following is a summary of the threshold, target and actual earnings per shares associated with the grant of PSU awards for the previous five years:

Fiscal Year	PSU Threshold	PSU Target	Actual EPS
2011	\$ 1.27	\$ 1.50	n/a
2010	0.67	0.93	1.19
2009	0.73	1.15	0.82
2008	0.83	1.03	0.58
2007	0.44	0.55	0.77
2006	0.53	0.67	0.53

*Executive Perquisites*

The Compensation Committee believes that it has taken a fair and reasoned approach to perquisites. We provide Mr. Barnhill with golf and social club memberships, used primarily for corporate development and business generation purposes. See the "Summary Compensation Table" for an itemized disclosure of perquisites for Mr. Barnhill. Each of the other named executive officers were the beneficiaries of minor perquisites in fiscal year 2010, which in the aggregate totaled less than \$10,000 each. The Committee has considered these perquisites and has determined them to be reasonable and appropriate.

*Other Potential Post-Employment Payments Retirement and Other Benefits*

The key retirement and other benefits provided to our named executive officers, where applicable, are described below.

*Executive Life Insurance.* Our named executive officers, excluding Mr. Barnhill, are provided life insurance benefits with coverage of \$500,000. Mr. Barnhill is paid certain amounts in lieu of life insurance (see the Summary Compensation Table for more information).

*Long-Term Care Insurance.* Our named executive officers are provided with long-term care insurance with coverage of up to \$200 per day, adjusted annually, to reimburse for costs associated with nursing home care, hospice care, assisted living facility care and home-based care.

*Supplemental Long-Term Disability.* If a named executive officer should become disabled and unable to work for a period lasting more than 90 days, this benefit will provide a level of income not covered by our group long-term disability plan. Our group long-term disability plan provides coverage for up to two-thirds of salary and bonus, up to a maximum base salary of \$144,000. The supplemental long-term disability benefit extends such coverage to our named executive officers.

*Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (SERP).* Mr. Barnhill has been provided a supplemental executive retirement plan, which will provide Mr. Barnhill (or his spouse if so elected) with a \$75,000 annual pension benefit payable upon Mr. Barnhill's retirement or for termination of employment for reasons other than cause (as defined in his employment agreement).

*401(k) Plan and Team Member Stock Purchase Plan.* The named executive officers are also eligible to participate in our 401(k) and Team Member Stock Purchase Plan, which are both open to all of our employees.

The Compensation Committee has determined that providing the benefits described above is necessary to remain competitive and to further the goals of the Committee in attracting, retaining and motivating executives who can significantly contribute to our short-term and long-term success, and to develop the

overall talent of the Company. The named executive officers are also eligible to participate in the same medical, dental and similar welfare benefit programs that are available to our other employees.

*Mr. Barnhill:* Pursuant to the terms of an employment agreement between the Company and Mr. Barnhill entered into on August 31, 2006, as amended on December 31, 2008 and May 7, 2010, Mr. Barnhill continues to be employed as President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors through fiscal year 2014; and Mr. Barnhill has agreed then to continue to be employed as Executive Chairman, commencing with fiscal year 2015 and continuing through fiscal year 2016. The overall objective of the Committee in formulating this arrangement was to provide for an extended employment term to better assure Mr. Barnhill's active long-range participation in the pursuit of ongoing Company initiatives, in the development and execution of new initiatives, and in the formulation and implementation of a leadership succession and transition plan. As Executive Chairman beginning in fiscal year 2015, Mr. Barnhill will initially be responsible for leadership transition, and while working on a reduced schedule, is expected to serve as a Senior Advisor to a new Chief Executive Officer and to the Board of Directors, using his experience and role as founder and past Chief Executive Officer, to help guide continued growth and development. The employment agreement further anticipates that, subject to his being eligible and qualified to serve, and to his being re-elected from time to time during the ten fiscal year period covered thereby, Mr. Barnhill will remain as a member of the Board of Directors, initially as Chairman and subsequently as Executive Chairman. In connection with and at the time of initial execution of the employment agreement, and in order to further incentivize Mr. Barnhill over the long-term, Mr. Barnhill was granted a Restricted Stock Award for 225,000 shares of common stock under our 1994 Plan.

In August 2006, pursuant to the employment agreement, Mr. Barnhill's base annual salary was increased for the first time since 2000 to \$600,000. The employment agreement further provides for the continuation of that base annual salary, at a minimum, for the initial eight fiscal years of its term, and for the subsequent two fiscal years, the employment agreement establishes Mr. Barnhill's base annual salary at a minimum of \$200,000. During the entire term, he remains eligible for salary increases as determined by the Compensation Committee, and for additional cash bonuses and equity awards in accordance with the Company's incentive compensation program. Mr. Barnhill's annual cash bonus target will be not less than 100% of his annual base salary, as applicable during the term of the employment agreement.

The Compensation Committee believes that, as the founder and chief visionary of the Company, Mr. Barnhill's situation is different than that of the other executives and determined to provide for, among other things, material benefits to him and/or his estate in the event of a termination without cause, or his death or his disability prior to a change in control, or in the event of a termination for any reason following a change in control, as well as acceleration of equity awards upon the occurrence of a change in control. This, the Compensation Committee believes, is consistent with his stature, but more importantly will allow him to focus on his duties at hand and provide him security should his employment be terminated through no fault of his own. In addition, in establishing his employment agreement, it was the intention of the Committee that Mr. Barnhill not be forced to remain as an employee of the Company following a change in control and to obtain protection for the Company in the nature of an agreement on the part of Mr. Barnhill not to compete with the Company for a period of time following termination of his employment.

The Committee also recognized the value to Mr. Barnhill of remaining in a position of substantial authority and that, upon a change in control, Mr. Barnhill, as currently the largest shareholder of the Company, would likely receive a significant benefit through his stock ownership. Because of Mr. Barnhill's significant equity stake, there was little concern that the prospect of change in control payments would influence his actions in a change in control situation. Accordingly, the agreement provides for payment upon termination of his employment for whatever or no reason following a change in control (sometimes referred to as a "single trigger"). The agreement does, however, generally

provide for a lower multiple of base salary and incentive compensation for termination following a change in control (one times) as compared to prior (three times), but in the case of termination following a change in control the Company also agreed to pay an amount to compensate for any additional tax liabilities incurred by him as a result of payments deemed in connection with a change in control.

As a general matter, upon a termination of Mr. Barnhill by the Company prior to a change in control through no fault or failure on his part (including upon death or disability), he will be entitled to continued salary and cash bonus payments as well as acceleration of earned but not yet vested PSUs (consistent with all PSU holders) and the unvested portion of his restricted stock grant, and the continuation of insurance or similar benefits (or a cash payment in lieu) for a period of three years, and payment under his SERP plan. Upon termination for any reason following a change in control, he will be entitled to continued salary and cash bonus payments for the remainder of the then current fiscal year, payment of the greater of (a) \$1.2 million and (b) the sum of his annual salary and target bonus, as well as acceleration of all PSUs as though all targets had been met, and acceleration of the non-vested portion of his restricted stock grant, and the continuation of insurance or similar benefits (or a cash payment in lieu) for a period of one year.

In addition, and reflective of the difference in the amount payable to him prior to as compared to subsequent to a change in control, the Company is obligated following a change in control to pay Mr. Barnhill in cash such additional amount as necessary so that the total amount received by him and associated with a change in control, after accounting for the tax imposed under Section 4999(a) of the Internal Revenue Code, will not be less than the net after tax amount that would have been received had such tax not been imposed. The foregoing discussion is qualified in its entirety by the specific terms of the employment agreement and the applicable PSU award agreements and related documents.

*Other Named Executive Officers:* Effective as of February 13, 2009, the Company entered into Severance and Restrictive Covenant Agreements with each of Messrs. Garland, Rein, Tofighi and Young. Each is entitled to receive performance based bonuses in accordance with the our incentive compensation program, and is provided severance payments and full vesting of all shares earned, but not otherwise vested under PSU awards held by them, in the event that their employment is terminated by the Company "without cause" or by them for "good reason," as such terms are defined in the agreement or PSU award agreements (including termination upon a change in control), as applicable. Upon termination of employment by the Company "without cause," or upon termination by the officer with "good reason," each is entitled to receive 1.65 times his annual base salary as in effect on the date of termination, to be paid in installments, generally over a period of twelve (12) months following the date of termination. In addition, the Company is obligated to pay an amount towards the officers' cost of continued health insurance under "COBRA" for an agreed period post termination. As part of these agreements, the Company has also agreed to indemnify each such officer for costs or claims arising out of or resulting from the discharge of their duties on behalf of the Company or its affiliates in good faith, and each such Officer agrees not to compete with the Company in any material respect, or to solicit Company employees, until the expiration of one year following termination of employment. In the event that Messrs. Garland's, Rein's, Tofighi's or Young's employment is terminated on account of and upon their disability, each is entitled to disability benefits under a supplemental long-term disability policy and full vesting of all shares earned but not otherwise vested under PSU awards held by them.

The treatment of PSU's for the named executive officers as described above is consistent with that of all other holders of PSUs.

## Outstanding Equity Awards at 2010 Fiscal Year End

Name	Option Awards			Stock Awards	
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable(1)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)(6)
Robert B. Barnhill Jr.	22,500	\$5.22	04/30/11	135,000(2)	\$ 2,111,400
	22,500	\$6.22	07/31/11	11,250(3)	175,950
	22,500	\$6.40	10/31/11	27,000(4)	422,280
	22,500	\$7.82	01/31/12	37,500(5)	586,500
	22,500	\$6.04	04/30/12		
	67,500	\$3.47	03/20/13		
Gerald T. Garland				9,281(3)	145,147
				22,500(4)	351,900
				30,000(5)	469,200
Douglas A. Rein				7,875(3)	123,165
				22,500(4)	351,900
				30,000(5)	469,200
Said Tofighi				7,875(3)	123,165
				22,500(4)	351,900
				30,000(5)	469,200
David M. Young				7,875(3)	123,165
				22,500(4)	351,900
				30,000(5)	469,200

(1) All outstanding options vest 30% after two years, 60% after three years and 100% after four years. All outstanding options were fully vested as of the end of the 2010 fiscal year.

(2) Represents a grant on August 25, 2006 of a restricted stock award for 225,000 shares of common stock. These shares vest ratably based on service over ten fiscal years, beginning on the last day of fiscal year 2007 and ending on the last day of fiscal year 2016, subject, however, to the terms applicable to the award, including terms providing for possible acceleration of vesting or forfeiture. Accordingly, 22,500 shares vested on April 1, 2007, March 30, 2008, March 29, 2009 and March 28, 2010, leaving a remaining balance of 135,000 shares not vested on March 28, 2010.

(3) Relates to a PSU award made April 20, 2006 which has a four year vesting period. The non-vested shares have since vested, on April 26, 2010.

(4) Relates to a PSU award made April 24, 2008 which has a four year vesting period. The non-vested shares have vested or will vest as applicable in equal installments on or about May 1, 2010, 2011 and 2012, provided that the participant remains employed by the Company on those respective dates, subject, however, to accelerated vesting upon a change in control or termination of service in certain circumstances.

(5)

## Edgar Filing: TESSCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form DEF 14A

Relates to a PSU award made April 24, 2009 which has a four year vesting period. The non-vested shares have vested or will vest, as applicable, in equal installments on or about May 1, 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013, provided that the participant remains employed by the Company on those respective dates, subject, however, to accelerated vesting upon a change in control or termination of service in certain circumstances.

(6)

Based on the fair market value of our common stock on March 26, 2010 (\$15.64).

## REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

The Audit Committee is comprised solely of directors who are "independent" within the meaning of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission rules and NASDAQ Rule 4200(a)(15). The Audit Committee operates under a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors, a copy of which is available for review on our Website ([www.tessco.com](http://www.tessco.com)) under the heading "Investors." The composition of the Audit Committee, the attributes of its members and the responsibilities of the Committee, as reflected in its charter, are intended to be in accordance with applicable requirements for corporate audit committees. The Committee reviews and assesses the adequacy of its charter on an annual basis.

As described more fully in its charter, the purpose of the Audit Committee is to assist the Board of Directors in its general oversight of the Company's financial reporting, internal control and audit functions. Management is responsible for the preparation, presentation and integrity of the Company's financial statements, accounting and financial reporting principles, internal controls and procedures designed to ensure compliance with accounting standards, applicable laws and regulations. Ernst & Young LLP, the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, is responsible for performing an independent audit of the consolidated financial statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) ("PCAOB").

The Audit Committee members are not professional accountants or auditors, and their functions are not intended to duplicate or to certify the activities of management and the independent registered public accounting firm, nor can the Committee certify that the independent registered public accounting firm is "independent" under applicable rules. The Committee serves a board-level oversight role, in which it provides advice, counsel and direction to management and the auditors on the basis of the information it receives, discussions with management and the auditors and the experience of the Committee's members in business, financial and accounting matters.

The Audit Committee has an agenda for the year that includes reviewing the Company's financial statements, internal control over financial reporting and disclosure and audit matters. The Audit Committee also monitors the activities and performance of the Company's external auditors, including the audit scope, external audit fees, auditor independence matters and the extent to which the independent auditor may be retained to perform non-audit services. The Audit Committee has ultimate authority and responsibility to select, evaluate and, when appropriate, replace the Company's independent registered public accounting firm.

In accordance with Audit Committee policy and the requirements of law, the Audit Committee pre-approves all services to be provided by Ernst & Young LLP. Pre-approval includes audit services, audit-related services, tax services and other services. In some cases, the full Audit Committee provides pre-approval, related to a particular defined task or scope of work and subject to a specific budget. In other cases, the Chairman of the Audit Committee has the delegated authority from the Audit Committee to pre-approve additional services, and the Chairman then communicates such pre-approvals to the full Audit Committee. See "Principal Accountant Fees and Services" below for more information regarding fees paid to Ernst & Young LLP for services in fiscal years 2010 and 2009.

The Audit Committee meets each quarter with Ernst & Young LLP and management to review the Company's interim financial results before the publication of our quarterly earnings press releases. The Audit Committee also meets at other times throughout the year as needed. During fiscal year 2010, the Audit Committee met seven times. Management's and the independent registered public accounting firm's presentations to and discussions with the Audit Committee cover various topics and events that may have significant financial impact and/or are the subject of discussions between management and the independent firm. In addition, the Audit Committee generally oversees internal compliance programs. In accordance with law, the Audit Committee is responsible for establishing procedures for the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints received by the Company regarding



accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters, including the confidential, anonymous submission by Company team members, received through established procedures, of any concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters.

The Committee has reviewed and discussed the fiscal year ended March 28, 2010 consolidated financial statements with management and Ernst & Young LLP, as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for that period. Management represented to the Committee that these consolidated financial statements were prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, and Ernst & Young LLP, as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, represented that its presentations included the matters required to be discussed with the independent auditor by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended, "Communication with Audit Committees," as adopted by the PCAOB in Rule 3200T.

The Company's independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year ended March 28, 2010, Ernst & Young LLP, also provided the Committee with the written disclosures and letter required by PCAOB Ethics and Independence Rule 3526, "*Communications with Audit Committees Concerning Independence*" and the Committee discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm that firm's independence.

Following the Committee's discussions with management and the independent auditor, the Committee recommended that the Board of Directors include the audited consolidated financial statements in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 28, 2010.

Respectfully,

Morton F. Zifferer, Jr. (Chair)  
Benn R. Konsynski, Ph.D.  
Jay G. Baitler

As of June 16, 2010

**PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES**

The following table shows the fees paid or accrued by the Company for the audit and other services provided by Ernst & Young LLP for fiscal years 2010 and 2009:

	2010	2009
Audit Fees(1)	\$ 363,883	\$ 368,707
Audit-Related Fees(2)		1,500
Tax Fees(3)	4,200	20,000
All Other Fees		
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 368,083</b>	<b>\$ 390,207</b>

- (1) Audit services of Ernst & Young LLP for fiscal years 2010 and 2009 consisted of the audit of the consolidated financial statements of the Company, quarterly reviews of financial statements and advisory services on technical issues related to the audit.
- (2) In 2009, these fees include an amount which relates to an annual subscription to an on-line research tool.
- (3) This represents fees for tax return preparation and certain other services related to federal, state and local tax matters. The Audit Committee considered whether the provision by Ernst & Young LLP of non-audit services to the Company is compatible with maintaining the independence of Ernst & Young LLP with respect to the Company.

Pursuant to the Company's Audit Committee Charter, all audit services and permitted non-audit services to be performed for the Company by its independent registered public accounting firm are pre-approved by the Audit Committee. The Committee has delegated authority to one or more members to pre-approve audit and permitted non-audit services (including pre-approval of fees), provided that the approvals granted by such persons are reviewed with the full Audit Committee at its next scheduled meeting. All fees incurred in fiscal years 2010 and 2009 and reflected in the table above were pre-approved.

## **OTHER INFORMATION RELATING TO OUR DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS**

### **Code of Business Conduct and Ethics**

We have adopted a code of business conduct and ethics that applies to our team members, including all of our officers and directors, and particularly our chief executive officer, chief financial officer, principal accounting officer and other persons performing similar functions. This code is available on our Website ([www.tessco.com](http://www.tessco.com)) under the heading "Investors." We will promptly disclose on our Website any amendments to, and waivers from, our code of business conduct and ethics, if and when required.

### **Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance**

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires our directors, executive officers, and persons who own more than 10% of our common stock to file reports of their ownership and changes in ownership of our common stock with the SEC. Our employees prepare these reports for our directors and executive officers on the basis of information obtained from them and from the Company's records. Based on information available to us during fiscal year 2010, we believe that all applicable Section 16(a) filing requirements were met.

### **Certain Relationships and Related Transactions**

Our Compensation Committee is charged with monitoring and reviewing issues involving potential conflicts of interest, and reviewing and approving all related party transactions. While the Company currently does not have a written policy regarding related party transactions, the Company and the Compensation Committee look to the rules of NASDAQ and of the SEC to determine what transactions may be considered to be of concern and apply these rules as the standard to determine whether a transaction or relationship would be permitted. Detailed questions are posed annually to the executive officers of the Company and to all members of the Board which require disclosure of any relationship or transaction that may be a related person transaction. These questionnaire responses are reviewed by management and disclosures are analyzed and reported to the entire Board. Potential issues are investigated. Related person transactions, if any, would be reviewed for the determination made by the Board annually that certain members of the Board are independent.

We buy an immaterial amount of office supplies in the ordinary course of business from Staples, Inc., a company that employs Mr. Baitler.

The Company did not pay any material underwriting discounts or commissions to a related person serving as a principal underwriter.

### **Shareholder Proposals for the 2011 Annual Meeting**

Our by-laws require advance notice of business to be brought before a shareholders' meeting, and advance notice of shareholder nominations of persons for election as directors. Generally, notice to our Corporate Secretary must be given no less than 120 days prior to the anniversary of the date of the mailing of the prior year proxy statement (or 90 days in the case of a stockholder notice of business to be brought before the meeting but not to be included in the Company's proxy statement) unless the date of the meeting is changed by more than thirty (30) days from the date of the prior years annual meeting, in which case, to be timely, notice must be delivered no less than ninety (90) days prior to the newly announced date that the Company will mail its proxy statement. Our by-laws also specify information regarding the business to be brought before the meeting and the shareholder submitting proposing such business, and information regarding the nominee, that must be provided in or together with the notice in order for it to be considered properly given. Accordingly, if a shareholder intends to nominate a director for election at the 2011 Annual Meeting, or bring business before the 2011 Annual Meeting, which is to be included in our proxy statement for that meeting, notice from the shareholder so providing must be received by the Company at the address set forth on the first page of this Proxy Statement by no later than February 16, 2011, unless the date of the meeting is changed by more than thirty (30) days. While we will consider any properly submitted stockholder proposal, we reserve the right to omit from our proxy statement any stockholder proposal that we are not required to include under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 including Rule 14a-8.

### **Available Information**

The Company is subject to the informational requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and, in accordance therewith, files reports, proxy statements and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Reports, proxy statements and other information filed by the Company may be inspected without charge and copies obtained upon payment of prescribed fees from the Public Reference Section of the Securities and Exchange Commission at Room 1024, Judiciary Plaza, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549, or by calling the Securities and Exchange Commission's at 1-800-SEC-0330, or by way of the Securities and Exchange Commission's Internet address, ([www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov)).

The Company will provide without charge to each shareholder, upon the written request of such person, a copy of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, including the financial statements and

schedules thereto, required to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission for the fiscal year ended March 28, 2010. Written requests for a copy of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K should be directed to David M. Young, Corporate Secretary, 11126 McCormick Road, Hunt Valley, Maryland 21031.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

David M. Young  
*Corporate Secretary*

June 16, 2010













QuickLinks

INTRODUCTION

Proposal No. 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Board Committee Membership

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Proposal No. 2 RATIFICATION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Proposal No. 3 SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL ON DECLASSIFICATION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

OTHER INFORMATION RELATING TO OUR DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS