

PATHFINDER BANCORP INC

Form 10-Q

August 12, 2011

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 000-23601

PATHFINDER BANCORP, INC.
(Exact Name of Company as Specified in its Charter)

FEDERAL
(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or
Organization)

16-1540137
(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

214 West First Street, Oswego, NY 13126
(Address of Principal Executive Office) (Zip Code)

(315) 343-0057
(Issuer's Telephone Number including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

YES T NO *

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Edgar Filing: PATHFINDER BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Large accelerated filer*
filer*

Accelerated filer*
Smaller reporting company T
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Non-accelerated

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). YES * NO
 T

As of August 9, 2011, there were 2,979,969 shares issued and 2,492,682 shares outstanding of the Registrant's Common Stock.

Table of ContentsPATHFINDER BANCORP, INC.
INDEX

| PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION | | PAGE NO. |
|------------------------------------|--|----------|
| Item 1. | Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) | |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Condition</u> | - 3 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Income</u> | - 4 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity</u> | - 6 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u> | - 7 |
| | <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | - 8 |
| Item 2. | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | - 28 |
| Item 3. | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | - 37 |
| Item 4. | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | - 37 |
| <u>PART II - OTHER INFORMATION</u> | | - 38 |
| Item 1. | Legal proceedings | |
| Item 1A. | Risk Factors | |
| Item 2. | Unregistered sales of equity securities and use of proceeds | |
| Item 3. | Defaults upon senior securities | |
| Item 4. | Removed and Reserved | |
| Item 5. | Other information | |
| Item 6. | Exhibits | |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | | - 39 |
| <u>EXHIBITS</u> | | - 40 |

Table of Contents

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1 – Consolidated Financial Statements

Pathfinder Bancorp, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Condition
(Unaudited)

| (In thousands, except share data) | June 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|---|------------------|-------------------------|
| ASSETS: | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$12,394 | \$6,366 |
| Interest earning deposits | 390 | 7,397 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 12,784 | 13,763 |
| Investment securities, at fair value | 90,314 | 85,327 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank stock, at cost | 1,815 | 2,134 |
| Loans | 289,496 | 285,296 |
| Less: Allowance for loan losses | 4,006 | 3,648 |
| Loans receivable, net | 285,490 | 281,648 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 10,532 | 9,432 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 1,640 | 1,709 |
| Foreclosed real estate | 945 | 375 |
| Goodwill | 3,840 | 3,840 |
| Bank owned life insurance | 7,832 | 6,915 |
| Other assets | 3,340 | 3,402 |
| Total assets | \$418,532 | \$408,545 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY: | | |
| Deposits: | | |
| Interest-bearing | \$306,069 | \$295,786 |
| Noninterest-bearing | 38,280 | 30,716 |
| Total deposits | 344,349 | 326,502 |
| Short-term borrowings | 7,106 | 13,000 |
| Long-term borrowings | 25,000 | 28,000 |
| Junior subordinated debentures | 5,155 | 5,155 |
| Other liabilities | 4,480 | 5,296 |
| Total liabilities | 386,090 | 377,953 |
| Shareholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share; \$1,000 liquidation preference; 1,000,000 shares authorized; 6,771 shares issued and outstanding | 6,290 | 6,225 |
| Common stock, par value \$0.01; authorized 10,000,000 shares; 2,973,719 and 2,972,119 shares issued and 2,486,432, and 2,484,832 shares outstanding, respectively | 30 | 30 |
| Additional paid in capital | 8,631 | 8,615 |
| Retained earnings | 24,792 | 24,163 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (799) | (1,939) |
| Treasury stock, at cost; 487,287 shares | (6,502) | (6,502) |
| Total shareholders' equity | 32,442 | 30,592 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$418,532 | \$408,545 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Pathfinder Bancorp, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Income
(Unaudited)

| | For the three months ended June 30, 2011 | For the three months ended June 30, 2010 |
|---|---|---|
| (In thousands, except per share data) | | |
| Interest and dividend income: | | |
| Loans, including fees | \$ 3,981 | \$ 3,752 |
| Debt securities: | | |
| Taxable | 604 | 615 |
| Tax-exempt | 75 | 68 |
| Dividends | 26 | 47 |
| Federal funds sold and interest earning deposits | 1 | 3 |
| Total interest income | 4,687 | 4,485 |
| Interest expense: | | |
| Interest on deposits | 821 | 853 |
| Interest on short-term borrowings | 7 | - |
| Interest on long-term borrowings | 278 | 355 |
| Total interest expense | 1,106 | 1,208 |
| Net interest income | 3,581 | 3,277 |
| Provision for loan losses | 262 | 262 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 3,319 | 3,015 |
| Noninterest income: | | |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 276 | 343 |
| Earnings on bank owned life insurance | 55 | 57 |
| Loan servicing fees | 50 | 60 |
| Net gains on sales and redemptions of investment securities | 295 | 17 |
| Net gains (losses) on sales of loans and foreclosed real estate | 14 | (34) |
| Debit card interchange fees | 96 | 81 |
| Other charges, commissions & fees | 137 | 142 |
| Total noninterest income | 923 | 666 |
| Noninterest expense: | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 1,764 | 1,496 |
| Building occupancy | 359 | 340 |
| Data processing | 352 | 354 |
| Professional and other services | 295 | 186 |
| FDIC assessments | 162 | 129 |
| Other expenses | 471 | 387 |
| Total noninterest expenses | 3,403 | 2,892 |
| Income before income taxes | 839 | 789 |
| Provision for income taxes | 252 | 239 |
| Net income | 587 | 550 |
| Preferred stock dividends and discount accretion | 118 | 115 |
| Net income available to common shareholders | \$ 469 | \$ 435 |

Edgar Filing: PATHFINDER BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----|------|---------|
| Earnings per common share - basic | \$ | 0.19 | \$ 0.17 |
| Earnings per common share - diluted | \$ | 0.19 | \$ 0.17 |
| Dividends per common share | \$ | 0.03 | \$ 0.03 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Pathfinder Bancorp, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Income
(Unaudited)

| | For the six months ended June 30, 2011 | For the six months ended June 30, 2010 |
|---|--|--|
| (In thousands, except per share data) | | |
| Interest and dividend income: | | |
| Loans, including fees | \$7,856 | \$7,535 |
| Debt securities: | | |
| Taxable | 1,197 | 1,159 |
| Tax-exempt | 149 | 116 |
| Dividends | 68 | 130 |
| Federal funds sold and interest earning deposits | 2 | 5 |
| Total interest income | 9,272 | 8,945 |
| Interest expense: | | |
| Interest on deposits | 1,633 | 1,696 |
| Interest on short-term borrowings | 18 | - |
| Interest on long-term borrowings | 558 | 702 |
| Total interest expense | 2,209 | 2,398 |
| Net interest income | 7,063 | 6,547 |
| Provision for loan losses | 525 | 525 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 6,538 | 6,022 |
| Noninterest income: | | |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 571 | 732 |
| Earnings on bank owned life insurance | 117 | 140 |
| Loan servicing fees | 92 | 117 |
| Net gains on sales and redemptions of investment securities | 323 | 28 |
| Net gains (losses) on sales of loans and foreclosed real estate | 40 | (53) |
| Debit card interchange fees | 180 | 153 |
| Other charges, commissions & fees | 273 | 259 |
| Total noninterest income | 1,596 | 1,376 |
| Noninterest expense: | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 3,473 | 3,059 |
| Building occupancy | 722 | 654 |
| Data processing | 705 | 682 |
| Professional and other services | 560 | 378 |
| FDIC assessments | 324 | 257 |
| Other expenses | 902 | 724 |
| Total noninterest expenses | 6,686 | 5,754 |
| Income before income taxes | 1,448 | 1,644 |
| Provision for income taxes | 435 | 494 |
| Net income | 1,013 | 1,150 |
| Preferred stock dividends and discount accretion | 235 | 230 |
| Net income available to common shareholders | \$778 | \$920 |
| Earnings per common share - basic | \$0.31 | \$0.37 |

Edgar Filing: PATHFINDER BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------|--------|
| Earnings per common share - diluted | \$0.31 | \$0.37 |
| Dividends per common share | \$0.06 | \$0.06 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

- 5 -

Table of Contents

PATHFINDER BANCORP, INC.
 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY
 SIX MONTHS ENDED June 30, 2011 AND June 30, 2010
 (Unaudited)

| (In thousands, except share data) | Preferred Stock | Common Stock | Additional Paid in Capital | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other Com- prehensive Loss | Treasury Stock | Total |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|---|-------------------|--------------|
| Balance, January 1, 2011 | \$ 6,225 | \$ 30 | \$ 8,615 | \$ 24,163 | \$ (1,939) | \$ (6,502) | \$ 30,592 |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | | |
| Net income | | | | 1,013 | | | 1,013 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax: | | | | | | | |
| Unrealized holding gains on securities available for sale (net of \$717 tax expense) | | | | | 1,075 | | 1,075 |
| Unrealized holding loss on financial derivative (net of \$10 tax benefit) | | | | | (15) | | (15) |
| Retirement plan amortization and transition obligation recognized in plan expenses (net of \$53 tax expense) | | | | | 80 | | 80 |
| Total comprehensive income | | | | | | | 2,153 |
| Preferred stock discount accretion | 65 | | | (65) | | | - |
| Preferred stock dividends | | | | (170) | | | (170) |
| Stock based compensation | | | 2 | | | | 2 |
| Stock options exercised | | | 14 | | | | 14 |
| Common stock dividends declared (\$0.06 per share) | | | | (149) | | | (149) |
| Balance, June 30, 2011 | \$ 6,290 | \$ 30 | \$ 8,631 | \$ 24,792 | \$ (799) | \$ (6,502) | \$ 32,442 |
| Balance, January 1, 2010 | \$ 6,101 | \$ 30 | \$ 8,615 | \$ 22,419 | \$ (1,425) | \$ (6,502) | \$ 29,238 |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | | |
| Net income | | | | 1,150 | | | 1,150 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax: | | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: PATHFINDER BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------|-------|----------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|--------|-------|
| Unrealized holding gains on securities available for sale (net of \$665 tax expense) | | | | | | | | 886 | 886 |
| Unrealized holding loss on financial derivative (net of \$49 tax benefit) | | | | | | | | (74) | (74) |
| Retirement plan amortization and transition obligation recognized in plan expenses (net of \$44 tax expense) | | | | | | | | 66 | 66 |
| Total Comprehensive income | | | | | | | | | 2,028 |
| Preferred stock discount accretion | 61 | | (61) | | | | | - | |
| Preferred stock dividends | | | (169) | | | | | (169) | |
| Common stock dividends declared (\$0.06 per share) | | | (149) | | | | | (149) | |
| Balance, June 30, 2010 | \$ 6,162 | \$ 30 | \$ 8,615 | \$ 23,190 | \$ (547) | \$ (6,502) | \$ 30,948 | | |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Pathfinder Bancorp, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(Unaudited)

| | For the six months ended June 30, 2011 | For the six months ended June 30, 2010 |
|--|--|--|
| (In thousands) | | |
| OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Net income | \$1,013 | \$1,150 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Provision for loan losses | 525 | 525 |
| Proceeds from sales of loans | - | 144 |
| Originations of loans held-for-sale | - | (146) |
| Realized (gains) losses on sales and redemptions of: | | |
| Real estate acquired through foreclosure | (40) | 51 |
| Loans | - | 2 |
| Premises and equipment | - | 1 |
| Available-for-sale investment securities | (323) | (28) |
| Depreciation | 340 | 324 |
| Amortization of mortgage servicing rights | 13 | 16 |
| Amortization of deferred loan costs | 106 | 109 |
| Earnings on bank owned life insurance | (117) | (140) |
| Net amortization of premiums and discounts on investment securities | 207 | 148 |
| Stock based compensation | 2 | - |
| Decrease (increase) in accrued interest receivable | 69 | (181) |
| Net change in other assets and liabilities | (1,414) | (1,376) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 381 | 599 |
| INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Purchase of investment securities available-for-sale | (22,112) | (36,600) |
| Net proceeds from the redemption (purchase) of Federal Home Loan Bank stock | 319 | (214) |
| Proceeds from maturities and principal reductions of | | |
| investment securities available-for-sale | 13,580 | 15,167 |
| Proceeds from sales and redemptions of: | | |
| Available-for-sale investment securities | 5,451 | 1,789 |
| Real estate acquired through foreclosure | 257 | 128 |
| Premises and equipment | - | 24 |
| Purchase of bank owned life insurance | (800) | - |
| Net increase in loans | (5,263) | (8,134) |
| Purchase of premises and equipment | (1,440) | (841) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (10,008) | (28,681) |
| FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Net increase in demand deposits, NOW accounts, savings accounts, money management deposit accounts, MMDA accounts and escrow deposits | | |
| | 15,814 | 24,823 |
| Net increase (decrease) in time deposits | 2,033 | (6,015) |
| Net (repayments) proceeds from short-term borrowings | (5,894) | 7,236 |
| Payments on long-term borrowings | (6,000) | (5,000) |

Edgar Filing: PATHFINDER BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|----------|----------|
| Proceeds from long-term borrowings | 3,000 | 2,000 |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 14 | - |
| Cash dividends paid to preferred shareholders | (170) | (169) |
| Cash dividends paid to common shareholders | (149) | (149) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 8,648 | 22,726 |
| Decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (979) | (5,356) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 13,763 | 14,631 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$12,784 | \$9,275 |
| CASH PAID DURING THE PERIOD FOR: | | |
| Interest | \$2,248 | \$2,447 |
| Income taxes | 1,006 | 402 |
| NON-CASH INVESTING ACTIVITY | | |
| Transfer of loans to foreclosed real estate | 789 | 59 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

(1) Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements of Pathfinder Bancorp, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiaries have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information, the instructions for Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes necessary for a complete presentation of consolidated financial position, results of operations, and cash flows in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring accruals, considered necessary for a fair presentation, have been included. Certain amounts in the 2010 consolidated financial statements may have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation. These reclassifications had no effect on net income as previously reported.

The following material under the heading "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" is written with the presumption that the users of the interim financial statements have read, or have access to, the Company's latest audited financial statements and notes thereto, together with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 and for the two years then ended. Therefore, only material changes in financial condition and results of operations are discussed in the remainder of Part 1.

Operating results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2011.

(2) Earnings per Common Share

Basic earnings per common share has been computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding throughout the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 (2,484,955 and 2,484,894, respectively), and the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 (2,484,832 and 2,484,832, respectively). Diluted earnings per common share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010, have been computed using 2,536,443 and 2,488,041, respectively, for the three month periods and 2,530,833 and 2,484,832, respectively, for the six month periods. Diluted earnings per share gives effect to weighted average shares that would be outstanding assuming the exercise of issued stock options and warrants using the treasury stock method.

(3) Pension and Postretirement Benefits

The Company has a noncontributory defined benefit pension plan covering substantially all employees. The plan provides defined benefits based on years of service and final average salary. In addition, the Company provides certain health and life insurance benefits for eligible retired employees. The healthcare plan is contributory with participants' contributions adjusted annually; the life insurance plan is noncontributory. Employees with less than 14 years of service as of January 1, 1995, are not eligible for the health and life insurance retirement benefits.

The composition of net periodic pension plan costs for the three and six months ended June 30, is as follows:

| | |
|--|--------------------------------------|
| For the three months ended June 30, | For the six months ended June 30, |
|--|--------------------------------------|

Edgar Filing: PATHFINDER BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| (In thousands) | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Service cost | \$82 | \$65 | \$164 | \$130 |
| Interest cost | 104 | 94 | 207 | 188 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (157) | (143) | (313) | (268) |
| Amortization of net losses | 62 | 50 | 124 | 100 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$91 | \$66 | \$182 | \$150 |

The Company has not made any contributions to the defined benefit pension plan during the first six months of 2011.

Table of Contents

The composition of net periodic postretirement plan costs for the three and six months ended June 30, is as follows:

| (In thousands) | For the three months ended June 30, | | For the six months ended June 30, | |
|---------------------------------------|--|------|--------------------------------------|------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Service cost | - | - | - | - |
| Interest cost | \$5 | \$5 | \$10 | \$10 |
| Amortization of transition obligation | 4 | 4 | 9 | 9 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$9 | \$9 | \$19 | \$19 |

(4) Comprehensive Income

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, require that recognized revenue, expenses, gains and losses be included in net income. Although certain changes in assets and liabilities, such as unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities, unrealized gains and losses on financial derivatives, and unrecognized gains and losses, prior service costs and transition assets or obligations for defined benefit pension and postretirement plans are reported as a separate component of the shareholders' equity section of the consolidated statements of condition, such items, along with net income, are components of comprehensive income.

The components of other comprehensive income and related tax effects for the three and six months ended June 30, are as follows:

| (In thousands) | For the three months ended June 30, | | For the six months ended June 30, | |
|--|--|--------|--------------------------------------|---------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Unrealized holding gains on securities available for sale: | | | | |
| Unrealized holding gains arising during the period | \$1,632 | \$952 | \$2,115 | \$1,580 |
| Reclassification adjustment for net gains included in net income | (295) | (17) | (323) | (28) |
| Net unrealized gains on securities available for sale | 1,337 | 935 | 1,792 | 1,552 |
| Unrealized holding loss on financial derivative: | | | | |
| Unrealized holding loss arising during the period | (64) | (192) | (55) | (154) |
| Reclassification adjustment for interest expense included in net income | 15 | 15 | 30 | 31 |
| Net unrealized loss on financial derivative | (49) | (177) | (25) | (123) |
| Defined benefit pension and post retirement plans: | | | | |
| Reclassification adjustment for amortization of benefit plans' net loss and transition obligation recognized in net periodic expense | 66 | 54 | 133 | 109 |
| Net change in defined benefit plans | 66 | 54 | 133 | 109 |
| Other comprehensive income before tax | 1,354 | 812 | 1,900 | 1,538 |
| Tax effect | (542) | (370) | (760) | (660) |
| Other comprehensive income | \$812 | \$442 | \$1,140 | \$878 |

Table of Contents

The components of accumulated other comprehensive loss and related tax effects for the periods indicated are as follows:

| (In thousands) | June 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|--|------------------|-------------------------|
| Unrealized gains on securities available for sale (net of tax expense 2011 - \$826; 2010 - \$110) | \$1,239 | \$164 |
| Unrealized losses on financial derivative (net of tax benefit 2011 - \$54; 2010 - \$44) | (80) | (66) |
| Net pension losses and past service liability (net of tax benefit 2011 - \$1,285; 2010 - \$1,334) | (1,927) | (2,001) |
| Net post-retirement losses and past service liability (net of tax benefit 2011 - \$21; 2010 - \$25) | (31) | (36) |
| | \$(799) | \$(1,939) |

(5) Investment Securities

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of investment securities are summarized as follows:

| (In thousands) | June 30, 2011 | | | Estimated Fair Value |
|---|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | |
| Debt investment securities: | | | | |
| US Treasury, agencies and GSEs | \$16,038 | \$83 | \$(16) | \$16,105 |
| State and political subdivisions | 19,466 | 721 | (1) | 20,186 |
| Corporate | 5,770 | 116 | (360) | 5,526 |
| Residential mortgage-backed - agency | 43,519 | 1,331 | (49) | 44,801 |
| Residential mortgage-backed - private label | 631 | 16 | - | 647 |
| Total | 85,424 | 2,267 | (426) | 87,265 |
| Equity investment securities: | | | | |
| Mutual funds: | | | | |
| Ultra short mortgage fund | 1,286 | 19 | - | 1,305 |
| Large cap equity fund | 905 | 128 | - | 1,033 |
| Other mutual funds | 183 | 74 | - | 257 |
| Common stock - financial services industry | 450 | 4 | - | 454 |
| Total | 2,824 | 225 | - | 3,049 |
| Total investment securities | \$88,248 | \$2,492 | \$(426) | \$90,314 |

Table of Contents

| (In thousands) | December 31, 2010 | | | Estimated Fair Value |
|---|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | |
| Debt investment securities: | | | | |
| US Treasury, agencies and GSEs | \$20,137 | \$139 | \$(253) | \$20,023 |
| State and political subdivisions | 19,227 | 174 | (422) | 18,979 |
| Corporate | 5,865 | 228 | (493) | 5,600 |
| Residential mortgage-backed - agency | 35,714 | 934 | (239) | 36,409 |
| Residential mortgage-backed - private label | 816 | 21 | - | 837 |
| Total | 81,759 | 1,496 | (1,407) | 81,848 |
| Equity investment securities: | | | | |
| Mutual funds: | | | | |
| Ultra short mortgage fund | 1,532 | 26 | - | 1,558 |
| Large cap equity fund | 1,129 | 93 | - | 1,222 |
| Other mutual funds | 183 | 61 | - | 244 |
| Common stock - financial services industry | 450 | 5 | - | 455 |
| Total | 3,294 | 185 | - | 3,479 |
| Total investment securities | \$85,053 | \$1,681 | \$(1,407) | \$85,327 |

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of debt investments at June 30, 2011 by contractual maturity are shown below. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without penalties.

| (In thousands) | Amortized Cost | Estimated Fair Value |
|--|-------------------|-------------------------|
| Due in one year or less | \$3,107 | \$3,173 |
| Due after one year through five years | 17,477 | 17,667 |
| Due after five years through ten years | 10,722 | 11,125 |
| Due after ten years | 9,968 | 9,852 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 44,150 | 45,448 |
| Totals | \$85,424 | \$87,265 |

Table of Contents

The Company's investment securities' gross unrealized losses and fair value, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, are as follows:

| | June 30, 2011 | | | | | |
|---|---|---------------|---|---------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| | Less than Twelve Months Unrealized Losses | | Twelve Months or More Unrealized Losses | | Total Unrealized Losses | |
| | Fair Value | Fair Value | Fair Value | Fair Value | Fair Value | Fair Value |
| (In thousands) | | | | | | |
| US Treasury, agencies and GSEs | \$(16) | \$984 | \$- | \$- | \$(16) | \$984 |
| State and political subdivisions | (1) | 131 | - | - | (1) | 131 |
| Corporate | (1) | 526 | (359) | 1,608 | (360) | 2,134 |
| Residential mortgage-backed - agency | (49) | 9,109 | - | - | (49) | 9,109 |
| | \$(67) | \$10,750 | \$(359) | \$1,608 | \$(426) | \$12,358 |

| | December 31, 2010 | | | | | |
|---|---|---------------|---|---------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| | Less than Twelve Months Unrealized Losses | | Twelve Months or More Unrealized Losses | | Total Unrealized Losses | |
| | Fair Value | Fair Value | Fair Value | Fair Value | Fair Value | Fair Value |
| (In thousands) | | | | | | |
| US Treasury, agencies and GSEs | \$(253) | \$9,260 | \$- | \$- | \$(253) | \$9,260 |
| State and political subdivisions | (422) | 10,173 | - | - | (422) | 10,173 |
| Corporate | - | - | (493) | 1,473 | (493) | 1,473 |
| Residential mortgage-backed - agency | (239) | 8,861 | - | - | (239) | 8,861 |
| | \$(914) | \$28,294 | \$(493) | \$1,473 | \$(1,407) | \$29,767 |

We conduct a formal review of investment securities on a quarterly basis for the presence of other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI"). We assess whether OTTI is present when the fair value of a debt security is less than its amortized cost basis at the statement of condition date. Under these circumstances, OTTI is considered to have occurred (1) if we intend to sell the security; (2) if it is "more likely than not" we will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis; or (3) the present value of expected cash flows is not sufficient to recover the entire amortized cost basis. The guidance requires that credit-related OTTI is recognized in earnings while non-credit-related OTTI on securities not expected to be sold is recognized in other comprehensive income ("OCI"). Non-credit-related OTTI is based on other factors, including illiquidity. Presentation of OTTI is made in the consolidated statement of income on a gross basis, including both the portion recognized in earnings, as well as the portion recorded in OCI.

At June 30, 2011, one U.S. GSE bond is in an unrealized loss position. The holding is Aaa rated by S & P, and has been in an unrealized loss position for seven months. The holding has an unrealized loss of 1.6% of its book value. The unrealized loss relates principally to changes in interest rates subsequent to the acquisition of the specific securities. No OTTI is deemed present on this security.

At June 30, 2011, six state and political subdivision securities are in unrealized loss positions. All of the securities were issued by the Town of Oswego, NY and have unrealized losses of \$250 or less. All 6 of these positions have been in an unrealized loss positions for only one month. All positions are unrated. No other than temporary impairment is deemed present on these securities.

At June 30, 2011, two corporate securities were in unrealized loss positions and represent trust-preferred issuances from large money center financial institutions. The JP Morgan Chase floating rate trust-preferred security has a carrying value of \$986,000 and a fair value of \$822,000. The Bank of America floating rate trust-preferred security has a carrying value of \$981,000 and a fair value of \$786,000. The securities are rated A2 and Baa3 by Moody's, respectively. The securities are both floating rate notes that adjust quarterly to LIBOR. These securities are reflecting a net unrealized loss due to current similar offerings being originated at higher spreads to LIBOR, as the market currently demands a greater pricing premium for the associated risk. Management has performed a detailed credit analysis on the underlying companies and has concluded that neither issue is credit impaired. Due to the fact that each security has approximately 16 years until final maturity, and management has determined that there is no related credit impairment, the associated pricing risk is managed similar to long-term, low yielding, 15 and 30-year fixed rate residential mortgages carried in the Company's loan portfolio. The risk is managed through the Company's interest rate risk management procedures. The Company expects the present value of expected cash flows will be sufficient to recover the amortized cost basis. Thus, the securities are not deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired.

Table of Contents

Nine government agency and government sponsored enterprise (GSE) residential mortgage-backed security holdings have an unrealized loss as of June 30, 2011. The securities were issued by the Government National Mortgage Association, Federal National Mortgage Association and Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation. The unrealized losses have been in place for nine months or less, and have unrealized losses of 1.5% or less as compared to their respective carrying values. All securities have an implied AAA rating. The unrealized losses relate principally to changes in interest rates subsequent to the acquisition of the specific security. No OTTI is deemed present on these securities.

In determining whether OTTI has occurred for equity securities, the Company considers the applicable factors described above, the length of time the equity security's fair value has been below the carrying amount and whether the Company has the intent and ability to retain the equity securities for a sufficient period of time to allow for recovery. The Company holds one equity security that had a fair value less than the carrying value at June 30, 2011. A small common stock investment in The Phoenix Companies has an unrealized loss of less than \$350. Due to the relatively small size of the unrealized loss and short duration of the loss period, no OTTI is deemed present in relation to this security.

The following table presents a roll-forward of the amount related to credit losses recognized in earnings for the periods ended June 30:

| (In thousands) | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|--------|-------|
| Beginning balance – January 1 | \$875 | \$875 |
| Initial credit impairment | - | - |
| Subsequent credit impairments | - | - |
| Reductions for amounts recognized in earnings due to intent or requirement to sell | - | - |
| Reductions for securities sold | (875) | - |
| Reductions for increases in cash flows expected to be collected | - | - |
| Ending balance - June 30 | \$- | \$875 |

The above credit losses were related to one security that was sold at a small gain during the period ended June 30, 2011.

Gross realized gains (losses) on sales of securities for the three and six months ended June 30, are detailed below:

| (In thousands) | For the three months ended June 30, 2011 | | For the six months ended June 30, 2011 | |
|-----------------|---|------|---|------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Realized gains | \$297 | \$17 | \$328 | \$28 |
| Realized losses | (2) | - | (5) | - |
| | \$295 | \$17 | \$323 | \$28 |

As of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, securities with an amortized cost of \$66.4 million and \$47.5 million, respectively, were pledged to collateralize certain deposit and borrowing arrangements.

Management has reviewed its loan and mortgage-backed securities portfolios and determined that, to the best of its knowledge, little or no exposure exists to sub-prime or other high-risk residential mortgages. The Company is not in the practice of investing in, or originating these types of investments or loans.

Table of Contents

(6) Loans

Major classifications of loans at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 are as follows:

| (In thousands) | June 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|---|------------------|-------------------------|
| Residential mortgage loans: | | |
| 1-4 family first-lien residential mortgages | \$ 149,603 | \$ 143,661 |
| Construction | 2,729 | 3,569 |
| | 152,332 | 147,230 |
| Commercial loans: | | |
| Real estate | 70,038 | 69,042 |
| Lines of credit | 13,440 | 14,122 |
| Other commercial and industrial | 20,692 | 20,779 |
| Municipal loans | 3,888 | 4,826 |
| | 108,058 | 108,769 |
| Consumer loans: | | |
| Home equity and junior liens | 24,986 | 25,168 |
| Other consumer | 3,461 | 3,411 |
| | 28,447 | 28,579 |
| Total loans | 288,837 | 284,578 |
| Net deferred loan costs | 659 | 718 |
| Less allowance for loan losses | (4,006) | (3,648) |
| Loans receivable, net | \$285,490 | \$281,648 |

The Company grants residential mortgage, commercial and consumer loans to customers throughout Oswego and parts of Onondaga counties. Although the Company has a diversified loan portfolio, a substantial portion of its borrowers' abilities to honor their contracts is dependent upon the counties' employment and economic conditions.

Residential mortgage loans with a carrying value of \$66.4 million have been pledged by the Company to the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York under a blanket collateral agreement to secure the Company's line of credit and term borrowings.

Loan Origination / Risk Management

The Company has lending policies and procedures in place that are designed to maximize loan income within an acceptable level of risk. Management reviews and approves these policies and procedures on a regular basis. A reporting system supplements the review process by frequently providing management with reports related to loan production, loan quality, loan delinquencies, non-performing and potential problem loans. Diversification in the loan portfolio is a means of managing risk associated with fluctuations in economic conditions.

The loan portfolio is segregated into risk rating categories based on the borrower's overall financial condition, repayment sources, guarantors, and value of collateral, if appropriate. The risk ratings are evaluated at least annually for commercial loans or when credit deficiencies arise, such as delinquent loan payments, for commercial, residential mortgage or consumer loans. Credit quality risk ratings include regulatory classifications of special mention, substandard, doubtful and loss. Loans classified as loss are considered uncollectible and are charged to the allowance

for loan loss. Loans not classified are rated pass.

- 14 -

Edgar Filing: PATHFINDER BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

The following table presents the classes of the loan portfolio summarized by the aggregate pass rating and the classified ratings of special mention, substandard and doubtful within the Company's internal risk rating system as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

| (In thousands) | June 30, 2011 | | | | |
|---|------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------|
| | Pass | Special Mention | Substandard | Doubtful | Total |
| Residential mortgage loans: | | | | | |
| 1-4 family first-lien residential mortgages | \$ 144,693 | \$ 1,708 | \$ 3,152 | \$ 50 | \$ 149,603 |
| Construction | 2,729 | - | - | - | 2,729 |
| | 147,422 | 1,708 | 3,152 | 50 | 152,332 |
| Commercial loans: | | | | | |
| Real estate | 66,039 | 801 | 3,165 | 33 | 70,038 |
| Lines of credit | 12,838 | 64 | 538 | - | 13,440 |
| Other commercial and industrial | 18,022 | 1,747 | 923 | - | 20,692 |
| Municipal loans | 3,888 | - | - | - | 3,888 |
| | 100,787 | 2,612 | 4,626 | 33 | 108,058 |
| Consumer loans: | | | | | |
| Home equity and junior liens | 23,261 | 426 | 1,299 | - | 24,986 |
| Other consumer | 3,345 | 19 | 61 | 36 | 3,461 |
| | 26,606 | 445 | 1,360 | 36 | 28,447 |
| Total loans | \$274,815 | \$4,765 | \$ 9,138 | \$ 119 | \$288,837 |

| (In thousands) | December 31, 2010 | | | | |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------|------------------|
| | Pass | Special Mention | Substandard | Doubtful | Total |
| Residential mortgage loans: | | | | | |
| 1-4 family first-lien residential mortgages | \$ 138,435 | \$ 1,725 | \$ 3,501 | \$ - | \$ 143,661 |
| Construction | 3,569 | - | - | - | 3,569 |
| | 142,004 | 1,725 | 3,501 | - | 147,230 |
| Commercial loans: | | | | | |
| Real estate | 63,834 | 524 | 4,684 | - | 69,042 |
| Lines of credit | 13,280 | 28 | 814 | - | 14,122 |
| Other commercial and industrial | 19,857 | 163 | 759 | - | 20,779 |
| Municipal loans | 4,826 | - | - | - | 4,826 |
| | 101,797 | 715 | 6,257 | - | 108,769 |
| Consumer loans: | | | | | |
| Home equity and junior liens | 23,559 | 316 | 1,293 | - | 25,168 |
| Other consumer | 3,271 | 30 | 110 | - | 3,411 |
| | 26,830 | 346 | 1,403 | - | 28,579 |
| Total loans | \$270,631 | \$2,786 | \$ 11,161 | \$ - | \$284,578 |

Management has reviewed its loan portfolio and determined that, to the best of its knowledge, no exposure exists to sub-prime or other high-risk residential mortgages. The Company is not in the practice of originating these types of loans.

Non-accrual and Past Due Loans

Loans are considered past due if the required principal and interest payments have not been received within thirty days of the payment due date.

- 15 -

Edgar Filing: PATHFINDER BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

An age analysis of past due loans, segregated by portfolio segment and class of loans, as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, was as follows:

June 30, 2011

| (In thousands) | 30-59 Days Past Due | 60-89 Days Past Due | 90 Days and Over | Total Past Due | Current | Total Loans Receivable |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| Residential mortgage loans: | | | | | | |
| 1-4 family first-lien residential mortgages | \$ 2,068 | \$ 669 | \$ 1,036 | \$ 3,773 | \$ 145,830 | \$ 149,603 |
| Construction | - | - | - | - | 2,729 | 2,729 |
| | 2,068 | 669 | 1,036 | 3,773 | 148,559 | 152,332 |
| Commercial loans: | | | | | | |
| Real estate | 451 | 1,216 | 1,331 | 2,998 | 67,040 | 70,038 |
| Lines of credit | 330 | - | 131 | 461 | 12,979 | 13,440 |
| Other commercial and industrial | 278 | 2 | 1,164 | 1,444 | 19,248 | 20,692 |
| Municipal loans | - | - | - | - | 3,888 | 3,888 |
| | 1,059 | 1,218 | 2,626 | 4,903 | 103,155 | 108,058 |
| Consumer loans: | | | | | | |
| Home equity and junior liens | 292 | 437 | 355 | 1,084 | 23,902 | 24,986 |
| Other consumer | 12 | 19 | 45 | 76 | 3,385 | 3,461 |
| | 304 | 456 | 400 | 1,160 | 27,287 | 28,447 |
| Total loans | \$ 3,431 | \$ 2,343 | \$ 4,062 | \$ 9,836 | \$ 279,001 | \$ 288,837 |

December 31, 2010

| (In thousands) | 30-59 Days Past Due | 60-89 Days Past Due | 90 Days and Over | Total Past Due | Current | Total Loans Receivable |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------|---------------------------|
| Residential mortgage loans: | | | | | | |
| 1-4 family first-lien residential mortgages | \$ 2,045 | \$ 1,078 | \$ 1,335 | \$ 4,458 | \$ 139,203 | \$ 143,661 |
| Construction | - | - | - | - | 3,569 | 3,569 |
| | 2,045 | 1,078 | 1,335 | 4,458 | 142,772 | 147,230 |
| Commercial loans: | | | | | | |
| Real estate | 238 | 908 | 3,680 | 4,826 | 64,216 | 69,042 |
| Lines of credit | 205 | - | 69 | 274 | 13,848 | 14,122 |
| Other commercial and industrial | 734 | 301 | 475 | 1,510 | 19,269 | 20,779 |
| Municipal loans | - | - | - | - | 4,826 | 4,826 |
| | 1,177 | 1,209 | 4,224 | 6,610 | 102,159 | 108,769 |
| Consumer loans: | | | | | | |
| | 586 | 371 | 303 | 1,260 | 23,908 | 25,168 |

Home equity and
junior liens

| | | | | | | |
|----------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|------------|------------|
| Other consumer | 15 | 7 | 62 | 84 | 3,327 | 3,411 |
| | 601 | 378 | 365 | 1,344 | 27,235 | 28,579 |
| Total loans | \$ 3,823 | \$ 2,665 | \$ 5,924 | \$ 12,412 | \$ 272,166 | \$ 284,578 |

- 16 -

Table of Contents

Non-accrual loans, segregated by class of loan, were as follows:

| (In thousands) | June 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|---|------------------|-------------------------|
| Residential mortgage loans: | | |
| 1-4 family first-lien residential mortgages | \$1,036 | \$1,335 |
| Construction | - | - |
| | 1,036 | 1,335 |
| Commercial loans: | | |
| Real estate | 1,331 | 3,680 |
| Lines of credit | 131 | 69 |
| Other commercial and industrial | 1,164 | 475 |
| Municipal loans | - | - |
| | 2,626 | 4,224 |
| Consumer loans: | | |
| Home equity and junior liens | 355 | 303 |
| Other consumer | 45 | 62 |
| | 400 | 365 |
| Total nonaccrual loans | \$4,062 | \$5,924 |

There were no loans past due ninety days or more and still accruing interest at June 30, 2011 or December 31, 2010.

Included in the nonaccrual loan balances at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 were loans classified as Troubled Debt Restructurings ("TDR") in the amount of \$2.1 million (7 loans) and \$749,000 (3 loans), respectively. Loans classified as TDRs that were on an accruing basis as of Jun 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 had recorded balances of \$830,000 (5 loans) and \$1.5 million (6 loans), respectively.

Table of Contents

Impaired Loans

The following tables summarize impaired loans information by portfolio class at and for the periods ended June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

| | June 30, 2011 | | | | |
|---|------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Recorded Investment | Unpaid Principal Balance | Related Allowance | Average Recorded Investment | Interest Income Recognized |
| With no related allowance recorded: | | | | | |
| 1-4 family first-lien residential mortgages | \$ 181 | \$ 181 | \$- | \$ 196 | \$ 5 |
| Residential mortgage construction | - | - | - | - | - |
| Commercial real estate | 1,391 | 1,391 | - | 1,658 | 102 |
| Commercial lines of credit | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other commercial and industrial | 88 | 88 | - | 76 | 6 |
| Municipal | - | - | - | - | - |
| Home equity and junior liens | 375 | 375 | - | 389 | 9 |
| Other consumer | - | - | - | - | - |
| With an allowance recorded: | | | | | |
| 1-4 family first-lien residential mortgages | 1,124 | 1,124 | 222 | 910 | 33 |
| Residential mortgage construction | - | - | - | - | - |
| Commercial real estate | 904 | 904 | 301 | 2,280 | 6 |
| Commercial lines of credit | 50 | 50 | 50 | 250 | 2 |
| Other commercial and industrial | 717 | 717 | 432 | 398 | 13 |
| Municipal | - | - | - | - | - |
| Home equity and junior liens | 313 | 313 | 115 | 220 | 9 |
| Other consumer | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total: | | | | | |
| 1-4 family first-lien residential mortgages | 1,305 | 1,305 | 222 | 1,106 | 38 |
| Residential mortgage construction | - | - | - | - | - |
| Commercial real estate | 2,295 | 2,295 | 301 | 3,938 | 108 |
| Commercial lines of credit | 50 | 50 | 50 | 250 | 2 |
| Other commercial and industrial | 805 | 805 | 432 | 474 | 19 |
| Municipal | - | - | - | - | - |
| Home equity and junior liens | 688 | 688 | 115 | 609 | 9 |
| Other consumer | - | - | - | - | - |
| | \$5,143 | \$5,143 | \$1,120 | \$6,377 | \$176 |

Edgar Filing: PATHFINDER BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | December 31, 2010 | | |
|---|------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Recorded Investment | Unpaid Principal Balance | Related Allowance | Average Recorded Investment | Interest Income Recognized |
| With no related allowance recorded: | | | | | |
| 1-4 family first-lien residential mortgages | \$ 185 | \$ 185 | \$- | \$441 | \$ 14 |
| Residential mortgage construction | - | - | - | - | - |
| Commercial real estate | 1,919 | 1,919 | - | 2,288 | 91 |
| Commercial lines of credit | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other commercial and industrial | 96 | 96 | - | 73 | 13 |
| Municipal | - | - | - | - | - |
| Home equity and junior liens | 411 | 411 | - | 119 | 16 |
| Other consumer | - | - | - | - | - |
| With an allowance recorded: | | | | | |
| 1-4 family first-lien residential mortgages | 1,215 | 1,215 | 255 | 682 | 61 |
| Residential mortgage construction | - | - | - | - | - |
| Commercial real estate | 2,233 | 2,322 | 352 | 1,262 | 18 |
| Commercial lines of credit | 300 | 300 | 300 | 275 | 10 |
| Other commercial and industrial | 346 | 346 | 78 | 225 | 4 |
| Municipal | - | - | - | - | - |
| Home equity and junior liens | 252 | 252 | 110 | 59 | 8 |
| Other consumer | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total: | | | | | |
| 1-4 family first-lien residential mortgages | 1,400 | 1,400 | 255 | 1,123 | 75 |
| Residential mortgage construction | - | - | - | - | - |
| Commercial real estate | 4,152 | 4,241 | 352 | 3,550 | 109 |
| Commercial lines of credit | 300 | 300 | 300 | 275 | 10 |
| Other commercial and industrial | 442 | 442 | 78 | 298 | 17 |
| Municipal | - | - | - | - | - |
| Home equity and junior liens | 663 | 663 | 110 | 178 | 24 |
| Other consumer | - | - | - | - | - |
| | \$6,957 | \$7,046 | \$1,095 | \$5,424 | \$235 |

Table of Contents

(7) Allowance for Loan Losses

Changes in the allowance for loan losses for the period ended June 30, 2011 and the year ended December 31, 2010 are summarized as follows:

| | June 30, 2011 | | | | |
|---|---|---|---------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| | 1-4 family first-lien residential mortgage | Residential mortgage construction | Commercial real estate | Commercial lines of credit | Other commercial and industrial |
| Allowance for credit losses: | | | | | |
| Beginning Balance | \$750 | \$- | \$1,204 | \$579 | \$501 |
| Charge-offs | (59) | - | (71) | (15) | - |
| Recoveries | 33 | - | - | - | - |
| Provisions | (37) | - | 492 | (273) | 330 |
| Ending balance | \$687 | \$- | \$1,625 | \$291 | \$831 |
| Ending balance: related to loans | | | | | |
| individually evaluated for impairment | \$222 | \$- | \$301 | \$50 | \$432 |
| Ending balance: related to loans | | | | | |
| collectively evaluated for impairment | \$465 | \$- | \$1,324 | \$241 | \$399 |
| Loans receivables: | | | | | |
| Ending balance | \$149,603 | \$2,729 | \$70,038 | \$13,440 | \$20,692 |
| Ending balance: individually | | | | | |
| evaluated for impairment | \$1,305 | \$- | \$2,295 | \$50 | \$805 |
| Ending balance: collectively | | | | | |
| evaluated for impairment | \$148,298 | \$2,729 | \$67,743 | \$13,390 | \$19,887 |
| Allowance for credit losses: | | | | | |
| | Municipal | Home equity and junior liens | Other Consumer | Unallocated | Total |
| Beginning Balance | \$3 | \$424 | \$89 | \$98 | \$3,648 |
| Charge-offs | - | (24) | (51) | - | (220) |
| Recoveries | - | 5 | 15 | - | 53 |
| Provisions | (1) | 55 | 63 | (104) | 525 |
| Ending balance | \$2 | \$460 | \$116 | \$(6) | \$4,006 |
| Ending balance: related to loans | | | | | |
| individually evaluated for impairment | \$- | \$115 | \$- | \$- | \$1,120 |
| Ending balance: related to loans | | | | | |
| collectively evaluated for impairment | \$2 | \$345 | \$116 | \$(6) | \$2,886 |
| Loans receivables: | | | | | |
| Ending balance | \$3,888 | \$24,986 | \$3,461 | | \$288,837 |
| Ending balance: individually | | | | | |
| evaluated for impairment | \$- | \$688 | \$- | | \$5,143 |
| Ending balance: collectively | | | | | |

| | | | | |
|--------------------------|---------|----------|---------|-----------|
| evaluated for impairment | \$3,888 | \$24,298 | \$3,461 | \$283,694 |
|--------------------------|---------|----------|---------|-----------|

- 20 -

Table of Contents

| | December 31, 2010 | | | | |
|---|---|---|---------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| | 1-4 family first-lien residential mortgage | Residential mortgage construction | Commercial real estate | Commercial lines of credit | Other commercial and industrial |
| Allowance for credit losses: | | | | | |
| Beginning Balance | \$763 | \$ - | \$ 1,009 | \$ 376 | \$486 |
| Charge-offs | (48) | - | (162) | (196) | (27) |
| Recoveries | 19 | - | 55 | | - |
| Provisions | 16 | - | 302 | 399 | 42 |
| Ending balance | \$750 | \$ - | \$ 1,204 | \$ 579 | \$501 |
| Ending balance: related to loans individually evaluated for impairment | \$255 | \$ - | \$ 352 | \$ 300 | \$78 |
| Ending balance: related to loans collectively evaluated for impairment | \$495 | \$ - | \$ 852 | \$ 279 | \$423 |
| Loans receivables: | | | | | |
| Ending balance | \$143,661 | \$ 3,569 | \$ 69,042 | \$ 14,122 | \$20,779 |
| Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment | \$1,400 | \$ - | \$ 4,152 | \$ 300 | \$442 |
| Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment | \$142,261 | \$ 3,569 | \$ 64,890 | \$ 13,822 | \$20,337 |
| | Municipal | Home equity and junior liens | Other Consumer | Unallocated | Total |
| Allowance for credit losses: | | | | | |
| Beginning Balance | \$2 | \$ 390 | \$ 76 | \$(24) | \$3,078 |
| Charge-offs | - | (76) | (81) | - | (590) |
| Recoveries | - | 5 | 31 | - | 110 |
| Provisions | 1 | 105 | 63 | 122 | 1,050 |
| Ending balance | \$3 | \$ 424 | \$ 89 | \$ 98 | \$3,648 |
| Ending balance: related to loans individually evaluated for impairment | \$- | \$ 110 | \$ - | \$ - | \$1,095 |
| Ending balance: related to loans collectively evaluated for impairment | \$3 | \$ 314 | \$ 89 | \$ 98 | \$2,553 |
| Loans receivables: | | | | | |
| Ending balance | \$4,826 | \$ 25,168 | \$ 3,411 | | \$284,578 |
| Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment | \$- | \$ 663 | \$ - | | \$6,957 |
| Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment | \$4,826 | \$ 24,505 | \$ 3,411 | | \$277,621 |

The portion of the allowance that was unallocated as of December 31, 2010, has been utilized to increase specific reserves within the commercial real estate portfolio. Due to the inexact nature of the estimate, and the relative

insignificance of the differences, management did not make adjustments for the amounts as of June 30, 2011.

- 21 -

Table of Contents

(8) Guarantees

The Company does not issue any guarantees that would require liability recognition or disclosure, other than its standby letters of credit. Standby letters of credit written are conditional commitments issued by the Company to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Generally, all letters of credit, when issued have expiration dates within one year. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as those that are involved in extending loan facilities to customers. The Company generally holds collateral and/or personal guarantees supporting these commitments. The Company had \$1.5 million of standby letters of credit as of June 30, 2011. Management believes that the proceeds obtained through a liquidation of collateral and the enforcement of guarantees would be sufficient to cover the potential amount of future payments required under the corresponding guarantees. The fair value of standby letters of credit was not significant to the Company's consolidated financial statements.

(9) Fair Value Measurements

Accounting guidance related to fair value measurements and disclosures specifies a hierarchy of valuation techniques based on whether the inputs to those valuation techniques are observable or unobservable. Observable inputs reflect market data obtained from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect our market assumptions. These two types of inputs have created the following fair value hierarchy:

Level 1 – Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the entity has the ability to access as of the measurement date.

Level 2 – Quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; and model-derived valuations in which all significant inputs and significant value drivers are observable in active markets.

Level 3 – Model-derived valuations in which one or more significant inputs or significant value drivers are unobservable.

An asset's or liability's level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

The Company used the following methods and significant assumptions to estimate fair value:

Investment securities: The fair values of securities available for sale are obtained from an independent third party and are based on quoted prices on nationally recognized exchange (Level 1), where available. If quoted prices are not available, fair values are measured by utilizing matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique used widely in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for specific securities but rather by relying on the securities' relationship to other benchmark quoted securities (Level 2). Management made no adjustment to the fair value quotes that were received from the independent third party pricing service.

Interest rate swap derivative: The fair value of the interest rate swap derivative is calculated based on a discounted cash flow model. All future floating cash flows are projected and both floating and fixed cash flows are discounted to the valuation date. The curve utilized for discounting and projecting is built by obtaining publicly available third party market quotes (Level 2) for various swap maturity terms.

Impaired loans: Impaired loans are those loans in which the Company has measured impairment generally based on the fair value of the loan's collateral. Fair value is generally determined based upon independent third party appraisals of the properties or discounted cash flows based upon expected proceeds. These assets are included as Level 3 fair

values, based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurements. The fair value consists of loan balances less their valuation allowances.

- 22 -

Table of Contents

The following tables summarize assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, segregated by the level of valuation inputs within the hierarchy utilized to measure fair value:

| (In thousands) | At June 30, 2011 | | | Total Fair Value |
|---|------------------|----------|---------|------------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | |
| Debt investment securities: | | | | |
| US Treasury, agencies and GSEs | \$- | \$16,105 | \$- | \$ 16,105 |
| State and political subdivisions | - | 20,186 | - | 20,186 |
| Corporate | - | 5,526 | - | 5,526 |
| Residential mortgage-backed - agency | - | 44,801 | - | 44,801 |
| Residential mortgage-backed - private label | - | 647 | - | 647 |
| Equity investment securities: | | | | |
| Mutual funds: | | | | |
| Ultra short mortgage fund | 1,305 | - | - | 1,305 |
| Large cap equity fund | 1,033 | - | - | 1,033 |
| Other mutual funds | - | 257 | - | 257 |
| Common stock - financial services industry | 35 | 419 | - | 454 |
| Total investment securities | \$2,373 | \$87,941 | \$- | \$ 90,314 |
| Interest rate swap derivative | \$- | \$(134) | \$- | \$(134) |
| At December 31, 2010 | | | | |
| (In thousands) | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total Fair Value |
| Debt investment securities: | | | | |
| US Treasury, agencies and GSEs | \$- | \$20,023 | \$- | \$ 20,023 |
| State and political subdivisions | - | 18,979 | - | 18,979 |
| Corporate | - | 5,600 | - | 5,600 |
| Residential mortgage-backed - agency | - | 36,409 | - | 36,409 |
| Residential mortgage-backed - private label | - | 837 | - | 837 |
| Equity investment securities: | | | | |
| Mutual funds: | | | | |
| Ultra short mortgage fund | 1,558 | - | - | 1,558 |
| Large cap equity fund | 1,222 | - | - | 1,222 |
| Other mutual funds | - | 244 | - | 244 |
| Common stock - financial services industry | 36 | 419 | - | 455 |
| Total investment securities | \$2,816 | \$82,511 | \$- | \$ 85,327 |
| Interest rate swap derivative | \$- | \$(110) | \$- | \$(110) |

Table of Contents

Certain assets are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis; that is, the assets are not measured at fair value on an ongoing basis but are subject to fair value adjustments in certain circumstances (for example, when there is evidence of impairment).

The following tables summarize assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, segregated by the level of valuation inputs within the hierarchy utilized to measure fair value:

| (In thousands) | At June 30, 2011 | | | Total Fair Value |
|----------------|------------------|---------|---------|------------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | |
| Impaired loans | \$- | \$- | \$1,988 | \$1,988 |

| (In thousands) | At December 31, 2010 | | | Total Fair Value |
|----------------|----------------------|---------|---------|------------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | |
| Impaired loans | \$- | \$- | \$3,340 | \$3,340 |

There have been no transfers of assets in or out of any fair value measurement level.

Required disclosures include fair value information of financial instruments, whether or not recognized in the consolidated statement of condition, for which it is practicable to estimate that value. In cases where quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on estimates using present value or other valuation techniques. Those techniques are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. In that regard, the derived fair value estimates cannot be substantiated by comparison to independent markets and, in many cases, could not be realized in immediate settlement of the instrument.

Management uses its best judgment in estimating the fair value of the Company's financial instruments; however, there are inherent weaknesses in any estimation technique. Therefore, for substantially all financial instruments, the fair value estimates herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Company could have realized in a sales transaction on the dates indicated. The estimated fair value amounts have been measured as of their respective period-ends, and have not been re-evaluated or updated for purposes of these financial statements subsequent to those respective dates. As such, the estimated fair values of these financial instruments subsequent to the respective reporting dates may be different than the amounts reported at each period-end.

The following information should not be interpreted as an estimate of the fair value of the entire Company since a fair value calculation is only provided for a limited portion of the Company's assets and liabilities. Due to a wide range of valuation techniques and the degree of subjectivity used in making the estimates, comparisons between the Company's disclosures and those of other companies may not be meaningful. The Company, in estimating its fair value disclosures for financial instruments, used the following methods and assumptions:

Cash and cash equivalents – The carrying amounts of these assets approximate their fair value.

Investment securities – The fair values of securities available for sale are obtained from an independent third party and are based on quoted prices on nationally recognized exchange (Level 1), where available. If quoted prices are not available, fair values are measured by utilizing matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique used widely in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for specific securities, but rather by relying on the securities' relationship to other benchmark quoted securities (Level 2). Management made no adjustment to the fair value quotes that were received from the independent third party pricing service.

Loans – The fair values of portfolio loans, excluding impaired loans (see previous discussion of methods and assumptions), are estimated using an option adjusted discounted cash flow model that discounts future cash flows using recent market interest rates, market volatility and credit spread assumptions.

- 24 -

Table of Contents

Federal Home Loan Bank stock – The carrying amount of these assets approximates their fair value.

Accrued interest receivable and payable – The carrying amount of these assets approximates their fair value.

Mortgage servicing rights - The carrying amount of these assets approximates their fair value.

Interest rate swap derivative - The fair value of the interest rate swap derivative is calculated based on a discounted cash flow model. All future floating cash flows are projected and both floating and fixed cash flows are discounted to the valuation date. The curve utilized for discounting and projecting is built by obtaining publicly available third party (Level 2) market quotes for various swap maturity terms.

Deposit liabilities – The fair values disclosed for demand deposits (e.g., interest-bearing and noninterest-bearing checking, passbook savings and certain types of money management accounts) are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (i.e., their carrying amounts). Fair values for fixed-rate certificates of deposit are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered in the market on certificates of deposits to a schedule of aggregated expected monthly maturities on time deposits.

Borrowings – Fixed/variable term “bullet” structures are valued using a replacement cost of funds approach. These borrowings are discounted to the FHLB NY advance curve. Option structured borrowings’ fair values are determined by the FHLB for borrowings that include a call or conversion option. If market pricing is not available from this source, current market indications from the FHLB NY are obtained and the borrowings are discounted to the FHLB NY advance curve less an appropriate spread to adjust for the option.

Junior subordinated debentures – Current economic conditions have rendered the market for this liability inactive. As such, we are unable to determine a good estimate of fair value. Since the rate paid on the debentures held is lower than what would be required to secure an interest in the same debt at period end, and we are unable to obtain a current fair value, we have disclosed that the carrying value approximates the fair value.

Off-balance sheet instruments – Fair values for the Company’s off-balance sheet instruments are based on fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the counterparties’ credit standing. Such fees were not material at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

The carrying amounts and fair values of the Company’s financial instruments as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 are presented in the following table:

| (Dollars in thousands) | June 30, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | |
|--------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| | Carrying Amounts | Estimated Fair Values | Carrying Amounts | Estimated Fair Values |
| Financial assets: | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$12,784 | \$12,784 | \$13,763 | \$13,763 |
| Investment securities | 90,314 | 90,314 | 85,327 | 85,327 |
| Net loans | 285,490 | 294,769 | 281,648 | 290,049 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank stock | 1,815 | 1,815 | 2,134 | 2,134 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 1,640 | 1,640 | 1,709 | 1,709 |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 22 | 22 | 35 | 35 |
| Financial liabilities: | | | | |
| Deposits | \$344,349 | \$347,177 | \$326,502 | \$328,963 |
| Borrowed funds | 32,106 | 33,021 | 41,000 | 41,984 |
| Junior subordinated debentures | 5,155 | 5,155 | 5,155 | 5,155 |

Edgar Filing: PATHFINDER BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Accrued interest payable | 114 | 114 | 153 | 153 |
| Interest rate swap derivative | 134 | 134 | 110 | 110 |
| Off-balance sheet instruments: | | | | |
| Standby letters of credit | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- |
| Commitments to extend credit | - | - | - | - |

- 25 -

Table of Contents

(10) Interest Rate Derivative

Derivative instruments are entered into primarily as a risk management tool of the Company. Financial derivatives are recorded at fair value as other assets and other liabilities. The accounting for changes in the fair value of a derivative depends on whether it has been designated and qualifies as part of a hedging relationship. For a fair value hedge, changes in the fair value of the derivative instrument and changes in the fair value of the hedged asset or liability are recognized currently in earnings. For a cash flow hedge, changes in the fair value of the derivative instrument, to the extent that it is effective, are recorded in other comprehensive income and subsequently reclassified to earnings as the hedged transaction impacts net income. Any ineffective portion of a cash flow hedge is recognized currently in earnings. See Note 9 for further discussion of the fair value of the interest rate derivative.

The Company has \$5 million of floating rate Trust Preferred debt indexed to 3-month LIBOR. As a result, it is exposed to variability in cash flows related to changes in projected interest payments caused by changes in the benchmark interest rate. During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009, the Company entered into an interest rate swap agreement, with a \$2 million notional amount, to convert a portion of the variable-rate junior subordinated debentures to a fixed rate for a term of approximately 7 years at a rate of 4.96%. The derivative is designated as a cash flow hedge. The hedging strategy ensures that changes in cash flows from the derivative will be highly effective at offsetting changes in interest expense from the hedged exposure.

The following table summarizes the fair value of outstanding derivatives and their presentation on the statements of condition:

| (In thousands) | | June 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| Cash flow hedge: | | | |
| | Other liabilities | \$134 | \$110 |

The change in accumulated other comprehensive income on a pretax basis and the impact on earnings from the interest rate swap that qualifies as a cash flow hedge for the six months ended June 30, were as follows:

| (In thousands) | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|----------|----------|
| Balance as of January 1: | \$(110) | \$1) |
| Amount of (losses) recognized in other comprehensive income | (54) | (154) |
| Amount of loss reclassified from other comprehensive income and recognized as interest expense | 30 | 31 |
| Balance as of June 30: | \$(134) | \$(122) |

No amount of ineffectiveness has been included in earnings and the changes in fair value have been recorded in other comprehensive income. Some, or all, of the amount included in accumulated other comprehensive loss would be reclassified into current earnings should a portion of, or the entire hedge no longer be considered effective, but at this time, management expects the hedge to remain fully effective during the remaining term of the swap.

The Company posted cash, of \$200,000, under arrangements to satisfy collateral requirements associated with the interest rate swap contract.

(11) New Accounting Pronouncements

ASU 2011-02, Receivables (Topic 310): A Creditor's Determination of Whether a Restructuring is a Troubled Debt Restructuring was issued to clarify the accounting principles applied to loan modifications, as defined by FASB ASC Subtopic 310-40, Receivables – Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors. The increased volume in loan modifications, which resulted from the recent economic downturn, prompted this guidance. The Update clarifies guidance on a creditor's evaluation of whether or not a concession has been granted, with an emphasis on evaluating all aspects of the modification rather than a focus on specific criteria, such as the effective interest rate test, to determine a concession. The Update provides guidance on specific types of modifications, such as changes in the interest rate of the borrowing, and insignificant delays in payments, as well as guidance on the creditor's evaluation of whether or not a debtor is experiencing financial difficulties. For public entities, the amendments in the Update are effective for the first interim or annual periods beginning on or after June 15, 2011, and should be applied retrospectively to the beginning of the annual period of adoption. The entity should also disclose information required by ASU 2010-20, Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses, which had previously been deferred by ASU 2011-01, Deferral of the Effective Date of Disclosures about Troubled Debt Restructurings in Update No. 2010-20, for interim and annual periods beginning on or after June 15, 2011. Early adoption is permitted. The adoption of this update requires the Company to provide additional disclosures related to troubled debt restructurings.

- 26 -

Table of Contents

In April 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-03, Transfers and Servicing (Topic 860): Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreements to improve the accounting for repurchase agreements (“repos”) and other agreements that both entitle and obligate a transferor to repurchase or redeem financial assets before their maturity. During the global economic crisis, capital market participants questioned the necessity and usefulness of the collateral maintenance guidance for the transferor’s ability criterion (described below) when determining whether a repo should be accounted for as a sale or as a secured borrowing. In a typical repo transaction, an entity transfers financial assets to a counterparty in exchange for cash with an agreement for the counterparty to return the same or equivalent financial assets for a fixed price in the future. Topic 860, Transfers and Servicing, prescribes when an entity may or may not recognize a sale upon the transfer of financial assets subject to repurchase agreements. That determination is based, in part, on whether the entity has maintained effective control over the transferred financial assets. The guidance in this Update is effective for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after December 15, 2011. The guidance should be applied prospectively to transactions or modifications of existing transactions that occur on or after the effective date. Early adoption is not permitted. The adoption of this update may require the Company to provide additional disclosures related to repos.

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-04, Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs as a result of the work by the FASB and the IASB to develop common requirements for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRSs). The amendments in this Update explain how to measure fair value. They do not require additional fair value measurements and are not intended to establish valuation standards or affect valuation practices outside of financial reporting. For many of the requirements, the Board does not intend for the amendments in this Update to result in a change in the application of the requirements in Topic 820. The amendments in this Update are to be applied prospectively. For public entities, the amendments are effective during interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. For nonpublic entities, the amendments are effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. Early application by public entities is not permitted. Nonpublic entities may apply the amendments in this Update early, but no earlier than for interim periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this update may require the Company to provide additional disclosures related to fair value measurements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-05—Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income was issued to improve the comparability, consistency, and transparency of financial reporting and to increase the prominence of items reported in other comprehensive income. To increase the prominence of items reported in other comprehensive income and to facilitate convergence of U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), the FASB decided to eliminate the option to present components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in shareholders’ equity, among other amendments in this Update. The amendments require that all nonowner changes in shareholders’ equity be presented either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. The amendments in this Update should be applied retrospectively. For public entities, the amendments are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is permitted, because compliance with the amendments is already permitted. The amendments do not require any transition disclosures. The adoption of this update will require the Company to provide a change in disclosures related to other comprehensive income.

(12) Subsequent Events

The Company established the Pathfinder Bank Employee Stock Ownership Plan (“Plan”) to purchase stock of the Company for the benefit of its employees. In July 2011, the Plan received a \$1.1 million loan from Community Bank, N.A. to fund the purchase of 125,000 Employee Stock Ownership Plan (“ESOP”) shares that were held in

Treasury. The loan will be payable in equal quarterly installments of principal plus interest over ten years beginning October 1, 2011. Interest will be accrued based on the Wall Street Journal Prime Rate plus 1.00%, and secured by the market value of unallocated shares of the ESOP stock. In accordance with the payment of principal and interest on the loan, a proportionate amount of shares will be allocated to the employees over the ten year time horizon of the loan. Participants' vesting interest in the shares of company stock will be at the rate of 20% per year.

On July 25, 2011, the Company received preliminary approval for its participation in the Small Business Lending Fund ("SBLF") in the amount of \$13,000,000. In connection with the funding of the SBLF, the Company will redeem all 6,771 shares of its Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock ("Preferred Stock") it sold to the U.S. Department of Treasury ("Treasury Department") on September 11, 2009, in connection with the Treasury Department's Capital Purchase Program ("CPP"). The Company will pay \$6,771,000 representing the original investment, plus accrued dividends to the Treasury Department to redeem the Preferred Stock. As a result of the proposed redemption of the Preferred Stock, the Company expects to record a reduction in retained earnings of approximately \$470,000 associated with the acceleration of the discount accretion relating to the difference between the amount at which the Preferred Stock was initially recorded and the redemption price. The preferred stock dividend and the acceleration of the accretion on preferred stock will reduce the net income available to common shareholders, which is used in calculating earnings per share. In connection with the CPP financing, the Treasury Department also received warrants to purchase 154,354 shares of the Company's common stock with an exercise price of \$6.58 per share. Management is analyzing the impact of repurchasing the warrants that were issued in connection with the issuance of the CPP Preferred Shares. The anticipated closing date for the SBLF participation is August 18, 2011.

Table of Contents

The SBLF provides Tier 1 Capital to qualified community banks with assets of less than \$10 billion. The Treasury Department will make SBLF funding available by purchasing senior preferred stock issued by the Company. Funding of up to 5% of consolidated risk-weighted assets is available through the issuance of the Preferred Stock. The SBLF Preferred Stock pays cumulative dividends, at a rate adjusted quarterly, based on the growth in a qualifying commercial loan portfolio held by the Company. The related dividend rate can range from 5% to 1% for the first four and one-half years after funding is received, depending on the level of qualifying commercial loan portfolio activity. Since the amount of the SBLF funding is nearly double that of the CPP funding, the total amount of dividend payments under the SBLF funding will be greater than the dividends incurred under the CPP funding, with the potential for the dividend rate to be less than compared to the CPP fixed dividend rate of 5%. The dividend rate moves to 9% after four and one-half years if the funding has not been repaid. SBLF funding can be prepaid at anytime with regulatory approval.

Item 2 - Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

General

Throughout Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A") the term, "the Company", refers to the consolidated entity of Pathfinder Bancorp, Inc. Pathfinder Bank and Pathfinder Statutory Trust II are wholly owned subsidiaries of Pathfinder Bancorp, Inc., however, Pathfinder Statutory Trust II is not consolidated for reporting purposes. Pathfinder Commercial Bank, Pathfinder REIT, Inc., Pathfinder Risk Management, Inc., and Whispering Oaks Development Corp. are wholly owned subsidiaries of Pathfinder Bank. At June 30, 2011, Pathfinder Bancorp, M.H.C., the Company's mutual holding company parent, whose activities are not included in the consolidated financial statements or the MD&A, held 63.7% of the Company's outstanding common stock and public shareholders held the remaining 36.3% of the common stock.

The following discussion reviews the Company's financial condition at June 30, 2011 and the results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

When used in this quarterly report the words or phrases "will likely result", "are expected to", "will continue", "is anticipated" "estimate", "project" or similar expression are intended to identify "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties. By identifying these forward-looking statements for you in this manner, the Company is alerting you to the possibility that its actual results and financial condition may differ, possibly materially, from the anticipated results and financial condition indicated in these forward-looking statements. The Company wishes to caution readers not to place undue reliance on any such forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date made. The Company wishes to advise readers that various factors could affect the Company's financial performance and could cause the Company's actual results for future periods to differ materially from any opinions or statements expressed with respect to future periods in any current statements. Additionally, all statements in this document, including forward-looking statements, speak only as of the date they are made, and the Company undertakes no obligation to update any statement in light of new information or future events.

Application of Critical Accounting Policies

The Company's consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and follow practices within the banking industry. Application of these principles requires management to make estimates, assumptions and judgments that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. These estimates, assumptions and judgments are based on information available as of the date of the consolidated financial statements; accordingly, as this information changes,

the consolidated financial statements could reflect different estimates, assumptions and judgments. Certain policies inherently have a greater reliance on the use of estimates, assumptions and judgments and as such have a greater possibility of producing results that could be materially different than originally reported. Estimates, assumptions and judgments are necessary when assets and liabilities are required to be recorded at fair value or when an asset or liability needs to be recorded contingent upon a future event. Carrying assets and liabilities at fair value inherently results in more financial statement volatility. The fair values and information used to record valuation adjustments for certain assets and liabilities are based on quoted market prices or are provided by other third-party sources, when available. When third party information is not available, valuation adjustments are estimated in good faith by management.

The most significant accounting policies followed by the Company are presented in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements included in the 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K ("the consolidated financial statements").

Table of Contents

These policies, along with the disclosures presented in the other financial statement notes and in this discussion, provide information on how significant assets and liabilities are valued in the consolidated financial statements and how those values are determined. Based on the valuation techniques used and the sensitivity of financial statement amounts to the methods, assumptions and estimates underlying those amounts, management has identified the allowance for loan losses, deferred income taxes, pension obligations, the evaluation of investment securities for other than temporary impairment and the estimation of fair values for accounting and disclosure purposes to be the accounting areas that require the most subjective and complex judgments, and as such, could be the most subject to revision as new information becomes available.

The allowance for loan losses represents management's estimate of probable loan losses inherent in the loan portfolio. Determining the amount of the allowance for loan losses is considered a critical accounting estimate because it requires significant judgment and the use of estimates related to the amount and timing of expected future cash flows on impaired loans, estimated losses on pools of homogeneous loans based on historical loss experience, and consideration of current economic trends and conditions, all of which may be susceptible to significant change. The loan portfolio also represents the largest asset type on the consolidated statement of condition. Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements describes the methodology used to determine the allowance for loan losses, and a discussion of the factors driving changes in the amount of the allowance for loan losses is included in this report.

Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are determined using the liability method. Under this method, the net deferred tax asset or liability is recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases as well as net operating and capital loss carry forwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates applied to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income tax expense in the period that includes the enactment date. To the extent that current available evidence about the future raises doubt about the likelihood of a deferred tax asset being realized, a valuation allowance is established. The judgment about the level of future taxable income, including that which is considered capital, is inherently subjective and is reviewed on a continual basis as regulatory and business factors change.

Pension and post-retirement benefit plan liabilities and expenses are based upon actuarial assumptions of future events, including fair value of plan assets, interest rates, rate of future compensation increases and the length of time the Company will have to provide those benefits. The assumptions used by management are discussed in Note 11 in the Company's 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K to the consolidated financial statements.

The Company carries all of its investments at fair value with any unrealized gains or losses reported net of tax as an adjustment to shareholders' equity, except for security impairment losses, which are charged to earnings. The Company's ability to fully realize the value of its investments in various securities, including corporate debt securities, is dependent on the underlying creditworthiness of the issuing organization. In evaluating the security portfolio for other-than-temporary impairment losses, management considers (1) if we intend to sell the security; (2) if it is "more likely than not" we will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis; or (3) the present value of expected cash flows is not sufficient to recover the entire amortized cost basis. In determining whether OTTI has occurred for equity securities, the Company considers the applicable factors described above and the length of time the equity security's fair value has been below the carrying amount. Management continually analyzes the portfolio to determine if further impairment has occurred that may be deemed as other-than-temporary. Further charges are possible depending on future economic conditions.

The estimation of fair value is significant to several of our assets, including investment securities available for sale, the interest rate derivative, intangible assets and foreclosed real estate, as well as the value of loan collateral when valuing loans. These are all recorded at either fair value or the lower of cost or fair value. Fair values are determined based on third party sources, when available. Furthermore, accounting principles generally accepted in the United

States require disclosure of the fair value of financial instruments as a part of the notes to the consolidated financial statements. Fair values may be influenced by a number of factors, including market interest rates, prepayment speeds, discount rates and the shape of yield curves.

Fair values for securities available for sale are obtained from an independent third party pricing service. Where available, fair values are based on quoted prices on a nationally recognized securities exchange. If quoted prices are not available, fair values are measured using quoted market prices for similar benchmark securities. Management made no adjustments to the fair value quotes that were provided by the pricing source. The fair values of foreclosed real estate and the underlying collateral value of impaired loans are typically determined based on appraisals by third parties, less estimated costs to sell. If necessary, appraisals are updated to reflect changes in market conditions.

Table of Contents

Recent Events

The Company reported on its Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2011, that Pathfinder Bancorp, Inc. was expected to close on the Purchase and Sale Agreement with Fitzgibbons Agency on or about June 1, 2011. This transaction has not yet taken place as the Company continues to finalize contract negotiations and then apply for regulatory approval to effect a transaction prior to December 31, 2011.

In June 2011, the Board of Directors of the Company approved the grant of stock option awards to its Directors and Executive Officers under the 2010 Stock Option Plan that was approved at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders on April 28, 2010. A total of 45,000 option awards were granted to the nine directors of the Company and 75,000 stock option awards, in total, were granted to the Chief Executive Officer and the Company's four Senior Vice Presidents. The awards will vest ratably over five years (20% per year for each year of the participant's service with the Company) and will expire ten years from the date of the grant, or June 2021. The Company expects to record compensation expense in the amount of \$47,000 in 2011, \$90,000 in each of the years 2012 through 2015, and \$43,000 in 2016.

On July 25, 2011, the Company received preliminary approval for its participation in the Small Business Lending Fund ("SBLF") in the amount of \$13,000,000. Please see Note 12 Subsequent Events for details on this action.

Overview

Net income was \$587,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2011, compared to \$550,000 for the three-month period ended June 30, 2010. Net income available to common shareholders was \$469,000 and \$435,000, or \$0.19 and \$0.17 per basic and diluted common share, for the same three-month periods, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company reported net income of \$1.0 million as compared to \$1.2 million for the same period in 2010. Net income available to common shareholders was \$778,000, or \$0.31 per basic and diluted share as compared to \$920,000, or \$0.37 per basic and diluted share for the same period in 2010. The Company has continued efforts to expand both its lending and deposit relationships within the small business community. Consequently, the Company's statement of condition has continued to shift from one more concentrated in residential loans and retail deposits to a more diversified mix of residential, consumer, and commercial loans. On an average balance basis, total commercial loans, including loans to municipalities, comprised 37.6% of the total gross loan portfolio for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to 37.5% for the same period in 2010. Total business deposits, including municipal deposits, comprised 27.3% of total deposits on an average basis for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to 24.5% for the previous year.

Since December 31, 2010, deposits have increased by \$17.8 million. The liquidity provided by deposit growth has been used to repay borrowings of \$8.9 million, and to increase our investment portfolio holdings by \$5.0 million, as well as increase gross loans by \$4.2 million.

In 2011, we continued our expansion into the greater Syracuse market. In order to provide full services to this market and address new and emerging customer opportunities, we opened a new branch location in Cicero, New York on February 1, 2011.

Results of Operations

The return on average assets and return on average shareholders' equity were 0.57% and 7.36%, respectively, for the three months ended June 30, 2011, compared with 0.57% and 7.17%, respectively, for the three months ended June 30, 2010. During the second quarter of 2011, when compared to the second quarter of 2010, net interest income increased \$304,000. The provision for loan losses remained the same; noninterest income increased \$257,000 and

noninterest expenses increased \$511,000.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income is the Company's primary source of operating income for payment of operating expenses and providing for loan losses. It is the amount by which interest earned on loans, interest-earning deposits and investment securities, exceeds the interest paid on deposits and other interest-bearing liabilities. Changes in net interest income and net interest margin result from the interaction between the volume and composition of interest-earning assets, interest-bearing liabilities, related yields and associated funding costs.

- 30 -

Table of Contents

Net interest income, on a tax-equivalent basis increased to \$3.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from \$3.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010. The Company's net interest margin for the second quarter of 2011 increased to 3.82% compared to 3.72% in the same quarter in 2010. Reductions in the Company's cost of funds was primarily in time deposits have resulted in the expansion of the Company's net interest margin. The increase in net interest income is attributable to a decrease of 19 basis points in the average cost of interest bearing liabilities, which was greater than the decrease of 8 basis points in the average yield earned on earning assets. Average interest-earning assets increased 6.1% to \$380.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, as compared to \$358.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010. The increase in average earning assets is primarily attributable to a \$22.1 million increase in loans, a \$4.6 million increase in investment securities, offset by a \$4.7 million decrease in interest earning deposits. Average interest-bearing liabilities increased \$16.2 million to \$341.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011 from \$325.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010. The increase in the average balance of interest-bearing liabilities resulted primarily from a \$19.2 million increase in average deposits, largely from Money Market Accounts, partially offset by a decrease of \$3.0 million in average borrowings.

For the six months ended June 30, 2011, net interest income, on a tax-equivalent basis, increased to \$7.2 million from \$6.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. Net interest margin increased 14 basis points to 3.85% for the six months ended June 30, 2011, from 3.71% for the six months ended June 30, 2010. Average interest-earning assets increased 4.1% to \$372.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 as compared to \$357.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, and the yield on interest-earning assets decreased 2 basis points to 5.04% from 5.06% for the comparable prior year period. The increase in average interest-earning assets was attributable to a \$22.7 million increase in average loans receivable, offset by an \$8.1 million decrease in average interest earning deposits. Average interest-bearing liabilities increased \$20.9 million. The cost of interest bearing liabilities decreased 21 basis points to 1.29% for the six months ended June 30, 2011, from 1.50% for the same period in 2010. The increase in the average balance of interest-bearing liabilities was the result of a \$21.3 million, or 7.5%, increase in average deposits driven by Money Market Accounts, offset by a \$460,000, or 1.2%, decrease in average borrowings.

Interest Income

Total interest income, on a tax-equivalent basis, for the quarter ended June 30, 2011, increased \$202,000, or 4.45%, to \$4.7 million when compared to the quarter ended June 30, 2010.

The average balance of loans increased \$22.1 million to \$286.5 million, with yields decreasing 13 basis points to 5.57% for the second quarter of 2011. Average residential real estate loans increased \$15.8 million, or 7.1%, and experienced a decrease in the average yield to 5.30% from 5.52% in the comparable quarter of 2010. Average commercial real estate loans increased \$5.4 million, while the average yield on those loans increased to 6.31% from 6.25% in the comparable quarter. Average commercial loans increased \$3.1 million and experienced a decrease in the average yield of 9 basis points, to 5.09% for the quarter ended June 30, 2011, from 5.18%, in the quarter ended June 30, 2010. Average municipal loans decreased approximately \$1.1 million, and experienced an increase in yield of 88 basis points. Average consumer loans decreased \$1.0 million, while the average yield decreased by 22 basis points.

Average investment securities (taxable and tax-exempt) for the quarter ended June 30, 2011, increased by \$4.6 million. The average tax-equivalent yield of the portfolio decreased 27 basis points, to 3.27% from 3.54%. The decrease in the overall portfolio yield reflects the portfolio repricing downward during the current low rate environment, as well as management's decision to purchase shorter term investments in order to position the Company for the potential of rising interest rates.

Total interest income, on a tax-equivalent basis, for the six months ended June 30, 2011 increased \$342,000, or 3.8%, when compared to the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Average loans increased \$22.7 million, with average yields decreasing 23 basis points to 5.51% from 5.74% for the six-month period ended June 30, 2011, when compared with the same period in 2010. As reflected in the second quarter data, all loan categories showed an increase in the six-month averages when compared to the same period in 2010, except for consumer loans. Similarly, yields on all categories declined when comparing the six-month periods. Average residential real estate loans increased \$14.8 million and experienced a decrease in the average yield of 31 basis points from the comparable six-month period ended June 30, 2010. Average commercial real estate loans increased \$5.6 million, or 8.8%, while the average yield on those loans decreased to 6.23% from 6.30% from the same period a year earlier. Average commercial loans increased \$3.2 million, or 10.3%, and experienced a decrease in the average yield of 24 basis points, to 4.87% for the six months ended June 30, 2011, from 5.11%, for the same period ended June 30, 2010. Average municipal loans increased approximately \$206,000, and experienced a decrease in yield of 37 basis points. Average consumer loans decreased \$1.1 million, while the average yield decreased by 12 basis points.

For the six months ended June 30, 2011, tax-equivalent interest income from investment securities increased \$27,000, or 1.8%, compared to the same period in 2010. The average tax-equivalent yield of the portfolio increased 5 basis points, to 3.63% from 3.58%.

Table of Contents

Interest Expense

Total interest expense decreased \$102,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2011, compared to the same quarter in 2010, as the cost of funds decreased 19 basis points to 1.30% in 2011 from 1.49% in 2010.

The cost of funds on deposits decreased \$33,000, or 3.9%. Each deposit product line's average balance increased in 2011 over the second quarter of 2010, with the exception of Now accounts. The average balance of MMDA accounts increased \$11.5 million and had a 3 basis point increase in the cost of funds. The savings and club accounts average balance increased \$3.9 million, while the cost of funds decreased 1 basis point. The average balance of time deposits increased \$2.7 million, while the cost of funds decreased 23 basis points. The average balance of money management accounts increased to \$12.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, as compared to \$12.4 million for the same period in 2010. The average balance of Now accounts decreased \$1.3 million to \$29.9 million, which was offset by an increase in the cost of funds of 6 basis points.

The average balance of borrowings decreased \$3.0 million. Interest expense on borrowings decreased by \$70,000, or 19.7%, from the prior period as a result of a 54 basis point decrease in the cost of funds on other borrowings, to 3.12% for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from 3.66% for the same quarter in 2010.

Total interest expense decreased \$189,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2011, compared to the same period in 2010, as the cost of funds decreased 21 basis points to 1.29% in 2011 from 1.50% in 2010. The cost of funds on deposits decreased \$63,000, or 3.7%. Each deposit product line's average balance increased in 2011 over the same six-month period in 2010, with the exception of CDARS brokered deposits whose average balances decreased by \$900,000 to \$2.0 million over comparable six-month periods. The six-month average balance of MMDA accounts increased \$14.7 million when comparing 2011 to the same period in 2010, combined with a 5 basis point increase in the cost to funds. The average balance of time deposits increased to \$135.9 million for the period ended June 30, 2011 as compared to \$132.7 million for the same period in 2010. The time deposit cost of funds decreased 25 basis points to 1.93% for the six months ended June 30, 2011, from 2.18% for the same six-month period in the prior year. The savings and club accounts increased to a balance of \$60.4 million, from \$58.1 million for the same period in the prior year. The average balance on Now accounts increased \$1.6 million combined with an increase of 4 basis points in the cost of funds.

The average balance of borrowed funds decreased \$460,000 for the six-month period ended June 30, 2011, when compared to the six months ended June 30, 2010. Interest expense on borrowings decreased by \$126,000, or 18.0%, from the prior year as a result of a 71 basis point decrease in the cost of borrowed funds to 2.96% for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Provision for Loan Losses

The Company recorded a provision for loan losses of \$262,000 for each quarter ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. The level of net charge-offs for the second quarter of 2011 was \$107,000, which was a \$20,000 increase from the net charge-offs recorded in the second quarter of 2010, and were exceeded in each period by the level of provision for loan losses. Management believes that the level of concern of the economic and environmental factors within commercial loan and commercial real estate portfolios presently warrants this continued level of provision for loan losses. For each of the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, the Company recorded \$525,000 in provision for loan losses. Gross loans increased 7.1% to \$289.5 million at June 30, 2011 from \$270.3 million at June 30, 2010. Net charge-offs for the first six months of 2011 were \$167,000 as compared to \$147,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2010, an increase of 13.6%. As a result of the continued level of provision for loan losses exceeding the level of net charge-offs for the comparable six-month periods and offset partially by the increase in gross loans, the ratio of the allowance for loan losses to period end loans was 1.38% at June 30, 2011, as compared to 1.28% at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2010.

Noninterest Income

The Company's noninterest income is primarily comprised of fees on deposit account balances and transactions, loan servicing, commissions, and net gains (losses) on securities, loans and foreclosed real estate.

- 32 -

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth certain information on noninterest income for the periods indicated:

| (in thousands) | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-------|--------|---|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | Change | | |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | \$276 | \$343 | \$(67) |) | -19.5 % |
| Earnings on bank owned life insurance | 55 | 57 | (2) |) | -3.5 % |
| Loan servicing fees | 50 | 60 | (10) |) | -16.7 % |
| Debit card interchange fees | 96 | 81 | 15 |) | 18.5 % |
| Other charges, commissions and fees | 137 | 142 | (5) |) | -3.5 % |
| Noninterest income before gains (losses) | 614 | 683 | (69) |) | -10.1 % |
| Net gains on sales and redemptions of investment securities | 295 | 17 | 278 |) | 1635.3 % |
| Net gains (losses) on sales of loans and foreclosed real estate | 14 | (34) | 48 |) | -141.2 % |
| Total noninterest income | \$923 | \$666 | \$257 |) | 38.6 % |

| (in thousands) | Six Months Ended June 30, | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|---------|---------|---|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | Change | | |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | \$571 | \$732 | \$(161) |) | -22.0 % |
| Earnings on bank owned life insurance | 117 | 140 | (23) |) | -16.4 % |
| Loan servicing fees | 92 | 117 | (25) |) | -21.4 % |
| Debit card interchange fees | 180 | 153 | 27 |) | 17.6 % |
| Other charges, commissions and fees | 273 | 259 | 14 |) | 5.4 % |
| Noninterest income before gains (losses) | 1,233 | 1,401 | (168) |) | -12.0 % |
| Net gains on sales and redemptions of investment securities | 323 | 28 | 295 |) | 1053.6 % |
| Net gains (losses) on sales of loans and foreclosed real estate | 40 | (53) | 93 |) | -175.5 % |
| Total noninterest income | \$1,596 | \$1,376 | \$220 |) | 16.0 % |

Noninterest income, exclusive of net gains and losses from the sale of securities, loans and foreclosed real estate (which items may be subject to larger period to period deviations), decreased to \$614,000 for the quarter ended June 30, 2011, compared to \$683,000 for the same period in the prior year. This is primarily due to a \$67,000 decrease in service charges on deposit accounts, a \$10,000 decrease in loan servicing fees, and a \$5,000 decrease in other charges and commissions, which was offset by a \$15,000 increase in debit card interchange fees. The decrease in service charges on deposit accounts is associated with a decrease in customer use of the Company's extended overdraft program. The customer's usage of the program was negatively impacted by the Federal Reserve Board's issuance of a final rule revising the provisions of Regulation E. As part of these revisions, financial institutions were prohibited from charging consumers fees for paying overdrafts on automated teller machine (ATM) and one-time debit card transactions, unless a consumer consents, or opts in, to the overdraft service for those types of transactions. The final rule became effective on July 1, 2010. Loan servicing fees are less than those collected during the second quarter of 2010 due, for the most part, to the reduction of fees received from investor's loans serviced by the Bank. As serviced loan portfolios pay down, without being replaced by new loan sales, the portfolio balance upon which servicing fees are calculated diminishes. The increase in debit card interchange fees is due to increased customer activity. Net gains on securities increased to \$295,000 for the quarter ended June 30, 2011 compared to \$17,000, for the same quarter in 2010. The increase is due to gains recognized on the sale of multiple securities in the current quarter due to strong pricing within our debt securities portfolio aided by the low interest rate environment. Net gains from the sales of loans and foreclosed real estate increased to a net gain of \$14,000 for the quarter ended June 30, 2011, as compared to a net loss of \$34,000 in 2010.

Noninterest income, exclusive of net gains and losses from the sale of securities, loans and foreclosed real estate, decreased to \$1.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, compared to \$1.4 million for the same period in the prior year. This is primarily due to a \$161,000 decrease in service charge on deposit accounts, reflecting a decrease in extended overdraft fees, for reasons mentioned above. Net gains and losses from the sale of securities increased to a net gain of \$323,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2011, as compared to a net gain of \$28,000 for the same period of 2010. The increase is due to gains recognized on the sale of multiple securities combined with gains recognized from cash redemptions from the SHAY Assets large cap equity and ultra short mortgage funds. Net gains and losses from the sales of loans and foreclosed real estate increased to a net gain of \$40,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2011, as compared to a net loss of \$53,000 in 2010. The increase is due to the gains recognized on the sale of foreclosed properties in 2011, compared to the losses that were recognized on the sale of foreclosed properties in 2010.

Table of Contents

Noninterest Expense

The following table sets forth certain information on noninterest expense for the periods indicated:

| (In thousands) | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|--------|------|---|
| | 2011 | 2010 | Change | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | \$ 1,764 | \$ 1,496 | \$ 268 | 17.9 | % |
| Building occupancy | 359 | 340 | 19 | 5.6 | % |
| Data processing | 352 | 354 | (2) | -0.6 | % |
| Professional and other services | 295 | 186 | 109 | 58.6 | % |
| FDIC assessments | 162 | 129 | 33 | 25.6 | % |
| Other expenses | 471 | 387 | 84 | 21.7 | % |
| Total noninterest expense | \$ 3,403 | \$ 2,892 | \$ 511 | 17.7 | % |

| (In thousands) | Six Months Ended June 30, | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------|----------|--------|------|---|
| | 2011 | 2010 | Change | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | \$ 3,473 | \$ 3,059 | \$ 414 | 13.5 | % |
| Building occupancy | 722 | 654 | 68 | 10.4 | % |
| Data processing | 705 | 682 | 23 | 3.4 | % |
| Professional and other services | 560 | 378 | 182 | 48.1 | % |
| FDIC assessments | 324 | 257 | 67 | 26.1 | % |
| Other expenses | 902 | 724 | 178 | 24.6 | % |
| Total noninterest expense | \$ 6,686 | \$ 5,754 | \$ 932 | 16.2 | % |

Noninterest expense increased \$511,000, or 17.7%, for the quarter ended June 30, 2011, compared to the comparable prior year period. The increase in noninterest expense is primarily due to increases of \$268,000 in salaries and employee benefits, \$109,000 in professional and other services, \$84,000 in other expenses, and \$33,000 in FDIC assessment expenses. The increase in salaries and employee benefits was due to the addition of 12 full-time equivalent positions and to annual merit based wage adjustments. The additional positions reflect the staffing and servicing needs at our new Cicero Branch location. The increase in professional and other services is due to the combination of an increase in advertising, legal fees, and consulting fees.

Noninterest expense increased \$932,000, or 16.2% for the six months ended June 30, 2011, compared to the same period in 2010. The increase in noninterest expense is due to increases of \$414,000 in salaries and employee benefits, \$182,000 in professional and other services, \$178,000 in other expenses, \$68,000 in building occupancy and \$67,000 in FDIC assessment expenses. The increase in salaries and employee benefits was due to the addition of 12 full-time equivalent positions and to annual merit based wage adjustments. The additional positions are primarily due to the staffing and servicing needs at our new Cicero Branch location. The increase in professional and other services is due to the combination of an increase in advertising, legal fees, and consulting fees. The increase in other expenses is a combination of an increased expense in community services donations, office supplies, audits and exams, travel and training, and foreclosed real estate expense.

Income Tax Expense

Income taxes increased \$13,000 for the quarter ended June 30, 2011, as compared to the same period in 2010. The effective tax rate was 30.0% and 30.3% for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010, respectively. The increase in income tax expense in 2011, compared to 2010, resulted from a \$50,000 increase in pretax income to \$839,000 for the second quarter of 2011. The Company has reduced its effective tax rate from the combined federal and state statutory rate of 38.7% primarily through the ownership of tax-exempt investment

securities, bank owned life insurance and other tax saving strategies.

Income taxes for the six month period ended June 30, 2011 were \$435,000 as compared to \$494,000 for the comparable prior year period, a decrease of \$59,000 or 11.9%. This decrease was due to a lower level of pretax income. The effective tax rate for both six month periods ended June 30 was 30.0%.

- 34 -

Table of Contents

Changes in Financial Condition

Assets

Total assets increased approximately \$10.0 million, or 2.4%, to \$418.5 million at June 30, 2011, from \$408.5 million at December 31, 2010. The increase in total assets was primarily the result of an increase of \$5.0 million, or 5.8%, in investment securities, a \$4.2 million increase in the total loan portfolio, and a \$1.1 million increase in net premises and equipment due to the new Cicero Branch location. Investment securities portfolio growth is being driven by the purchase of mortgage-backed securities with the excess liquidity generated by deposit growth.

Liabilities

Total liabilities increased \$8.1 million, or 2.2%, to \$386.1 million at June 30, 2011, from \$378.0 million at December 31, 2010. Deposits increased \$17.8 million, or 5.5%. This increase was offset by reductions in short-term borrowings of \$5.9 million, or 45.3%, and long-term borrowings of \$3.0 million. The increase in deposits was the result of an increase of \$8.6 million in retail and escrow deposits, an increase in business deposits of \$7.5 million, and a \$1.7 increase in municipal customer deposits. The increase in retail and business deposits is due principally to the new Cicero Branch location.

Loan and Asset Quality and Allowance for Loan Losses

The following table represents information concerning the aggregate amount of non-performing assets:

| (In thousands) | June 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 | June 30, 2010 |
|--|------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| Nonaccrual loans: | | | |
| Commercial real estate and commercial | \$2,626 | \$4,224 | \$3,635 |
| Consumer | 400 | 365 | 188 |
| Real estate - residential | 1,036 | 1,335 | 1,170 |
| Total nonaccrual loans | 4,062 | 5,924 | 4,993 |
| Total non-performing loans | 4,062 | 5,924 | 4,993 |
| Foreclosed real estate | 945 | 375 | 59 |
| Total non-performing assets | \$5,007 | \$6,299 | \$5,052 |
| Non-performing loans to total loans | 1.40 | % 2.08 | % 1.85 |
| Non-performing assets to total assets | 1.20 | % 1.54 | % 1.28 |
| Interest income that would have been recorded under the original terms of the loans | \$317 | \$260 | \$258 |

Total non-performing loans decreased approximately \$1.9 million, or 31.43%, at June 30, 2011, when compared to December 31, 2010. Total non-performing loans decreased approximately \$931,000 at June 30, 2011, when compared to June 30, 2010. The decrease in non-performing loans is a result of pay downs totaling \$1.1 million on one large non-performing commercial loan relationship, combined with an additional large commercial loan relationship moving into performing status during June 2011. Management continues to monitor and react to national and local economic trends as well as general portfolio conditions, which may impact the quality of the portfolio. In response to trends and risk management, the Bank increased the provision for loan losses in 2010 and has maintained those higher levels of provisioning in 2011. Despite the decrease in non-performing loans, the current concern over the existing economic environment warrants the current level of the provision for loan losses. The Company has also maintained strict loan underwriting standards and carefully monitors the performance of the loan portfolio.

Foreclosed real estate balances increased to \$945,000 at June 30, 2011, an increase of \$566,000 and \$886,000 over levels at December 31, 2011 and June 30, 2010, respectively. This increase in foreclosed real estate balances is the result of the continued challenging economic environment within the Company's market area.

The majority of the outstanding balances classified as non-performing loans at June 30, 2011 is comprised of commercial real estate, commercial, and residential real estate loans.

- 35 -

Table of Contents

The Company generally places a loan on nonaccrual status and ceases accruing interest when loan payment performance is deemed unsatisfactory and the loan is past due 90 days or more. There are no loans that are past due 90 days or more and are still accruing interest. The Company considers a loan impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal and interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan.

The measurement of impaired loans is generally based upon the fair value of the collateral with the minority of the impaired loans measured based upon the present value of future cash flows discounted at the historical effective interest rate. The Company used the fair value of collateral to measure impairment on commercial and commercial real estate loans. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company had \$5.1 million and \$7.0 million in loans, which were deemed to be impaired, having specific reserves of \$1.1 million and \$1.1 million, respectively.

Management has identified potential problem loans totaling \$8.8 million as of June 30, 2011, compared to \$7.0 million in potential problem loans as of December 31, 2010. These loans have been internally classified as special mention or substandard, yet are not currently considered impaired. Management has identified potential credit problems which may result in the borrowers not being able to comply with the current loan repayment terms and which may result in it being included in future impaired loan reporting. Management believes that the current allowance for loan losses is adequate to cover probable credit losses in the current loan portfolio.

The allowance for loan losses at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 was \$4.0 million and \$3.6 million, or 1.38% and 1.28% of period end loans, respectively.

Appraisals are obtained at the time a real estate secured loan is originated. For commercial real estate held as collateral, the property is inspected every two years. When evaluating our ability to collect from secondary sources, appraised values are adjusted to reflect the age of appraisal, the condition of the property, the current local real estate market, and cost to sell. Properties are re-appraised when our evaluation of the current property condition and the local real estate market suggests values may not be accurate.

In the normal course of business, Pathfinder Bank ("Pathfinder") has sold residential mortgage loans and participation interests in commercial loans. As is typical in the industry, the bank makes certain representations and warranties to the buyer. Pathfinder maintains a quality control program for closed loans and has never been asked to repurchase a sold loan. Therefore, management considers the risks and uncertainties associated with potential repurchase requirements to be minimal. There are no known or alleged defects in the securitization process or in the mortgage documentation. Any future risk of exposure would be immaterial.

Capital

Shareholders' equity at June 30, 2011, was \$32.4 million as compared to \$30.6 million at December 31, 2010. The Company added \$1.0 million to retained earnings through net income. The increase to retained earnings was combined with a decrease in accumulated other comprehensive loss to \$799,000 from \$1.9 million at December 31, 2010. Unrealized holding gains of \$1.1 million on securities, net of tax expense, resulted in a decrease in accumulated other comprehensive loss of \$1.1 million. In addition, \$80,000 of amortization of retirement plan losses and transition obligation, net of tax expense, was offset by \$15,000 in unrealized loss on the interest rate derivative, net of tax benefit, decreasing accumulated other comprehensive loss. Common stock dividends declared reduced capital by \$149,000. Preferred stock dividends paid to the United States Treasury, under the terms of the agreement entered into in 2009 as part of the Capital Purchase Plan, reduced capital by \$170,000.

Capital adequacy is evaluated primarily by the use of ratios which measure capital against total assets, as well as against total assets that are weighted based on defined risk characteristics. The Company's goal is to maintain a strong capital position, consistent with the risk profile of its subsidiary banks that supports growth and expansion activities

while at the same time exceeding regulatory standards. At June 30, 2011, Pathfinder exceeded all regulatory required minimum capital ratios and met the regulatory definition of a “well-capitalized” institution, i.e. a leverage capital ratio exceeding 5%, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio exceeding 6% and a total risk-based capital ratio exceeding 10%.

Table of Contents

The Bank's actual capital amounts and ratios as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 are presented in the following table.

| (Dollars in thousands) | Actual | | Minimum For Capital Adequacy Purposes | | | Minimum To Be "Well- Capitalized" Under Prompt Corrective Provisions | | |
|--|----------|-------|---|-------|------------|--|--------|-------|
| | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio |
| As of June 30, 2011: | | | | | | | | |
| Total Core Capital (to Risk-Weighted Assets) | \$37,011 | 13.8 | % \$21,436 | 8.0 | % \$26,795 | 10.0 | % | |
| Tier 1 Capital (to Risk-Weighted Assets) | \$33,554 | 12.5 | % \$10,718 | 4.0 | % \$16,077 | 6.0 | % | |
| Tier 1 Capital (to Average Assets) | \$33,554 | 8.2 | % \$16,384 | 4.0 | % \$20,480 | 5.0 | % | |
| As of December 31, 2010: | | | | | | | | |
| Total Core Capital (to Risk-Weighted Assets) | \$35,837 | 13.5 | % \$21,197 | 8.0 | % \$26,496 | 10.0 | % | |
| Tier 1 Capital (to Risk-Weighted Assets) | \$32,440 | 12.2 | % \$10,598 | 4.0 | % \$15,898 | 6.0 | % | |
| Tier 1 Capital (to Average Assets) | \$32,440 | 8.1 | % \$16,001 | 4.0 | % \$20,002 | 5.0 | % | |

Liquidity

Liquidity management involves the Company's ability to generate cash or otherwise obtain funds at reasonable rates to support asset growth, meet deposit withdrawals, maintain reserve requirements, and otherwise operate the Company on an ongoing basis. The Company's primary sources of funds are deposits, borrowed funds, amortization and prepayment of loans and maturities of investment securities and other short-term investments, and earnings and funds provided from operations. While scheduled principal repayments on loans are a relatively predictable source of funds, deposit flows and loan prepayments are greatly influenced by general interest rates, economic conditions and competition. The Company manages the pricing of deposits to maintain a desired deposit balance. In addition, the Company invests excess funds in short-term interest-earning and other assets, which provide liquidity to meet lending requirements.

The Company's liquidity has been enhanced by its membership in the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York, whose competitive advance programs and lines of credit provide the Company with a safe, reliable and convenient source of funds. A significant decrease in deposits in the future could result in the Company having to seek other sources of funds for liquidity purposes. Such sources could include, but are not limited to, additional borrowings, trust preferred security offerings, brokered deposits, negotiated time deposits, the sale of "available-for-sale" investment securities, the sale of securitized loans, or the sale of whole loans. Such actions could result in higher interest expense costs and/or losses on the sale of securities or loans.

The Company has a number of existing credit facilities available to it. Total credit available under the existing lines is approximately \$105.4 million. At June 30, 2011, the Company has \$32.1 million outstanding against the existing lines with \$73.3 million available.

The Asset Liability Management Committee of the Company is responsible for implementing the policies and guidelines for the maintenance of prudent levels of liquidity. As of June 30, 2011, management reported to the Board of Directors that the Company is in compliance with its liquidity policy guidelines.

Item 3 - Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

A smaller reporting company is not required to provide the information relating to this item.

Item 4 - Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the Company has evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that the Company files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms. There has been no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonable likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1 - Legal Proceedings

None

Item 1A – Risk Factors

A smaller reporting company is not required to provide the information relating to this item.

Item 2 – Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None

Item 3 - Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None

Item 4 – Removed and Reserved

Item 5 - Other Information

None

Item 6 - Exhibits

Exhibit

| No. | Description |
|------|---|
| 31.1 | Rule 13a-14(a) / 15d-14(a) Certification of the Chief Executive Officer |
| 31.2 | Rule 13a-14(a) / 15d-14(a) Certification of the Chief Financial Officer |
| 32.1 | Section 1350 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer |

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

PATHFINDER BANCORP, INC.

August 12, 2011 /s/ Thomas W. Schneider
Thomas W. Schneider
President and Chief Executive Officer

August 12, 2011 /s/ James A. Dowd
James A. Dowd
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT 31.1: Rule 13a-14(a) / 15d-14(a) Certification of the Chief Executive Officer

Certification of Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

I, Thomas W. Schneider, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Pathfinder Bancorp, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting, to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors:

Edgar Filing: PATHFINDER BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

- (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
- (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

August 12, 2011

/s/ Thomas W. Schneider
Thomas W. Schneider
President and Chief Executive Officer

- 40 -

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT 31.2: Rule 13a-14(a) / 15d-14(a) Certification of the Chief Financial Officer

Certification of Chief Financial Officer

Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

I, James A. Dowd, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Pathfinder Bancorp, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting, to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors:
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the

registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who
have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

August 12, 2011

/s/ James A. Dowd
James A. Dowd
Senior Vice President and Chief
Financial Officer

- 41 -

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT 32.1 Section 1350 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer

Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Thomas W. Schneider, President and Chief Executive Officer, and James A. Dowd, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Pathfinder Bancorp, Inc. (the "Company"), each certify in his capacity as an officer of the Company that he has reviewed the Quarterly Report of the Company on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2011 and that to the best of his knowledge:

1. the report fully complies with the requirements of Sections 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. the information contained in the report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

The purpose of this statement is solely to comply with Title 18, Chapter 63, Section 1350 of the United States Code, as amended by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

August 12, 2011

/s/ Thomas W. Schneider
Thomas W. Schneider
President and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ James A. Dowd
James A. Dowd
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Table of Contents