# LIBERTY ALL STAR EQUITY FUND Form N-CSR March 04, 2005

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number: 811-4809

-----

Liberty All-Star Equity Fund

\_\_\_\_\_

(Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

One Financial Center, Boston, Massachusetts 02111

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

Vincent Pietropaolo, Esq.
Columbia Management Group, Inc.
One Financial Center
Boston, MA 02111

\_\_\_\_\_

(Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: 1-617-772-3698

\_\_\_\_\_

Date of fiscal year end: 12/31/04

\_\_\_\_\_

Date of reporting period: 12/31/04

\_\_\_\_\_

Form N-CSR is to be used by management investment companies to file reports with the Commission not later than 10 days after the transmission to stockholders of any report that is required to be transmitted to stockholders under Rule 30e-1 under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (17 CFR 270.30e-1). The Commission may use the information provided on Form N-CSR in its regulatory, disclosure review, inspection, and policymaking roles.

A registrant is required to disclose the information specified by Form N-CSR, and the Commission will make this information public. A registrant is not required to respond to the collection of information contained in Form N-CSR unless the Form displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") control number. Please direct comments concerning the accuracy of the information collection burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing the burden to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20549-0609. The OMB has reviewed this collection of information under the clearance requirements of 44 U.S.C. Section 3507.

ITEM 1. REPORTS TO STOCKHOLDERS.

MULTIPLE MANAGERS

[GRAPHIC] EXCHANGE TRADED [GRAPHIC] ALIGNMENT AND OBJECTIVITY [GRAPHIC] [GRAPHIC] QUARTERLY DISTRIBUTIONS [GRAPHIC] INSTITUTIONAL QUALITY [GRAPHIC] MONITORING AND REBALANCING LIBERTY ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND 2004 ANNUAL REPORT [ALL STAR(R) EQUITY FUND LOGO] [GRAPHIC] A SINGLE INVESTMENT... A DIVERSIFIED CORE PORTFOLIO Only one fund offers: - A diversified, multi-managed portfolio of growth and value stocks - Exposure to all of the industries that make the U.S. economy the world's most dynamic - Access to institutional quality investment managers - Objective and ongoing manager evaluation - Active portfolio rebalancing - A quarterly fixed distribution policy - The power of more than \$1.3 billion in assets - Actively managed, exchange traded fund listed on the New York Stock Exchange (ticker symbol: USA) LIBERTY ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND CONTENTS

1 President's Letter

4	Editorial Feature: Fund Fundamentals
10	Consistency of Returns (Chart)
11	Investment Managers/Portfolio Characteristics
12	Manager Roundtable
18	Investment Growth (Chart)
19	Table of Distributions and Rights Offerings
20	Top 20 Holdings and Economic Sectors
21	Major Stock Changes in the Fourth Quarter
22	Schedule of Investments
31	Financial Statements
34	Financial Highlights
36	Notes to Financial Statements
39	Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
40	Automatic Dividend Reinvestment and Cash Purchase Plan
41	Tax Information
42	Trustees and Officers
INSIDE BACK	Fund Information

PRESIDENT'S LETTER

### FELLOW SHAREHOLDERS:

FEBRUARY 2005

Compared to other years in the recent past, two thousand four was a rather tranquil period for domestic equity markets. In fact, it would be entirely correct to call it an "average year," as the S&P 500 Index returned 10.9 percent—precisely its average annualized return dating back 15 years to 1989. Equity investors remember all too well the roaring market of the late 1990s, the bear market of 2000-2002 and the strong rebound of 2003. In that light, a less volatile, relatively uneventful year may have been exactly what investors needed.

The fact that the stock market drifted for most of the year is not entirely surprising, as there was a distinct lack of catalysts to move it in one direction or the other. Indeed, most of the year was a matter of watching and waiting—chiefly for the presidential election. Once that issue was resolved, stocks advanced sharply, posting a 9.2 percent advance (in the S&P 500 Index) in the closing quarter, which represented the lion's share of the full-year return.

As the table below demonstrates, Liberty All-Star Equity Fund fully participated in the market's positive performance during 2004, topping its primary benchmark, the Lipper Large-Cap Core Mutual Fund Average, and the S&P

500 Index.

While we are pleased that the Fund outperformed, it is even more gratifying to note its relative rank among peer funds in the Lipper Large-Cap Core Mutual Fund universe. The Fund ranked in the fourth percentile for the fourth quarter and in the fifth percentile for the full year, meaning that it outperformed 96 and 95 percent of funds with similar investment objectives for the quarter and year, respectively. The table on the next page traces Fund performance over the long term. The good performance in 2004 supports the Fund's multi-management objective: a core equity holding offering greater consistency of returns in support of above-average long-term performance.

FUND STATISTICS AND SHORT-TERM PERFORMANCE		
PERIODS ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2004	4TH QUARTER	2004
LIBERTY ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND		
BIDENII ADD SIAN EQUIII IUND		
Year End Net Asset Value (NAV)		\$9.30
Year End Market Price		\$9.56
Year End Premium		2.8%
Distributions	\$0.22	\$0.89
Market Price Trading Range	\$8.68 to \$9.65	\$8.05 to \$10
Premium/(Discount) Range	5.6% to 1.6%	13.6% to (1.
Shares Valued at NAV	12.1%	13.1%
Shares Valued at NAV with Dividends Reinvested	12.1%	13.0%
Shares Valued at Market Price with Dividends Reinvested	11.9%	12.1%
S&P 500 Index	9.2%	10.9%
Lipper Large-Cap Core Mutual Fund Average	8.9%	7.8%
Category Percentile Ranking (1=best; 100=worst)	4th	5th

Figures shown for the Fund and the Lipper Large-Cap Core Mutual Fund Average are total returns, which include dividends, after deducting fund expenses. The Fund's reinvested returns assume that the Fund's rights offering was fully subscribed under the terms of the offering. Figures shown for the unmanaged S&P 500 Index are total returns, including income. Past performance cannot predict future results.

1

		ANNUA	LIZED RATES	OF RE
LONG-TERM PERFORMANCE SUMMARY PERIODS ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2004	3 YEARS	5 YEARS	10 YEARS	15
LIBERTY ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND				
Shares Valued at NAV	6.1%	2.2%	11.5%	1
Shares Valued at NAV with Dividends Reinvested	6.0%	2.5%	11.7%	1
Shares Valued at Market Price with Dividends Reinvested	5.6%	8.1%	13.0%	1
S&P 500 Index	3.6%	(2.3)%	12.1%	1
Lipper Large-Cap Core Mutual Fund Average	1.3%	(3.4)%	10.0%	
Category Percentile Ranking (1=best; 100=worst)	3rd	4th	18th	1

Figures shown for the Fund and the Lipper Large-Cap Core Mutual Fund Average are total returns, which include dividends, after deducting fund expenses. The Fund's reinvested returns assume that all of the Fund's rights offerings were

fully subscribed under the terms of each offering. Figures shown for the unmanaged S&P 500 Index are total returns, including income. Past performance cannot predict future results.

To reiterate my thoughts from last year's Annual Report insofar as these short— and long—term tables are concerned, I believe they capture the Fund's underlying strengths. Its structure as a closed—end, multi—managed fund provides shareholders with access to institutional quality investment managers and exposure to the two primary equity investment styles, growth and value. Management and ongoing monitoring by the Fund manager, Liberty Asset Management Company (LAMCO), ensure alignment with shareholder interests and that the investment managers are performing up to expectations. A more detailed description of the Fund's unique attributes may be found in this Annual Report's feature section, which immediately follows this letter. I encourage you to review it.

Returning to 2004, it was a year of few surprises, and for every bit of good news there seemed to be an offsetting negative. As mentioned, the presidential election in effect neutralized the stock market for three quarters, leaving the S&P 500 Index just about flat through nine months (+1.5 percent through September 30). Another newsworthy item was the Federal Reserve reversing its highly accommodative monetary policy by hiking its target Fed funds rate five times beginning in June, raising that key short-term rate from 1.0 percent to 2.25 percent. Those hikes have had relatively little impact on financial markets, as the Fed had been carefully telegraphing its intentions, so as not to catch investors off guard. The dollar has fallen—thus far in an orderly manner, mitigating the potential for a sharp sell—off in dollar denominated assets.

Higher cost oil extracted its measure of pain—a barrel of crude moving close to \$56 in October—but inflation, while at its highest since 2000, remained quiescent (+3.3 percent as measured by the Consumer Price Index). In addition, key economic indicators suggest continued growth in consumer and corporate spending. Iraq remains a painful reminder of the world that we live in, but terrorist actions—except for events such as the tragic bombings in Spain and Russia—were muted.

On the corporate front, earnings topped out in the first quarter, but to an extent that was to be expected after the unsustainably strong recovery in 2003. By the time fourth quarter figures are tallied, earnings should be up about 20 percent for the year. The energy, utility and telecom sectors were the best performers among the 10 sectors that make up the S&P 500 Index, while the sectors that lagged were health care, information technology and consumer staples.

2

[PHOTO OF FRED H. WOFFORD, WILLIAM R. PARMENTIER JR., MARK T. HALEY]

OFFICERS OF LIBERTY ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND, FROM LEFT: FRED H. WOFFORD, VICE PRESIDENT - OPERATIONS; WILLIAM R. PARMENTIER, JR., PRESIDENT AND CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER; AND MARK T. HALEY, CFA, VICE PRESIDENT - INVESTMENTS.

Of significance to investors in Liberty All-Star Equity Fund, value stocks once again outperformed growth stocks. In fact, value has now topped growth for the fifth straight year. The outperformance of value stocks during the past five years is in reaction to and in sharp contrast to the single-minded focus investors had for growth stocks in the late 1990s. Liberty All-Star Equity Fund was structured from its inception as a core equity holding by combining both value— and growth-oriented managers within one fund. By doing so, it avoids the need to anticipate these shifts in style leadership, the magnitude and timing of which are so unpredictable.

The growth/value relationship is just one of many topics discussed in this year's Manager Roundtable. It is rare that investors have the opportunity to hear from managers who clearly represent different styles and strategies in one place. Thus, I urge you to read the Roundtable, beginning on page 12, as I believe it sheds further light on market trends and the managers' thinking.

In summary, we are very pleased that the Fund outperformed once again. In reference to 2004, I said in my letter to shareholders a year ago that "A sound if unspectacular year may be in investors' best long-term interests," and that's exactly what we got. That said, it is unusual for the stock market to put together back-to-back average years. I don't know what will happen in 2005, but it will surely be interesting to follow events.

Whatever happens, you can be assured that your team here at LAMCO remains vigilant and dedicated to serving shareholders' best long-term interests. We are pleased with our progress over the years, and look forward confidently to the future. As always, we are grateful for your ongoing support of the Fund.

Sincerely,

/s/ William R. Parmentier

William R. Parmentier, Jr. President and Chief Executive Officer Liberty All-Star Equity Fund

3

FUND FUNDAMENTALS

[GRAPHIC]

Multiple Managers

Large institutional investors—pension funds, endowments and foundations, for example—employ multiple portfolio managers to make investment decisions. These large investors establish investment policy and objectives, and then retain well—qualified investment managers to implement the policy according to their guidelines.

Liberty All-Star Equity Fund is managed in much the same way. The Fund's advisor, Liberty Asset Management Company (LAMCO), allocates the Fund's assets among three value style managers and two growth style managers. Typically, value style managers focus on companies with attractive prospects that trade at comparatively low multiples of sales, earnings and book value. Growth managers concentrate on companies with high expected sales and earnings growth that are often leaders in expanding sectors of the economy. Why multiple managers with differing styles? Because allocating assets across several carefully selected managers with complementary investment styles diversifies the portfolio, can lower risk over the long term and produce more consistent investment performance through changing market cycles.

AS ADVISOR TO THE ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND, LIBERTY ASSET MANAGEMENT COMPANY (LAMCO) ADDS VALUE FOR INVESTORS BY PRACTICING A WELL-DEFINED AND DISCIPLINED INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT PROCESS. LAMCO BRINGS OBJECTIVITY, EXPERIENCE AND EXPERTISE TO CONSTRUCTING AND MONITORING A MULTI-MANAGED PORTFOLIO, AND IS DEDICATED TO THE LONG-TERM SUCCESS OF FUND SHAREHOLDERS.

[GRAPHIC]

Exchange Traded

Liberty All-Star Equity Fund is a closed-end investment company with a fixed number of shares that trade on the New York Stock Exchange and on other exchanges. By contrast, open-end mutual funds create and redeem shares continuously as money flows into or out of the fund and are not traded on stock exchanges.

In recent years, closed-end, exchange-traded funds have become increasingly popular because they offer several advantages to investors. Taking Liberty All-Star Equity Fund as an example, Fund shares are bought and sold just like those of other publicly traded companies. The price of a share is determined by supply and demand and pricing is continuous--not just end-of-day, as is the case with open-end funds. Fund shares trade frequently, there are no annual sales fees and expense ratios are often lower than many open-end funds. While many exchange-traded funds are passive investments whose return is based on the performance of an index, Liberty All-Star Equity Fund is actively managed by its five investment managers. From their perspective, the Fund's closed-end structure is advantageous because they have the confidence of knowing they will not experience sharp inflows or outflows of assets and are thus able to focus on the long-term investment merits of their portfolio.

5

[GRAPHIC]

Alignment and Objectivity

Alignment with shareholders' best interests and objective decision-making are two fundamental principles to which LAMCO, the Fund's advisor, is dedicated. A constant focus on these two standards helps to ensure that all the myriad decisions involved in the management of the Fund are made openly and equitably. The Fund's goal is better and more consistent long-term total returns for its shareholders, which LAMCO pursues by combining investment managers with different styles and strategies.

A series of checks and balances ensures the integrity of that goal. There are no in-house loyalties or external alliances to stand in the way. The Fund is governed by an independent Board of Trustees that is elected by and responsible to the shareholders. The Fund's five investment managers are retained by the Fund, but make independent buy and sell decisions. They know, however, that LAMCO monitors their performance and their adherence to their value or growth style, and will replace them if such action is warranted. In addition, the LAMCO officers involved in day-to-day management of the Fund are incented to act in investors' best interests because they too are shareholders and a portion of their compensation is tied to Fund performance.

6

[GRAPHIC]

Institutional Quality

Liberty All-Star Equity Fund is intended to bring institutional quality investing to individual investors. Multi-management is one strategy large institutions use to manage their portfolios that the Fund brings to individual

investors. There are several others, however.

One is manager quality. All-stars are proven performers in their field...a select group that stands apart. Since its founding in 1986, Liberty All-Star Equity Fund has sought investment managers who meet its rigorous criteria. LAMCO selects investment management firms for the Fund after an extensive review of their background and track record, including the firm's investment philosophy, process, people and performance. As investors in any type of fund have learned, manager selection is perhaps THE critical decision. The managers LAMCO retains for the Fund principally serve institutional clients and are generally not accessible to individual investors. The Fund's institutional size and professional reputation can provide shareholders with access to these leading managers.

LAMCO overlays another level of quality. There are thousands of investment management firms from which to choose, and their long-term investment performance varies widely. In researching and retaining managers, LAMCO calls on the expertise of its professional staff, state-of-the-art analytical tools and years of experience in the investment field. Similarly, LAMCO's continuous monitoring ensures that managers meet expectations once they have been retained.

7

[GRAPHIC]

Monitoring and Rebalancing

Two significant phases of ongoing Fund management are monitoring and rebalancing. These are disciplines that individual investors should practice—but often don't, owing to a lack of time or expertise. LAMCO adds value for Fund shareholders by performing both.

Monitoring is a continuous process in which LAMCO routinely reviews the investment managers. Constant vigilance is required to ensure that each portfolio manager is performing as expected. Investment returns are closely watched, but are not the only factor that is monitored. We analyze the Fund's investment managers' trading activity and the characteristics of their portfolio holdings to confirm that they are adhering to their strategy and performing well compared to their peers. We watch for changes in firm ownership and key personnel because they introduce new decision-makers. Market pressures may lead a manager to deviate from its investment style and strategy. If warranted by such events, LAMCO will replace a manager, an action that has occurred 13 times during the Fund's 18-year history.

Periodic rebalancing maintains the Fund's structural integrity and is a well-recognized investment discipline. Owing to shifting market sentiment and their differing styles and strategies, the investment managers will perform differently over time. This can unbalance the intended composition of the Fund's investment portfolio. When this happens, LAMCO "locks in" profits by taking assets from the outperforming managers and giving them to those whose style and strategy have temporarily lagged. While this seems counterintuitive, it is really a case of taking money from today's winners and redeploying it among tomorrow's.

8

[GRAPHIC]

Quarterly Distributions

Since 1988, the Fund has followed a policy of paying annual distributions on its shares at a rate of 10 percent of the Fund's net asset value (paid quarterly at 2.5 percent per quarter), providing a systematic mechanism for distributing funds to shareholders. Because a portion of the portfolio is turned over when an investment manager is replaced (often generating realized capital gains), the Fund's multi-management investment approach and the payout policy complement one another.

Recognizing the diverse needs of the Fund's shareholders, the Fund also offers an Automatic Dividend Reinvestment and Cash Purchase Plan. Some investors prefer their dividends in the form of cash. Others reinvest their dividends in additional Fund shares, thus letting their dividends compound over time. The Cash Purchase feature allows shareholders to make additional investments in the Fund on a monthly basis. The Fund rounds out its services for shareholders by providing a range of tools, such as a Web site at www.all-starfunds.com; communications, such as monthly updates and quarterly reports; and investor assistance via toll-free telephone at 1-800-LIB-FUND.

9

### MULTI-MANAGEMENT HAS PRODUCED MORE CONSISTENT RETURNS

The narrative on the preceding six pages is intended to focus on the unique attributes of the Fund. This chart demonstrates the long-term outcome of these attributes, particularly the Fund's multi-management structure. Most mutual funds are run by a single portfolio manager or an internal team of managers pursuing a particular investment style, whether it's growth or value. But styles go in and out of favor. A style that outperforms on a relative basis one year may disappoint the next, leading to higher volatility. As discussed in our Fund Fundamentals feature, LAMCO utilizes multi-management, that is, combining managers who practice different investment styles to reduce volatility while producing competitive returns.

All-Star's long-term track record provides clear testimony to the value of the multi-management strategy. The chart below demonstrates that since All-Star's first full calendar year of operation 18 years ago, the Fund has achieved better-than-average returns and better-than-average consistency compared with peer funds in the Lipper Large-Cap Core universe.

### [GRAPHIC]

Each dot represents the precise 18-year return and consistency record ending December 31, 2004, of each fund in the universe of 53 open-end Large-Cap Core equity mutual funds (as classified by Lipper, Inc.) that has an 18-year history.

Consistency is measured by the volatility of "non-market" monthly returns, calculated by subtracting the return of the S&P 500 Index from each mutual fund's return. The lower the volatility, the higher the consistency of results compared with the stock market.

10

INVESTMENT MANAGERS/PORTFOLIO CHARACTERISTICS

THE FUND'S ASSETS ARE APPROXIMATELY EQUALLY DISTRIBUTED AMONG THREE VALUE MANAGERS AND TWO GROWTH MANAGERS:

[CHART]

SCHNEIDER CAPITAL

MANAGEMENT CORPORATION

VALUE/Companies that are overlooked and undervalued where the firm expects a rebound in earnings.

PZENA INVESTMENT

MANAGEMENT, LLC

VALUE/Companies with low price-to-normalized earnings ratios that have the ability to generate earnings recovery.

MATRIX ASSET ADVISORS, INC.

 ${\tt VALUE/Companies}$  that are financially strong and trade below their enterprise value.

MASTRAPASQUA ASSET MANAGEMENT, INC.

GROWTH/Companies whose valuations do not reflect the potential for accelerated earnings and cash flow growth.

TCW INVESTMENT

MANAGEMENT COMPANY

 ${\tt GROWTH/Companies}$  that have superior sales growth, leading and/or rising market shares, and high and/or rising profit margins.

MANAGERS' DIFFERING INVESTMENT STYLES ARE REFLECTED IN PORTFOLIO CHARACTERISTICS:

The portfolio characteristics table below is a regular feature of the Fund's shareholder reports. It serves as a useful tool for understanding the value of a multi-managed portfolio. The characteristics are different for each of the Fund's five investment managers. These differences are a reflection of the fact that each pursues a different investment style. The shaded column highlights the characteristics of the Fund as a whole, while the final column shows portfolio characteristics for the S&P 500 Index.

### INVESTMENT STYLE SPECTRUM

[CHART]

	SCHNEID	ER	PZENA	Ą	MATRI	ĽΧ	TRA- QUA	TCW
Number of Holdings		57		36		35	42	26
Percent of Holdings in Top 10		40%		43%		39%	29%	57%
Weighted Average Market Capitalization (billions)	\$	19	\$	46	\$	79	\$ 33	\$ 50
Average Five-Year Earnings Per Share Growth		2%		10%		6%	9%	30%
Dividend Yield	-	1.0%		1.9%		1.5%	0.4%	0.3%
Price/Earnings Ratio		19x		14x		19x	27x	31x
Price/Book Value Ratio	,	2.5x		2.7x		3.2x	4.5x	6.4x

\*Certain holdings are held by more than one manager.

11

#### MANAGER ROUNDTABLE

FROM THEIR GROWTH OR VALUE PERSPECTIVE, THE MANAGERS LOOK BACK AT 2004 AND AHEAD AT FACTORS THAT MAY IMPACT 2005

THE S&P 500 INDEX RETURNED 10.9 PERCENT LAST YEAR, WHICH WAS IN THE GENERAL RANGE OF ITS HISTORICAL AVERAGE OF 8 TO 10 PERCENT. BUT THAT DOESN'T MEAN THE YEAR WAS AVERAGE, AS IT TOOK A STRONG FOURTH QUARTER TO TURN A FLAT YEAR INTO A GOOD ONE. LOOKING AHEAD, INVESTORS ARE TRYING TO SIFT THROUGH A RANGE OF CONFLICTING DATA AND OPINIONS TO DISCERN THE STEERING CURRENTS THAT WILL GUIDE THE STOCK MARKET IN THE MONTHS AHEAD.

Continuing a tradition, the Fund's manager, Liberty Asset Management Company (LAMCO), recently had the opportunity to moderate another annual roundtable with the Fund's five investment managers. From their respective points of views, the managers summarize their style and strategy and then analyze the investment environment before concluding with comments on a stock they have added recently to the portion of the All-Star Equity Fund portfolio that they manage. The participating investment managers and their styles are:

THE VIEWS EXPRESSED IN THIS INTERVIEW REPRESENT THE MANAGERS' VIEWS AT THE TIME OF THE DISCUSSION (JANUARY 2005) AND ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE.

MASTRAPASQUA ASSET MANAGEMENT, INC.

PORTFOLIO MANAGER/Frank Mastrapasqua, Ph.D., Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

INVESTMENT STYLE/Growth - Mastrapasqua uses proprietary screens, in-house research and direct contact with managements to select growth companies with compelling valuations. Mastrapasqua focuses on companies with proven competitive advantage and profitability records. A proprietary risk-adjusted price-to-earnings growth (PEG) ratio is computed and compared to an independently derived long-term earnings growth rate. Companies selected for investment have projected growth rates that exceed the risk-adjusted price-to-earnings ratio.

MATRIX ASSET ADVISORS, INC.

PORTFOLIO MANAGER/David A. Katz, CFA, President and Chief Executive Officer

INVESTMENT STYLE/Value - Matrix follows an opportunistic value-oriented investment philosophy. Matrix believes that value can be found in all sectors of the economy, and thus looks for investment opportunities beyond traditional value industries. The firm employs a systematic and rigorous investment process—using both quantitative and qualitative analysis—and adheres to a strict sell discipline.

PZENA INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT, LLC

PORTFOLIO MANAGER/A. Rama Krishna, CFA, Managing Principal

INVESTMENT STYLE/Value - Pzena uses fundamental research and a disciplined process to identify good companies that the firm believes are undervalued on the basis of current price to an estimated normal level of earnings. Companies in the portfolio have a sustainable business advantage and a sound business plan to restore earnings to normal.

SCHNEIDER CAPITAL MANAGEMENT CORPORATION

PORTFOLIO MANAGER/Arnold C. Schneider, III, CFA,
President and Chief Investment Officer
INVESTMENT STYLE/Value - Schneider practices a disciplined fundamental approach
to add value over time. Research focuses on uncovering new ideas in the belief
that the broader market is slow to react to change, particularly where
out-of-favor stocks are concerned. Owning these stocks before they experience a
rebound in earnings and come to the attention of other investors creates the
opportunity for price appreciation before fundamentals warrant the stock be
sold.

"WE ANALYZE INVESTMENTS USING OUR PROPRIETARY SCREENS, IN-HOUSE RESEARCH AND DIRECT CONTACT WITH COMPANIES, STRIVING TO GROW INVESTMENT PRINCIPAL WITHOUT EXCESS EXPOSURE TO RISK."

FRANK MASTRAPASQUA, MASTRAPASQUA ASSET MANAGEMENT (GROWTH)

12

### TCW INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT COMPANY

PORTFOLIO MANAGERS/Craig C. Blum, CFA, Managing Director, and Stephen A. Burlingame, Managing Director INVESTMENT STYLE/Growth - TCW invests in companies that have superior sales growth, leading and/or rising market shares, and high and/or rising profit margins. TCW's concentrated growth equity strategy seeks leading companies with distinct advantages in their business model and an inherent edge over their competitors. Research plays a critical role in the selection process, and the investment horizon is long-term.

"WE CONSTRUCT CONCENTRATED, CONVICTION-WEIGHTED PORTFOLIOS OF COMPANIES THAT POSSESS SUSTAINABLE COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGES, ALLOWING THEM TO CAPITALIZE ON LONG-TERM GROWTH OPPORTUNITIES."

CRAIG BLUM,
TCW (GROWTH)"

LAMCO: In last year's roundtable, we asked the managers to briefly summarize their investment philosophy and strategy. We thought it was an excellent opportunity to allow Fund shareholders to compare the varying investment approaches that are combined to form the Liberty All-Star Equity Fund. So, we'd like to start this year in the same manner and ask the growth managers to get us underway. Craig Blum, sum up TCW's approach and then let's hear from Frank Mastrapasqua.

BLUM (TCW--GROWTH): We believe superior returns can be achieved by maintaining a long-term perspective and emphasizing the highest quality companies that have open-ended growth opportunities. We construct concentrated, conviction-weighted portfolios of companies that possess sustainable competitive advantages, allowing them to capitalize on long-term growth opportunities.

MASTRAPASQUA (MASTRAPASQUA ASSET MANAGEMENT - GROWTH): Our investment philosophy is to build client wealth through disciplined portfolio management. We are a sector-focused, large-to-mid capitalization growth manager. We analyze investments using our proprietary screens, in-house research and direct contact with companies, striving to grow investment principal without excess exposure to risk. Independent research gives us a competitive advantage. Our analysts evaluate company growth potential, which includes market share prospects,

financial condition, key components of earnings and cash flow growth, execution of management's vision, and competitive advantage. We utilize a proprietary valuation process called the GRAD Point methodology (Growth to Risk-Adjusted Differential), which risk-adjusts the price-to-earnings ratio and compares it to the 3- to 5-year earnings per share projected growth rate.

LAMCO: Let's hear from the value side managers on their approach and philosophy. David Katz, you're the newest manager, so let's turn to you first.

KATZ (MATRIX ASSET ADVISORS - VALUE): We are an opportunistic value investor trying to invest in good businesses at a significant discount from what we think an astute business person would pay to own and operate the company. Our investment philosophy is tied to the traditional tenets of Graham and Dodd, but we have adopted their philosophy to the economy of the twenty-first century. We perform detailed, fundamental analysis on companies. We try to figure out what a sensible valuation is based on the company's earnings, dividends, return on equity, asset value and so forth. But within the value school, we have a strong bias towards better businesses and better industries. Some traditional value managers only focus on "old economy" companies. We, on the other hand, are as comfortable buying a technology company as we are a ketchup company.

"...WITHIN THE VALUE SCHOOL, WE HAVE A STRONG BIAS TOWARDS BETTER BUSINESSES AND BETTER INDUSTRIES...WE ARE AS COMFORTABLE BUYING A TECHNOLOGY COMPANY AS WE ARE A KETCHUP COMPANY."

DAVID KATZ, MATRIX ASSET ADVISERS (VALUE)

LAMCO: Arnie Schneider and Rama Krishna, round out your value point of view, please.

SCHNEIDER (SCHNEIDER CAPITAL MANAGEMENT - VALUE): We believe that disciplined value investing, built on a research-driven foundation, can deliver investment success over time. Promising opportunities can be

13

found among securities that are most deeply undervalued relative to their future earnings potential. Our research process is geared toward identifying securities with low investor expectations that are temporarily trading at a substantial discount to their underlying business value.

KRISHNA (PZENA INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT - VALUE): We are old-fashioned value investors. Some people would use the term "deep value." We think of it in a fairly straightforward way: We're trying to find good companies when their share prices get very depressed relative to the ability of the underlying business to generate earnings and cash flow over the long term. We approach these businesses with a fairly healthy dose of realism; that is, you don't get to buy the best businesses with the fastest growth rates and the wonderful management teams and all of the characteristics that everybody wants for the simple reason that they don't sell for a low price. Many times, however, there are good businesses that do get marked down, generally because something has gone wrong. Our whole philosophy is to try and identify those companies and then make the judgment as to which ones are really good businesses where the problems are only temporary.

LAMCO: With 2004 now complete, it was one of those years in which consensus estimates among investment managers appear to have played out in reality—that is, for reasonable gains around 10 percent for indices such as the S&P 500 and the Russell 1000, with smaller cap stocks providing returns in the 15 to 20 percent range. Looking back at 2004, how do you assess the year from the perspective of your own style and strategy? Let's go in reverse order and start

with the value managers and Rama Krishna.

"WE'RE TRYING TO FIND GOOD COMPANIES WHEN THEIR SHARE PRICES GET VERY DEPRESSED RELATIVE TO THE ABILITY OF THE UNDERLYING BUSINESS TO GENERATE EARNINGS AND CASH FLOW OVER THE LONG TERM."

RAMA KRISHNA,
PZEMA INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT
(VALUE)

KRISHNA (PZENA INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT - VALUE): As we began 2004, valuation spreads between the cheapest stocks in our universe and the broad market were narrow. We weren't seeing the same types of deeply discounted opportunities that had existed when there was significant uncertainty about the future of the economy, and about geopolitical risks. The types of opportunities that we were seeing tended to be in what one might consider to be "boring" companies, i.e., insurance and other non-bank financials. Our expectation was for single digit market returns, with a fairly normal margin of value outperformance. So, from that perspective, we were pretty satisfied with the way 2004 turned out.

SCHNEIDER (SCHNEIDER CAPITAL MANAGEMENT - VALUE): The market environment favored our value style investment philosophy. Value indexes outperformed growth for five years in a row, based on the Russell 1000 indices. Our portfolio was also well positioned throughout the year in cyclical companies that delivered notable earnings gains in 2004 and offered the potential for continued progress.

KATZ (MATRIX ASSET ADVISORS - VALUE): Two thousand four was a difficult year for us on a relative and absolute basis. There was a high negative correlation between market leadership and our portfolio. We were not meaningfully represented in energy, utilities, basic materials and real estate-related stocks. While value outpaced growth last year, we perceived the greater large cap value opportunity to be in out-of-favor or fallen growth stock holdings, which were exhibiting value-like pricing with growth-like business performance. Our focus on these large and often mega-cap stellar businesses, however, lagged the market in 2004, as these stocks continued to trail smaller and more speculative stocks. Also, many of the industries we did like, such as semiconductors and pharmaceuticals, encountered a difficult year.

LAMCO: Let's turn to the growth managers and ask them about their style and how it fared last year. Frank Mastrapasqua, what are your thoughts?

MASTRAPASQUA (MASTRAPASQUA ASSET MANAGEMENT - GROWTH): Large cap growth underperformed many of the other equity asset classes and fell victim to the compression of international political stresses--principally, terrorist risks--that impacted investor psychology from June through September.

14

Moreover, the election further heightened investor anxiety depressing valuations. The fourth quarter reflected the lessening of such stress and an increasing focus upon the fundamentals.

BURLINGAME (TCW - GROWTH): While large capitalization growth stocks were out of favor we were able to outperform the broad market with superior stock selection.

LAMCO: Two thousand five may be shaping up as 2004's opposite, in that there is less consensus among investors. The bulls and bears can both make compelling cases. Most of the Fund's managers take a bottom-up approach, while keeping an eye on macro factors. In light of your approach, what are your thoughts about '05?

"OUR RESEARCH PROCESS IS GEARED TOWARD IDENTIFYING SECURITIES WITH LOW INVESTOR EXPECTATIONS THAT ARE TEMPORARILY TRADING AT A SUBSTANTIAL DISCOUNT TO THEIR UNDERLYING BUSINESS VALUE."

ARNIE SCHNEIDER, SCHNEIDER CAPITAL MANAGEMENT (VALUE)

MASTRAPASQUA (MASTRAPASQUA ASSET MANAGEMENT - GROWTH): We expect that 2005 should experience somewhat less external stress with emphasis upon rational business decision-making. Cash flow, profit growth and a resulting positive impact on capital spending should be the main contributors to economic growth. The under-investing relative to cash flow that dominated last year should be reversed in 2005. The delays in the replacement cycle and the technological advancements that have emerged in the last four to five years should foster an acceleration in spending.

BLUM (TCW - GROWTH): While there is consensus among economists that in 2005 the economy will continue to grow slowly with modest inflation, equity outlooks are all over the map. We will keep a close eye on energy costs, the dollar, Fed policy, housing and capital spending like everyone else. But, in the final analysis we will construct the portfolio on a bottom-up basis emphasizing high quality growth companies.

LAMCO: Let's ask the value managers to share their thoughts about the year ahead. Start us off, please, Arnie Schneider.

SCHNEIDER (SCHNEIDER CAPITAL MANAGEMENT - VALUE): U.S. equity valuations are not cheap relative to our current point in the economic, corporate profit and interest rate cycles. The economic scene should be relatively tranquil in 2005, but there is no doubt that global economies are slowing. The rate of U.S. corporate profit growth peaked in 1004, and profit margins stand at historical highs. In addition, we have begun the next cycle of global monetary tightening. U.S. equity returns averaging in the mid-single digits would not surprise us.

KATZ (MATRIX ASSET ADVISORS - VALUE): We believe that, like 2004, the impact of stock picking will be significant in 2005. However, unlike 2004, we expect to see different leaders, both in terms of sectors and individual issues. Basically, we think that 2005 will be the year that mega-cap stocks once again reemerge as market leaders after a long exile. We also look for strength in the semi-conductor area of tech, and for capital markets-oriented financials to move ahead despite relative weakness in consumer-oriented financials.

KRISHNA (PZENA INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT - VALUE): Valuation spreads are even narrower at the beginning of 2005, given that value stocks outperformed the broad market in 2004. The outlook for stocks in general is still OK. Inflation is in check and corporate earnings growth, though slower than last year, should still be respectable. Despite the narrow valuation spreads, there are still opportunities for the value investor to add value, as we apply our research to identify valuation anomalies at the company level. Some new opportunities have recently emerged, particularly in businesses that have been hurt by the recent spike in raw material prices.

"WHILE LARGE CAPITALIZATION GROWTH STOCKS WERE OUT OF FAVOR [IN 2004] WE WERE ABLE TO OUTPERFORM THE BROAD MARKET WITH SUPERIOR STOCK SELECTION."

STEPHEN BURLINGAME, TCW (GROWTH)

LAMCO: What are the one or two macro factors (e.g., interest rates, energy costs, raw materials/commodities, geopolitical tensions, corporate earnings, etc.) that you believe investors should watch in '05 as positive or negative

influences insofar as stock market performance is concerned? Let's stay with the value managers.

SCHNEIDER (SCHNEIDER CAPITAL MANAGEMENT - VALUE): Long-term interest rates bear close watching in 2005. Surprisingly, long-term Treasury yields hardly budged in 2004. Given the likelihood for higher rates, it will be important for investors to discern the reasons for the increases in order to judge their influence on the equity market. For example, rising rates could be the normal outcome of another year of moderate economic growth. They could, however, be a reaction to inflation fears, a fall in the dollar, or less willingness on the part of foreigners to buy Treasuries to finance our current account deficit.

"WE BELIEVE THAT, LIKE 2004, THE IMPACT OF STOCK PICKING WILL BE SIGNIFICANT IN 2005. HOWEVER, UNLIKE 2004, WE EXPECT TO SEE DIFFERENT LEADERS..."

DAVID KATZ, MATRIX ASSET ADVISERS (VALUE)

KATZ (MATRIX ASSET ADVISORS - VALUE): We believe that among the various macro factors interest rates are likely to play the largest role in 2005. Rising interest rates should reflect continued economic strength, which will serve as an important backdrop to the 2005 stock market. Rising rates should dampen the enthusiasm for real estate and there could be a pendulum swing back to equities from real estate as well as from bonds, assuming long-term rates finally begin to rise materially. Geopolitical uncertainty has become a fact of life, and always has the potential to wreak short-term havoc on the equity market.

KRISHNA (PZENA INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT - VALUE): Heightened inflationary fears would not be constructive for equities, given the low inflation assumptions that are discounted in the market today. Any number of factors could contribute to an acceleration of inflation: collapse of the dollar or a spike in energy prices are just two potential sources. We're not making bets on either, just keeping our eyes open. We might see an acceleration of merger activity, as corporate balance sheets are in great shape, and companies are searching for topline growth in a moderate GDP growth environment.

LAMCO: Let's hear from the growth managers, starting with Frank Mastrapasqua.

MASTRAPASQUA (MASTRAPASQUA ASSET MANAGEMENT - GROWTH): The pace of interest rate changes and the behavior of oil prices are likely to periodically impact the equity market and contribute to volatility. At the same time, productivity, cash flow and the competitive advantage the dollar is providing should foster positive results. In today's environment, growth offers the best value. The underperformance of growth stocks for the last five years and the earning power that has been generated makes for an intriguing valuation environment in 2005-2006. For example, the price-to-earnings growth (PEG) ratio for our stocks is approximately half the S&P 500 (1.5 vs. 3.1).

BURLINGAME (TCW - GROWTH): A weakening dollar could put upward pressure on interest rates and inflation. This could spell problems for housing, which in turn would slow consumer spending and negatively impact all financial assets. In the early stages of a recovery, economically-sensitive commodity businesses that trade at low valuations get a strong bounce. We have seen that so far. However, as the recovery matures, only those solid growth companies that are gaining a larger share of the overall economy are going to be able to demonstrate good growth. Even if multiples do not expand, growing companies should see price appreciation. At this stage we feel the normal valuation premium afforded to quality growth companies has been compressed such that, ironically, growth represents good value.

"THE OUTLOOK FOR STOCKS IN GENERAL IS STILL OK. INFLATION IS IN CHECK AND CORPORATE EARNINGS GROWTH, THOUGH SLOWER THAN LAST YEAR, SHOULD STILL BE RESPECTABLE."

RAMA KRISHNA,
PZENA INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT
(VALUE)

LAMCO: Let's conclude as we did last year, and that is by asking you to identify a stock that you have added recently to the portion of the All-Star Equity Fund portfolio that you manage and tell us why you invested in it.

16

Why don't you lead off for the value managers, David Katz?

KATZ (MATRIX ASSET ADVISORS - VALUE):

MedImmune is a biotechnology company with \$1 billion in revenues, a very strong balance sheet with more than \$4 cash per share and great prospects. MedImmune combines a leading business position with a compelling valuation of 18 times earnings when certain money-losing R&D projects and cash per share are excluded. Our belief is that MedImmune's strong operating posture is enhanced by a good pipeline, including expected royalties from upcoming cervical cancer vaccines. We believe that in a pharmaceutical industry seeking additional product opportunities, MedImmune is an extremely attractive acquisition candidate. At a \$6.2 billion market capitalization, the company is readily digestible. Our sense is that management has a greater willingness to entertain a merger at the right price, something they were not previously in favor of. We think the stock can be a strong performer on its own and a home run if acquired.

KRISHNA (PZENA INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT - VALUE): We recently added McKesson Corp., a pharmaceutical distributor, to the portfolio. We like the industry structure, as McKesson and its two primary competitors, AmerisourceBergen and Cardinal Health, each have an approximately 30 percent market share. However, the industry profitability model is in transition, as McKesson and others historically made money from obtaining special pricing from manufacturers, as well as by making large inventory purchases in advance of drug price increases. Drug companies have cut back on these special deals, as well as on the amount of inventory they will allow a distributor to hold, cutting into the margins of all drug distributors. In the future, McKesson and its competitors expect to recover margin by pricing contracts with reduced discounts to its customers, as well as through better overall pricing from the manufacturers. Priced at about 10 times our normal earnings estimate, McKesson stock is an attractive opportunity.

SCHNEIDER (SCHNEIDER CAPITAL MANAGEMENT - VALUE): Carnival Corp. is the world's largest cruise line in a growth industry dominated by two major players. After enduring three years of large increases in industry capacity and a brutal pricing environment, the cruise industry's future has begun a promising turnaround. Slowing capacity growth and resurgent demand have led to a more favorable pricing environment. Carnival will be able to use its scale and cost advantages to generate meaningful growth in earnings and cash flow.

LAMCO: Let's turn to the growth managers to hear about their recent purchases.

BLUM (TCW - GROWTH): We added XM Satellite Radio to the portfolio. XM is the market share leader in the rapidly growing "for fee" radio market. The business enjoys tremendous leverage as the costs of satellite and programming are largely fixed and can be spread over an ever-growing subscriber base. Competition is limited, as the company operates in a regulated duopoly and offers a compelling product at a modest price.

MASTRAPASQUA (MASTRAPASQUA ASSET MANAGEMENT - GROWTH): Monster Worldwide is engaged in online career management through the industry-leading Web site Monster.com. The company also has an online advertising agency and yellow pages advertising agency through its directional marketing business. On a broad level, the company will benefit from an improving economy and accelerating hiring activity. Monster has established itself as the leader in online career management while creating a disciplined cost management strategy. The combination provides for significant operating leverage as the improving economy leads to job creation. The 95 percent operating margins for the Monster division highlight the opportunity to transfer significant revenue growth to the bottom line.

"GIVEN THE LIKELIHOOD FOR HIGHER RATES, IT WILL BE IMPORTANT FOR INVESTORS TO DISCERN THE REASONS FOR THE INCREASES IN ORDER TO JUDGE THEIR INFLUENCE ON THE EQUITY MARKET."

ARNIE SCHNEIDER, SCHNEIDER CAPITAL MANAGEMENT (VALUE)

LAMCO: Many thanks to all.

17

INVESTMENT GROWTH AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2004

GROWTH OF A \$10,000 INVESTMENT

The graph below illustrates the growth of a \$10,000 investment assuming the purchase of shares of beneficial interest at the closing market price (NYSE: USA) of \$6.00 on December 31, 1987, and tracking its progress through December 31, 2004. This 17-year period covers the calendar years since the Fund commenced its 10 percent distribution policy in 1988.

[CHART]

	MARKET PRICE GROWTH PLUS	INCLUDES DISTRIBUTION REINV
	DISTRIBUTIONS PAID	AND RIGHTS OFFERING PARTICI
31-Dec-87	\$10,000	\$10,000
31-Jan-88	\$11,667	\$11 <b>,</b> 667
29-Feb-88	\$11,667	\$11 <b>,</b> 667
31-Mar-88	\$11,317	\$11 <b>,</b> 315
30-Apr-88	\$10,900	\$10 <b>,</b> 896
31-May-88	\$10,900	\$10 <b>,</b> 896
30-Jun-88	\$12 <b>,</b> 067	\$12 <b>,</b> 068
31-Jul-88	\$11,858	\$11 <b>,</b> 853
31-Aug-88	\$11,442	\$11,422
30-Sep-88	\$12,400	\$12 <b>,</b> 407
31-Oct-88	\$12 <b>,</b> 192	\$12 <b>,</b> 186
30-Nov-88	\$12,608	\$12 <b>,</b> 629
31-Dec-88	\$13,150	\$13 <b>,</b> 200
31-Jan-89	\$13,358	\$13 <b>,</b> 428
28-Feb-89	\$12,733	\$12 <b>,</b> 745
31-Mar-89	\$13,308	\$13 <b>,</b> 357
30-Apr-89	\$13,933	\$14,060
31-May-89	\$14,350	\$14,529
30-Jun-89	\$14,542	\$14,732
31-Jul-89	\$14,958	\$15,215

	Edgar Filling. Elbert Friede Griff Ford From Wort	
31-Aug-89	\$15,583	\$15 <b>,</b> 940
30-Sep-89	\$15 <b>,</b> 792	\$16,171
31-Oct-89	\$15,375	\$15 <b>,</b> 673
30-Nov-89	\$15,792	\$16,171
31-Dec-89	\$16,400	\$16 <b>,</b> 893
31-Jan-90	\$15,775	\$16 <b>,</b> 125
28-Feb-90	\$15,983	\$16 <b>,</b> 381
31-Mar-90	\$16,142	\$16 <b>,</b> 568
30-Apr-90	\$15,933	\$16,305
31-May-90	\$16,975	\$17,620
30-Jun-90	\$17,183	\$17,868
31-Jul-90	\$17,183	\$17,868
31-Aug-90	\$15 <b>,</b> 725	\$15,973
30-Sep-90	\$15,467	\$15,618
31-Oct-90	\$15,883	\$16,176
30-Nov-90 31-Dec-90	\$16,300 \$17,067	\$16,734 \$17,753
31-Jan-91	\$17,483	\$18,326
28-Feb-91	\$19,150	\$20,616
31-Mar-91	\$19,983	\$21,760
30-Apr-91	\$20,192	\$22,054
31-May-91	\$21,233	\$23,525
30-Jun-91	\$20,608	\$22,633
31-Jul-91	\$20,608	\$22,633
31-Aug-91	\$21,042	\$23,232
30-Sep-91	\$22,083	\$24,780
31-Oct-91	\$21,875	\$24,471
30-Nov-91	\$21,892	\$24,456
31-Dec-91	\$23,767	\$27 <b>,</b> 315
31-Jan-92	\$23,767	\$27 <b>,</b> 315
29-Feb-92	\$24,633	\$28 <b>,</b> 671
31-Mar-92	\$23,800	\$27,367
30-Apr-92	\$23,800	\$27,500
31-May-92	\$24,217	\$28,154
30-Jun-92	\$24,042	\$27,871
31-Jul-92	\$25,083	\$29,550
31-Aug-92 30-Sep-92	\$24 <b>,</b> 892	\$29 <b>,</b> 258 \$28 <b>,</b> 226
31-Oct-92	\$24,267 \$24,683	\$28,220
30-Nov-92	\$25,967	\$31,047
31-Dec-92	\$26,175	\$31,400
31-Jan-93	\$25,967	\$31,047
28-Feb-93	\$26,175	\$31,400
31-Mar-93	\$26,217	\$31,490
30-Apr-93	\$26,000	\$31,114
31-May-93	\$26,850	\$32 <b>,</b> 630
30-Jun-93	\$26,642	\$32 <b>,</b> 259
31-Jul-93	\$26 <b>,</b> 850	\$32,630
31-Aug-93	\$27,508	\$33 <b>,</b> 826
30-Sep-93	\$27,300	\$33,446
31-Oct-93	\$27,508	\$33,950
30-Nov-93	\$27,750	\$34,426
31-Dec-93	\$28,258	\$35,380
31-Jan-94	\$28,258	\$35,380
28-Feb-94	\$28,067	\$35,043
31-Mar-94	\$26,817 \$37,650	\$32,598
30-Apr-94	\$27 <b>,</b> 650	\$34 <b>,</b> 228
31-May-94 30-Jun-94	\$28 <b>,</b> 067 \$27,233	\$35 <b>,</b> 086
30-Jun-94 31-Jul-94	\$27,233 \$27,442	\$33,415 \$33,833
31-Aug-94	\$27,442	\$33,848
30-Sep-94	\$27,233	\$33,608
00 DCF 31	72./255	733 <b>,</b> 000

31-Oct-94	\$26,608	\$32,315
	\$25,967	
30-Nov-94		\$31,012
31-Dec-94	\$25,550	\$30,126
31-Jan-95	\$25 <b>,</b> 967	\$31,012
28-Feb-95	\$26,992	\$33 <b>,</b> 151
31-Mar-95	\$27,825	\$34 <b>,</b> 967
30-Apr-95	\$28,033	\$35,421
31-May-95	\$28,033	\$35,367
30-Jun-95	\$28,867	\$37,228
31-Jul-95	\$29,075	\$37,693
31-Aug-95	\$29,733	\$39,152
30-Sep-95	\$29,942	\$39 <b>,</b> 629
31-Oct-95	\$29,942	\$39 <b>,</b> 629
30-Nov-95	\$30,825	\$41 <b>,</b> 629
31-Dec-95	\$31,242	\$42,609
31-Jan-96	\$31,450	\$43,098
29-Feb-96	\$31,658	\$43,588
31-Mar-96	\$32,558	\$45,698
30-Apr-96	\$32,142	\$44,694
31-May-96	\$32,225	\$44,909
30-Jun-96	\$32,017	\$44,392
31-Jul-96	\$30,767	\$41 <b>,</b> 295
31-Aug-96	\$32,483	\$45,522
30-Sep-96	\$32,900	\$46,581
31-Oct-96	\$33,108	\$47,110
30-Nov-96	\$33,833	\$48,953
	\$34,050	•
31-Dec-96		\$49,518
31-Jan-97	\$34,675	\$51,169
28-Feb-97	\$35,092	\$52 <b>,</b> 269
31-Mar-97	\$35,417	\$53 <b>,</b> 097
30-Apr-97	\$35,625	\$53 <b>,</b> 662
31-May-97	\$37,200	\$57 <b>,</b> 890
30-Jun-97	\$37,929	\$59,916
31-Jul-97	\$38,971	\$62,811
31-Aug-97	\$39,138	\$63,215
30-Sep-97	\$40,492	\$67,074
31-Oct-97	\$38,617	\$61,731
30-Nov-97	\$39,704	\$64 <b>,</b> 837
31-Dec-97	\$40,304	\$66 <b>,</b> 591
31-Jan-98	\$40,408	\$66,903
28-Feb-98	\$41,658	\$70,655
31-Mar-98	\$42,450	\$73,018
30-Apr-98	\$41,825	\$71,097
31-May-98	\$41,192	\$69,689
30-Jun-98	\$42,129	\$72,675
31-Jul-98	\$41,817	\$71,680
31-Aug-98	\$37,383	\$57,373
30-Sep-98	\$39,258	\$63 <b>,</b> 520
31-Oct-98	\$39 <b>,</b> 779	\$65 <b>,</b> 227
30-Nov-98	\$41,700	\$71,562
31-Dec-98	\$42,013	\$72,614
31-Jan-99	\$41,908	\$72,263
28-Feb-99	\$41,596	\$71,211
31-Mar-99	\$42,371	\$73,790
30-Apr-99	\$42,892	\$75,589
31-May-99	\$43,300	\$76 <b>,</b> 960
30-Jun-99	\$44,550	\$81,400
31-Jul-99	\$44,133	\$79 <b>,</b> 920
31-Aug-99	\$42,721	\$74,913
30-Sep-99	\$41,783	\$71,491
31-Oct-99	\$42,408	\$73,772
30-Nov-99	\$41,725	\$71,361

21 Dec 00	¢41 204	\$60,400
31-Dec-99	\$41,204	\$69,400
31-Jan-00	\$40,892	\$68,224
29-Feb-00	\$40 <b>,</b> 683	\$67 <b>,</b> 440
31-Mar-00	\$41,963	\$72,249
30-Apr-00	\$41,963	\$72,249
31-May-00	\$42,667	\$74,736
-		
30-Jun-00	\$44,438	\$81,794
31-Jul-00	\$44 <b>,</b> 854	\$83,455
31-Aug-00	\$46,200	\$88,845
30-Sep-00	\$46,408	\$89,699
31-Oct-00	\$46,096	\$88,418
30-Nov-00	\$45,133	\$84,361
31-Dec-00	\$45,758	\$86 <b>,</b> 997
31-Jan-01	\$47 <b>,</b> 633	\$94,906
28-Feb-01	\$47 <b>,</b> 267	\$93 <b>,</b> 582
31-Mar-01	\$46,100	\$88,523
30-Apr-01	\$47,583	\$94,955
31-May-01	\$47,867	\$96,312
30-Jun-01	\$48,033	\$97,053
31-Jul-01	\$47,133	\$93,052
31-Aug-01	\$46 <b>,</b> 467	\$90 <b>,</b> 827
30-Sep-01	\$44,417	\$81,399
31-Oct-01	\$45,217	\$85,078
30-Nov-01	\$45,883	\$88,276
31-Dec-01	\$45,617	\$87,021
31-Jan-02	\$46,000	\$88,825
28-Feb-02	\$45 <b>,</b> 883	\$88,266
31-Mar-02	\$45 <b>,</b> 883	\$88,266
30-Apr-02	\$44,200	\$80,161
31-May-02	\$44,267	\$81,449
30-Jun-02		
	\$42,250	\$71,383
31-Jul-02	\$40,550	\$62,897
31-Aug-02	\$41,183	\$66,156
30-Sep-02	\$39 <b>,</b> 267	\$56 <b>,</b> 327
31-Oct-02	\$40,000	\$60,087
30-Nov-02	\$41,083	\$65,740
31-Dec-02	\$39,667	\$58,279
31-Jan-03	\$40,150	\$60,824
28-Feb-03	\$39,967	\$59,801
31-Mar-03	\$40,100	\$60,521
30-Apr-03	\$41 <b>,</b> 117	\$66,006
31-May-03	\$42,333	\$72,543
30-Jun-03	\$42,950	\$75,949
31-Jul-03	\$43,733	\$80,276
31-Aug-03	\$43,617	\$79,614
30-Sep-03	\$43,583	\$79 <b>,</b> 426
31-Oct-03	\$45 <b>,</b> 283	\$89,036
30-Nov-03	\$45,633	\$91 <b>,</b> 147
31-Dec-03	\$45,667	\$91,340
31-Jan-04	\$46,267	\$94,816
29-Feb-04		
	\$47,033	\$99,427
31-Mar-04	\$47,100	\$99,823
30-Apr-04	\$47,183	\$100,318
31-May-04	\$45,783	\$92,036
30-Jun-04	\$46,017	\$93,457
31-Jul-04	\$44,933	\$87,382
31-Aug-04	\$45,367	\$90,038
-		
30-Sep-04	\$45,600	\$91,502
31-Oct-04	\$46,067	\$140,497
30-Nov-04	\$46,683	\$98 <b>,</b> 330
31-Dec-04	\$47,317	\$102,401

The dark blue region of the graph above reflects the growth of the investment assuming all distributions were received in cash and not reinvested back in the Fund. The value of the investment under this scenario grew to \$47,317 (this value includes distributions per share totaling \$18.83 during the period, including tax credits of \$0.67 per share on retained capital gains).

The light blue region of the graph depicts additional value realized through reinvestment of all distributions and participation in all the rights offerings under the terms of each offering. On six occasions, the Fund has conducted rights offerings that allow shareholders to purchase additional shares at a discount. The value of the investment under this scenario grew to \$102,401.

18

### TABLE OF DISTRIBUTIONS AND RIGHTS OFFERINGS

# 

YEAR	SHARE BUTIONS	MONTH COMPLETED	SHARES NEEDED TO ONE ADDITIONAL	PURCHASE SHARE	SUBSCRIPTION PRICE
1988	\$ 0.64				
1989	0.95				
1990	0.90				
1991	1.02				
1992	1.07	April		10	\$ 10.05
1993	1.07	October		15	10.41
1994	1.00	September		15	9.14
1995	1.04				
1996	1.18				
1997	1.33				
1998	1.40	April		20	12.83
1999	1.39				
2000	1.42				
2001	1.20				
2002	0.88	May		10	8.99
2003	0.78	-			
2004	0.89	July		10**	8.34

- \* The Fund's net investment income and net realized capital gains exceeded the amount to be distributed under the Fund's 10 percent distribution policy. In each case, the Fund elected to pay taxes on the undistributed income and passed through a proportionate tax credit to shareholders.
- \*\* The number of shares offered was increased by an additional 25% to cover a portion of the over-subscription requests.

### DISTRIBUTION POLICY

Liberty All-Star Equity Fund's current policy, in effect since 1988, is to pay distributions on its shares totaling approximately 10 percent of its net asset value per year, payable in four quarterly installments of 2.5 percent of the Fund's net asset value at the close of the New York Stock Exchange on the Friday prior to each quarterly declaration date. THE FIXED DISTRIBUTIONS ARE NOT RELATED TO THE AMOUNT OF THE FUND'S NET INVESTMENT INCOME OR NET REALIZED CAPITAL GAINS OR LOSSES AND MAY BE TAXED AS ORDINARY INCOME UP TO THE AMOUNT OF THE FUND'S CURRENT AND ACCUMULATED EARNINGS AND PROFITS. If, for any calendar year, the total distributions made under the 10 percent pay-out policy exceed

TAX CRE

the Fund's net investment income and net realized capital gains, the excess will generally be treated as a non-taxable return of capital, reducing the shareholder's adjusted basis in his or her shares. If the Fund's net investment income and net realized capital gains for any year exceed the amount distributed under the 10 percent pay-out policy, the Fund may, in its discretion, retain and not distribute net realized capital gains and pay income tax thereon to the extent of such excess. The Fund retained such excess gains in 1993, 1996 and 1997.

19

### TOP 20 HOLDINGS AND ECONOMIC SECTORS

TOP 20 HOLDINGS (PERCENT OF NET ASSETS)	AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2004	AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2004
The Boeing Co.	1.9%	2.2%
Morgan Stanley	1.9	1.7
Genentech, Inc.	1.6	1.8
Citigroup, Inc.	1.6	1.4
Network Appliance, Inc.	1.5	1.2
The Progressive Corp.	1.4	1.6
eBay, Inc.	1.4	1.2
Yahoo! Inc.	1.4	1.4
Amgen, Inc.	1.3	1.2
Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc.	1.2	1.1
General Electric Co.	1.1	1.2
Freddie Mac	1.1	1.1
QUALCOMM, Inc.	1.1	1.1
Symantec Corp.	1.1	1.3
Tyco International Ltd.	1.0	1.0
Amazon.com, Inc.	1.0	1.1
Intel Corp.	1.0	1.0
J.P. Morgan Chase & Co.	1.0	1.0
Aon Corp.	1.0	1.0
Pfizer, Inc.	1.0	0.6
	25.6%	25.2%
ECONOMIC SECTORS (PERCENT OF NET ASSETS)	AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2004	AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2004
Information Technology	22.1%	21.7%
Financials	21.1	21.9
Consumer Discretionary	17.9	16.5
Health Care	13.7	15.3
Industrials	12.4	11.3
Consumer Staples	3.6	3.8
Energy	2.5	3.3
Utilities	2.2	2.4
Materials	2.1	2.6
Telecommunication Services	0.3	0.6
Other Net Assets	2.1	0.6
	100.0%	100.0%

20

### MAJOR STOCK CHANGES IN THE FOURTH QUARTER

The following are the major (\$4.0 million or more) stock changes - both purchases and sales - that were made in the Fund's portfolio during the fourth quarter of 2004.

SECURITY NAME	PURCHASES (SALES)	SHARES AS OF 12/31/04
PURCHASES		
Commerce Bancorp, Inc.	88,065	88,065
Marriott International, Inc.	90,000	90,000
Monster Worldwide, Inc	215,000	215,000
Pall Corp.	180,000	180,000
Pfizer, Inc.	257,650	507,650
TJX Companies, Inc.	251,600	381,600
SALES		
Alcan, Inc.	(94,575)	0
Bank of America Corp.	(144,948)	228,912
Baxter International, Inc.	(130,575)	225,000
BP p.l.c.	(70,575)	88,200
Bristol-Myers Squibb Co.	(313,850)	314,650
The Charles Schwab Corp.	(535, 265)	0
Computer Associates International, Inc.	(143,000)	372 <b>,</b> 725
Eli Lilly & Co.	(90,740)	0
The Gap, Inc.	(228,000)	370,000
Johnson & Johnson	(90,000)	0
PG&E Corp.	(146,300)	0

21

SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2004

COMMON STOCKS (97.8%)

SHARES MARKET VALUE

CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY (17.9%)

AUTO COMPONENTS (1.0%)		
Johnson Controls, Inc.	130 775	\$ 8,867,326
Visteon Corp.		4,508,366
viscon corp.	101, 150	
		13,375,692
AUTOMOBILES (0.8%)		
Harley-Davidson, Inc.	87.700	5,327,775
Honda Motor Co., Ltd. (a)	233,000	6,071,980
		11,399,755
HOTELS, RESTAURANTS & LEISURE (3.5%)		
Carnival Corp.	152,525	8,790,016
GTECH Holdings Corp.	12 250	242 027
Marriott International, Inc., Class A	90,000	5,668,200 8.365.100
MGM Mirage (b)		
Starbucks Corp. (b)	196,860	12,276,190
Starwood Hotels & Resorts Worldwide, Inc.	207,300	12,106,320
		47,549,663
HOUSEHOLD DUDADLES (1 10)		
HOUSEHOLD DURABLES (1.1%)	1 5 2 5 0	3,709,536
Newell Rubbermaid, Inc. Whirlpool Corp.		11,445,604
willipool colp.	100,373	
		15,155,140
INTERNET & CATALOG RETAIL (2.4%)		
Amazon.com, Inc. (b)	316,500	14,017,785
eBay, Inc. (b)	163,800	19,046,664
		22 064 440
		33,064,449
LEISURE EQUIPMENT & PRODUCTS (0.1%)		
Brunswick Corp.	26,375	1,305,563
MEDIA (4.9%)		
Comcast Corp., Class A (b)		6,370,960
Interpublic Group of Companies, Inc. (b)		5,494,000
Liberty Media Corp., Class A (b)	1,220,142	13,397,159
Liberty Media International, Inc., Class A (b)	169 <b>,</b> 637	7,842,318
Pixar, Inc. (b)	112,800	9,656,808
Time Warner, Inc. (b)	300,000	5,832,000
The Walt Disney Co.	234,000	6,505,200
XM Satellite Radio Holdings, Inc., Class A (b)	327 <b>,</b> 880	12,334,846
		67,433,291

See Notes to Schedule of Investments.

22

MULTI-LINE RETAIL (1.1%)		
J.C. Penney Co., Inc.	157,750	\$ 6,530,850
Kohl's Corp. (b)	63,250	3,110,003
Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.	104,400	5,514,408
		15,155,261
SPECIALTY RETAIL (3.0%)		
Circuit City Stores, Inc.	83,150	1,300,466
The Gap, Inc.	370,000	7,814,400
The Home Depot, Inc.	143,000	6,111,820
Office Depot, Inc. (b)	238,400	4,138,624
RadioShack Corp.	240,525	7,908,462
Ross Stores, Inc.	140,000	4,041,800
TJX Companies, Inc.	381,600	9,589,608
		40,905,180
CONSUMER STAPLES (3.6%)		 
FOOD & STAPLES RETAILING (1.0%)		
CVS Corp.	169,000	7,616,830
Walgreen Co.	146,000	5,602,020
		13,218,850
FOOD PRODUCTS (2.3%)		
Archer-Daniels-Midland Co.	49,475	1,103,787
General Mills, Inc.	128,700	6,397,677
Sara Lee Corp.		11,560,646
Smithfield Foods, Inc. (b)		3,113,608
Tate & Lyle PLC (a)	262,125	9,516,527
		31,692,245
TOBACCO (0.3%)		 
Altria Group, Inc.	68,950	4,212,845
ENERGY (2.5%)		 
ENERG1 (2.3%)		
ENERGY EQUIPMENT & SERVICES (1.3%)		
Halliburton Co.	150,000	5,886,000
Schlumberger Ltd.	85 <b>,</b> 000	5,690,750
Tidewater, Inc.	176,000	6,267,360
		 17,844,110
OIL & GAS (1.2%)		
BP PLC (a)	88,200	5,150,880
ConocoPhillips	62,000	5,383,460
Premcor, Inc.	130,350	 5,496,859
		16,031,199

COMMON STOCKS (CONTINUED)	SHARES	MARKET VALUE
FINANCIALS (21.1%)		
CAPITAL MARKETS (3.5%) The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. Morgan Stanley		\$ 6,242,400 16,078,130 26,312,316 
COMMERCIAL BANKS (2.0%) Bank of America Corp. (c) Bank of New York Co., Inc. Comerica, Inc. Commerce Bancorp, Inc.	266,000 45,525	10,756,575 8,889,720 2,777,936 5,671,386
DIVERSIFIED FINANCIAL SERVICES (3.6%) CIT Group, Inc. Citigroup, Inc. J.P. Morgan Chase & Co.	295,750 459,575 350,850	13,551,265 22,142,323 13,686,659 
INSURANCE (8.7%) AFLAC, Inc. Allstate Corp. American International Group, Inc. Aon Corp. Genworth Financial, Inc., Class A Loews Corp. Marsh & McLennan Companies, Inc. MBIA, Inc. MetLife, Inc. The Progressive Corp. Torchmark Corp. XL Capital Ltd., Class A	572,525 173,725 192,875 136,700 138,825 330,900 232,105 97,575	7,621,392 7,319,673 12,572,521 13,660,446 4,690,575 13,559,113 4,497,430 8,784,846 13,404,759 19,691,788
REAL ESTATE (1.0%) CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc., Class A (b) The St. Joe Co. Trizec Properties, Inc., REIT	152,775 73,550 197,950	4,721,910 3,745,214  13,592,725
THRIFTS & MORTGAGE FINANCE (2.3%) Fannie Mae Freddie Mac The PMI Group, Inc. Radian Group, Inc.	151,350 205,425 67,700 51,525	10,777,633 15,139,823 2,826,475 2,743,191 31,487,122

24

### HEALTH CARE (13.7%)  BIOTECHNOLOGY (6.1%) Affymetrix, Inc. (b) 177,000 \$ 6,469,350 Amgen, Inc. (b) 268,100 17,198,615 Biogen Idec, Inc. (b) 112,000 7,460,320 Cephalon, Inc. (b) 110,000 5,596,800 Cephalon, Inc. (b) 110,000 8,013,600 Cenentech, Inc. (b) 110,000 8,013,600 Cenentech, Inc. (b) 188,000 8,013,600 MedImmune, Inc. (b) 381,750 10,349,242  #### HEALTH CARE EQUIPMENT & SUPPLIES (2.3%) Alcon, Inc. 74,000 5,964,400 Fisher Scientific International, Inc. (b) 120,000 7,485,600 Guidant Corp. 146,000 17,485,600 Guidant Corp. 146,000 17,485,600  #### HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS & SERVICES (2.3%) AmerisourceBergen Corp. 71,525 4,197,087 CIGNA Corp. 105,175 8,579,125 HCA, Inc. 122,250 4,485,110 McKesson Corp. 272,750 8,580,715 Tenet Healthcare Corp. (b) 280,600 3,080,988 Triad Hospitals, Inc. (b) 44,100 1,640,961  #### HAARD CORP. 169,000 5,431,660 PHARMACEUTICALS (3.0%) Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. 314,650 8,061,33 TVAX Corp. (b) 9,000 142,380 Merck & Co., Inc. 169,000 5,431,660 Pfizer, Inc. 507,650 13,650,785 Triad Hospitals Group PLC (a) 12,400 396,180 Teva Pharmaceuticals Group PLC (a) 12,400 396,180 Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd. (a) 165,000 4,926,900 Myeth 216,000 9,199,440  INDUSTRIALS (12.3%)  AEROSPACE & DEFENSE (2.1%) The Boeing Co. 509,475 26,375,521 Bombardier, Inc., Class B 1,228,850 2,436,914	COMMON STOCKS (CONTINUED)	SHARES	MARKET VALUE
Affymetrix, Inc. (b)         177,000         \$ 6,469,350           Amgen, Inc. (b)         268,100         17,198,615           Biogen Idec, Inc. (b)         112,000         7,460,320           Cephalon, Inc. (b)         110,000         5,596,800           Genentech, Inc. (b)         410,400         32,342,176           Genzyme Corp. (b)         138,000         8,013,660           Invitrogen Corp. (b)         87,000         5,964,400           MedImmune, Inc. (b)         381,750         10,349,242           HEALTH CARE EQUIPMENT & SUPPLIES (2.3%)         74,000         5,964,400           Baxter International, Inc.         225,000         7,771,500           Fisher Scientific International, Inc. (b)         120,000         7,485,600           Guidant Corp.         146,000         10,526,600           HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS & SERVICES (2.3%)         71,525         4,197,087           AmerisourceBergen Corp.         71,525         4,197,087           CIGNA Corp.         105,175         8,579,125           HCA, Inc.         122,250         4,885,110           McKesson Corp.         272,750         8,580,715           Tenet Healthcare Corp. (b)         30,963,986           PHARMACEUTICALS (3.0%)         9,000	HEALTH CARE (13.7%)		
HEALTH CARE EQUIPMENT & SUPPLIES (2.3%) Alcon, Inc.  Baxter International, Inc.  Fisher Scientific International, Inc. (b) 120,000 7,771,500 Fisher Scientific International, Inc. (b) 120,000 7,485,600 Guidant Corp. 146,000 10,526,6000  HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS & SERVICES (2.3%)  AmerisourceBergen Corp. 71,525 4,197,087 CIGNA Corp. 105,175 8,579,125 HCA, Inc. 122,250 4,885,110 McKesson Corp. 272,750 8,580,715 Tenet Healthcare Corp. (b) 280,600 3,080,988 Triad Hospitals, Inc. (b) 44,100 1,640,961  PHARMACEUTICALS (3.0%) Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. 314,650 8,061,333 IVAX Corp. (b) 9,000 142,380 Merck & Co., Inc. 169,000 5,431,660 Pfizer, Inc. 507,650 13,650,708 Shire Pharmaceutical Group PLC (a) 12,400 396,180 Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd. (a) 165,000 4,926,900 Wyeth 216,000 9,199,440  INDUSTRIALS (12.3%)  AEROSPACE & DEFENSE (2.1%) The Boeing Co. 509,475 26,375,521 Bombardier, Inc., Class B 1,228,850 2,436,914	Affymetrix, Inc. (b) Amgen, Inc. (b) Biogen Idec, Inc. (b) Cephalon, Inc. (b) Genentech, Inc. (b) Genzyme Corp. (b) Invitrogen Corp. (b)	268,100 112,000 110,000 410,400 138,000 87,000	17,198,615 7,460,320 5,596,800 22,342,176 8,013,660 5,840,310 10,349,242
Alcon, Inc.	WELLEY GIRE TOWNS A GURELING (O. 20)		
HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS & SERVICES (2.3%) AmerisourceBergen Corp. CIGNA Corp. 105,175 8,579,125 HCA, Inc. 122,250 4,885,110 McKesson Corp. 272,750 8,580,715 Tenet Healthcare Corp. (b) 280,600 3,080,988 Triad Hospitals, Inc. (b) 44,100 1,640,961  PHARMACEUTICALS (3.0%) Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. PHARMACEUTICALS (3.0%) Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. Pfizer, Inc. 507,650 Shire Pharmaceuticals Group PLC (a) Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd. (a)  INDUSTRIALS (12.3%)  AEROSPACE & DEFENSE (2.1%) The Boeing Co. Bombardier, Inc., Class B 1,228,850 24,365,914	Alcon, Inc. Baxter International, Inc. Fisher Scientific International, Inc. (b)	225,000 120,000	7,771,500 7,485,600 10,526,600
AmerisourceBergen Corp. CIGNA Corp. HCA, Inc. HCA, Inc. McKesson Corp. Tenet Healthcare Corp. (b) Tenet Healthcare Corp. (b) Triad Hospitals, Inc. (b)  PHARMACEUTICALS (3.0%) Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. Fizer, Inc. Shire Pharmaceuticals Group PLC (a) Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd. (a)  Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd. (a)  INDUSTRIALS (12.3%)  AEROSPACE & DEFENSE (2.1%) The Boeing Co. Bombardier, Inc., Class B  A, 197,087 105,175 8,579,125 4,885,110 12,225 4,885,110 12,225 4,885,110 12,225 4,885,110 12,225 4,885,110 12,225 4,885,110 12,225 4,885,110 12,225 4,885,110 12,225 4,885,110 12,225 4,885,110 12,225 4,885,110 1,228,850 2,436,914			31, /48, 100
PHARMACEUTICALS (3.0%) Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. 314,650 8,061,333 IVAX Corp. (b) 9,000 142,380 Merck & Co., Inc. 169,000 5,431,660 Pfizer, Inc. 507,650 13,650,708 Shire Pharmaceuticals Group PLC (a) 12,400 396,180 Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd. (a) 165,000 4,926,900 Wyeth 216,000 9,199,440  INDUSTRIALS (12.3%)  AEROSPACE & DEFENSE (2.1%) The Boeing Co. 509,475 26,375,521 Bombardier, Inc., Class B 1,228,850 2,436,914	AmerisourceBergen Corp. CIGNA Corp. HCA, Inc. McKesson Corp. Tenet Healthcare Corp. (b)	105,175 122,250 272,750 280,600	8,579,125 4,885,110 8,580,715 3,080,988 1,640,961
Bristol-Myers Squibb Co.  IVAX Corp. (b)  Merck & Co., Inc.  Pfizer, Inc.  Shire Pharmaceuticals Group PLC (a)  Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd. (a)  Myeth  AEROSPACE & DEFENSE (2.1%) The Boeing Co.  Bombardier, Inc., Class B  11,228,850  314,650  9,000 142,380  507,650 13,650,708 12,400 396,180 12,400 396,180 165,000 4,926,900 4,926,900 9,199,440			30,963,986
INDUSTRIALS (12.3%)  AEROSPACE & DEFENSE (2.1%) The Boeing Co. 509,475 26,375,521 Bombardier, Inc., Class B 1,228,850 2,436,914  28,812,435	Bristol-Myers Squibb Co.  IVAX Corp. (b)  Merck & Co., Inc.  Pfizer, Inc.  Shire Pharmaceuticals Group PLC (a)  Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd. (a)	9,000 169,000 507,650 12,400 165,000	142,380 5,431,660 13,650,708 396,180 4,926,900 9,199,440
AEROSPACE & DEFENSE (2.1%) The Boeing Co. 509,475 26,375,521 Bombardier, Inc., Class B 1,228,850 2,436,914			
The Boeing Co. 509,475 26,375,521 Bombardier, Inc., Class B 1,228,850 2,436,914	INDUSTRIALS (12.3%)		
	The Boeing Co.		
			28,812,435

COMMON STOCKS (CONTINUED)	SHARES	MARKET VALUE
AIR FREIGHT & LOGISTICS (0.9%) FedEx Corp. Ryder System, Inc.	71,000 123,000	\$ 6,992,790 5,875,710
		12,868,500
AIRLINES (0.4%) Southwest Airlines Co.	310,000	5,046,800
COMMERCIAL SERVICES & SUPPLIES (1.7%) Apollo Group, Inc., Class A (b) Cendant Corp. Monster Worldwide, Inc. (b)	119,800 275,825 215,000	9,669,058 6,448,788 7,232,600
		23,350,446
ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT (1.1%) American Power Conversion Corp. Emerson Electric Co. Hubbell, Inc., Class B	415,000 92,000 3,900	8,881,000 6,449,200 203,970
		15,534,170
INDUSTRIAL CONGLOMERATES (2.2%) General Electric Co. Tyco International Ltd.	421,200 402,550	15,373,800 14,387,137
		29,760,937
MACHINERY (1.9%) Danaher Corp. Illinois Tool Works, Inc. Navistar International Corp. (b) Pall Corp.	110,000 63,000 195,825 180,000	6,315,100 5,838,840 8,612,384 5,211,000
		25,977,324
ROAD & RAIL (2.0%) CSX Corp. Norfolk Southern Corp. Swift Transportation Co., Inc. (b) Union Pacific Corp. Werner Enterprises, Inc.	186,925 175,000 97,225 127,600 153,600	7,491,954 6,333,250 2,088,393 8,581,100 3,477,504
		27,972,201
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (22.1%)		
COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT (2.5%) Cisco Systems, Inc. (b) Nokia Oyj (a) QUALCOMM, Inc.	647,100 410,000 354,400	12,489,030 6,424,700 15,026,560
		33,940,290

See Notes to Schedule of Investments.

26

COMMON STOCKS (CONTINUED)	SHARES	MARKET VALUE
COMPUTERS & PERIPHERALS (4.5%) Adaptec, Inc. (b) Dell, Inc. (b) EMC Corp. (b) Hewlett-Packard Co. Network Appliance, Inc. (b) Sun Microsystems, Inc. (b)	268,900 547,500 606,775	\$ 3,605,250 11,331,446 8,141,325 12,724,072 20,835,584 5,009,856
		61,647,533
ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT & INSTRUMENTS (3.0%) Agilent Technologies, Inc. (b) Avnet, Inc. (b) Sanmina-SCI Corp. (b) Symbol Technologies, Inc. Vishay Intertechnology, Inc. (b)	255 <b>,</b> 375	9,118,235 4,658,040 10,250,182 10,726,000 7,059,400 
<pre>INTERNET SOFTWARE &amp; SERVICES (1.4%) Yahoo!, Inc. (b)</pre>	498,100	18,768,408
IT SERVICES (0.6%) BearingPoint, Inc. (b) Electronic Data Systems Corp.	584,205 151,850	4,691,166 3,507,735 8,198,901
SEMICONDUCTORS & SEMICONDUCTOR EQUIPMENT (5.1%) Analog Devices, Inc. Applied Materials, Inc. (b) Intel Corp. Maxim Integrated Products, Inc. Novellus Systems, Inc. (b) Teradyne, Inc. (b) Texas Instruments, Inc. Xilinx, Inc.	597,000	5,722,600 10,755,729 13,963,830 11,017,161 12,754,097 1,483,383 5,908,800 8,832,735
SOFTWARE (5.0%) Adobe Systems, Inc. Computer Associates International, Inc. Electronic Arts, Inc. (b) Microsoft Corp. Oracle Corp. (b) Symantec Corp. (b) VERITAS Software Corp. (b)	135,000 372,725 171,100 296,800 550,000 559,600 264,000	8,469,900 11,576,839 10,553,448 7,927,528 7,546,000 14,415,296 7,537,200

See Notes to Schedule of Investments.

27

COMMON STOCKS (CONTINUED)	SHARES	MARKET VALUE
MATERIALS (2.1%)		
CHEMICALS (1.0%) Bayer AG (a) Dow Chemical Co. The Mosaic Co. (b)	100,685 38,075 519,925	
		13,791,546
METALS & MINING (0.4%) Freeport-McMoRan Copper & Gold, Inc., Class B	142,611	5,452,018
PAPER & FOREST PRODUCTS (0.7%) Abitibi Consolidated, Inc. Domtar, Inc. International Paper Co.	440,650 300,525 73,090	3,049,298 3,627,337 3,069,780  9,746,415
TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICES (0.3%)		
DIVERSIFIED TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICES (0.0%) AT&T Corp. WIRELESS TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICES (0.3%)	5,000	95,300
Telephone and Data Systems, Inc.	63,350	4,874,783
UTILITIES (2.2%)		
ELECTRIC UTILITIES (0.7%) FirstEnergy Corp. Wisconsin Energy Corp.	40,525 234,475	1,601,143 7,904,152
		9,505,295
MULTI-UTILITIES & UNREGULATED POWER (1.5%) NRG Energy, Inc. (b) Reliant Energy, Inc. (b) SCANA Corp.	158,250 868,875 67,725	11,860,144 2,668,365 20,233,421
TOTAL COMMON STOCKS (COST OF \$1,157,318,590)		1,341,954,110

CONVERTIBLE BONDS (0.1%)	INTEREST RATE	MATURITY DATE	PAR VALUE	MAI	RKET VALUE
INDUSTRIALS (0.1%)					
AIRLINES (0.1%) Delta Air Lines, Inc.	8.00%	06/03/23	\$ 1,988,000	\$	1,316,2
TOTAL CONVERTIBLE BONDS (COST OF \$1,423,357)					1,316,2
SHORT-TERM INVESTMENT (2.8%)					
REPURCHASE AGREEMENT (2.8%)					
Repurchase agreement with State Street Bank & dated 12/31/04, due 01/03/05 at 1.50%, collate Treasury Bonds with various maturities to 08/3	eralized by				

TOTAL INVESTMENTS (100.7%) (COST OF \$1,197,131,947) (d)

value of \$39,173,506 (repurchase proceeds \$38,394,799)

OTHER ASSETS & LIABILITIES, NET (-0.7%)

NET ASSETS (100.0%)

(Cost of \$38,390,000)

NET ASSET VALUE PER SHARE (147,565,342 SHARES OUTSTANDING)

NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS:

- (a) Represents an American Depositary Receipt.
- (b) Non-income producing security.
- (c) Investments in affiliates during the year ended December 31, 2004: Security Name: FleetBoston Financial Corp., the parent company of the investment advisor prior to April 1, 2004:

Shares as of 12/31/03	200,000
Shares purchased	
Shares sold	56 <b>,</b> 825
Shares converted	143,175
Shares as of 12/31/04	
Net realized gain	\$ 845,397
Dividend income earned	\$ 50,111
Value at end of period	

See Notes to Financial Statements.

29

(C) Investments in affiliates during the year ended December 31, 2004

38,390,000 38,390,0

1,381,660,3

\$ 1,372,190,6

\_\_\_\_\_

(9,469,6

### (continued):

Security Name: Bank of America Corp. (As a result of the acquisition of FleetBoston Financial Corp. effective April 1, 2004, Bank of America Corp. became the parent company of the investment advisor.)

Shares as of 12/31/03	
Shares purchased	161,800*
Shares sold	215,698
Shares acquired through acquisition	79,505
Shares acquired through two for one stock split	203,305
Shares as of 12/31/04	228,912
Net realized gain (loss)	\$ 1,917,641
Dividend income earned	\$ 540,286**
Value at end of period	\$ 10,756,575

<sup>\*</sup>Occurred prior to April 1, 2004.

(d) Cost of investments for federal income tax purposes is \$1,206,959,108.

Gross unrealized appreciation and depreciation of investments at December 31, 2004 is as follows:

Net unrealized appreciation	\$ 174,701,257
Gross unrealized depreciation	(82,245,956)
Gross unrealized appreciation	\$ 256,947,213

See Notes to Financial Statements.

30

### FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

STATEMENT OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES DECEMBER 31, 2004

### ASSETS:

Unaffiliated investments at market value (identified cost \$1,188,121,045) Affiliated investments at market value (identified cost \$9,010,902) Cash

Receivable for investments sold Dividends and interest receivable

TOTAL ASSETS

### LIABILITIES:

Payable for investments purchased Distributions payable to shareholders Investment advisory, administrative and bookkeeping/pricing fees payable Accrued expenses

<sup>\*\*</sup>Represents activity for the period April 1, 2004 through December 31, 2004.

Other liabilities

TOTAL LIABILITIES

NET ASSETS

### NET ASSETS REPRESENTED BY:

Paid-in capital (unlimited number of shares of beneficial interest without par value authorized; 147,565,342 shares outstanding)
Undistributed net investment income
Accumulated net realized loss on investments
Net unrealized appreciation on investments and foreign currency translations

TOTAL NET ASSETS APPLICABLE TO OUTSTANDING SHARES OF BENEFICIAL INTEREST (\$9.30 PER SHARE)

See Notes to Financial Statements.

31

STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2004

### INVESTMENT INCOME:

Interest

Dividends Dividends from affiliates

TOTAL INVESTMENT INCOME (NET OF FOREIGN TAXES WITHHELD AT SOURCE WHICH AMOUNTED TO \$88,267)

### EXPENSES:

Investment advisory fee
Administrative fee
Bookkeeping and pricing fees
Custodian fee
Transfer agent fees
Shareholder communication expenses
Trustees' fees and expense
NYSE fee
Miscellaneous expenses

TOTAL EXPENSES

CUSTODY EARNINGS CREDIT

NET EXPENSES

NET INVESTMENT INCOME

REALIZED AND UNREALIZED GAIN (LOSS) ON INVESTMENTS AND FOREIGN CURRENCY: Net realized gain on investment transactions:

Proceeds from sales
Cost of investments sold

Net realized gain on investment transactions

588**,**724

682,321

\_\_\_\_\_

8,664

2,169

209

75 169

492

102

222

97

Net unrealized appreciation on investments and foreign currency:

Beginning of year

121,537 184,528

Change in unrealized appreciation-net

NET INCREASE IN NET ASSETS RESULTING FROM OPERATIONS

See Notes to Financial Statements.

32

### STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN NET ASSETS

End of year

	YEAR
	2004
OPERATIONS:	
Net investment income	\$ 2,43
Net realized gain on investment transactions	93,59
Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	
on investments and foreign currency-net	62,99
Net increase in net assets resulting from operations	159,02
DISTRIBUTIONS DECLARED FROM:	
Net investment income	
Net realized gain on investments	(91,95
Paid-in capital	(28,58
Total distributions	(120,54
CAPITAL TRANSACTIONS:	
Proceeds from rights offering	131,70
Dividend reinvestments	49,08
Increase in net assets from capital share transactions	180,78
Total increase in net assets NET ASSETS:	219,2
Beginning of year	1,152,93
End of year (including undistributed net investment	
income of \$43,519 and \$0, respectively)	\$ 1,372,19
	==========

See Notes to Financial Statements.

33

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,						
		2004		 2003		2002	2
PER SHARE OPERATING PERFORMANCE:							
Net asset value at beginning of year	\$	9.13	\$	7.14	\$	10.65	\$
<pre>Income from Investment Operations:   Net investment income   Net realized and unrealized gain (loss)</pre>		0.02		0.01		0.01	
on investments and foreign currency Provision for Federal Income Tax		1.09		2.76		(2.56)	
Total from Investment Operations		1.11		2.77		(2.55)	
Less Distributions from: Net investment income Realized capital gain Paid-in capital		 (0.68) (0.21)		(0.01) (0.30) (0.47)		(0.01) (0.02) (0.85)	
Total Distributions		(0.89)		(0.78)		(0.88)	
Change due to rights offering (b) Impact of shares issued in dividend reinvestment (c)		(0.05)				(0.08)	
Total Distributions, Reinvestments and Rights Offering		(0.94)		(0.78)		(0.96)	
Net asset value at end of year	\$	9.30	\$	9.13	\$		\$
Market price at end of year	\$	9.56	\$		\$	6.64	==== \$ ====
TOTAL INVESTMENT RETURN FOR SHAREHOLDERS: (d) Based on net asset value Based on market price		13.0% 12.1%		40.7% 56.7%		(25.0)% (33.0)%	
RATIOS AND SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: Net assets at end of year (millions) Ratio of expenses to average net assets (e) Ratio of net investment income to	\$	1,372 1.01%		1,153 1.04%	\$	869 1.05%	\$
average net assets (e) Portfolio turnover rate		0.20% 57%		0.11%		0.11% 83%	

<sup>(</sup>a) Before provision for federal income tax.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

<sup>(</sup>b) Effect of All-Star's rights offerings for shares at a price below net asset

<sup>(</sup>c) Effect of payment of a portion of distributions in newly issued shares at a discount from net asset value.

	2000	1999	1998	1
PER SHARE OPERATING PERFORMANCE:				
Net asset value at beginning of year	\$ 14.02	\$ 14.22	\$ 13.32	\$
<pre>Income from Investment Operations:   Net investment income   Net realized and unrealized gain (loss)</pre>	 0.05	 0.05	 0.05	
on investments and foreign currency Provision for Federal Income Tax	0.96	1.22	2.35	
Total from Investment Operations	1.01	1.27	2.40	
Less Distributions from: Net investment income Realized capital gain Paid-in capital	(0.06) (1.36) 	(0.05) (1.34)	(0.05) (1.35)	
Total Distributions	(1.42)	(1.39)	 (1.40)	
Change due to rights offering (b)  Impact of shares issued in dividend  reinvestment (c)	 	(0.08)	 (0.10)	
Total Distributions, Reinvestments and Rights Offering	(1.42)	(1.47)	 (1.50)	
Net asset value at end of year	\$ 13.61	\$ 14.02	\$ 14.22	\$ ====
Market price at end of year	\$ 12.375	\$ 11.063	\$ 12.938 ======	\$ ====
TOTAL INVESTMENT RETURN FOR SHAREHOLDERS: (d) Based on net asset value Based on market price	 8.8%	10.2% (4.4)%	19.8%	
RATIOS AND SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: Net assets at end of year (millions) Ratio of expenses to average net assets (e) Ratio of net investment income to	\$	1,396 0.97%	•	\$
average net assets (e) Portfolio turnover rate	0.37% 83%	0.37% 90%	0.39% 76%	

<sup>(</sup>d) Calculated assuming all distributions reinvested at actual reinvestment price and all rights offerings were fully subscribed under the terms of each offering.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

35

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2004

## NOTE 1. ORGANIZATION

Liberty All-Star Equity Fund (the "Fund"), is a Massachusetts business trust registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the "Act"), as amended, as a diversified, closed-end management investment company.

<sup>(</sup>e) The benefits derived from custody credits and directed brokerage arrangements, if applicable, had an impact of less than 0.01%.

#### INVESTMENT GOAL

The Fund seeks total investment return comprised of long-term capital appreciation and current income through investing primarily in a diversified portfolio of equity securities.

#### FUND SHARES

The Fund may issue an unlimited number of shares of shares of beneficial interest.

#### NOTE 2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### USE OF ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The following is a summary of significant accounting policies consistently followed by the Fund in the preparation of its financial statements.

## SECURITY VALUATION

Equity securities are valued at the last sale price at the close of the principal exchange on which they trade. Unlisted securities or listed securities for which there were no sales during the day are valued at the closing bid price on such exchanges or over-the-counter markets.

Debt securities generally are valued by pricing services approved by the Fund's Board of Trustees (the "Board"), based upon market transactions for normal, institutional-size trading units of similar securities. The services may use various pricing techniques which take into account appropriate factors such as yield, quality, coupon rate, maturity, type of issue, trading characteristics and other data, as well as broker quotes. Debt securities for which quotations are readily available are valued at an over-the-counter or exchange bid quotation.

Short-term debt obligations maturing in more than 60 days for which market quotations are readily available are valued at current market value. Short-term debt obligations maturing within 60 days are valued at amortized cost, which approximates market value.

Investments for which market quotations are not readily available are valued at fair value as determined in good faith under consistently applied procedures approved by and under the general supervision of the Board.

## SECURITY TRANSACTIONS

Security transactions are accounted for on the trade date. Cost is determined and gains (losses) are based upon the specific identification method for both financial statement and federal income tax purposes.

#### REPURCHASE AGREEMENTS

The Fund may engage in repurchase agreement transactions with institutions that the Fund's investment advisor has determined are creditworthy. The Fund, through its custodian, receives delivery of underlying securities collateralizing a repurchase agreement. Collateral is at least equal, at all times, to the value

of the repurchase obligation including interest. A repurchase agreement transaction involves certain risks in the event of default or insolvency of the counterparty. These risks include possible delays or restrictions upon the Fund's ability to dispose of the underlying securities and a possible decline in the value of the underlying securities during the period while the Fund seeks to assert its rights.

#### INCOME RECOGNITION

Interest income is recorded on the accrual basis. Premium and discount are amortized and accreted, respectively, on all debt securities. Corporate actions and dividend income are recorded on the ex-date.

The Fund estimates components of distributions from real estate investment trusts (REIT's). Distributions received in excess of income are recorded as a reduction of the cost of the related investments. If the Fund no longer owns the applicable securities, any distributions received in excess of income are recorded as realized gains.

Effective July 1, 2004, the Fund adopted the policy to reduce cost of investments for financial statement purposes by the distributions received in excess of income from REIT's. The effect of the change for the year ended December 31, 2004 is as follows:

DEC	CREASE	DECREA	SE IN	INCRE	ASE ]	ΙN	NET
II	N COST	DIVIDEND	INCOME	REALI	ZED (	GAI	NS
\$	8,281	\$ 69	760	\$	61,4	479	

## FEDERAL INCOME TAX STATUS

Consistent with the Fund's policy to qualify as a regulated investment company and to distribute all of its taxable income to shareholders, no federal income tax has been accrued.

## DISTRIBUTIONS TO SHAREHOLDERS

The Fund currently has a policy of paying distributions on its shares of beneficial interest totaling approximately 10%

36

of its net asset value per year. The distributions are payable in four quarterly distributions of 2.5% of the Fund's net asset value at the close of the New York Stock Exchange on the Friday prior to each quarterly declaration date. Distributions to shareholders are recorded on ex-date.

## NOTE 3. FEDERAL TAX INFORMATION

The timing and character of income and capital gain distributions are determined in accordance with income tax regulations, which may differ from GAAP. Reclassifications are made to the Fund's capital accounts for permanent tax differences to reflect income and gains available for distribution (or available capital loss carryforwards) under income tax regulations.

For the year ended December 31, 2004, permanent book and tax basis differences resulting primarily from differing treatments for REIT adjustments

and foreign currency transactions were identified and reclassified among the components of the Fund's net assets as follows:

UNDIST	RIBUTED NET	A	CCUMULATED		
INVEST	MENT INCOME	NET	REALIZED LOSS	PAID-IN	CAPITAL
\$	(2,392,232)	\$	2,392,232	\$	

Net investment income and net realized gains (losses), as disclosed on the Statement of Operations, and net assets were not affected by this reclassification.

The tax character of distributions paid during the years ended December 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003 was as follows:

	12/31/04		12/31/03	
Distributions paid from:				
Ordinary income*	\$	50,868,815	\$	9,756,164
Long-term capital gain		41,085,515		28,334,312
		91,954,330		38,090,476
Return of capital		23,903,756		53,106,588
	\$	115,858,086	\$	91,197,064

\*For tax purposes short-term capital gains distributions, if any, are considered ordinary income distributions.

As of December 31, 2004, the components of distributable earnings on a tax basis were as follows:

UNDISTRIBUTED	UNDISTR	IBUTED		
ORDINARY	LONG-	TERM	NE	T UNREALIZED
INCOME*	CAPITAL	CAPITAL GAINS		PPRECIATION*
\$	\$		\$	174,701,257

\*The differences between book-basis and tax-basis net unrealized appreciation are primarily due to deferral of losses from wash sales.

Capital loss carryforwards may be available to reduce taxable income arising from future net realized gains on investments, if any, to the extent permitted by the Internal Revenue Code. As of December 31, 2004, the Fund had no capital loss carryforwards.

Future realized gains offset by the loss carry-forwards are not required to be distributed to shareholders. However, under the Fund's distribution policy, as described above, such gains may be distributed to shareholders in the year gains are realized. Any gains distributed may be taxable to shareholders as

ordinary income.

#### NOTE 4. FEES AND COMPENSATION PAID TO AFFILIATES

Liberty Asset Management Company ("LAMCO"), an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of Bank of America Corporation ("BOA"), is the investment advisor of the Fund. Prior to April 1, 2004, LAMCO was an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of FleetBoston Financial Corporation ("FleetBoston"). Effective April 1, 2004, FleetBoston, including the Fund's investment advisor, was acquired by BOA. The acquisition did not change the way the Fund is managed, the investment personnel assigned to manage the Fund or the fees paid by the Fund.

#### INVESTMENT ADVISORY FEE

LAMCO receives a monthly investment advisory fee based on the Fund's average weekly net assets at the following annual rates:

AVERAGE WEEKLY NET ASSETS	ANNUAL FEE RATE
First \$400 million	0.800%
Next \$400 million	0.720%
Next \$400 million	0.648%
Over \$1.2 billion	0.584%

For the year ended December 31, 2004, the Fund's effective investment advisory fee rate was 0.72%.

Under Portfolio Manager Agreements, LAMCO pays each Portfolio Manager a portfolio management fee based on the assets of the investment portfolio that they manage. The portfolio management fee is paid from the investment advisory fees collected by LAMCO and is based on the Fund's average weekly net assets at the following annual rates:

AVERAGE WEEKLY NET ASSETS	ANNUAL FEE RATE
First \$400 million	0.400%
Next \$400 million	0.360%
Next \$400 million	0.324%
Over \$1.2 billion	0.292%

37

## ADMINISTRATION FEE

LAMCO provides administrative and other services for a monthly administration fee based on the Fund's average weekly net assets at the following annual rates:

AVERAGE WEEKLY NET ASSETS	ANNUAL FEE RATE
First \$400 million	0.200%

Next	\$400	million	0.180%
Next	\$400	million	0.162%
Over	\$1.2	billion	0.146%

For the year ended December 31, 2004, the Fund's effective administration fee rate was 0.18%.

#### PRICING AND BOOKKEEPING FEES

Columbia Management Advisors, Inc. ("Columbia"), an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of BOA and an affiliate of LAMCO, is responsible for providing pricing and bookkeeping services to the Fund under a pricing and bookkeeping agreement. Under a separate agreement (the "Outsourcing Agreement"), Columbia has delegated those functions to State Street Corporation ("State Street"). As a result, Columbia pays the total fees collected from the Fund for these services to State Street under the Outsourcing Agreement.

Under its pricing and bookkeeping agreement with the Fund, Columbia receives from the Fund an annual flat fee of \$10,000 paid monthly, and in any month that the Fund's average weekly net assets exceed \$50 million, an additional monthly fee. The additional fee rate is calculated by taking into account the fees payable to State Street under the Outsourcing Agreement. This rate is applied to the average daily net assets of the Fund for that month. The Fund also pays additional fees for pricing services based on the number of securities held by the Fund. For the year ended December 31, 2004, the effective pricing and bookkeeping fee rate was 0.017%.

## CUSTODY CREDITS

The Fund has an agreement with its custodian bank under which custody fees may be reduced by balance credits. These credits are recorded as a reduction of total expenses on the Statement of Operations. The Fund could have invested a portion of the assets utilized in connection with the expense offset arrangement in an income-producing asset if it had not entered into such an agreement.

## FEES PAID TO OFFICERS

With the exception of one officer, all officers of the Fund are employees of LAMCO or its affiliates and receive no compensation from the Fund. Effective August 23, 2004, the Board of Trustees appointed a Chief Compliance Officer to the Fund in accordance with federal securities regulations. The Fund, along with other affiliated funds, will pay its pro-rata share of the expenses associated with the Office of the Chief Compliance Officer. The Fund's fee will not exceed \$15,000 per year.

#### OTHER

Columbia provides certain services to the Fund related to Sarbanes-Oxley compliance. For the year ended December 31, 2004, the Fund paid \$2,937 to Columbia for such services. This amount is included in "Miscellaneous expenses" on the Statement of Operations.

## NOTE 5. PORTFOLIO INFORMATION

## PURCHASES AND SALES OF SECURITIES

For the year ended December 31, 2004, the cost of purchases and proceeds from sales of securities, excluding short-term obligations, were \$737,336,418 and \$675,567,026, respectively.

## NOTE 6. OTHER RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

During the year ended December 31, 2004, the Fund used Bank of America Securities, a wholly owned subsidiary of BOA, as a broker. Total commissions paid to Bank of America Securities during the period were \$11,469.

#### NOTE 7. CAPITAL TRANSACTIONS

During the year ended December 31, 2004 and the year ended December 31, 2003, distributions in the amount of \$49,083,142 and \$38,881,100, respectively, were paid in newly issued shares valued at market value or net asset value, but not less than 95% of market value. Such distributions resulted in the issuance of 5,510,950 and 4,584,918 shares, respectively.

## NOTE 8. RIGHTS OFFERING

In a rights offering commencing June 3, 2004, shareholders were issued non-transferable rights entitling them to subscribe for one additional share for every ten shares held, with the right to subscribe for additional shares not subscribed for by others in the primary subscription. The rights offering expired on July 7, 2004. Shareholders exercised rights to purchase 15,841,927 shares at \$8.34 per share, which represented 95% of the net asset value on July 8, 2004. Total proceeds of the offering, net of expenses, amounted to \$131,705,875. The issuance of shares at a discount to NAV resulted in dilution to the NAV of \$0.05 per share.

38

#### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

TO THE SHAREHOLDERS AND THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF LIBERTY ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND

In our opinion, the accompanying statement of assets and liabilities, including the investment portfolio, and the related statements of operations and of changes in net assets and the financial highlights present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Liberty All-Star Equity Fund (the "Fund") at December 31, 2004, and the results of its operations, the changes in its net assets and its financial highlights for the periods indicated in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These financial statements and financial highlights (hereafter referred to as "financial statements") are the responsibility of the Fund's management; our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these financial statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), which require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits, which included confirmation of securities at December 31, 2004 by correspondence with the custodian and brokers, provide a reasonable basis for our opinion. The financial highlights of the Fund for periods prior to January 1, 1999 were audited by another independent registered public accounting firm whose report dated February 12, 1999 expressed an unqualified opinion on those statements.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP Boston, Massachusetts February 10, 2005

AUTOMATIC DIVIDEND REINVESTMENT AND CASH PURCHASE PLAN (UNAUDITED)

Under the Fund's Automatic Dividend Reinvestment and Cash Purchase Plan (the "Plan"), shareholders may elect to participate and have all their Fund dividends and distributions automatically reinvested by EquiServe Trust Company, N.A., as agent for participants in the Plan (the "Plan Agent"), in additional shares of the Fund. For further information and enrollment forms, call Investor Assistance at 1-800-LIB-FUND (1-800-542-3863) weekdays between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m. Eastern Time.

Shareholders whose shares are held in the name of a brokerage firm, bank or other nominee can participate in the Plan only if their brokerage firm, bank or nominee is able to do so on their behalf. Shareholders participating in the Plan through a brokerage firm may not be able to transfer their shares to another brokerage firm and continue to participate in the Plan.

Under the Plan, distributions declared payable in shares or cash at the option of shareholders are paid to participants in the Plan entirely in newly issued full and fractional shares valued at the lower of market value or net asset value per share on the valuation date for the distribution (but not at a discount of more than 5 percent from market price). Distributions declared payable only in cash will be reinvested for the accounts of participants in the Plan in additional shares purchased by the Plan Agent on the open market at prevailing market prices. If, prior to the Plan Agent's completion of such open market purchases, the market price of a share equals or exceeds its net asset value, the remainder of the distribution will be paid in newly issued shares valued at net asset value (but not at a discount of more than 5% from market price). Dividends and distributions are subject to taxation, whether received in cash or in shares.

Participants in the Plan have the option of making additional cash payments in any amount on a monthly basis for investment in shares of the Fund purchased on the open market. These voluntary cash payments will be invested on or shortly after the 15th day of each calendar month, and voluntary payments should be sent so as to be received by the Plan Agent no later than five business days before the next investment date. Barring suspension of trading, voluntary cash payments will be invested within 45 days of receipt. A participant may withdraw a voluntary cash payment by written notice received by the Plan Agent at least 48 hours before such payment is to be invested.

The Plan Agent maintains all shareholder accounts in the Plan and furnishes written confirmations of all transactions in the account, including information needed by shareholders for tax records. Shares in the account of each Plan participant will be held by the Plan Agent in non-certificated form in the name of the participant, and each shareholder's proxy will include those shares purchased or received pursuant to the Plan.

There is no charge to participants for reinvesting distributions pursuant to the Plan. The Plan Agent's fees are paid by the Fund. There are no brokerage charges with respect to shares issued directly by the Fund as a result of dividends or distributions declared payable in shares or in cash. However, each participant bears a pro rata share of brokerage commissions incurred with respect to the Plan Agent's open market purchases in connection with the reinvestment of distributions declared payable in cash.

With respect to purchases from voluntary cash payments, the Plan Agent will charge \$1.25 for each such purchase for a participant, plus a pro rata share of the brokerage commissions. Brokerage charges for purchasing small amounts of shares for individual accounts through the Plan are expected to be less than the usual brokerage charges for such transactions, as the Plan Agent will be

purchasing shares for all participants in blocks and prorating the lower commission thus attainable.

Shareholders may terminate their participation in the Plan by written notice to the Plan Agent, EquiServe Trust Company, N.A., P.O. Box 43010, Providence, RI 02940-3010. Such termination will be effective immediately if received not less than 10 days prior to the record date for a dividend or distribution; otherwise it will be effective on the first business day after the payment date of such dividend or distribution. On termination, participants may either have certificates for the Fund shares in their Plan accounts delivered to them or have the Plan Agent sell such shares in the open market and deliver the proceeds, less a \$2.50 fee plus brokerage commissions, to the participant.

Experience under the Plan may indicate that changes are desirable. Accordingly, the Fund reserves the right to amend or terminate the Plan.

40

TAX INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

All 2004 distributions whether received in cash or shares of the Fund consist of the following:

- (1) ordinary dividends
- (2) long-term capital gains and
- (3) return of capital

The table below details the breakdown of each 2004 distribution for federal income tax purposes.

TAX STATUS OF 2004 DISTRIBUTIONS

			ORDINARY	DIVIDENDS	LONG-TERM	
		AMOUNT			CAPITAL	RETURN OF
DATE PAID	PE	R SHARE	QUALIFIED	NON-QUALIFIED	GAINS	CAPITAL
*01/02/04	\$	0.22	11.89%	32.11%	35.00%	21.00%
03/22/04	\$	0.24	11.89%	32.11%	35.00%	21.00%
06/28/04	\$	0.22	11.89%	32.11%	35.00%	21.00%
10/04/04	\$	0.21	11.89%	32.11%	35.00%	21.00%
**01/03/05	\$	0.22				

<sup>\*</sup>Pursuant to Section 852 of the Internal Revenue Code, the taxability of this distribution will be reported on the Form 1099-DIV for 2004.

## FOR CORPORATE SHAREHOLDERS

25% of the ordinary income distributed by the Fund for the year ended December 31, 2004, qualifies for the corporate dividends received deduction.

41

## TRUSTEES AND OFFICERS

The names of the Trustees and officers of the Liberty All-Star Equity Fund, the

<sup>\*\*</sup>Pursuant to Section 852 of the Internal Revenue Code, the taxability of this distribution will be reported on the Form 1099-DIV for 2005.

date each was first elected or appointed to office, their term of office, their principal business occupations and other directorships they have held during at least the last five years, are shown below.

NAME (AGE) AND ADDRESS	POSITION WITH LIBERTY ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND	TERM OF OFFICE AND LENGTH OF SERVICE	PRINCIPAL OCCUPATION(S) DURING PAST FIVE YEARS
DISINTERESTED TRUSTEES			
John A. Benning (70) c/o Liberty Asset Management Company One Financial Center Boston, MA 02111	Trustee	Trustee Since 2002; Term expires 2006	Retired since December, 1999; Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary, Liberty Financial Companies Inc. (July, 1985 to December, 1999); Vice President, Secretary and Director, Liberty Asset Management Company (August, 1985 to December, 1999).
James E. Grinnell (75) c/o Liberty Asset Management Company One Financial Center Boston, MA 02111	Trustee	Trustee Since 1986; Term Expires 2005	Private investor since November 1988; President and Chief Executive Officer, Distribution Management Systems, Inc. (1983 to May 1986); Senior Vice President, Operations, The Rockport Company (importer and distributor of shoes) (May 1986 to November 1988).
Richard W. Lowry (68) c/o Liberty Asset Management Company One Financial Center Boston, MA 02111	Chairman and Trustee	Trustee Since 1986; Term Expires 2007	Private Investor since 1987 (formerly Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, U.S. Plywood Corporation (building products manufacturer).
John J. Neuhauser (61) c/o Liberty Asset Management Company One Financial Center Boston, MA 02111	Trustee	Trustee Since 1986; Term Expires 2007	Academic Vice President and Dean of Faculties since August 1999, Boston College (formerly Dean, Boston College School of Management from September 1977 to September 1999).
INTERESTED TRUSTEE			
William E. Mayer* (64) c/o Liberty Asset Management Company One Financial Center Boston, MA 02111	Trustee	Trustee Since 1998; Term Expires 2006	Managing Partner, Park Avenue Equity Partners (private equity) since February 1999 (formerly Founding Partner, Development Capital, LLC from November 1996 to February 1999).

<sup>\*</sup>A TRUSTEE WHO IS AN "INTERESTED PERSON" (AS DEFINED IN THE INVESTMENT COMPANY ACT OF 1940 ("1940 ACT")) OF LIBERTY ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND OR LAMCO.

MR. MAYER IS AN INTERESTED PERSON BY REASON OF HIS AFFILIATION WITH WR HAMBRECHT +  ${\tt CO}$ .

42

	EQUITY FUND	ELECTED OR APPOINTED TO OFFICE	PRINCIPAL OCCUPATIONS(S) DURING
OFFICERS			
William R. Parmentier, Jr. (52) Liberty Asset Management Company One Financial Center Boston, MA 02111			President (since June 1998) and Investment Officer (since April Vice President (May 1995 to Jun Liberty Asset Management.
Mark T. Haley, CFA (40) Liberty Asset Management Company One Financial Center Boston, MA 02111		1999	Vice President-Investments (sir 1999), Director of Investment A (December 1996 to December 1998 Analyst (January 1994 to Novemb Liberty Asset Management.
Fred H. Wofford (49) Liberty Asset Management Company One Financial Center Boston, MA 02111		2003	Director of Funds Operations (s 2003), Liberty Asset Management Director of Investment Complian Asset Management from February 2003; Manager of Fund Administr BankBoston 1784 Funds from Nove February 1999).
J. Kevin Connaughton (40) One Financial Center Boston, MA 02111	Treasurer	2000	Treasurer of the Columbia Funds 2003 and of the Liberty All-Star December 2000 (formerly Chief A Officer and Controller of the Controller and of the Liberty All-Star Fur February 1998 to October 2000); of Columbia Management Advisors April 2003; Treasurer of the Gasince September 2002; (formerly the Columbia Management Multi-Stund, LLC from December 2002 to formerly Vice President of Columbia Sasociates, Inc. from February 2000).
Mary Joan Hoene (55) 40 West 57th Street New York, NY 10019	Chief Compliance Officer	2004	Senior Vice President and Chief Officer of the Columbia Funds, Fund, Nations Funds and of the All-Star Funds since August 200 Registered Hedge Funds since Oc (formerly Partner, Carter, Ledy LLP from January 2001 to August Carter, Ledyard & Milburn LLP f

1999 to December 2000; Vice Pre Counsel, Equitable Life Assuranthe United States from April 19

1999).

43

NAME (AGE) AND ADDRESS	POSITION WITH LIBERTY ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND		PRINCIPAL OCCUPATIONS(S) DURING
OFFICERS (CONTINUED)			
Michael G. Clarke (35) One Financial Center Boston, MA 02111	Chief Accounting Officer	2004	Chief Accounting Officer of the and of the Liberty All-Star Fun October 2004 (formerly Controll Columbia Funds and of the Liber Funds from May 2004 to October Treasurer from June, 2002 to Ma President, Product Strategy & D the Liberty Funds Group from Fe June 2002; Assistant Treasurer Funds and of the Liberty All-St August 1999 to February 2001; A Deloitte & Touche LLP from May 1999).
Jeffrey R. Coleman (35) One Financial Center Boston, MA 02111	Controller	2004	Controller of the Columbia Fund Liberty All-Star Funds since Oc (formerly Vice President of CDC Management Services, Inc. and D of the CDC Nvest Funds and Loom from February 2003 to September Assistant Vice President of CDC Management Services, Inc. and A Treasurer of the CDC Nvest Fund 2000 to February 2003; Tax Mana Inc. from November 1996 to Augu
David A. Rozenson (50) One Financial Center Boston, MA 02111	Secretary	2003	Secretary of the Liberty All-St December 2003; Associate Genera Bank of America Corporation sin Senior Counsel of FleetBoston F Corporation from 1996 to April General Counsel of Columbia Man from November 2002 to April 200

44

[ALL STAR(R) EQUITY FUND LOGO]

FUND MANAGER
Liberty Asset Management Company
One Financial Center
Boston, Massachusetts 02111
617-772-3626
www.all-starfunds.com

INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP 125 High Street Boston, Massachusetts 02110

#### CUSTODIAN

State Street Bank & Trust Company 225 Franklin Street Boston, Massachusetts 02110

INVESTOR ASSISTANCE,
TRANSFER & DIVIDEND
DISBURSING AGENT & REGISTRAR
EquiServe Trust Company, N.A.
P.O. Box 43010
Providence, Rhode Island 02940-3010
1-800-LIB-FUND (1-800-542-3863)
www.equiserve.com

## LEGAL COUNSEL

Kirkpatrick and Lockhart LLP 1800 Massachusetts Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20036-1800

#### TRUSTEES

John A. Benning\*
James E. Grinnell\*
Richard W. Lowry\*, Chairman
William E. Mayer
Dr. John J. Neuhauser\*

## OFFICERS

William R. Parmentier, Jr.,
President and Chief Executive Officer
Mark T. Haley, CFA, Vice President
Fred H. Wofford, Vice President
J. Kevin Connaughton, Treasurer
Mary Joan Hoene, Chief Compliance Officer
Michael G. Clarke, Chief Accounting Officer
Jeffrey R. Coleman, Controller
David A. Rozenson, Secretary
\*Member of the audit committee.

A description of the fund's proxy voting policies and procedures is available (i) on the Securities and Exchange Commission's website at www.sec.gov, and (ii) without charge, upon request, by calling 1-800-542-3863. Information regarding how the fund voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the 12-month period ended June 30, 2004 is available from the SEC's website. Information regarding how the fund voted proxies relating to portfolio securities is also available at www.all-starfunds.com.

The fund files a complete schedule of portfolio holdings with the SEC for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year on Form N-Q. The fund's Form N-Q is available on the SEC's website at www.sec.gov and may be reviewed and copied at the SEC's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling 1-800-SEC-0330.

[USA LISTED NYSE LOGO]

[ALL STAR(R) EQUITY FUND LOGO]

Liberty Asset Management Company, Fund Manager One Financial Center Boston, Massachusetts 02111 617-772-3626 www.all-starfunds.com

[USA LISTED NYSE LOGO]

[A MEMBER OF THE]
[CLOSED-END FUND ASSOCIATION, INC. LOGO]
www.closed-endfunds.com

[IMAGE OF THE NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANGE] [FACADE USED WITH PERMISSION OF NYSE]

## ITEM 2. CODE OF ETHICS.

- (a) The registrant has, as of the end of the period covered by this report, adopted a code of ethics that applies to the registrant's principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions, regardless of whether these individuals are employed by the registrant or a third party.
- (b) During the period covered by this report, there were not any amendments to a provision of the code of ethics adopted in 2(a) above.
- (c) During the period covered by this report, there were not any waivers or implicit waivers to a provision of the code of ethics adopted in 2(a) above.

## ITEM 3. AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT.

The registrant's Audit Committee is composed of four of the registrant's independent directors who are not affiliated with the registrant's investment adviser. The Board has determined that each of the audit committee members is "financially literate" and that at least one member has "accounting or related financial management expertise" as used in the New York Stock Exchange definitions of the terms.

Under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, if the Board of Directors has not determined that a "financial expert," a new term based on criteria contained in the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, is serving on the audit committee, it must disclose this fact and explain why the committee does not have such an expert. The Board of Directors has determined that none of the members of its audit committee meets the technical requirements of the definition. Moreover, it believes that for the following reasons it is not necessary for a registered investment company such as the registrant, with an audit committee that meets the New York Stock Exchange requirements of financial literacy, to have a "financial expert" as a member of the committee.

 The financial statements of and accounting principles applying to registered investment companies such as the registrant are relatively straightforward and transparent compared to those of operating companies. The significant accounting

issues are valuation of securities and other assets (regulated under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the "1940 Act") and computed daily), accrual of expenses, allocation of joint expenses shared with other entities, such as insurance premiums, and disclosures of all related party transactions. Equally important is a knowledge of the tax laws applying to registered investment companies. None of the accounting issues involving corporate America that have received recent publicity, such as sophisticated derivative transactions and special purpose entities, are present in financial reporting for registered investment companies.

- During the years that the registrant has been filing financial reports under the 1940 Act since its inception in 1986 there has never been a requirement for a financial report or statement to be restated.
- 3. The current members of the audit committee have many years of aggregate experience serving on this audit committee and in the Board's judgment, through this experience and experience with other public corporation's financial affairs, they have an understanding of the relevant generally accepted accounting principles governing the registrant's financial statements, tax laws applying to the registrant, the registrant's internal accounting controls and audit committee functions necessary to satisfy the objectives of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act with respect to the financial statements, auditing process and internal controls of the registrant.
- 4. The audit committee has the capability of employing a consultant who satisfies the technical definition of a "financial expert" and will do so from time to time if circumstances warrant.

ITEM 4. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES.

(a) Aggregate Audit Fees billed by the principal accountant for professional services rendered during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003 are approximately as follows:

2004 2003 \$38,700 \$33,000

Audit Fees include amounts related to the audit of the registrant's annual financial statements or services that are normally provided by the accountant in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements for those fiscal years. In fiscal year 2004, Audit Fees include a proxy review and issuance of a consent letter in connection with a rights offering.

(b) Aggregate Audit-Related Fees billed by the principal accountant for professional services rendered during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003 are approximately as follows:

2004 2003 \$4,000 \$4,000

Audit-Related Fees include amounts for assurance and related services by the principal accountant that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit of the registrant's financial statements and are not reported in Audit Fees above. In both fiscal years 2004 and 2003, Audit-Related Fees include certain agreed-upon procedures performed for semi-annual shareholder reports.

(c) Aggregate Tax Fees billed by the principal accountant for professional services rendered during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003 are approximately as follows:

2004 2003 \$2,600 \$2,600

Tax Fees in both fiscal years 2004 and 2003 consist primarily of the review of annual tax returns and include amounts for professional services by the principal accountant for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning.

(d) Aggregate All Other Fees billed by the principal accountant for professional services rendered during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003 are as follows:

2004 2003 \$0 \$0

All Other Fees include amounts for products and services provided by the principal accountant, other than the services reported in paragraphs (a) through (c) above.

None of the amounts described in paragraphs (a) through (d) above were approved pursuant to the "de minimis" exception under paragraph (c)(7)(i)(C) of Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X.

(e) (1) AUDIT COMMITTEE PRE-APPROVAL POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

## I. GENERAL OVERVIEW

The Audit Committee of the registrant has adopted a formal policy (the "Policy") which sets forth the procedures and the conditions pursuant to which the Audit Committee will pre-approve (i) all audit and non-audit (including audit related, tax and all other) services provided by the registrant's independent auditor to the registrant and individual funds (collectively "Fund Services"), and (ii) all non-audit services provided by the registrant's independent auditor to the funds' adviser or a control affiliate of the adviser, that relate directly to the funds' operations and financial reporting (collectively "Fund-related Adviser Services"). A "control affiliate" is an entity controlling, controlled by, or under

common control with the adviser that provides ongoing services to the funds, and the term "adviser" is deemed to exclude any unaffiliated sub-adviser whose role is primarily portfolio management and is sub-contracted or overseen by another investment adviser. The adviser and control affiliates are collectively referred to as "Adviser Entities."

The Audit Committee uses a combination of specific (on a case-by-case basis as potential services are contemplated) and general (pre-determined list of permitted services) pre-approvals. Unless a type of service has received general pre-approval, it will require specific pre-approval by the Audit Committee if it is to be provided by the independent auditor.

The Policy does not delegate the Audit Committee's responsibilities to pre-approve services performed by the independent auditor to management.

## II. GENERAL PROCEDURES

On an annual basis, the Fund Treasurer and/or Director of Trustee Administration shall submit to the Audit Committee a schedule of the types of Fund Services and Fund-related Adviser Services that are subject to general pre-approval.

These schedules will provide a description of each type of service that is

subject to general pre-approval and, where possible, will provide estimated fees for each instance of providing each service. This general pre-approval and related fees (where provided) will generally cover a one-year period (for example, from June 1 through May 31 of the following year). The Audit Committee will review and approve the types of services and review the projected fees for the next one-year period and may add to, or subtract from, the list of general pre-approved services from time to time, based on subsequent determinations. This approval acknowledges that the Audit Committee is in agreement with the specific types of services that the independent auditor will be permitted to perform. The fee amounts will be updated to the extent necessary at other regularly scheduled meetings of the Audit Committee.

In addition to the fees for each individual service, the Audit Committee has the authority to implement a fee cap on the aggregate amount of non-audit services provided to an individual fund.

If, subsequent to general pre-approval, a fund, its investment adviser or a control affiliate determines that it would like to engage the independent auditor to perform a service that requires pre-approval and that is not included in the general pre-approval list, the specific pre-approval procedure shall be as follows:

- A brief written request shall be prepared by management detailing the proposed engagement with explanation as to why the work is proposed to be performed by the independent auditor;
- The request should be addressed to the Audit Committee with copies to the Fund Treasurer and/or Director of Trustee Administration;
- The Fund Treasurer and/or Director of Trustee Administration will arrange for a discussion of the service to be included on the agenda for the next regularly scheduled Audit Committee meeting, when the Committee will discuss the proposed engagement and approve or deny the request.
- If the timing of the project is critical and the project needs to commence before the next regularly scheduled meeting, the Chairperson of the Audit Committee may approve or deny the request on behalf of the Audit Committee, or, in the Chairperson's discretion, determine to call a special meeting of the Audit Committee for the purpose of considering the proposal. Should the Chairperson of the Audit Committee be unavailable, any other member of the Audit Committee may serve as an alternate for the purpose of approving or denying the request. Discussion with the Chairperson (or alternate, if necessary) will be arranged by the Fund Treasurer and/or Director of Trustee Administration. The independent auditor will not commence any such project unless and until specific approval has been given.

## III. CERTAIN OTHER SERVICES PROVIDED TO ADVISER ENTITIES

The Audit Committee recognizes that there are cases where services proposed to be provided by the independent auditor to the adviser or control affiliates are not Fund-related Adviser Services within the meaning of the Policy, but nonetheless may be relevant to the Audit Committee's ongoing evaluation of the auditor's independence and objectivity with respect to its audit services to the funds. As a result, in all cases where an Adviser Entity engages the independent auditor to provide audit or non-audit services that are not Fund Services or Fund-related Adviser Services, were not subject to pre-approval by the Audit Committee, and the projected fees for any such engagement (or the aggregate of all such engagements during the period covered by the Policy) exceeds a pre-determined threshold established by the Audit Committee; the independent auditor, Fund Treasurer and/or Director of Trustee Administration will notify

the Audit Committee not later than its next meeting. Such notification shall include a general description of the services provided, the entity that is to be the recipient of such services, the timing of the engagement, the entity's reasons for selecting the independent auditor, and the projected fees. Such information will allow the Audit Committee to consider whether non-audit services provided to the adviser and Adviser Entities, which were not subject to Audit Committee pre-approval, are compatible with maintaining the auditor's independence with respect to the Funds.

## IV. REPORTING TO THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

The Fund Treasurer or Director of Trustee Administration shall report to the Audit Committee at each of its regular meetings regarding all Fund Services or Fund-related Adviser Services initiated since the last such report was rendered, including:

- A general description of the services, and
- Actual billed and projected fees, and
- The means by which such Fund Services or Fund-related Adviser Services were pre-approved by the Audit Committee.

In addition, the independent auditor shall report to the Audit Committee annually, and no more than 90 days prior to the filing of audit reports with the SEC, all non-audit services provided to entities in the funds' "investment company complex," as defined by SEC rules, that did not require pre-approval under the Policy.

## V. AMENDMENTS; ANNUAL APPROVAL BY AUDIT COMMITTEE

The Policy may be amended from time to time by the Audit Committee. Prompt notice of any amendments will be provided to the independent auditor, Fund Treasurer and Director of Trustee Administration. The Policy shall be reviewed and approved at least annually by the Audit Committee.

\*\*\*\*

- (e) (2) The percentage of services described in paragraphs (b) through (d) of this Item approved pursuant to the "de minimis" exception under paragraph (c) (7) (i) (C) of Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X during both fiscal years ended December 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003 was zero.
- (f) Not applicable.
- (g) All non-audit fees billed by the registrant's accountant for services rendered to the registrant for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003 are disclosed in (b) through (d) of this Item.

During the fiscal years ended December 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003, there were no Audit-Related Fees, Tax Fees or All Other Fees that were approved for services to the investment adviser (not including any sub-adviser whose role is primarily portfolio management and is subcontracted with or overseen by another investment adviser) and any entity controlling, controlled by, or under common control with the adviser that provides ongoing services to the registrant under paragraph (c) (7) (ii) of Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X.

The percentage of Audit-Related Fees, Tax Fees and All Other Fees required to be approved under paragraph (c)(7)(ii) of Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X that were approved under the "de minimis" exception during both fiscal years ended December 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003 was zero.

(h) The registrant's Audit Committee of the Board of Directors has considered whether the provision of non-audit services that were rendered to the registrant's adviser (not including any sub-adviser whose role is primarily portfolio management and is subcontracted with or overseen by another investment adviser), and any entity controlling, controlled by, or under common control with the investment adviser that

provides ongoing services to the registrant that were not pre-approved pursuant to paragraph (c)(7)(ii) of Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X, is compatible with maintaining the principal accountant's independence. The Audit Committee determined that the provision of such services is compatible with maintaining the principal accountant's independence.

## ITEM 5. AUDIT COMMITTEE OF LISTED REGISTRANTS.

The registrant has a separately-designated standing audit committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. 78c(a)(58)(A)). John A. Benning, James E. Grinnell, Richard W. Lowry and John J. Neuhauser are each independent trustees and collectively constitute the entire Audit Committee.

## ITEM 6. SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

The registrant's "Schedule I - Investments in securities of unaffiliated issuers" (as set forth in 17 CFR 210.12-12) is included in Item 1 of this Form N-CSR.

ITEM 7. DISCLOSURE OF PROXY VOTING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES FOR CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES.

The Fund has delegated to Liberty Asset Management Company (the "Advisor") the responsibility to vote proxies relating to portfolio securities held by the Fund. In deciding to delegate this responsibility to the Advisor, the Board of Trustees of the Trust reviewed and approved the policies and procedures adopted by the Advisor. These included the procedures that the Advisor follows when a vote presents a conflict between the interests of the Fund and its shareholders and the Advisor, its affiliates, its other clients or other persons.

The Advisor's policy is to vote all proxies for Fund securities in a manner considered by the Advisor to be in the best interest of the Fund and its shareholders without regard to any benefit to the Advisor, its affiliates, its other clients or other persons. The Advisor examines each proposal and votes against the proposal, if, in its judgment, approval or adoption of the proposal would be expected to impact adversely the current or potential market value of the issuer's securities. The Advisor also examines each proposal and votes the proxies against the proposal, if, in its judgment, the proposal would be expected to affect adversely the best interest of the Fund. The Advisor determines the best interest of the Fund in light of the potential economic return on the Fund's investment.

The Advisor addresses potential material conflicts of interest by having predetermined voting guidelines. For those proposals that require special consideration or in instances where special circumstances may require varying from the predetermined guideline, the Advisor's Proxy Committee determines the vote in the best interest of the Fund, without consideration of any benefit to the Advisor, its affiliates, its other clients or other persons. The Advisor's Proxy Committee includes a representative from Liberty Asset Management Company and representatives from the Advisor's equity investments and equity research functions. In addition to the responsibilities described above, the Proxy

Committee has the responsibility to review, on an annual basis, the Advisor's proxy voting policies to ensure consistency with internal policies and regulatory agency policies and to develop additional voting guidelines to assist in the review of proxy proposals.

The Proxy Committee may vary from the predetermined guideline if it determines that voting on the proposal according to the predetermined guideline would be expected to impact adversely the current or potential market value of the issuer's securities or to affect adversely the best interest of the client. References to the best interest of a client refer to the interest of the client in terms of the potential economic return on the client's investment. In determining the vote on any proposal, the Proxy Committee does not consider any benefit other than benefits to the owner of the securities to be voted. A member of the Proxy Committee is prohibited from voting on any proposal for which he or she has a conflict of interest by reason of a direct relationship with the issuer or other party affected by a given proposal. Persons making recommendations to the Proxy Committee or its members are required to disclose to the Committee any relationship with a party making a proposal or other matter known to the person that would create a potential conflict of interest.

The Advisor has retained Institutional Shareholder Services ("ISS"), a third party vendor, to implement its proxy voting process. ISS provides proxy analysis, record keeping services and vote disclosure services.

ITEM 8. PORTFOLIO MANAGERS OF CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES.

Not yet applicable.

ITEM 9. PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANY AND AFFILIATED PURCHASERS.

During the six month period ended December 31, 2004, there were no purchases made by or on behalf of the registrant or any "affiliated purchaser," as defined in Rule 10b-18(a)(3) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act"), of shares or other units of any class of the registrant's equity securities that are registered by the registrant pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act.

ITEM 10. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

There have not been any material changes to the procedures by which shareholders may recommend nominees to the registrant's board of directors, since those procedures were last disclosed in response to the requirements of Item 7(d)(2)(ii)(G) of Schedule 14A or this Item.

## ITEM 11. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

- (a) The registrant's principal executive officer and principal financial officers, based on their evaluation of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days of the filing of this report, have concluded that such controls and procedures are adequately designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the registrant in Form N-CSR is accumulated and communicated to the registrant's management, including the principal executive officer and principal financial officer, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.
- (b) There were no changes in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's second fiscal quarter of

the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 12. EXHIBITS.

- (a) (1) Code of ethics required to be disclosed under Item 2 of Form N-CSR attached hereto as Exhibit 99.CODE ETH.
- (a)(2) Certifications pursuant to Rule 30a-2(a) under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (17 CFR 270.30a-2(a)) attached hereto as Exhibit 99.CERT.
- (a) (3) Not applicable.
- (b) Certification pursuant to Rule 30a-2(b) under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (17 CFR 270.30a-2(b)) attached hereto as Exhibit 99.906CERT.

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

(registrant)	Liberty All-Star Equity Fund		
By (Signature and Title)	/S/ William R. Parmentier, Jr.		
	William R. Parmentier, Jr., President		
Date	March 4, 2005		
Investment Company Act of 19 following persons on behalf dates indicated.	of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the 40, this report has been signed below by the of the registrant and in the capacities and on the /S/ William R. Parmentier, Jr.		
	William R. Parmentier, Jr., President		
Date 	March 4, 2005		
By (Signature and Title)	/S/ J. Kevin Connaughton		
	J. Kevin Connaughton, Treasurer		
Date	March 4, 2005		

\_\_\_\_\_\_