TERADYNE	E, INC										
Form 4	• • • • •										
February 05,											
FORM	UNITED	STATES S		ITIES AI hington, I			NGE (COMMISSION		9PROVAL 3235-0287	
Check this box if no longer subject to Section 16. Form 4 or Form 5 obligations SECURITIES Filed pursuant to Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Section 17(a) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 or Section								Expires: Estimated a burden hou response	irs per		
may conti See Instru 1(b).	inue. Section 17(ility Hold vestment (•	• •			on		
(Print or Type R	Responses)										
	ddress of Reporting VINCENT M	- :	Symbol	Name and ' YNE, INC		Fradin	g	5. Relationship of Issuer			
(Last) TERADYN	E, INC., 600		3. Date of (Month/Da 02/04/20	-	nsaction			X Director	e title $\frac{10\%}{\text{below}}$	b Owner	
RIVERPAR	(Street)			ndment, Dat h/Day/Year)	e Original			6. Individual or Joint/Group Filing(Check Applicable Line) _X_ Form filed by One Reporting Person			
NORTH RE	ADING,, MA 0	1864						Form filed by M Person			
(City)	(State)	(Zip)	Table	e I - Non-De	erivative S	ecuri	ties Ac	quired, Disposed o	f, or Beneficial	lly Owned	
1.Title of Security (Instr. 3)	2. Transaction Da (Month/Day/Year		ned 1 Date, if	3. Transactio Code (Instr. 8) Code V	4. Securit nAcquired Disposed (Instr. 3,	ties (A) o of (D	or () 5) Price	5. Amount of Securities Beneficially Owned Following Reported Transaction(s) (Instr. 3 and 4)	6. Ownership Form: Direct (D) or Indirect (I) (Instr. 4)	•	
Common Stock	02/05/2008			М	6,575	А	\$ 0 (1)	10,196	D		

Reminder: Report on a separate line for each class of securities beneficially owned directly or indirectly.

Persons who respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB control number.

 Table II - Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned

 (e.g., puts, calls, warrants, options, convertible securities)

1. Title of Derivative Security (Instr. 3)	2. Conversion or Exercise Price of Derivative Security	3. Transaction Date (Month/Day/Year)	3A. Deemed Execution Date, if any (Month/Day/Year)	4. Transactic Code (Instr. 8)	5. Numł orDerivati Securitic Acquire Dispose (Instr. 3 5)	ve es d (A) or d of (D)	6. Date Exer Expiration D (Month/Day)	ate	7. Title and A Underlying S (Instr. 3 and	Securities
				Code V	(A)	(D)	Date Exercisable	Expiration Date	Title	Amount or Number of Shares
Restricted Stock Units	\$ 0 <u>(2)</u>	02/04/2008		А	9,804		(3)	(3)	Common Stock	9,804
Restricted Stock Units	\$ 0 <u>(1)</u>	02/05/2008		М		6,575	<u>(1)</u>	<u>(1)</u>	Common Stock	6,575

Reporting Owners

Reportin	g Owner Name / Address		Relationsh	ips			
	g o ()	Director	10% Owner	Officer	Other		
TERADY 600 RIVE	Y VINCENT M TNE, INC. ERPARK DRIVE READING,, MA 01864	Х					
Signa	tures						
\s\Eileen attorney	Casal, General Counsel,	by power	of	02/	/05/2008		
	**Signature of Reporting Per	son			Date		
Expla	nation of Res	pons	es:				
*	If the form is filed by more th	an one repo	orting person, s	ee Instruct	tion 4(b)(v).		
**	Intentional misstatements or	omissions o	f facts constitu	te Federal	Criminal Violations. See 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 15 U.S.C. 78ff(a).		
(1)	Indicates conversion upon vesting of 100% of time-based Restricted Stock Units (RSU's) granted on February 5, 2007, under the Teradyne, Inc. 2006 Equity and Cash Compensation Incentive Plan.						

- (2) Each RSU represents the right to receive one share of Teradyne, Inc. common stock.
- (3) These RSU's were issued under the Teradyne, Inc. 2006 Equity & Cash Compensation Incentive Plan, are time-based and will vest in full on February 4, 2009.
- (4) Does not include a total of 61,699 options to purchase common stock of Teradyne, Inc., a portion of which are phantom stock units.

Note: File three copies of this Form, one of which must be manually signed. If space is insufficient, <i>see</i> Instruction 6 for procedure.
Potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays
a currently valid OMB number.

The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau may reshape the consumer financial laws through rulemaking and enforcement of the prohibitions against unfair, deceptive and abusive business practices. Compliance with any

Reporting Owners

(

such change may impact our business operations.

The CFPB has broad rulemaking authority to administer and carry out the provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act with respect to financial institutions that offer covered financial products and services to consumers. The CFPB has also been directed to adopt rules identifying practices or acts that are unfair, deceptive or abusive in connection with any transaction with a consumer for a consumer financial product or service, or the offering of a consumer financial product or service. The concept of what may be considered to be an "abusive" practice is new under the law. The full scope of the impact of this authority has not yet been determined as the CFPB has not yet released significant supervisory guidance. Moreover, the Bank will be supervised and examined by the CFPB for compliance with the CFPB's regulations and policies. As of the date of this annual report, the CFPB has not examined the Bank.

As discussed above, the CFPB recently issued several rules relating to mortgage operations and servicing, including a rule requiring mortgage lenders to make a reasonable and good faith determination based on verified and documented information that a consumer applying for a mortgage loan has a reasonable ability to repay the loan according to its terms, or to originate "qualified mortgages" that meet specific requirements with respect to terms, pricing and fees. These new rules will likely require the Bank to dedicate significant personnel resources and could have a material adverse effect on our operations.

Bank regulators and other regulations, including the Basel III Capital Rules, may require higher capital levels, impacting our ability to pay dividends or repurchase our stock.

The capital standards to which we are subject, including the standards created by the Basel III Capital Rules, may materially limit our ability to use our capital resources and/or could require us to raise additional capital by issuing common stock. The issuance of additional shares of common stock could dilute existing stockholders.

We may be adversely affected by other recent legislation.

As discussed above, the GLB Act repealed restrictions on banks affiliating with securities firms and it also permitted certain bank holding companies to become financial holding companies. Financial holding companies are permitted to engage in a host of financial activities, and activities that are incidental to financial activities, that are not permitted for bank holding companies who have not elected to become financial holding companies, including insurance and securities underwriting and agency activities, merchant banking, and insurance company portfolio investment activities. Although we are a financial holding company, this law may increase the competition we face from larger banks and other companies, especially considering the fact that we have agreed with the FRB to not engage in additional financial holding company activities until the Bank is considered both "well capitalized" and "well managed". It is not possible to predict the full effect that the GLB Act will have on us.

The federal Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 requires management of every publicly traded company to perform an annual assessment of the company's internal control over financial reporting and to report on whether the system is effective as of the end of the company's fiscal year. If our management were to discover and report significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting, then the market value of our securities and shareholder value could decline.

The USA Patriot Act requires certain financial institutions, such as the Bank, to maintain and prepare additional records and reports that are designed to assist the government's efforts to combat terrorism. This law includes sweeping anti-money laundering and financial transparency laws and required additional regulations, including, among other things, standards for verifying client identification when opening an account and rules to promote cooperation among financial institutions, regulators and law enforcement entities in identifying parties that may be involved in terrorism or money laundering. If we fail to comply with this law, we could be exposed to adverse publicity as well as fines and penalties assessed by regulatory agencies.

Customer concern about deposit insurance may cause a decrease in deposits held at the Bank.

With increased concerns about bank failures, customers increasingly are concerned about the extent to which their deposits are insured by the FDIC. Customers may withdraw deposits from the Bank in an effort to ensure that the amount they have on deposit with us is fully insured. Decreases in deposits may adversely affect our funding costs and net income.

[21]

The Bank's funding sources may prove insufficient to replace deposits and support our future growth.

The Bank relies on customer deposits, advances from the FHLB, lines of credit at other financial institutions and brokered funds to fund our operations. Although the Bank has historically been able to replace maturing deposits and advances if desired, no assurance can be given that the Bank would be able to replace such funds in the future if our financial condition or the financial condition of the FHLB or market conditions were to change. Our financial flexibility will be severely constrained and/or our cost of funds will increase if we are unable to maintain our access to funding or if financing necessary to accommodate future growth is not available at favorable interest rates. Finally, if we are required to rely more heavily on more expensive funding sources to support future growth, our revenues may not increase proportionately to cover our costs. In this case, our profitability would be adversely affected.

Recent rulemaking efforts by the FRB may negatively impact our non-interest income.

On November 12, 2009, the FRB announced the final rules amending Regulation E that prohibit financial institutions from charging fees to consumers for paying overdrafts on automated teller machine and one-time debit card transactions, unless a consumer consents, or opts-in, to the overdraft service for those types of transactions. Compliance with this regulation is effective July 1, 2010 for new consumer accounts and August 15, 2010 for existing consumer accounts. These new rules negatively impacted the Banks' non-interest income in 2012 and 2013 and may do the same in future periods.

In addition, the FRB has issued rules pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act governing debit card interchange fees that apply to institutions with greater than \$10 billion in assets. Although we are not subject to these rules, market forces may effectively require the Bank to adopt a debit card interchange fee structure that complies with these rules, in which case our non-interest income for future periods could be materially and adversely affected.

The loss of key personnel could disrupt our operations and result in reduced earnings.

Our growth and profitability will depend upon our ability to attract and retain skilled managerial, marketing and technical personnel. Competition for qualified personnel in the financial services industry is intense, and there can be no assurance that we will be successful in attracting and retaining such personnel. Our current executive officers provide valuable services based on their many years of experience and in-depth knowledge of the banking industry and the market areas we serve. Due to the intense competition for financial professionals, these key personnel would be difficult to replace and an unexpected loss of their services could result in a disruption to the continuity of operations and a possible reduction in earnings.

The Bank's lending activities subject the Bank to the risk of environmental liabilities.

A significant portion of the Bank's loan portfolio is secured by real property. During the ordinary course of business, the Bank may foreclose on and take title to properties securing certain loans. In doing so, there is a risk that hazardous or toxic substances could be found on these properties. If hazardous or toxic substances are found, the Bank may be liable for remediation costs, as well as for personal injury and property damage. Environmental laws may require the Bank to incur substantial expenses and may materially reduce the affected property's value or limit the Bank's ability to use or sell the affected property. In addition, future laws or more stringent interpretations or enforcement policies with respect to existing laws may increase the Bank's exposure to environmental liability. Although the Bank has policies and procedures to perform an environmental review before initiating any foreclosure action on real property, these reviews may not be sufficient to detect all potential environmental hazards. The remediation costs and any other financial liabilities associated with an environmental hazard could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

We may be subject to claims and the costs of defensive actions, and such claims and costs could materially and adversely impact our financial condition and results of operations.

Our customers may sue us for losses due to alleged breaches of fiduciary duties, errors and omissions of employees, officers and agents, incomplete documentation, our failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations, or many other reasons. Also, our employees may knowingly or unknowingly violate laws and regulations. Management may not be aware of any violations until after their occurrence. This lack of knowledge may not insulate us from liability. Claims and legal actions will result in legal expenses and could subject us to liabilities that may reduce our profitability and hurt our financial condition.

[22]

We may not be able to keep pace with developments in technology.

We use various technologies in conducting our businesses, including telecommunication, data processing, computers, automation, internet-based banking, and debit cards. Technology changes rapidly. Our ability to compete successfully with other financial institutions may depend on whether we can exploit technological changes. We may not be able to exploit technological changes, and any investment we do make may not make us more profitable.

Safeguarding our business and customer information increases our cost of operations. To the extent that we, or our third party vendors, are unable to prevent the theft of or unauthorized access to this information, our operations may become disrupted, we may be subject to claims, and our net income may be adversely affected.

Our business depends heavily on the use of computer systems, the Internet and other means of electronic communication and recordkeeping. Accordingly, we must protect our computer systems and network from break-ins, security breaches, and other risks that could disrupt our operations or jeopardize the security of our business and customer information. Moreover, we use third party vendors to provide products and services necessary to conduct our day-to-day operations, which exposes us to risk that these vendors will not perform in accordance with the service arrangements, including by failing to protect the confidential information we entrust to them. Any security measures that we or our vendors implement, including encryption and authentication technology that we use to effect secure transmissions of confidential information, may not be effective to prevent the loss or theft of our information or to prevent risks associated with the Internet, such as cyber-fraud. Advances in computer capabilities, new discoveries in the field of cryptography, or other developments could permit unauthorized persons to gain access to our confidential information in spite of the use of security measures that we believe are adequate. Any compromise of our security measures or of the security measures employed by our vendors of our third party could disrupt our business and/or could subject us to claims from our customers, either of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We may be unable to attract and/or retain key personnel because of our participation in the Troubled Asset Relief Program Capital Purchase Program.

On January 30, 2009, the Corporation participated in the Troubled Asset Relief Program ("TARP") Capital Purchase Program (the "CPP") adopted by the U.S. Department of Treasury ("Treasury") by selling 30,000 shares of its Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A (the "Series A Preferred Stock") to Treasury and issuing a 10-year common stock purchase warrant (the "Warrant") to Treasury, for a total consideration of \$30 million. As part of these transactions, the Corporation adopted the Treasury's standards for executive compensation and corporate governance for the period during which the Treasury holds any shares of the Series A Preferred Stock and/or any shares of common stock acquired upon exercise of the warrant. On February 17, 2009, the American Reinvestment and Recovery Act of 2009 (the "Recovery Act") was signed into law, which, among other things, imposed additional executive compensation restrictions on institutions that participate in the TARP CPP for so long as any TARP CPP

assistance remains outstanding. These restrictions include (i) a limitation on the types, timing and amounts of bonuses, retention awards and incentive compensation that may be paid to certain employees and (ii) a prohibition against making most severance payments to our "senior executive officers" (our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and the two next most highly compensated executive officers) and to our next five most highly compensated employees. These restrictions, coupled with the competition we face from other institutions, including institutions that do not participate in TARP, may make it more difficult for us to attract and/or retain exceptional key employees.

[23]

Because First United Corporation has failed to make six quarterly dividend payments on the Series A Preferred Stock, the holders thereof have the right to elect up to two additional directors to First United Corporation's Board of Directors.

The terms of the Series A Preferred Stock permit the Corporation to defer the payment of quarterly dividends, but, in that case, undeclared dividends will continue to accrue and must be paid in full at the time the Corporation terminates the dividend deferral. The terms further provide that whenever, at any time or times, dividends payable on the outstanding shares of the Series A Preferred Stock have not been paid for an aggregate of six quarterly dividend periods or more, whether or not consecutive, the authorized number of directors then constituting the Corporation's Board of Directors will automatically be increased by two, from 14 directors to 16 directors (based on the current board structure). Thereafter, holders of the Series A Preferred Stock, together with holders of any outstanding stock having voting rights similar to the Series A Preferred Stock, voting as a single class, will be entitled to fill the vacancies created by the automatic increase by electing up to two additional directors (the "Preferred Stock Directors") at the next annual meeting (or at a special meeting called for the purpose of electing the Preferred Stock Directors prior to the next annual meeting) and at each subsequent annual meeting until all accrued and unpaid dividends for all past dividend periods have been paid in full. The Corporation currently does not have any outstanding stock with voting rights on par with the Series A Preferred Stock. As discussed below, the Corporation has deferred the payment of cash dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock for more than six quarterly dividend periods, since November 15, 2010. If the Treasury were to inform the Corporation that it intends to elect Preferred Stock Directors, then the holders of the common stock would not be entitled to vote on the election of those Preferred Stock Directors.

Risks Relating to First United Corporation's Securities

The shares of common stock, Series A Preferred Stock, and the Warrant are not insured.

The shares of the Corporation's common stock, including the shares underlying the Warrant, the shares of its Series A Preferred Stock, and the Warrant are not deposits and are not insured against loss by the FDIC or any other governmental or private agency.

First United Corporation and the Bank have entered into informal agreements with their regulators that limit their ability to pay dividends and make other distributions on outstanding securities.

The Corporation has entered into an informal agreement with the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond (the "Reserve Bank") pursuant to which it agreed not to pay dividends on outstanding shares of its common stock or on outstanding shares of its Series A Preferred Stock or make interest payments under the Corporation's junior subordinated debentures ("TPS Debentures") underlying the trust preferred securities issued by the Trusts, or take any other action

that reduces regulatory capital without the prior approval of the Reserve Bank. The Bank has entered into a similar agreement with the FDIC and the Maryland Commissioner. These agreements give our regulators the ability to prohibit a proposed dividend payment, or any other distribution with respect to outstanding securities, including the repurchase of stock, at a time or times when applicable banking and corporate laws would otherwise permit such a dividend or distribution. There is no requirement that our regulators take consistent approaches when exercising their powers under these agreements. For example, even though the Reserve Bank might approve the payment of a particular dividend, that dividend could be effectively prohibited by the FDIC and/or the Maryland Commissioner if the Corporation intended to fund that dividend through a dividend by the Bank and the FDIC and/or the Maryland Commissioner were to deny the Bank's dividend request. Similarly, even though the FDIC and the Maryland Commissioner might approve a dividend by the Bank to the Corporation, the Reserve Bank could prevent the Corporation from using that dividend to make a distribution to the holders of its outstanding common stock, Series A Preferred Stock, or outstanding TPS Debentures. These agreements increase the likelihood that we will realize the other risks discussed below related to our ability to pay dividends and make other distributions.

The terms of the Series A Preferred Stock limit First United Corporation's ability to pay dividends and make other distributions on its common stock, and First United Corporation's deferral of dividend payments under the Series A Preferred Stock has triggered additional dividend restrictions.

The terms of the Series A Preferred Stock prohibit the Corporation from declaring or paying any dividends or making other distributions on the outstanding shares of its common stock, and from repurchasing, redeeming or otherwise acquiring shares of its common stock, if the Corporation is in arrears on any quarterly cash dividend due on the Series A Preferred Stock. On November 15, 2010, at the request of the Reserve Bank, the Corporation elected to defer regularly scheduled quarterly cash dividend payments under the Series A Preferred Stock, starting with the dividend payment that was due on November 15, 2010. As a result, the Corporation is currently prohibited from declaring or paying dividends on the outstanding shares of its common stock. The Corporation cannot predict when, or if, it will be able to pay accrued and future dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock or, thus, the common stock.

[24]

First United Corporation's ability to pay dividends on its capital securities is also subject to the terms of the outstanding TPS Debentures, which prohibit First United Corporation from paying dividends during an interest deferral period.

In March 2004, the Corporation issued approximately \$30.9 million of TPS Debentures to Trust I and Trust II in connection with the sales by those Trusts of \$30.0 in mandatorily redeemable preferred capital securities to third party investors. Between December 2009 and January 2010, the Corporation issued approximately \$10.8 million of TPS Debentures to Trust III in connection with the sale by Trust III of approximately \$10.5 million in mandatorily redeemable preferred capital securities to third party investors. The terms of the TPS Debentures require the Corporation to make quarterly payments of interest to the Trusts, as the holders of the TPS Debentures, although the Corporation has the right to defer payments of interest for up to 20 consecutive quarterly periods. An election to defer interest payments does not constitute an event of default under the terms of the TPS Debentures. The terms of the TPS Debentures, required the TPS Debentures prohibit the Corporation from declaring or paying any dividends or making other distributions on, or from repurchasing, redeeming or otherwise acquiring, any shares of its common stock or shares of its Series A Preferred Stock if the Corporation elects to defer quarterly interest payments under the TPS Debentures. In addition, a deferral election will require the Trusts to likewise defer the payment of quarterly dividends on their related trust preferred securities.

On December 15, 2010, at the request of the Reserve Bank, the Corporation elected to defer regularly scheduled quarterly interest payments under the TPS Debentures, starting with the interest payments due in March 2011, and this deferral required the Trusts to defer regular quarterly dividend payments on their trust preferred securities. In February 2014, the Corporation received approval from the Reserve Bank to terminate that deferral by making the quarterly interest payments due to the Trusts in March 2014. The approval was limited to the March 2014 quarterly interest payments, so the Corporation's ability to make interest payments in any future quarter will be contingent on its receipt of approval thereof from the Reserve Bank. In addition, it should be noted that the Corporation's ability to make future quarterly interest payments under the TPS Debentures will depend in large part on its receipt of dividends from the Bank, and the Bank may pay dividends only with the prior approval of the FDIC and the Maryland Commissioner. Although the FDIC and the Maryland Commissioner have authorized the Bank to pay dividends to the Corporation in an aggregate amount necessary for the Corporation to make the quarterly interest payments due in March 2014, June 2014, September 2014 and December 2014, that approval is subject to revocation by the FDIC and the Maryland Commissioner at any time if they determine that the Bank's financial condition and/or results of operations do not support the dividend. As a result of these limitations, no assurance can be given that the Corporation will make the guarterly interest payments due under the TPS Debentures in any future guarter. If the Corporation and/or the Bank do not receive the approvals necessary for the Corporation to make any future quarterly interest payment, then Corporation will be required to again elect to defer interest payments, with the result that the Corporation will be prohibited from paying cash dividends on or making other distributions with respect to the shares of its common stock or the shares of its Series A Preferred Stock.

Applicable banking and Maryland laws impose additional restrictions on the ability of First United Corporation and the Bank to pay dividends and make other distributions on their capital securities, and, in any event, the payment of dividends is at the discretion of the boards of directors of First United Corporation and the Bank. In the past, the Corporation's ability to pay dividends to shareholders has been largely dependent upon the receipt of dividends from the Bank. Since December 2009, the Corporation had used its cash to pay dividends. In December 2010, however, the Corporation contributed substantially all of its excess cash to the Bank to strengthen the Bank's capital levels. Accordingly, in the event that the Corporation desires to pay cash dividends on the common stock and/or the Series A Preferred Stock in the future, and assuming such dividends are then permitted under the terms of the Series A Preferred Stock and the TPS Debentures, the Corporation will likely need to rely on dividends from the Bank to pay such dividends, and there can be no guarantee that the Bank will be able to pay such dividends. Both federal and state laws impose restrictions on the ability of the Bank to pay dividends. Under Maryland law, a state-chartered commercial bank may pay dividends only out of undivided profits or, with the prior approval of the Maryland Commissioner, from surplus in excess of 100% of required capital stock. If, however, the surplus of a Maryland bank is less than 100% of its required capital stock, cash dividends may not be paid in excess of 90% of net earnings. In addition to these specific restrictions, bank regulatory agencies have the ability to prohibit proposed dividends by a financial institution which would otherwise be permitted under applicable regulations if the regulatory body determines that such distribution would constitute an unsafe or unsound practice. Banks that are considered "troubled institution" are prohibited by federal law from paying dividends altogether. Notwithstanding the foregoing, shareholders must understand that the declaration and payment of dividends and the amounts thereof are at the discretion of the Corporation's Board of Directors. Thus, even at times when the Corporation is not prohibited from paying cash dividends on its capital securities, neither the payment of such dividends nor the amounts thereof can be guaranteed.

[25]

There is no market for the Series A Preferred Stock or the Warrant, and the common stock is not heavily traded.

There is no established trading market for the shares of the Series A Preferred Stock or the Warrant. The Corporation does not intend to apply for listing of the Series A Preferred Stock on any securities exchange or for inclusion of the Series A Preferred Stock in any automated quotation system unless requested by the Treasury. The common stock is listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market, but shares of the common stock are not heavily traded. Securities that are not heavily traded can be more volatile than stock trading in an active public market. Factors such as our financial results, the introduction of new products and services by us or our competitors, and various factors affecting the banking industry generally may have a significant impact on the market price of the shares the common stock. Management cannot predict the extent to which an active public market for any of the Corporation's securities will develop or be sustained in the future. Accordingly, holders of the Corporation's securities may not be able to sell such securities at the volumes, prices, or times that they desire.

First United Corporation's Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws and Maryland law may discourage a corporate takeover.

The Corporation's Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation (the "Charter") and its Amended and Restated Bylaws, as amended (the "Bylaws") contain certain provisions designed to enhance the ability of the Corporation's Board of Directors to deal with attempts to acquire control of the Corporation. First, the Board of Directors is classified into three classes. Directors of each class serve for staggered three-year periods, and no director may be removed except for cause, and then only by the affirmative vote of either a majority of the entire Board of Directors or a majority of the outstanding voting stock. Second, the board has the authority to classify and reclassify unissued shares of stock of any class or series of stock by setting, fixing, eliminating, or altering in any one or more respects the preferences, rights, voting powers, restrictions and qualifications of, dividends on, and redemption, conversion, exchange, and other rights of, such securities. The board could use this authority, along with its authority to authorize the issuance of securities of any class or series, to issue shares having terms favorable to management to a person or persons affiliated with or otherwise friendly to management. In addition, the Bylaws require any shareholder who desires to nominate a director to abide by strict notice requirements.

Maryland law also contains anti-takeover provisions that apply to the Corporation. The Maryland Business Combination Act generally prohibits, subject to certain limited exceptions, corporations from being involved in any "business combination" (defined as a variety of transactions, including a merger, consolidation, share exchange, asset transfer or issuance or reclassification of equity securities) with any "interested shareholder" for a period of five years following the most recent date on which the interested shareholder became an interested shareholder. An interested shareholder is defined generally as a person who is the beneficial owner of 10% or more of the voting power of the outstanding voting stock of the corporation after the date on which the corporation had 100 or more beneficial owners of its stock or who is an affiliate or associate of the corporation and was the beneficial owner, directly or indirectly, of 10% percent or more of the voting power of the then outstanding stock of the corporation at any time within the two-year period immediately prior to the date in question and after the date on which the corporation had 100 or more

Explanation of Responses:

beneficial owners of its stock. The Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act applies to acquisitions of "control shares", which, subject to certain exceptions, are shares the acquisition of which entitle the holder, directly or indirectly, to exercise or direct the exercise of the voting power of shares of stock of the corporation in the election of directors within any of the following ranges of voting power: one-tenth or more, but less than one-third of all voting power; one-third or more, but less than a majority of all voting power or a majority or more of all voting power. Control shares have limited voting rights.

Although these provisions do not preclude a takeover, they may have the effect of discouraging, delaying or deferring a tender offer or takeover attempt that a shareholder might consider in his or her best interest, including those attempts that might result in a premium over the market price for the common stock. Such provisions will also render the removal of the Board of Directors and of management more difficult and, therefore, may serve to perpetuate current management. These provisions could potentially adversely affect the market prices of the Corporation's securities.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

This Item 1B is not applicable because the Corporation is a "smaller reporting company".

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

The headquarters of the Corporation and the Bank occupies approximately 29,000 square feet at 19 South Second Street, Oakland, Maryland, a 30,000 square feet operations center located at 12892 Garrett Highway, Oakland Maryland and 8,500 square feet at 102 South Second Street, Oakland, Maryland. These premises are owned by the Corporation. The Bank owns 20 of its banking offices and leases five. The Bank also leases one office that is used for disaster recovery purposes and one specialty office. During the third quarter of 2013, two offices were closed. Total rent expense on the leased offices and properties was \$.5 million in 2013.

[26]

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are at times, in the ordinary course of business, subject to legal actions. Management, upon the advice of counsel, believes that losses, if any, resulting from current legal actions will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

PART II

ITEMMARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS5.AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Shares of the Corporation's common stock are listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol "FUNC". As of February 28, 2014, the Corporation had 1,751 shareholders of record. The high and low sales prices for the shares of the Corporation's common stock for each quarterly period of 2013 and 2012 are set forth below. On March 7, 2014, the closing sales price of the common stock as reported on the NASDAQ Global Select Market was \$7.99 per share. During 2013 and 2012, the Corporation did not declare any dividends on its common stock.

High	Low
\$9.00	\$6.68
8.95	7.15
9.35	7.05
8.92	7.31
\$6.48	\$3.16
8.60	4.05
7.25	4.31
7.80	6.02
	\$9.00 8.95 9.35 8.92 \$6.48 8.60 7.25

The ability of the Bank to declare dividends is limited by federal and state banking laws and state corporate laws. Subject to the restrictions imposed on the Corporation by these laws and the terms of its other securities, the payment of dividends on the shares of common stock and the amounts thereof are at the discretion of the Corporation's Board of Directors. Prior to November 2010, cash dividends were typically declared on a quarterly basis. Historically, dividends to shareholders were generally dependent on the ability of the Corporation's subsidiaries, especially the Bank, to declare dividends to the Corporation. As a result of the Corporation's deferral of cash dividends under its Series A Preferred Stock in November 2010, the Corporation is currently prohibited from declaring or paying cash dividends on outstanding shares of its common stock. A complete discussion of these and other dividend restrictions is contained in Item 1A of Part I of this annual report under the heading "*Risks Relating to First United Corporation's Securities*" and in Note 21 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, both of which are incorporated herein by reference.

Because of these limitations and the fact that dividends are declared at the discretion of the Board, there can be no assurance that dividends will be declared in any future fiscal quarter. The Corporation intends to periodically evaluate its dividend policy both internally and with the FRB, but it has no present intention of resuming dividend payments on its common stock in the foreseeable future.

Issuer Repurchases

Neither the Corporation nor any of its affiliates (as defined by Exchange Act Rule 10b-18) repurchased any shares of the Corporation's common stock during 2013.

[27]

Equity Compensation Plan Information

Pursuant to the SEC's Regulation S-K Compliance and Disclosure Interpretation 106.01, the information regarding the Corporation's equity compensation plans required by this Item pursuant to Item 201(d) of Regulation S-K is located in Item 12 of Part III of this annual report and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table sets forth certain selected financial data for the five years ended December 31, and is qualified in its entirety by the detailed information and financial statements, including notes thereto, included elsewhere or incorporated by reference in this annual report.

(Dollars in thousands, except for share data)	2013		2012		2011		2010		2009	
Balance Sheet Data										
Total Assets	\$1,333,503	3	\$1,320,78	3	\$1,390,86	5	\$1,696,44	5	\$1,743,79	6
Net Loans	796,646		858,782		919,214		987,615		1,101,79	4
Investment Securities	340,489		227,313		245,023		229,687		273,784	
Deposits	977,403		976,884		1,027,78	4	1,301,64	6	1,304,16	6
Long-term Borrowings	182,672		182,735		207,044		243,100		270,544	
Shareholders' Equity	101,340		98,905		96,656		95,640		100,566	
Operating Data										
Interest Income	\$49,914		\$53,111		\$59,496		\$70,747		\$85,342	
Interest Expense	11,732		13,965		21,206		29,164		32,104	
Net Interest Income	38,182		39,146		38,290		41,583		53,238	
Provision for Loan Losses	380		9,390		9,157		15,726		15,588	
Other Operating Income	13,042		13,630		14,966		15,356		15,390	
Net Securities Impairment Losses	0		0		(19)	(8,364)	(26,693)
Net Gains/(Losses) – Other	229		1,708		2,302		(6,014)	411	
Other Operating Expense	42,405		39,518		43,410		45,049		46,578	
Income/(Loss) Before Taxes	8,668		5,576		2,991		(18,214)	(19,820)
Income Tax expense/(benefit)	2,222		913		(635)	(8,017)	(8,496)
Net Income/(Loss)	\$6,446		\$4,663		\$3,626		\$(10,197)	\$(11,324)
Accumulated preferred stock dividend and	(1 770	`	(1.601	`	(1.600	``	(1.550	`	(1.420	`
discount accretion	(1,778)	(1,691)	(1,609)	(1,559)	(1,430)
Net income available to/(loss) attributable to common shareholders	\$4,668		\$2,972		\$2,017		\$(11,756)	\$(12,754)

Per Share Data

Explanation of Responses:

Basic and diluted net Income/(Loss) per common share	\$0.75		\$0.48		\$0.33		\$(1.91)	\$(2.08)
Dividends Paid	0		0		0		0.13		0.80	
Book Value	11.49		11.14		10.80		10.68		11.49	
Significant Ratios										
Return on Average Assets	0.48	%	0.34	%	0.24	%	(0.58)%	(0.67)%
Return on Average Equity	6.45	%	4.79	%	3.71	%	(10.10)%	(11.02)%
Dividend Payout Ratio	0	%	0	%	0.00	%	(7.85)%	(43.21)%
Average Equity to Average Assets	7.49	%	7.15	%	6.55	%	5.73	%	6.06	%
Total Risk-based Capital Ratio	15.29	%	14.13	%	13.05	%	11.57	%	11.20	%
Tier I Capital to Risk Weighted Assets	13.65	%	12.54	%	11.30	%	9.74	%	9.60	%
Tier I Capital to Average Assets	10.97	%	10.32	%	9.10	%	7.34	%	8.53	%

[28]

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto for the year ended December 31, 2013, which are included in Item 8 of Part II of this annual report.

Overview

First United Corporation is a financial holding company which, through the Bank and its non-bank subsidiaries, provides an array of financial products and services primarily to customers in four Western Maryland counties and three Northeastern West Virginia counties. Its principal operating subsidiary is the Bank, which consists of a community banking network of 25 branch offices located throughout its market areas. Our primary sources of revenue are interest income earned from our loan and investment securities portfolios and fees earned from financial services provided to customers.

Consolidated net income available to common shareholders was \$4.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to net income available to common shareholders of \$3.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. Basic and diluted net income per common share for the year ended December 31, 2013 were \$.75, compared to basic and diluted net income per common share of \$.48 for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase in net income for 2013 when compared to 2012 was attributable to an \$8.0 million increase in net interest income after provision for loan losses driven by reduced charge-off activity. This increase was offset by a decrease in other operating income of \$2.1 million primarily attributable to a decline in net gains of \$1.5 million and a decrease of \$.8 million in bank-owned life insurance ("BOLI") income driven by a one-time death benefit of \$.7 million that was paid in March 2012. The increase was also offset by a \$2.9 million increase in other operating expenses, due primarily to a \$2.0 million increase in other real estate owned ("OREO") expenses, and a \$1.3 million increase in tax expense. The net interest margin for the year ended December 31, 2013, on a fully tax equivalent ("FTE") basis, decreased to 3.25% from 3.30% for the year ended December 31, 2012.

The provision for loan losses decreased to \$.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$9.4 million for 2012. The lower provision expense in 2013 was primarily due to a \$9.0 million loan charge-off on a shared national credit for an ethanol plant in western Pennsylvania, \$1.1 million in charge-offs on a participation loan for a hotel located in Hazleton, Pennsylvania, and a \$.9 million charge-off on a motel located in Salisbury, Maryland, all during the first quarter of 2012. During 2013, we continued to see a leveling in the credit quality of our loan portfolio as we experienced fewer loan downgrades and delinquency levels have improved. We also recorded a \$.8 million recovery on a large commercial real estate credit during the third quarter of 2013. Specific allocations have been made for impaired loans where management has determined that the collateral supporting the loans is not adequate to cover the loan balance, and the qualitative factors affecting the allowance for loan losses ("ALL") have been adjusted based on the current economic environment and the characteristics of the loan portfolio.

Other operating income decreased \$2.0 million during 2013 when compared to 2012. This decrease was attributable to a decline of \$1.5 million in net gains and a decrease of \$.8 million in BOLI income due to the one-time death benefit of \$.7 million in March 2012.

Operating expenses increased \$2.9 million during 2013 when compared to 2012. This increase was due to a \$2.1 million increase in OREO expenses primarily due to the increase in the valuation allowance on OREO in order to better position the properties for quicker retail sales. Salaries and benefits increased \$.5 million in 2013 when compared to 2012 primarily due to increased 401K expense for a discretionary contribution into the plan as a result of the "soft freeze" on the defined benefit pension plan. Other expenses increased \$.3 million in 2013 when compared to 2012 due to increases in miscellaneous expenses such as legal and professional expenses.

Comparing December 31, 2013 to December 31, 2012, outstanding loans decreased by \$64.6 million (7.4%). CRE loans decreased \$30.8 million as a result of the payoff of several large loans and ongoing scheduled principal payments. Acquisition and development ("A&D") loans decreased \$21.2 million due primarily to \$5.0 million of principal amortization and \$28.7 million of payoffs, offset by \$17.9 million of new loans. Commercial and industrial ("C&I") loans decreased \$9.2 million due primarily to \$8.7 million of payoffs and scheduled principal payments. Residential mortgages increased by \$4.0 million due to increased production of loans primarily in our 10/1 adjustable rate mortgage program. The Bank continues to use Fannie Mae for the majority of new, longer-term, fixed-rate residential loan originations, although production for these loans slowed during the third and fourth quarters of 2013. The consumer portfolio decreased \$7.4 million due primarily to repayment activity in the indirect auto portfolio offsetting new production. At December 31, 2013, approximately 57% of the commercial loan portfolio was collateralized by real estate, compared to 60% at December 31, 2012.

[29]

Interest income on loans in 2013 decreased by \$4.5 million (on a FTE basis) when compared to 2012 due to the continued low rate environment and a decline in loan balances during 2013. Interest income on the investment securities increased by \$1.1 million (on a FTE basis) due to purchases during 2013. (Additional information on the composition of interest income is available in Table 1 that appears on page 34).

Total deposits increased \$.5 million during 2013 when compared to deposits at December 31, 2012. The slight increase in deposits was due to increases of \$6.6 million in traditional savings accounts, \$9.8 million in interest-bearing demand deposits, \$13.1 million in money market accounts and \$28.0 million in non-interest bearing demand deposits. These increases were offset by a \$19.2 million decrease in time deposits less than \$100,000 and a \$37.8 million decrease in time deposits was a result of management's plan to reduce cost of funds by changing the mix of the deposit portfolio.

Interest expense decreased \$2.2 million in 2013 when compared to 2012. The decline was primarily due to our strategic focus to shift the mix of our portfolio from higher cost certificates of deposit to core deposits during 2013 as discussed above.

Other Operating Income/Other Operating Expense – Other operating income, exclusive of gains, decreased \$.6 million during 2013 when compared to 2012. The decrease was due to the reduction in BOLI income due to the one-time death benefit of \$.7 million that was received in March 2012. Trust department income increased \$.4 million when comparing 2013 to 2012. Trust assets under management were \$675 million at December 31, 2013 and \$637 million at December 31, 2012.

Net gains of \$.2 million were reported through other income during 2013, compared to net gains of \$1.7 million during 2012. The reduction in net gains during 2013 was due to reduced gains on sales of investment securities.

Operating expenses increased \$2.9 million for the year ended 2013 when compared to the same period of 2012 due to a \$2.0 million increase in OREO expenses primarily related to the valuation allowance on OREO properties. Salaries and benefits increased \$.5 million in 2013 when compared to 2012 due to increased 401K expense for a discretionary contribution into the plan as a result of the "soft freeze" on the defined benefit pension plan. Other expenses increased \$.3 million in 2013 when compared to increases in miscellaneous expenses such as legal and professional expenses.

Dividends – During 2013, the Corporation did not declare or pay any dividends on the shares of its common stock due to the Board of Directors' decision in November 2010 to defer quarterly cash dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock. The Board did not declare or pay any dividends on the outstanding shares of Series A Preferred Stock in 2013, but the quarterly dividends that would have been paid had they been declared continue to accrue and must be paid in

full before the Corporation may resume the payment of regularly-scheduled quarterly dividends.

Looking Forward – We will continue to face risks and challenges in the future, including, without limitation, changes in local economic conditions in our core geographic markets, potential yield compression on loan and deposit products from existing competitors and potential new entrants in our markets, fluctuations in interest rates, and changes to existing federal and state laws and regulations that apply to banks and financial holding companies. For a more complete discussion of these and other risk factors, see Item 1A of Part I of this annual report.

[30]

Recent Developments

At the request of the Reserve Bank in December 2010, the Corporation's Board of Directors elected to defer quarterly interest payments under the TPS Debentures beginning with the payments due in March 2011. In February 2014, the Corporation received approval from the Reserve Bank to terminate this deferral by making the quarterly interest payments due to the Trusts in March 2014. At the time it makes those quarterly interest payments, the Corporation will be required pursuant to the terms of the TPS Debentures to also pay all unpaid interest that has accrued during the deferral period. In connection with this deferral termination, deferred interest of approximately \$1.024 million will be paid to Trust I on March 17, 2014, deferred interest of approximately \$2.048 million will be paid to Trust II on March 17, 2014, and deferred interest of approximately \$3,763 million will be paid to Trust III on March 15, 2014. This approval was limited to the March 2014 payments, and the payment of quarterly interest due in any subsequent quarter will be contingent on the Corporation's receipt of approval from the Reserve Bank to make that payment. In considering a request for approval, the Reserve Bank will consider, among other things, the Corporation's financial condition and its quarterly results of operations. In addition to this pre-approval requirement, it should be noted that the Corporation's ability to make future quarterly interest payments under the TPS Debentures will depend in large part on its receipt of dividends from the Bank, and the Bank may make dividend payments only with the prior approval of the FDIC and the Maryland Commissioner. Although the FDIC and the Maryland Commissioner have authorized the Bank to pay dividends to the Corporation in an aggregate amount necessary for the Corporation to make the quarterly interest payments due in March 2014, June 2014, September 2014 and December 2014, that approval is subject to revocation by the FDIC and the Maryland Commissioner at any time if they determine that the Bank's financial condition and/or results of operations do not support the payment of dividends. As a result of these limitations, no assurance can be given that the Corporation will make the quarterly interest payments due under the TPS Debentures in any future quarter. In the event that the Corporation and/or the Bank do not receive the approvals necessary for the Corporation to make future quarterly interest payments, the Corporation will have to again elect to defer interest payments. The terms of the TPS Debentures permit the Corporation to elect to defer payments of interest for up to 20 consecutive quarterly periods, provided that no event of default exists under the TPS Debentures at the time of the election. An election to defer interest payments is not considered a default under the TPS Debentures.

Estimates and Critical Accounting Policies

This discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon our Consolidated Financial Statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent liabilities. (See Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.) On an on-going basis, management evaluates estimates and bases those estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. Management believes the following critical accounting policies affect our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Allowance for Loan Losses, or ALL

One of our most important accounting policies is that related to the monitoring of the loan portfolio. A variety of estimates impact the carrying value of the loan portfolio, including the calculation of the ALL, the valuation of underlying collateral, the timing of loan charge-offs and the placement of loans on non-accrual status. The allowance is established and maintained at a level that management believes is adequate to cover losses resulting from the inability of borrowers to make required payment on loans. Estimates for loan losses are arrived at by analyzing risks associated with specific loans and the loan portfolio, current and historical trends in delinquencies and charge-offs, and changes in the size and composition of the loan portfolio. The analysis also requires consideration of the economic climate and direction, changes in lending rates, political conditions, legislation impacting the banking industry and economic conditions specific to Western Maryland and Northeastern West Virginia. Because the calculation of the ALL relies on management's estimates and judgments relating to inherently uncertain events, actual results may differ from management's estimates.

The ALL is also discussed below in Item 7 under the heading "Allowance for Loan Losses" and in Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Goodwill

Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 350, *Intangibles – Goodwill and Other*, establishes standards for the amortization of acquired intangible assets and impairment assessment of goodwill. We have \$11.0 million in recorded goodwill at December 31, 2013 that is related to the acquisition of Huntington National Bank branches that occurred in 2003 that is not subject to periodic amortization.

Goodwill arising from business combinations represents the value attributable to unidentifiable intangible elements in the business acquired. Goodwill is not amortized but is tested for impairment annually or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. Impairment testing requires that the fair value of each of the Corporation's reporting units be compared to the carrying amount of its net assets, including goodwill. If the estimated current fair value of the reporting unit exceeds its carrying value, no additional testing is required and an impairment loss is not recorded. Otherwise, additional testing is performed, and to the extent such additional testing results in a conclusion that the carrying value of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value, an impairment loss is recognized.

[31]

Our goodwill relates to the value inherent in the banking business, and that value is dependent upon our ability to provide quality, cost effective services in a highly competitive local market. This ability relies upon continuing investments in processing systems, the development of value-added service features and the ease of use of our services. As such, goodwill value is supported ultimately by revenue that is driven by the volume of business transacted. A decline in earnings as a result of a lack of growth or the inability to deliver cost effective services over sustained periods can lead to impairment of goodwill, which could adversely impact earnings in future periods. ASC Topic 350 requires an annual evaluation of goodwill for impairment. The determination of whether or not these assets are impaired involves significant judgments and estimates.

Throughout 2013, consistent with the Corporation's peer group, the shares of the Corporation's common stock traded below its book value. At December 31, 2013, the Corporation's stock price was below its tangible book value. Management believed that these circumstances could indicate the possibility of impairment. Accordingly, management consulted a third party valuation specialist to assist it with the determination of the fair value of the Corporation, considering both the market approach (guideline public company method) and the income approach (discounted future benefits method). Due to the illiquidity in the common stock and the adverse conditions surrounding the banking industry, reliance was placed on the income approach in determining the fair value of the Corporation. The income approach is a discounted cash flow analysis that is determined by adding (i) the present value, which is a representation of the current value of a sum that is to be received some time in the future, of the estimated net income, net of dividends paid out, that the Corporation could generate over the next five years and (ii) the present value of a terminal value, which is a representation of the current value of the current value of an entity at a specified time in the future. The terminal value was calculated using both a price to tangible book multiple method and a capitalization method and the more conservative of the two was utilized in the fair value calculation.

Significant assumptions used in the above methods include:

•Net income from our forward five-year operating budget, incorporating conservative growth and mix assumptions; A discount rate of 10.0% based on the most recently available [third quarter of 2012] Cost of Capital Report from •Morningstar/Ibbotson Associates for the Commercial Banking Sector adjusted for a size and risk premium of 298 basis points;

A price to tangible book multiple of 1.16, which was the average monthly multiple of unassisted national bank and thrift acquisitions in 2013 as provided by Sheshunoff & Co.; and

• A capitalization rate of 7.0% (discount rate of 10.0% adjusted for a conservative growth rate of 3.0%).

The resulting fair value of the income approach resulted in the fair value of the Corporation exceeding the carrying value by 59%. Management stressed the assumptions used in the analysis to provide additional support for the derived value. This stress testing showed that (i) the discount rate could increase to 27% before the excess would be eliminated in the tangible multiple method, and (ii) the assumption of the tangible book multiple could decline to 0.54 and still result in a fair value in excess of book value. Based on the results of the evaluation, management concluded that the recorded value of goodwill at December 31, 2013 was not impaired. However, future changes in strategy and/or market conditions could significantly impact these judgments and require adjustments to recorded asset balances. Management will continue to evaluate goodwill for impairment on an annual basis and as events occur or

Explanation of Responses:

circumstances change.

Accounting for Income Taxes

We account for income taxes in accordance with ASC Topic 740, "*Income Taxes*". Under this guidance, deferred taxes are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates that will apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized as income or expense in the period that includes the enactment date.

[32]

We regularly review the carrying amount of our net deferred tax assets to determine if the establishment of a valuation allowance is necessary. If based on the available evidence, it is more likely than not that all or a portion of our net deferred tax assets will not be realized in future periods, then a deferred tax valuation allowance must be established. Consideration is given to various positive and negative factors that could affect the realization of the deferred tax assets. In evaluating this available evidence, management considers, among other things, historical performance, expectations of future earnings, the ability to carry back losses to recoup taxes previously paid, length of statutory carry forward periods, experience with utilization of operating loss and tax credit carry forwards not expiring, tax planning strategies and timing of reversals of temporary differences. Significant judgment is required in assessing future earnings trends and the timing of reversals of temporary differences. Our evaluation is based on current tax laws as well as management's expectations of future performance.

Management expects that the Corporation's adherence to the required accounting guidance may result in increased volatility in quarterly and annual effective income tax rates because of changes in judgment or measurement including changes in actual and forecasted income before taxes, tax laws and regulations, and tax planning strategies.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairment of Investment Securities

Management systematically evaluates securities for impairment on a quarterly basis. Based upon application of accounting guidance for subsequent measurement in ASC Topic 320 (Section 320-10-35), management assesses whether (i) we have the intent to sell a security being evaluated and (ii) it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security prior to its anticipated recovery. If neither applies, then declines in the fair values of securities below their cost that are considered other-than-temporary declines are split into two components. The first is the loss attributable to declining credit quality. Credit losses are recognized in earnings as realized losses in the period in which the impairment determination is made. The second component consists of all other losses, which are recognized in other comprehensive loss. In estimating other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") losses, management considers (a) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, (b) adverse conditions specifically related to the security, an industry, or a geographic area, (c) the historic and implied volatility of the fair value of the security, (d) changes in the rating of the security by a rating agency, (e) recoveries or additional declines in fair value subsequent to the balance sheet date, (f) failure of the issuer of the security to make scheduled interest or principal payments, and (g) the payment structure of the debt security and the likelihood of the issuer being able to make payments that increase in the future. Management also monitors cash flow projections for securities that are considered beneficial interests under the guidance of ASC Subtopic 325-40, Investments - Other - Beneficial Interests in Securitized Financial Assets, (ASC Section 325-40-35). This process is described more fully in the section of the Consolidated Balance Sheet Review entitled "Investment Securities".

Fair Value of Investments

We have determined the fair value of our investment securities in accordance with the requirements of ASC Topic 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements required under other accounting pronouncements. We measure the fair market values of our investments based on the fair value hierarchy established in Topic 820. The determination of fair value of investments and other assets is discussed further in Note 24 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Pension Plan Assumptions

Our pension plan costs are calculated using actuarial concepts, as discussed within the requirements of ASC Topic 715, *Compensation – Retirement Benefits*. Pension expense and the determination of our projected pension liability are based upon two critical assumptions: the discount rate and the expected return on plan assets. We evaluate each of these critical assumptions annually. Other assumptions impact the determination of pension expense and the projected liability including the primary employee demographics, such as retirement patterns, employee turnover, mortality rates, and estimated employer compensation increases. These factors, along with the critical assumptions, are carefully reviewed by management each year in consultation with our pension plan consultants and actuaries. Further information about our pension plan assumptions, the plan's funded status, and other plan information is included in Note 18 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Other than as discussed above, management does not believe that any material changes in our critical accounting policies have occurred since December 31, 2013.

[33]

Adoption of New Accounting Standards and Effects of New Accounting Pronouncements

Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements discusses new accounting pronouncements that, when adopted, could affect our future consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF INCOME REVIEW

Net Interest Income

Net interest income is our largest source of operating revenue. Net interest income is the difference between the interest earned on interest-earning assets and the interest expense incurred on interest-bearing liabilities. For analytical and discussion purposes, net interest income is adjusted to a FTE basis to facilitate performance comparisons between taxable and tax-exempt assets by increasing tax-exempt income by an amount equal to the federal income taxes that would have been paid if this income were taxable at the statutorily applicable rate.

The table below summarizes net interest income (on a FTE basis) for the 2013 and 2012.

(Dollars in thousands)	2013	2012
Interest income	\$50,893	\$54,256
Interest expense	11,732	13,965
Net interest income	\$39,161	\$40,291
Net interest margin %	3.25 %	5 3.30 %

Net interest income on a FTE basis decreased \$1.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 over the year ended December 31, 2012 due to a \$3.4 million (6.2%) decrease in interest income, which was partially offset by a \$2.2 million (16.0%) decrease in interest expense. The decrease in net interest income was primarily due to the reduction in the average balances of loans as well as the reduction in the average rate paid on interest earning assets. The slightly lower yield on loans and the reduction in average loan balances contributed to the decline in interest income when comparing 2013 to 2012. The reduction in the average balances on interest-bearing liabilities was also a contributing factor of the decrease in the net interest margin of 5 basis points, as it decreased to 3.25% for the year ended December 31, 2013 from 3.30% for the year ended December 31, 2012.

There was an overall \$16.7 million decrease in average interest-earning assets, driven by the \$64.2 million reduction in loans offset by the increase of \$50.8 million in average investment securities.

Interest expense decreased for the year ended December 31, 2013 when compared to the year ended December 31, 2012 due to an overall reduction in deposit balances and interest rates on deposit products. The average balance of interest-bearing liabilities decreased by \$54.0 million when comparing December 31, 2013 to December 31, 2012. During 2013, management continued its strategic focus on shifting the mix of our deposits from higher cost certificates of deposit to core deposit products. The overall effect was a 15 basis point decrease in the average rate paid on our average interest-bearing liabilities, from 1.29% for the year ended December 31, 2012 to 1.14% for the year ended December 31, 2013.

As shown below, the composition of total interest income between 2013 and 2012 remained constant between interest and fees on loans and investment securities.

	% of Total Interest Income					
	2013		2012			
Interest and fees on loans	85	%	88	%		
Interest on investment securities	14	%	11	%		
Other	1	%	1	%		

[34]

Table 1 sets forth the average balances, net interest income and expense, and average yields and rates for our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities for 2013, 2012 and 2011. Table 2 sets forth an analysis of volume and rate changes in interest income and interest expense of our average interest-earning assets and average interest-bearing liabilities for 2013, 2012 and 2011. Table 2 distinguishes between the changes related to average outstanding balances (changes in volume created by holding the interest rate constant) and the changes related to average interest rates (changes in interest income or expense attributed to average rates created by holding the outstanding balance constant).

[35]

Distribution of Assets, Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity

Interest Rates and Interest Differential – Tax Equivalent Basis

Table 1

	For the Year 2013	rs Ended D		31 2012			2011		
(Dollars in thousands) Assets	Average Balance	Interest	Average Yield/Ra	•	Interest	•	Average a B alance	Interest	Average Yield/Rate
Loans	\$843,996	\$42,292	5.01%	\$908,213	\$46,742	5.15%	\$953,774	\$52,343	5.49%
Investment Securities:									
Taxable	236,762	5,557	2.35	172,765	4,077	2.36	173,811	4,081	2.35
Non taxable	46,584	2,701	5.80	59,779	3,128	5.23	76,237	4,228	5.55
Total	283,346	8,258	2.91	232,544	7,205	3.10	250,048	8,309	3.32
Federal funds sold	56,363	141	0.25	58,645	138	0.24	109,287	265	0.24
Interest-bearing									
deposits with other banks	11,845	3	0.03	11,113	4	0.04	19,922	15	0.08
Other interest earning assets	7,995	199	2.49	9,762	167	1.71	11,797	97	0.82
Total earning assets	1,203,545	50,893	4.23 %	1,220,277	54,256	4.45 %	1,344,828	61,029	4.54%
Allowana for loop			(17,379)						
Allowance for loan losses	(15,862)	1		(17,379)			(21,495))	
	(15,862) 147,487 \$1,335,170	I		(17,379) 157,979 \$1,360,877			(21,495) 167,896 \$1,491,229	•	
losses Non-earning assets Total Assets Liabilities and	147,487 \$1,335,170			157,979			167,896)	
losses Non-earning assets Total Assets Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity	147,487 \$1,335,170			157,979			167,896		
losses Non-earning assets Total Assets Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity Interest-bearing demand deposits	147,487 \$1,335,170	\$159		157,979	\$180	0.15%	167,896	\$134	0.14%
losses Non-earning assets Total Assets Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity Interest-bearing demand deposits Interest-bearing	147,487 \$1,335,170			157,979 \$1,360,877		0.15 <i>%</i> 0.21	167,896 \$1,491,229		0.14 <i>%</i> 0.33
losses Non-earning assets Total Assets Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity Interest-bearing demand deposits Interest-bearing money markets Savings deposits	147,487 \$1,335,170 \$123,711	\$159	0.13%	157,979 \$1,360,877 \$120,616	\$180		167,896 \$1,491,229 \$98,395	\$134	
losses Non-earning assets Total Assets Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity Interest-bearing demand deposits Interest-bearing money markets	147,487 \$1,335,170 \$123,711 205,608	\$159 464	0.13 <i>%</i> 0.23	157,979 \$1,360,877 \$120,616 203,497	\$180 424	0.21	167,896 \$1,491,229 \$98,395 224,303	\$134 748	0.33
losses Non-earning assets Total Assets Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity Interest-bearing demand deposits Interest-bearing money markets Savings deposits Time deposits:	147,487 \$1,335,170 \$123,711 205,608 112,999	\$159 464 215	0.13% 0.23 0.19	157,979 \$1,360,877 \$120,616 203,497 107,964	\$180 424 205	0.21 0.19	167,896 \$1,491,229 \$98,395 224,303 100,598	\$134 748 277	0.33 0.28
losses Non-earning assets Total Assets Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity Interest-bearing demand deposits Interest-bearing money markets Savings deposits Time deposits: Less than \$100k \$100k or more Short-term	147,487 \$1,335,170 \$123,711 205,608 112,999 195,084	\$159 464 215 2,070	0.13 % 0.23 0.19 1.06	157,979 \$1,360,877 \$120,616 203,497 107,964 214,613	\$180 424 205 2,696	0.21 0.19 1.26	167,896 \$1,491,229 \$98,395 224,303 100,598 290,651	\$134 748 277 5,650	0.33 0.28 1.94
losses Non-earning assets Total Assets Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity Interest-bearing demand deposits Interest-bearing money markets Savings deposits Time deposits: Less than \$100k \$100k or more	147,487 \$1,335,170 \$123,711 205,608 112,999 195,084 160,203	\$159 464 215 2,070 2,168	0.13% 0.23 0.19 1.06 1.35	157,979 \$1,360,877 \$120,616 203,497 107,964 214,613 198,051	\$180 424 205 2,696 3,054	0.21 0.19 1.26 1.54	167,896 \$1,491,229 \$98,395 224,303 100,598 290,651 267,648	\$134 748 277 5,650 5,090	0.33 0.28 1.94 1.90

Explanation of Responses:

Long-term borrowings Total									
interest-bearing	1,028,136	11,732	1.14%	1,082,157	13,965	1.29 %	1,240,487	21,206	1.71%
liabilities									
Non-interest-bearing	177,936			160,145			135,365		
deposits	,								
Other liabilities	29,141			21,258			17,662		
Shareholders' Equity	99,957			97,317			97,715		
Total Liabilities and	\$1,335,170			\$1,360,877			\$1,491,229		
Shareholders' Equity	φ1,555,170			φ1,500,077			ψ1, 1, 1, 22		
Net interest income		\$39,161	3.09%		\$40,291	3.16%		\$39,823	2.83%
and spread		\$39,101	5.09 /0		\$40,291	5.10 /0		\$39,623	2.05 /0
Net interest margin			3.25 %			3.30 %			2.96%

Notes:

The above table reflects the average rates earned or paid stated on a FTE basis assuming a tax rate of 35% for (1)2013, 2012 and 2011. The FTE adjustments for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 were \$979,

\$1,145 and \$1,533, respectively.

(4)

(2) The average balances of non-accrual loans for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, which were reported in the average loan balances for these years, were \$18,343, \$29,208 and \$39,806, respectively.

(3) Net interest margin is calculated as net interest income divided by average earning assets.

The average yields on investments are based on amortized cost.

[36]

Interest Variance Analysis (1)

Table 2

	2013 Con	pared	to 2	012	2012 Compared to 2011			
(In thousands and tax equivalent basis)	Volume	Rate		Net	Volume	Rate	Net	
Interest Income:								
Loans	\$(3,218)	\$(1,23	2)	\$(4,450)	\$(2,345)	\$(3,256)	\$(5,601)	
Taxable Investments	1,502	(22)	1,480	(25)	21	(4)	
Non-taxable Investments	(765)	338		(427)	(861)	(239)	(1,100)	
Federal funds sold	(6)	9		3	(119)	(8)	(127)	
Other interest earning assets	(26)	57		31	(189)	248	59	
Total interest income	(2,513)	(850)	(3,363)	(3,539)	(3,234)	(6,773)	
Interest Expense:								
Interest-bearing demand deposits	4	(25)	(21)	33	13	46	
Interest-bearing money markets	5	35		40	(43)	(281)	(324)	
Savings deposits	10	0		10	14	(86)	(72)	
Time deposits less than \$100	(207)	(419)	(626)	(955)	(1,999)	(2,954)	
Time deposits \$100 or more	(512)	(374)	(886)	(1,073)	(963)	(2,036)	
Short-term borrowings	12	(83)	(71)	(10)	(93)	(103)	
Long-term borrowings	(572)	(107)	(679)	(680)	(1,118)	(1,798)	
Total interest expense	(1,260)	(973)	(2,233)	(2,714)	(4,527)	(7,241)	
	<i>(1 2 7 2)</i>	.		<i>•</i> (1 1 0 0)	• (0 • •)	\$1.000	.	
Net interest income	\$(1,253)	\$123		\$(1,130)	\$(825)	\$1,293	\$468	

Note:

(1) The change in interest income/expense due to both volume and rate has been allocated to volume and rate changes in proportion to the relationship of the absolute dollar amounts of the change in each.

Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses was \$.4 million for the year ended 2013, compared to \$9.4 million for 2012. The lower provision expense was primarily due to the significantly lower net charge-offs in 2013 and due to a large recovery on a commercial real estate credit as well as overall lower loan balances. During 2013, we continued to see stabilization in our total rolling historical loss rates and the qualitative factors utilized in the determination of the ALL, as well as stabilization in the level of classified assets (discussed below in the section entitled "FINANCIAL CONDITION" under the heading "Allowance and Provision for Loan Losses"). Management strives to ensure that the ALL reflects a level commensurate with the risk inherent in our loan portfolio.

Other Operating Income

The following table shows the major components of other operating income for the past two years, exclusive of net gains/(losses), and the percentage changes during these years:

(Dollars in thousands)	2013	2012	% Change	
Service charges on deposit accounts	\$2,615	\$2,851	-8.28	%
Other service charge income	801	788	1.65	%
Debit card income	1,954	2,010	-2.79	%
Trust department income	5,007	4,608	8.66	%
Bank owned life insurance (BOLI)	1,006	1,778	-43.42	%
Brokerage commissions	806	778	3.60	%
Other income	853	817	4.41	%
Total other operating income	\$13,042	\$13,630	-4.31	%

[37]

Other operating income, exclusive of gains, decreased \$.6 million during 2013 when compared to 2012. The decrease was due to the reduction in BOLI income due to the one-time death benefit of \$.7 million occurred in March 2012. Trust department income increased \$.4 million when comparing 2013 to 2012. Trust assets under management were \$675 million at December 31, 2013 and \$637 million at December 31, 2012.

Net gains of \$.2 million were reported through other income during 2013, compared to net gains of \$1.7 million during 2012. The reduction was due to reduced gains on sales of investment securities when comparing 2013 to 2012.

Other Operating Expense

The following table compares the major components of other operating expense for 2013 and 2012:

(Dollars in thousands)	2013	2012	%
			Change
Salaries and employee benefits	\$19,946	\$19,481	2.39 %
Other expenses	7,383	7,061	4.56 %
FDIC premiums	1,875	1,985	-5.54 %
Equipment	2,595	2,624	-1.11 %
Occupancy	2,628	2,719	-3.35 %
Data processing	3,069	2,886	6.34 %
Professional services	1,495	1,292	15.71 %
Other real estate owned expense	2,909	890	226.85 %
Miscellaneous loan fees	505	580	-12.93 %
Total other operating expense	\$42,405	\$39,518	7.31 %

Operating expenses increased \$2.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 when compared to 2012 due to a \$2.0 million increase in OREO expenses primarily related to the valuation allowance on OREO properties. Salaries and benefits increased \$.5 million in 2013 when compared to 2012 due to increased 401K expense for a discretionary contribution into the plan. Other expenses increased \$.3 million in 2013 when compared to the 2012 due to increases in miscellaneous expenses such as legal and professional expenses.

Applicable Income Taxes

Due to improved operating results in 2013, we recognized a tax expense of \$2.2 million in 2013, compared to a net tax expense of \$.9 million in 2012. See Note 17 to the Consolidated Financial Statements under the heading "Income

Explanation of Responses:

Taxes" for a detailed analysis of our deferred tax assets and liabilities. A valuation allowance has been provided for the \$1.6 million in state tax loss carry forwards included in deferred tax assets, which will expire commencing in 2030.

At December 31, 2013, we had federal net operating losses ("NOLs") of approximately \$9.8 million and West Virginia NOLs of approximately \$4.9 million for which deferred tax assets of \$3.4 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, have been recorded at December 31, 2013. The federal and West Virginia NOLs were created in 2012 and 2010 and will begin expiring in 2030. Management has determined that a deferred tax valuation allowance is not required for 2013 on these NOLs because we believe it is more likely than not that these deferred tax assets can be realized prior to expiration of their carry-forward periods. This determination is based primarily on our ability to immediately generate approximately \$11.4 million of taxable income through tax planning strategies, irrespective of any additional future operating income. At December 31, 2013, these strategies include the ability to generate approximately \$2.1 million in taxable gains through the sale of investment securities, approximately \$8.0 million in taxable gains through the sale of BOLI and approximately \$1.2 million in taxable gains through the sale of the Bank's fixed rate mortgage portfolio.

At December 31, 2013, the Corporation had Maryland NOLs of \$31.4 million for which a deferred tax asset of \$1.6 million has been recorded. There has been and continues to be a full valuation allowance on these NOLs based on the fact that it is more likely than not that this deferred tax asset will not be realized because the Corporation files its own Maryland income tax return, has recurring tax losses and will not generate sufficient taxable income in the future to utilize them before they expire beginning in 2019. The valuation allowance of \$1.6 million at December 31, 2013 reflects an increase of \$.1 million from the level at December 31, 2012.

[38]

In addition, we have concluded that no valuation allowance is deemed necessary for the Corporation's remaining federal and state deferred tax assets at December 31, 2013, as it is more likely than not (defined a level of likelihood that is more than 50%) that they will be realized based on the expected reversal of deferred tax liabilities, the generation of future income sufficient to realize the deferred tax assets as they reverse, and the ability to implement tax planning strategies to prevent the expiration of any carry-forward periods. In making this determination, management considered the following:

the expected reversal of all but \$2.4 million of the total \$4.4 million of deferred tax liabilities at December 31, 2013 \cdot in such a manner so as to substantially utilize the dollar for dollar impact against the deferred tax assets at December 31, 2013;

for the remaining excess deferred tax assets that will not be utilized by the reversal of deferred tax liabilities, our •expected future income will be sufficient to utilize the deferred tax assets as they reverse or before any net operating loss, if created, would expire; and

tax planning strategies that can provide both one-time increases to taxable income of up to approximately \$8.5 million and recurring annual decreases in unfavorable permanent items.

We will need to generate future taxable income of between \$74 million and \$76 million to fully utilize the Maryland net deferred tax assets in the years in which they are expected to reverse. Management estimates that we can fully utilize the deferred tax assets in approximately seven years based on the historical pre-tax income and forecasts of estimated future pre-tax income as adjusted for permanent book to tax differences.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET REVIEW

Overview

Our total assets were \$1.3 billion at December 31, 2013, representing an increase of \$12.7 million (1.0%) from assets at December 31, 2012. The increase resulted from an increase in deposits and net income increasing cash which was then used to purchase investment securities.

The total interest-earning asset mix shifted slightly at December 31, 2013 when compared to 2012. The mix for each year is illustrated below:

	Year End				
	Percentage				
	of Total				
	Assets				
	2013 2012				
Cash and cash equivalents	3 % 6 %				
Net loans	60 % 65 %				
Investments	26 % 17 %				

The year-end total liability mix has remained consistent during the two-year period as illustrated below.

	Year End Percentage of Total Liabilities								
	2013		2012						
Total deposits	79	%	80	%					
Total borrowings	18	%	18	%					

[39]

Loan Portfolio

The Bank is actively engaged in originating loans to customers primarily in Allegany County, Frederick County, Garrett County, and Washington County in Maryland, and in Berkeley County, Mineral County, and Monongalia County in West Virginia; and the surrounding regions of West Virginia and Pennsylvania. We have policies and procedures designed to mitigate credit risk and to maintain the quality of our loan portfolio. These policies include underwriting standards for new credits as well as continuous monitoring and reporting policies for asset quality and the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. These policies, coupled with ongoing training efforts, have provided effective checks and balances for the risk associated with the lending process. Lending authority is based on the type of the loan, and the experience of the lending officer.

Commercial loans are collateralized primarily by real estate and, to a lesser extent, equipment and vehicles. Unsecured commercial loans represent an insignificant portion of total commercial loans. Residential mortgage loans are collateralized by the related property. Generally, a residential mortgage loan exceeding a specified internal loan-to-value ratio requires private mortgage insurance. Installment loans are typically collateralized, with loan-to-value ratios which are established based on the financial condition of the borrower. We will also make unsecured consumer loans to qualified borrowers meeting our underwriting standards. Additional information about our loans and underwriting policies can be found in Item 1 of Part I of this annual report under the heading "Banking Products and Services".

Table 3 sets forth the composition of our loan portfolio. Historically, our policy has been to make the majority of our loan commitments in our market areas. We had no foreign loans in our portfolio as of December 31 for any of the years presented.

Summary of Loan Portfolio

Table 3

The following table presents the composition of our loan portfolio for the past five years:

(In millions)	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Commercial real estate	\$268.0	\$298.8	\$336.2	\$348.6	\$326.8
Acquisition and development	107.2	128.4	142.9	156.9	231.7
Commercial and industrial	59.8	69.0	78.7	70.0	81.3

Explanation of Responses:

Residential mortgage	350.9	346.9	347.2	356.7	373.2
Consumer	24.3	31.7	33.7	77.6	108.9
Total Loans	\$810.2	\$874.8	\$938.7	\$1,009.8	\$1,121.9

Comparing December 31, 2013 to December 31, 2012, outstanding loans decreased by \$64.6 million (7.4%). CRE loans decreased \$30.8 million as a result of the payoff of several large loans and ongoing scheduled principal payments. Acquisition and development ("A&D") loans decreased \$21.2 million due primarily to \$5.0 million of principal amortization and \$28.7 million of payoffs, offset by \$17.9 million of new loans. Commercial and industrial ("C&I") loans decreased \$9.2 million due primarily to \$8.7 million of payoffs and scheduled principal payments. Residential mortgages increased by \$4.0 million due to increased production of loans primarily in our 10/1 adjustable rate mortgage program. The Bank continues to use Fannie Mae for the majority of new, longer-term, fixed-rate residential loan originations, although production for these loans slowed during the third and fourth quarters of 2013. The consumer portfolio decreased \$7.4 million due primarily to repayment activity in the indirect auto portfolio offsetting new production.

At December 31, 2013, approximately 57% of the commercial loan portfolio was collateralized by real estate, compared to approximately 60% at December 31, 2012.

Adjustable interest rate loans made up 64% of total loans at December 31, 2013 and 2012. Fixed–interest rate loans made up 36% of the total loan portfolio at December 31, 2013 and 2012.

[40]

Comparing December 31, 2012 to December 31, 2011, outstanding loans decreased by \$63.9 million (6.8%). CRE loans decreased \$37.4 million as a result of several large loan payoffs, loan charge-offs and ongoing scheduled principal payments. C&I loans decreased \$9.7 million due to the single \$9.0 million charge-off during the year, and residential mortgages declined \$.3 million. A&D loans decreased \$14.5 million due to principal repayments and charge-offs. The residential mortgage portfolio remained stable as new production offset regularly scheduled principal payments on and refinancings of existing loans and due to management's decision to use secondary market outlets such as Fannie Mae for the majority of new, longer-term, fixed-rate residential loan originations. The consumer loan portfolio declined \$2.0 million due to repayment activity in the indirect auto portfolio which exceeded new production due to special financing offered by the automotive manufacturers, credit unions and certain large regional banks and management's decision to de-emphasize this line of business.

The following table sets forth the maturities, based upon contractual dates, for selected loan categories as of December 31, 2013:

Maturities of Loan Portfolio at December 31, 2013

Table 4

(In thousands)	Maturing Within One Year	Maturing After One Year But Within Five Years	Maturing After Five Years	Total
Commercial Real Estate	\$ 21,486	\$ 89,441	\$ 157,051	\$267,978
Acquisition and Development	39,149	17,243	50,858	107,250
Commercial and Industrial	12,247	23,376	24,165	59,788
Residential Mortgage	9,384	7,392	334,130	350,906
Consumer	4,889	16,519	2,910	24,318
Total Loans	\$ 87,155	\$ 153,971	\$ 569,114	\$810,240
Classified by Sensitivity to Change in Interest Rates				
Fixed-Interest Rate Loans	37,506	107,533	145,475	290,514
Adjustable-Interest Rate Loans	49,649	46,438	423,639	519,726
Total Loans	\$ 87,155	\$ 153,971	\$ 569,114	\$810,240

Management monitors the performance and credit quality of the loan portfolio by analyzing the age of the portfolio as determined by the length of time a recorded payment is past due. A loan is considered to be past due when a payment has not been received for 30 days past its contractual due date. For all loan segments, the accrual of interest is discontinued when principal or interest is delinquent for 90 days or more unless the loan is well-secured and in the process of collection. All non-accrual loans are considered to be impaired. Interest payments received on non-accrual loans are applied as a reduction of the loan principal balance. Loans are returned to accrual status when all principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured. Our policy for

Explanation of Responses:

recognizing interest income on impaired loans does not differ from our overall policy for interest recognition.

[41]

Table 5 sets forth the amounts of non-accrual, past-due and restructured loans for the past five years:

Risk Elements of Loan Portfolio

Table 5

(In thousands)	At Decer 2013	nber 31, 2012	2011	2010	2009		
Non-accrual loans:	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009		
Commercial real estate	\$7,433	\$6,194	\$10,069	\$11,893	\$4,046		
Acquisition and development	5,632	10,778	14,938	16,269	37,244		
Commercial and industrial	191	176	9,364	1,355	0		
Residential mortgage	4,126	2,731	3,796	5,236	5,227		
Consumer	14	36	21	152	67		
Total non-accrual loans	\$17,396	\$19,915	\$38,188	\$34,905	\$46,584		
Accruing Loans Past Due 90 days or more:							
Commercial real estate	\$65	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Acquisition and development	282	200	128	128	0		
Commercial and industrial	133	0	0	44	0		
Residential mortgage	730	1,888	1,509	2,437	1,483		
Consumer	24	58	142	183	287		
Total accruing loans past due 90 days or more	\$1,234	\$2,146	\$1,779	\$2,792	\$1,770		
	\$18,630	\$22,061	\$39,967	\$37,697	\$48,354		
Restructured Loans (TDRs):							
Performing	\$10,567	\$12,134	\$10,657	\$5,506	\$22,160		
Non-accrual (included above)	7,380	5,540	7,385	9,593	13,321		
Total TDRs	\$17,947	\$17,674	\$18,042	\$15,099	\$35,481		
Other Real Estate Owned	\$17,031	\$17,513	\$16,676	\$18,072	\$7,591		
Towns's differences distances and so the distance all second	¢24.200	¢ 20.2 <i>C</i> 1	¢ 41 77 0	¢ 4 2 900	¢ 102 552		
Impaired loans without a valuation allowance	\$24,296 9,013	\$39,361 8,481	\$41,778	\$42,890	\$102,553		
Impaired loans with a valuation allowance	<i>,</i>	,	20,048	19,713	28,677		
Total impaired loans Valuation allowance related to impaired loans	\$33,309 \$2,283	\$47,842 \$1,632	\$61,826 \$3,951	\$62,603 \$4,366	\$131,230 \$7,624		
valuation andwance related to impaired toans	φ2,203	φ1,052	\$3,951	\$4,500	φ7,024		

Non-Accrual Loans as a % of Applicable Portfolio

	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Commercial real estate	2.8 %	2.1 %	3.0 %	3.4 %	1.2 %

Explanation of Responses:

Acquisition and development	5.3 %	8.4 %	10.5%	10.4%	16.1%
Commercial and industrial	0.3 %	0.3 %	11.9%	1.9 %	0.0 %
Residential mortgage	1.2 %	0.8 %	1.1 %	1.5 %	1.4 %
Consumer	0.1 %	0.1 %	0.1 %	0.2 %	0.1 %

[42]

Interest income not recognized as a result of placing loans on non-accrual status was \$.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, and there was \$2.1 million of interest income recognized on a cash basis during 2013.

Performing loans considered to be impaired (including performing troubled debt restructurings, or TDRs), as defined and identified by management, amounted to \$15.9 million at December 31, 2013 and \$28.2 million at December 31, 2012. Loans are identified as impaired when, based on current information and events, management determines that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to contractual terms. These loans consist primarily of A&D loans and CRE loans. The fair values are generally determined based upon independent third party appraisals of the collateral or discounted cash flows based upon the expected proceeds. Specific allocations have been made where management believes there is insufficient collateral to repay the loan balance if liquidated and there is no secondary source of repayment available.

The level of performing impaired loans (other than performing TDRs) decreased \$10.7 million during the year ended December 31, 2013. Reductions totaling \$13.3 million during 2013 were comprised of the reclassification of a \$1.8 million A&D loan out of impaired status due to improved performance, \$1.9 million of net principal repayments, \$3.2 million of payoffs (primarily from two relationships), the transfer to non-accrual status of \$3.2 million in loans to a single relationship, and the transfer to TDR status and partial charge-off of a \$3.2 million A&D loan. The reductions were partially offset by the inclusion in performing impaired loans of \$2.6 million of residential mortgage TDRs that are no longer required to be reported as TDRs but continue to be reported as impaired. Management will continue to monitor all loans that have been removed from an impaired status and take appropriate steps to ensure that satisfactory performance is sustained.

[43]

The following table presents the details of TDRs by loan class at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

(Dollars in thousands) Performing	Numb	nber 31, 2013 e Rø£ orded ac h avestment	Numb	nber 31, 2012 be Rø£ orded ac ln vestment
Commercial real estate				
Non owner-occupied	2	\$ 257	2	\$ 273
All other CRE	2	3,313	5	5,676
Acquisition and development				
1-4 family residential construction	1	1,547	1	2,052
All other A&D	7	3,867	4	2,330
Commercial and industrial	2	614	2	557
Residential mortgage				
Residential mortgage – term	6	969	4	1,246
Residential mortgage - home equity	0	0	0	0
Consumer	0	0	0	0
Total performing	20	\$ 10,567	18	\$ 12,134
Non-accrual				
Commercial real estate				
Non owner-occupied	1	\$ 448	1	\$ 448
All other CRE	3	2,217	0	0
Acquisition and development				
1-4 family residential construction	0	0	0	0
All other A&D	4	4,075	6	4,600
Commercial and industrial	0	0	0	0
Residential mortgage				
Residential mortgage – term	3	640	2	492
Residential mortgage - home equity	0	0	0	0
Consumer	0	0	0	0
Total non-accrual	11	7,380	9	5,540
Total TDRs	31	\$ 17,947	27	\$ 17,674

The level of TDRs increased \$.3 million during 2013, reflecting the addition of seven loans totaling \$2.1 million to performing TDRs, as well as the re-modification of seven loans totaling \$1.4 million already in performing TDRs. During the year, principal payments of \$.7 million on performing TDRs and \$.2 million on non-performing TDRs were received. Additionally, two non-performing A&D loans totaling \$.4 million were transferred to OREO and three CRE loans totaling \$2.3 million to one borrower and a \$.2 million residential mortgage loan were transferred to non-accrual and are considered payment defaults. One \$.5 million loan that had been modified at a market rate prior to December 31, 2012 is no longer reported as a performing TDR because the borrower had made at least six consecutive payments and was current at the time of reclassification.

At December 31, 2013, additional funds of up to \$2.0 million were committed to be advanced in connection with TDRs. Interest income not recognized due to rate modifications of TDRs was \$.1 million, and interest income recognized on all TDRs was \$.6 million in 2013.

[44]

Allowance for Loan Losses

The ALL is maintained to absorb losses from the loan portfolio. The ALL is based on management's continuing evaluation of the quality of the loan portfolio, assessment of current economic conditions, diversification and size of the portfolio, adequacy of collateral, past and anticipated loss experience, and the amount of non-performing loans.

The ALL is also based on estimates, and actual losses will vary from current estimates. These estimates are reviewed quarterly, and as adjustments, either positive or negative, become necessary, a corresponding increase or decrease is made in the ALL. The methodology used to determine the adequacy of the ALL is consistent with prior years. An estimate for probable losses related to unfunded lending commitments, such as letters of credit and binding but unfunded loan commitments is also prepared. This estimate is computed in a manner similar to the methodology described above, adjusted for the probability of actually funding the commitment.

The ALL decreased to \$13.6 million at December 31, 2013, compared to \$16.0 million at December 31, 2012. The provision for loan losses for the year ended December 31, 2013 decreased to \$.4 million from \$9.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. Net charge-offs decreased to \$2.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$12.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. Included in the net charge-offs for the year ended December 31, 2013 were a \$1.8 million partial charge-off on an A&D loan and an \$.8 million charge-off of a C&I loan, which were partially offset by an \$.8 million partial recovery on a non owner-occupied CRE loan that was repaid during the year. The lower provision expense was primarily due to the lower net charge-offs and the impact of lower loan balances. The ratio of the ALL to loans outstanding as of December 31, 2013 was 1.68%, which was lower than the 1.83% at December 31, 2012 due to the charge-off or removal of specific allocations as a result of changing circumstances.

The ratio of net charge-offs to average loans for the year ended December 31, 2013 was .34%, compared to 1.41% for the year ended December 31, 2012. Relative to December 31, 2012, all segments of loans, with the exception of A&D and consumer loans, showed improvement. The CRE portfolio had an annualized net recovery rate as of December 31, 2013 of .27%, compared to an annualized net charge-off rate of .67% as of December 31, 2012. The annualized net charge-off rate for A&D loans as of December 31, 2013 was 1.78%, compared to an annualized net charge-off rate of .67% as of December 31, 2012. The ratios for C&I loans were 1.53% and 12.10% for December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The residential mortgage ratios were .08% and .33% for December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, and the consumer loan ratios were .83% and .69% for December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Accruing loans past due 30 days or more declined to 2.10% of the loan portfolio at December 31, 2013, compared to 2.39% at December 31, 2012. The decrease for 2013 was primarily due to a decrease in past-due accruing residential mortgage term loans. Other improvements in the levels of past-due loans were attributable to a combination of a slowly improving economy and vigorous collection efforts by the Bank.

Non-accrual loans totaled \$17.4 million at December 31, 2013, compared to \$19.9 million at December 31, 2012. Non-accrual loans which have been subject to a partial charge-off totaled \$1.9 million at December 31, 2013, compared to \$6.7 million at December 31, 2012.

Management believes that the ALL at December 31, 2013 is adequate to provide for probable losses inherent in our loan portfolio. Amounts that will be recorded for the provision for loan losses in future periods will depend upon trends in the loan balances, including the composition of the loan portfolio, changes in loan quality and loss experience trends, potential recoveries on previously charged-off loans and changes in other qualitative factors. Management also applies interest rate risk, collateral value and debt service sensitivity analyses to the CRE loan portfolio and obtains new appraisals on specific loans under defined parameters to assist in the determination of the periodic provision for loan losses.

The ALL decreased to \$16.0 million at December 31, 2012, compared to \$19.5 million at December 31, 2011. The provision for loan losses for the year ended December 31, 2012 increased to \$9.4 million from \$9.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. Net charge-offs rose to \$12.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to \$11.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. Included in the net charge-offs for the year ended December 31, 2012 were the aforementioned \$9.0 million charge-off on a shared national credit for an ethanol plant, a \$1.1 million charge-off for a participation loan, and a \$.9 million charge-off for a non owner-occupied commercial real estate loan. The increased provision expense was primarily due to these three large charge-offs. The ratio of the ALL to loans outstanding as of December 31, 2012 was 1.83%, which was lower than the 2.08% at December 31, 2011 due to the charge-off of specific allocations as a result of changing circumstances and due to lower loan balances.

[45]

Table 6 presents the activity in the allowance for loan losses by major loan category for the past five years.

Analysis of Activity in the Allowance for Loan Losses

Table 6

	For the Years Ended December 31,								
(In thousands)	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009				
Balance, January 1	\$16,047	\$19,480	\$22,138	\$20,090	\$14,347				
Charge-offs:									
Commercial real estate	(233)	(2,289)	(6,886)	(543)	(729)				
Acquisition and development	(2,200)	(809)	(3,055)	(9,770)	(3,902)				
Commercial and industrial	(1,066)	(9,402)	(840)	(2,225)	(2,246)				
Residential mortgage	(485)	(1,314)	(1,664)	(2,008)	(1,495)				
Consumer	(590)	(650)	(893)	(1,791)	(2,413)				
Total charge-offs	(4,574)	(14,464)	(13,338)	(16,337)	(10,785)				
Recoveries:									
Commercial real estate	1,004	156	95	94	103				
Acquisition and development	100	420	322	1,097	40				
Commercial and industrial	79	464	57	538	201				
Residential mortgage	199	177	550	391	80				
Consumer	359	424	499	539	516				
Total recoveries	1,741	1,641	1,523	2,659	940				
Net credit losses	(2,833)	(12,823)	(11,815)	(13,678)	(9,845)				
Provision for loan losses	380	9,390	9,157	15,726	15,588				
Balance at end of period	\$13,594	\$16,047	\$19,480	\$22,138	\$20,090				
Allowance for loan losses to loans outstanding (as %)	1.68 %	6 1.83 %	2.08 %	2.19 %	1.79 %				
Net charge-offs to average loans outstanding during the					1.75 /0				
period (as %)	0.34 %	6 1.41 %	1.24 %	1.28 %	0.87 %				

Table 7 presents management's allocation of the ALL by major loan category in comparison to that loan category's percentage of total loans. Changes in the allocation over time reflect changes in the composition of the loan portfolio risk profile and refinements to the methodology of determining the ALL. Specific allocations in any particular category may be reallocated in the future as needed to reflect current conditions. Accordingly, the entire ALL is considered available to absorb losses in any category.

Allocation of the Allowance for Loan Losses

Table 7

	For the Years Ended December 31,														
		% of			% of			% of	•		% of			% of	
(In thousands)	2013	Tota	l	2012	Tota	l	2011	Tota	1	2010	Total	l	2009	Tota	1
		Loan	IS		Loan	S		Loan	IS		Loan	S		Loar	is
Commercial real estate	\$4,052	33	%	\$5,206	34	%	\$6,218	36	%	\$8,658	35	%	\$5,351	29	%
Acquisition and development	4,172	13	%	5,029	15	%	7,190	15	%	6,345	16	%	7,922	21	%
Commercial and industrial	766	8	%	906	8	%	2,190	8	%	1,345	7	%	1,945	7	%
Residential mortgage	4,320	43	%	4,507	39	%	3,430	37	%	4,211	35	%	3,061	33	%
Consumer	284	3	%	399	4	%	452	4	%	1,579	7	%	1,811	10	%
Total	\$13,594	100	%	\$16,047	100	%	\$19,480	100	%	\$22,138	100	%	\$20,090	100	%

[46]

Investment Securities

The following table sets forth the composition of our securities portfolio by major category as of the indicated dates:

Table 8

	At Decemb 2013	ber 31,			2012			2011				
(In thousands)	Amortized Cost	Fair Value (FV)	FV As % of Total		Amortized Cost	Fair Value (FV)	FV As % of Tota		Amortized Cost	Fair Value (FV)	FV AS % of Tota	.1
Securities Available-for-Sale:												
U.S. government agencies	\$97,242	\$92,035	27	%	\$40,334	\$40,320	18	%	\$25,490	\$25,580	11	%
Residential mortgage- backed agencies	116,933	112,444	33	%	43,596	44,108	20	%	43,630	44,552	18	%
Commercial mortgage-backed agencies	31,025	29,905	9	%	37,330	37,618	17	%	48,112	48,277	19	%
Collateralized mortgage obligations	30,468	29,390	9	%	31,836	31,731	14	%	48,120	48,351	20	%
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	55,505	55,277	17	%	55,212	58,054	26	%	65,424	68,816	28	%
Collateralized debt obligations	37,146	17,538	5	%	36,798	11,442	5	%	36,385	9,447	4	%
Total available for sale	\$368,319	\$336,589	100	%	\$245,106	\$ 223,273	100	%	\$267,161	\$245,023	100) %
Securities Held to Maturity: Obligations of states and political	\$3,900	\$3,590	100	%	\$4,040	\$ 4,347	10	%	\$0	\$0	0	%
subdivisions		,			. ,	. ,-						

Total fair value of investment securities increased \$112.6 million during 2013 when compared to the balance at December 31, 2012. At December 31, 2013, the securities classified as available-for-sale included a net unrealized

loss of \$31.7 million, which represents the difference between the fair value and amortized cost of securities in the portfolio and is primarily attributable to the CDOs. Two tax increment fund bonds were moved to held to maturity during the first quarter of 2012 reflecting management's intent to hold the securities until the earlier of their full repayment or maturity.

As discussed in Note 24 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, we measure fair market values based on the fair value hierarchy established in ASC Topic 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). Level 3 prices or valuation techniques require inputs that are both significant to the valuation assumptions and are not readily observable in the market (i.e. supported with little or no market activity). These Level 3 instruments are valued based on both observable and unobservable inputs derived from the best available data, some of which is internally developed, and considers risk premiums that a market participant would require.

Approximately \$319.1 million of the available-for-sale portfolio was valued using Level 2 pricing and had net unrealized losses of \$12.1 million at December 31, 2013. The remaining \$17.5 million of the securities available-for-sale represents the entire CDO portfolio, which was valued using significant unobservable inputs, or Level 3 pricing. The \$19.6 million in net unrealized losses associated with this portfolio relates to 18 pooled trust preferred securities that comprise the CDO portfolio. Unrealized losses of \$13.0 million represent non-credit related OTTI charges on 13 of the securities, while \$6.6 million of unrealized losses relates to five securities which have no credit related OTTI. The unrealized losses on these securities are primarily attributable to continued depression in the marketability and liquidity associated with CDOs.

[47]

The following table provides a summary of the trust preferred securities in the CDO portfolio and the credit status of the securities as of December 31, 2013.

Level 3 Investment Securities Available for Sale

(Dollars in Thousands)

Investment Description	First Un	ited Level	l 3 Invest	ments	Security C	edit Status	;			
ŕ	s Amortiz	Fair ze d/fackse t Value	Unreali Gain/(L	Lowest zed credit sss Rating	Original Collateral	Deferrals Defaults as % of Original Collatera	Performin Collateral	gCollateral Support	Collateral Support as % of Performin Collateral	Number of Performing Issuers/Total ngssuers
Preferred Term										
Security	z 502	470	(32) C	303,112	19.46%	64,500	(2,590)	-4.02 %	7/11
I Preferred Term Security XI*	1,332	488	(844) C	635,775	28.35%	380,605	(106,844)	-28.07%	41 / 60
Preferred Term Security XVI*	326	1,104	778	С	606,040	32.36%	359,800	(99,783)	-27.73%	38 / 55
Preferred Term Security XVIII	3,045	1,113	(1,932) C	676,565	27.10%	439,178	(79,136)	-18.02%	50 / 73
Preferred Term Security XVIII*	2,151	742	(1,409) C	676,565	27.10%	439,178	(79,136)	-18.02%	50 / 73
Preferred Term Security XIX*	3,069	910	(2,159) C	700,535	19.65%	472,261	(99,479)	-21.06%	48 / 64
Preferred Term Security XIX*	1,330	390	(940) C	700,535	19.65%	472,261	(99,479)	-21.06%	48 / 64
С	1,328	390	(938) C	700,535	19.65%	472,261	(99,479)	-21.06%	48 / 64

Explanation of Responses:

Preferred Term Security									
XIX*									
Preferred Term	2 220	(50)	(1.570		700 525	10 (50)	470.0(1	(00.470.)	21 06 01 40 164
Security XIX*	2,229	650	(1,579) C	700,535	19.65%	472,261	(99,479)	-21.06% 48/64
Preferred									
Term Security C-1	4,021	2,023	(1,998) C	1,386,600	23.26%	922,100	(95,753)	-10.38% 64/90
XXII*									
Preferred Term	1 (00	000	(700		1 204 400	22.26	000 100	(05 752)	10.00 % (1.100
Security C-1	1,608	809	(799) C	1,386,600	23.26%	922,100	(95,753)	-10.38% 64/90
XXII* Preferred									
Term Security C-1	2,065	917	(1,148) C	1,467,000	19.70%	903,774	(38,615)	-4.27 % 87/109
XXIII*									
Preferred Term			(4.4.6.4		1 1 (- 000				
Security D-1	2,369	1,205	(1,164) C	1,467,000	19.70%	903,774	(153,643)	-17.00% 87/109
XXIII* Preferred									
Term Security D-1	790	402	(388) C	1,467,000	19.70%	903,774	(153,643)	-17.00% 87/109
XXIII*									
Preferred Term	0.01	074	(707		1.050.000	22.00.07	(24.014		21 54 67 55 195
Security XXIV*	981	274	(707) C	1,050,600	33.08%	634,814	(200,230)	-31.54% 55/85
Preferred									
Term Security B-2	2,000	1,371	(629) CCC-	351,000	9.26 %	156,000	12,328	7.90 % 14/16
I-P-I									
Preferred Term P 1	2 000	1 605	(1 205		225 000	0.00 07	101 072	22 772	1769 07 21 / 21
Security I-P-IV	3,000	1,605	(1,393) CCC-	325,000	0.00 %	191,072	33,772	17.68 % 21/21
Preferred									
Term Security B-1	5,000	2,675	(2,325) CCC-	325,000	0.00 %	191,072	33,772	17.68 % 21/21
I-P-IV									
Total Level 3									
Securities Available for	37,146	17,538	(19,60	8)					
Sale									

* Security has been deemed other-than-temporarily impaired and loss has been recognized in accordance with ASC Section 320-10-35.

The terms of the debentures underlying trust preferred securities allow the issuer of the debentures to defer interest payments for up to 20 quarters, and, in such case, the terms of the related trust preferred securities require their issuers to contemporaneously defer dividend payments. The issuers of the trust preferred securities in our investment portfolio have defaulted and/or deferred payments, ranging from 0.00% to 33.08% of the total collateral balances underlying the securities. The securities were designed to include structural features that provide investors with credit enhancement or support to provide default protection by subordinated tranches. These features include over-collateralization of the notes or subordination, excess interest or spread which will redirect funds in situations where collateral is insufficient, and a specified order of principal payments. There are securities in our portfolio that are under-collateralized, which does represent additional stress on our tranche. However, in these cases, the terms of the securities require excess interest to be redirected from subordinate tranches as credit support, which provides additional support to our investment.

Management systematically evaluates securities for impairment on a quarterly basis. Based upon application of Topic 320 (ASC Section 320-10-35), management must assess whether (i) we have the intent to sell the security and (ii) it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security prior to its anticipated recovery. If neither applies, then declines in the fair value of securities below their cost that are considered other-than-temporary declines are split into two components. The first is the loss attributable to declining credit quality. Credit losses are recognized in earnings as realized losses in the period in which the impairment determination is made. The second component consists of all other losses. The other losses are recognized in other comprehensive income. In estimating OTTI charges, management considers (a) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, (b) adverse conditions specifically related to the security, an industry, or a geographic area, (c) the historic and implied volatility of the security, (d) changes in the rating of a security by a rating agency, (e) recoveries or additional declines in fair value subsequent to the balance sheet date, (f) failure of the issuer of the security to make scheduled interest payments, and (g) the payment structure of the debt security and the likelihood of the issuer being able to make payments that increase in the future. Due to the duration and the significant market value decline in the pooled trust preferred securities held in our portfolio, we performed more extensive testing on these securities for purposes of evaluating whether or not an OTTI has occurred.

[48]

The market for these securities as of December 31, 2013 is not active and markets for similar securities are also not active. The inactivity was evidenced in 2008 first by a significant widening of the bid-ask spread in the brokered markets in which these securities trade and then by a significant decrease in the volume of trades relative to historical levels. The new issue market is also inactive, as no new CDOs have been issued since 2007. There are currently very few market participants who are willing to transact for these securities. The market values for these securities, or any securities other than those issued or guaranteed by the Treasury, are very depressed relative to historical levels. Therefore, in the current market, a low market price for a particular bond may only provide evidence of stress in the credit markets in general rather than being an indicator of credit problems with a particular issue. Given the conditions in the current debt markets and the continued absence of observable transactions in the secondary and new issue markets, management has determined that (i) the few observable transactions and market quotations that are available are not reliable for the purpose of obtaining fair value at December 31, 2013, (ii) an income valuation approach technique (i.e. present value) that maximizes the use of relevant observable inputs and minimizes the use of observable inputs will be equally or more representative of fair value than a market approach, and (iii) the CDO segment is appropriately classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy because management determined that significant adjustments were required to determine fair value at the measurement date.

Management utilizes an independent third party to assist the Corporation with both the evaluations of OTTI and the fair value determinations for our CDO portfolio. Management believes that there were no material differences in the impairment evaluations and pricing between December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2013.

The approach of the third party to determine fair value involved several steps, including detailed credit and structural evaluation of each piece of collateral in each bond, default, recovery and prepayment/amortization probabilities for each piece of collateral in the bond, and discounted cash flow modeling. The discount rate methodology used by the third party combines a baseline current market yield for comparable corporate and structured credit products with adjustments based on evaluations of the differences found in structure and risks associated with actual and projected credit performance of each CDO being valued. Currently, there is an active and liquid trading market only for stand-alone trust preferred securities. Therefore, adjustments to the baseline discount rate are also made to reflect the additional leverage found in structured instruments.

Based upon a review of credit quality and the cash flow tests performed by the independent third party, management determined that no securities had credit-related OTTI during 2013.

The risk-based capital regulations require banks to set aside additional capital for securities that are rated below investment grade. Securities rated one level below investment grade require a 200% risk weighting. Additional methods are applicable to securities rated more than one level below investment grade. Management believes that, as of December 31, 2013, we maintain sufficient capital and liquidity to cover the additional capital requirements of these securities and future operating expenses. Additionally, we do not anticipate any material commitments or expected outlays of capital in the near term.

Table 9 sets forth the contractual or estimated maturities of the components of our securities portfolio as of December 31, 2013 and the weighted average yields on a tax-equivalent basis.

Investment Security Maturities, Yields, and Fair Values at December 31, 2013

Table 9

(In thousands)	W	Vithin 1 Yea	r	1 Year To Years	5	5 Years To 1 Years	10	0	ver 10 Year	s	Total Fai Value	r
Securities Available-for-Sale:												
U.S. government agencies	\$	0		\$ 29,656		\$ 47,105		\$	15,274		\$92,035	
Residential mortgage-backed agencies		151		2,267		74,022			36,004		112,444	ł
Commercial mortgage-backed agencies		0		10,651		19,254			0		29,905	
Collateralized mortgage obligations		2,328		6,897		2,306			17,859		29,390	
Obligations of states and political subdivisions		0		0		27,149			28,128		55,277	
Collateralized debt obligations		0		0		0			17,538		17,538	
Total	\$	2,479		\$ 49,471		\$ 169,836		\$	114,803		\$336,589)
Percentage of total			76 76	14.70 1.62	% %	50.45 3.07	% %		34.11 2.96	% %	100.00 2.81	% %
Weighted average yield		2.15	10	1.02	%	3.07	%0		2.90	%	2.81	%0
Held to Maturity: Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$	0		\$ 0		\$ 0		\$	3,590		\$3,590	
Percentage of total Weighted average yield			% %	$0.00 \\ 0.00$	% %	0.00 0.00	% %		100.00 3.60	% %	100.00 3.60	% %

The weighted average yield was calculated using historical cost balances and does not give effect to changes in fair value. At December 31, 2013, we did not hold any securities in the name of any one issuer exceeding 10% of shareholders' equity.

Deposits

Table 10 sets forth the actual and average deposit balances by major category for 2013, 2012 and 2011:

Deposit Balances

Table 10

(In thousands)	Actual Balance	2013 Average Balance	Averag Yield	eActual Balance	2012 Average Balance	AverageActual Yield Balance	2011 Average Balance	Average Yield
Non-interest-bearing	^g \$189,500	\$177,936	0	\$161,500	\$160,145	0 \$149,88	8 \$135,365	0
demand deposits Interest-bearing								
deposits:								
Demand	129,074	123,711	0.13%	119,306	120,616	0.15% 101,49	2 98,395	0.14%
Money Market:								
Retail	215,842	205,608	0.23%	202,678	203,497	0.21% 219,48	8 216,390	0.32%
Brokered	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00% 0	7,913	0.87%
Savings deposits	116,345	112,999	0.19%	109,740	107,964	0.19% 102,56	1 100,598	0.28%
Time deposits less than \$100K	169,136	195,084	1.06%	188,341	214,613	1.26% 216,32	4 290,651	1.94%
Time deposits								
\$100K or more: Retail	151,928	149,285	1.35%	164,085	158,298	1.72% 185,04	5 171,557	2.32%
Brokered/CDARS	5,578	10,918	0.19%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	39,753	0.84% 52,986	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.01%
Total Deposits	\$977,403	\$ 975,541		\$976,884	\$ 1,004,886	\$1,027,7	784 \$ 1,116,960	

Total deposits increased \$.5 million during 2013 when compared to deposits at December 31, 2012. The increase in deposits was due to increases of \$6.6 million in traditional savings accounts, \$9.8 million in interest-bearing demand deposits, \$13.1 million in money market accounts and \$28.0 million in non-interest bearing demand deposits. These increases were offset by a \$19.2 million decrease in time deposits less than \$100,000 and a \$37.8 million decrease in time deposits greater than \$100,000. During 2013, we continued our strategic focus on increasing our net interest margin by changing the mix of our deposit base and focusing on customers with full banking relationships.

[50]

The following table sets forth the maturities of time deposits of \$100,000 or more:

Maturity of Time Deposits of \$100,000 or More

Table 11

(In thousands)	December
(In thousands)	31, 2013
Maturities	
3 Months or Less	\$18,497
3-6 Months	15,579
6-12 Months	25,873
Over 1 Year	97,557
Total	\$157,506

Borrowed Funds

The following shows the composition of our borrowings at December 31:

(In thousands)	2013	2012	2011
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	\$43,676	\$39,257	\$36,868
Total short-term borrowings	\$43,676	\$39,257	\$36,868
Long-term FHLB advances	\$135,942	\$136,005	\$160,314
Junior subordinated debentures	46,730	46,730	46,730
Total long-term borrowings	\$182,672	\$182,735	\$207,044
Total borrowings	\$226,348	\$221,992	\$243,912
Average balance (from Table 1)	\$230,531	\$237,416	\$258,892

The following is a summary of short-term borrowings at December 31 with original maturities of less than one year:

(Dollars in thousands)	2013	2012	2011
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase:			

Explanation of Responses:

Outstanding at end of year	\$43,676	\$39,257	\$36,868
Weighted average interest rate at year end	0.14 %	0.34 %	0.64 %
Maximum amount outstanding as of any month end	\$61,354	\$52,367	\$51,403
Average amount outstanding	48,299	38,812	41,728
Approximate weighted average rate during the year	0.13 %	0.34 %	0.56 %

Total borrowings increased by \$4.4 million, or 2.0%, in 2013 when compared to 2012, while the average balance of borrowings decreased by \$6.9 million during the same period. The increase was due to a \$4.4 million increase in our Treasury Management product which was offset slightly by a decrease of \$63 thousand in long-term borrowings due to scheduled monthly amortization of long-term advances.

Total borrowings decreased by \$21.9 million, or 9%, in 2012 when compared to 2011, while the average balance of borrowings decreased by \$21.5 million during the same period. Long-term borrowings decreased \$24.3 million during 2012 due to the repayment of \$23.5 million in FHLB advances and scheduled monthly amortization of long-term advances. This decrease was offset slightly by a \$2.4 million increase in our Treasury Management product.

[51]

Management will continue to closely monitor interest rates within the context of its overall asset-liability management process. See the discussion under the heading "Interest Rate Sensitivity" in this Item 7 for further information on this topic.

As of December 31, 2013, we had additional borrowing capacity with the FHLB totaling \$11 million, an additional \$25 million of unused lines of credit with various financial institutions, \$30 million of an unused secured line of credit with the Federal Reserve Bank and approximately \$49 million available through wholesale money market funds. See Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further details about our borrowings and additional borrowing capacity, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Capital Resources

We require capital to fund loans, satisfy our obligations under the Bank's letters of credit, meet the deposit withdraw demands of the Bank's customers, and satisfy our other monetary obligations. To the extent that deposits are not adequate to fund our capital requirements, we can rely on the funding sources identified below under the heading "Liquidity Management". At December 31, 2013, the Bank had \$25.0 million available through unsecured lines of credit with correspondent banks, \$30.4 million available through a secured line of credit with the Fed Discount Window and approximately \$11.2 million available through the FHLB. Management is not aware of any demands, commitments, events or uncertainties that are likely to materially affect our ability to meet our future capital requirements.

In addition to operational requirements, the Bank and the Corporation are subject to risk-based capital regulations, which were adopted and are monitored by federal banking regulators. These regulations are used to evaluate capital adequacy and require an analysis of an institution's asset risk profile and off-balance sheet exposures, such as unused loan commitments and stand-by letters of credit. The regulations require that a portion of total capital be Tier 1 capital, consisting of common shareholders' equity, the qualifying portion of trust issued preferred securities, and perpetual preferred stock, less goodwill and certain other deductions. The remaining capital, or Tier 2 capital, consists of subordinated debt, mandatory convertible debt, the remaining portion of trust issued preferred securities, grandfathered senior debt and the ALL, subject to certain limitations.

Banking organizations are currently required to maintain a minimum 8% (10% for well capitalized banks) total risk-based capital ratio (total qualifying capital divided by risk-weighted assets), including a Tier 1 ratio of 4% (6% for well capitalized banks). The risk-based capital rules have been further supplemented by a leverage ratio, defined as Tier I capital divided by average assets, after certain adjustments. The minimum leverage ratio is 4% (5% for well capitalized banks) for banking organizations that do not anticipate significant growth and have well-diversified risk (including no undue interest rate risk exposure), excellent asset quality, high liquidity and good earnings. Other banking organizations not in this category are expected to have ratios of at least 4-5%, depending on their particular condition and growth plans. Regulators may require higher capital ratios when warranted by the particular circumstances or risk profile of a given banking organization. In the current regulatory environment, banking

organizations must stay well capitalized in order to receive favorable regulatory treatment on acquisition and other expansion activities and favorable risk-based deposit insurance assessments. Our capital policy establishes guidelines meeting these regulatory requirements and takes into consideration current or anticipated risks as well as potential future growth opportunities.

At December 31, 2013, the Corporation's total risk-based capital ratio was 15.29% and the Bank's total risk-based capital ratio was 16.17%, both of which were well above the regulatory minimum of 8%. The total risk-based capital ratios of the Corporation and the Bank for year-end 2012 were 14.13% and 14.63%, respectively. The increase for 2013 was due to a change in composition of risk based assets as well as the increase in net income.

As of December 31, 2013, the most recent notification from the regulators categorizes the Corporation and the Bank as "well capitalized" under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding regulatory capital ratios.

The current capital regime will significantly change when the Basel III Capital Rules are phased in starting on January 1, 2015. These changes are discussed in Item 1 of Part I of this annual report under the heading, "**Capital Requirements**".

[52]

In January 2009, pursuant to the Treasury's TARP CPP, the Corporation sold 30,000 shares of its Series A Preferred Stock and a Warrant to purchase 326,323 shares of its common stock, having an exercise price of \$13.79 per share, to the Treasury for an aggregate purchase price of \$30 million. The proceeds from this transaction count as Tier 1 capital and the Warrant qualifies as tangible common equity. Information about the terms of these securities is provided in Note 13 to the consolidated financial statements.

The terms of the Series A Preferred Stock call for the payment, if declared by the Corporation's Board of Directors, of a quarterly cash dividend on February 15th, May 15th, August 15th and November 15th of each year. At the request of the Reserve Bank, the Corporation deferred the payment of cash dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock beginning with the payment that was due on November 15, 2010. As of December 31, 2013, this deferral election remained in effect and dividends of \$.4 million per quarterly dividend period continue to accrue. The Corporation will be required to pay all accrued and unpaid dividends if and when the Board of Directors declares and pays the next quarterly cash dividend. Management cannot predict whether or when the Board of Directors will resume quarterly cash dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock. The Corporation's ability to make dividend payments in the future will depend primarily on our earnings in future periods.

On December 15, 2010, also at the request of the Reserve Bank, the Corporation's Board of Directors elected to defer quarterly interest payments under its TPS Debentures beginning with the payments that were due in March 2011. As of December 31, 2013, this deferral election remained in effect and cumulative deferred interest was approximately \$6.7 million, which has been fully accrued and must be paid in full at the time the deferral is terminated. As discussed above under the heading "**Recent Developments**", the Corporation has received approval from the Reserve Bank to terminate that deferral by making the quarterly interest payments due to the Trusts in March 2014 and paying all unpaid interest that accrued during the deferral period.

In connection with, and as a result of, the aforementioned deferrals, the Corporation's Board of Directors voted to suspend the declaration of quarterly cash dividends on the common stock until further notice. The payment of cash dividends on the common stock is at the discretion of the Board of Directors and is dependent on our earnings in future periods. In addition, cash dividends on the common stock may be paid only if all accrued and unpaid interest due under the TPS Debentures and all accrued and unpaid dividends due under the Series A Preferred Stock have been paid in full. There can be no assurance as to if or when the Corporation will resume the payment of cash dividends on the common stock.

Liquidity Management

Liquidity is a financial institution's capability to meet customer demands for deposit withdrawals while funding all credit-worthy loans. The factors that determine the institution's liquidity are:

Reliability and stability of core deposits; Cash flow structure and pledging status of investments; and Potential for unexpected loan demand.

We actively manage our liquidity position through weekly meetings of a sub-committee of executive management, known as the internal treasury team, which looks forward 12 months at 30-day intervals. The measurement is based upon the projection of funds sold or purchased position, along with ratios and trends developed to measure dependence on purchased funds and core growth. Monthly reviews by management and quarterly reviews by the Asset and Liability Committee under prescribed policies and procedures are designed to ensure that we will maintain adequate levels of available funds.

It is our policy to manage our affairs so that liquidity needs are fully satisfied through normal Bank operations. That is, the Bank will manage its liquidity to minimize the need to make unplanned sales of assets or to borrow funds under emergency conditions. The Bank will use funding sources where the interest cost is relatively insensitive to market changes in the short run (periods of one year or less) to satisfy operating cash needs. The remaining normal funding will come from interest-sensitive liabilities, either deposits or borrowed funds. When the marginal cost of needed wholesale funding is lower than the cost of raising this funding in the retail markets, the Corporation may supplement retail funding with external funding sources such as:

Unsecured Fed Funds lines of credit with upstream correspondent banks (M&T Bank, Atlantic Community Banker's Bank, Community Banker's Bank, PNC Financial Services ("PNC").

Secured advances with the FHLB of Atlanta, which are collateralized by eligible one to four family residential •mortgage loans, home equity lines of credit, commercial real estate loans, and various securities. Cash may also be pledged as collateral.

[53]

.

Secured line of credit with the Fed Discount Window for use in borrowing funds up to 90 days, using municipal securities as collateral.

Brokered deposits, including CDs and money market funds, provide a method to generate deposits quickly. These deposits are strictly rate driven but often provide the most cost effective means of funding growth.

One Way Buy CDARS funding – a form of brokered deposits that has become a viable supplement to brokered deposits obtained directly.

Management believes that we have adequate liquidity available to respond to current and anticipated liquidity demands and is not aware of any trends or demands, commitments, events or uncertainties that are likely to materially affect our ability to maintain liquidity at satisfactory levels.

Management believes that we have adequate liquidity available to respond to current and anticipated liquidity demands and is not aware of any trends or demands, commitments, events or uncertainties that are likely to materially affect our ability to maintain liquidity at satisfactory levels.

Market Risk and Interest Sensitivity

Our primary market risk is interest rate fluctuation. Interest rate risk results primarily from the traditional banking activities that we engage in, such as gathering deposits and extending loans. Many factors, including economic and financial conditions, movements in interest rates and consumer preferences affect the difference between the interest earned on our assets and the interest paid on our liabilities. Interest rate sensitivity refers to the degree that earnings will be impacted by changes in the prevailing level of interest rates. Interest rate risk arises from mismatches in the repricing or maturity characteristics between interest-bearing assets and liabilities. Management seeks to minimize fluctuating net interest margins, and to enhance consistent growth of net interest income through periods of changing interest rates sensitivity gap analysis assigns each interest-earning asset and interest-bearing liability to a time frame reflecting its next repricing or maturity date. The differences between total interest-sensitive assets and liabilities at each time interval represent the interest sensitivity gap for that interval. A positive gap generally indicates that rising interest rates during a given interval will increase net interest income, as more assets than liabilities will reprice. A negative gap position would benefit us during a period of declining interest rates.

During 2013, we continued to shift our focus from a shorter duration balance sheet to a more neutral to slightly asset sensitive position as we anticipate a flat to rising rate environment in the future. As of December 31, 2013, we were asset sensitive.

Our interest rate risk management goals are:

Ensure that the Board of Directors and senior management will provide effective oversight and ensure that risks are adequately identified, measured, monitored and controlled;

Enable dynamic measurement and management of interest rate risk;

Select strategies that optimize our ability to meet our long-range financial goals while maintaining interest rate risk within policy limits established by the Board of Directors;

Use both income and market value oriented techniques to select strategies that optimize the relationship between risk and return; and

Establish interest rate risk exposure limits for fluctuation in net interest income ("NII"), net income and economic value of equity.

In order to manage interest sensitivity risk, management formulates guidelines regarding asset generation and pricing, funding sources and pricing, and off-balance sheet commitments. These guidelines are based on management's outlook regarding future interest rate movements, the state of the regional and national economy, and other financial and business risk factors. Management uses computer simulations to measure the effect on net interest income of various interest rate scenarios. Key assumptions used in the computer simulations include cash flows and maturities of interest rate sensitive assets and liabilities, changes in asset volumes and pricing, and management's capital plans. This modeling reflects interest rate changes and the related impact on net interest income over specified periods.

[54]

We evaluate the effect of a change in interest rates of +/-100 basis points to +/-400 basis points on both NII and Net Portfolio Value ("NPV") / Economic Value of Equity ("EVE"). We concentrate on NII rather than net income as long as NII remains the significant contributor to net income.

NII modeling allows management to view how changes in interest rates will affect the spread between the yield paid on assets and the cost of deposits and borrowed funds. Unlike traditional Gap modeling, NII modeling takes into account the different degree to which installments in the same repricing period will adjust to a change in interest rates. It also allows the use of different assumptions in a falling versus a rising rate environment. The period considered by the NII modeling is the next eight quarters.

NPV / EVE modeling focuses on the change in the market value of equity. NPV / EVE is defined as the market value of assets less the market value of liabilities plus/minus the market value of any off-balance sheet positions. By effectively looking at the present value of all future cash flows on or off the balance sheet, NPV / EVE modeling takes a longer-term view of interest rate risk. This complements the shorter-term view of the NII modeling.

Measures of NII at risk produced by simulation analysis are indicators of an institution's short-term performance in alternative rate environments. These measures are typically based upon a relatively brief period, usually one year. They do not necessarily indicate the long-term prospects or economic value of the institution.

Based on the simulation analysis performed at December 31, 2013 and 2012, management estimated the following changes in net interest income, assuming the indicated rate changes:

(Dollars in thousands)	2013	2012
+400 basis points	\$2,025	\$4,041
+300 basis points	\$1,806	\$4,023
+200 basis points	\$1,653	\$3,494
+100 basis points	\$879	\$2,061
-100 basis points	\$(2,847)	\$(3,763)

This estimate is based on assumptions that may be affected by unforeseeable changes in the general interest rate environment and any number of unforeseeable factors. Rates on different assets and liabilities within a single maturity category adjust to changes in interest rates to varying degrees and over varying periods of time. The relationships between lending rates and rates paid on purchased funds are not constant over time. Management can respond to current or anticipated market conditions by lengthening or shortening the Bank's sensitivity through loan repricings or changing its funding mix. The rate of growth in interest-free sources of funds will influence the level of interest-sensitive funding sources. In addition, the absolute level of interest rates will affect the volume of earning assets and funding sources. As a result of these limitations, the interest-sensitive gap is only one factor to be

considered in estimating the net interest margin.

Impact of Inflation – Our assets and liabilities are primarily monetary in nature, and as such, future changes in prices do not affect the obligations to pay or receive fixed and determinable amounts of money. During inflationary periods, monetary assets lose value in terms of purchasing power and monetary liabilities have corresponding purchasing power gains. The concept of purchasing power is not an adequate indicator of the impact of inflation on financial institutions because it does not incorporate changes in our earnings.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The information called for by this item is incorporated herein by reference to Item 7 of Part II of this annual report under the heading "Market Risk and Interest Sensitivity".

[55]

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	57
Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition as of December 31, 2013 and 2012	58
Consolidated Statement of Income for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012	59
Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012	60
Consolidated Statement of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012	61
Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012	62
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012	63

[56]

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders

First United Corporation

Oakland, Maryland

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statement of financial condition of First United Corporation and Subsidiaries ("Corporation") as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, changes in shareholders' equity, and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of First United Corporation's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of First United Corporation and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ ParenteBeard LLC

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

March 10, 2014

[57]

Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

	December 3 2013	1, 2012
Assets Cash and due from banks Interest bearing deposits in banks Cash and cash equivalents Investment securities – available-for-sale (at fair value)	\$32,895 10,168 43,063 336,589	\$71,290 11,778 83,068 223,273
Investment securities – held to maturity (fair value \$3,590 at December 31, 2013 and \$4,347	,	4,040
at December 31, 2012, respectively) Restricted investment in bank stock, at cost Loans Allowance for loan losses Net loans Premises and equipment, net Goodwill Bank owned life insurance Deferred tax assets Other real estate owned Accrued interest receivable and other assets Total Assets	7,913 810,240 (13,594) 796,646 26,905 11,004 32,413 29,209 17,031 28,830 \$1,333,503	8,349 874,829
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity		
Liabilities: Non-interest bearing deposits Interest bearing deposits Total deposits	\$189,500 787,903 977,403	\$161,500 815,384 976,884
Short-term borrowings Long-term borrowings Accrued interest payable and other liabilities Total Liabilities	43,676 182,672 28,412 1,232,163	39,257 182,735 23,002 1,221,878
Shareholders' Equity: Preferred stock – no par value; Authorized 2,000 shares of which 30 shares of Series A, \$1,000 per share liquidation preference, 5% cumulative increasing to 9% cumulative on February 15, 2014, were issued and outstanding on December 31, 2013 and 2012 (discount of \$6 and \$75, respectively) Common Stock – par value \$.01 per share;	29,994 62	29,925 62

Authorized 25,000 shares; issued and outstanding 6,211 shares at December 31, 2013 and 6,199 shares at December 31, 2012 Surplus 21,661 **Retained earnings** 73,836 Accumulated other comprehensive loss (24,213) (21,823) Total Shareholders' Equity 101,340 Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity \$1,333,503 \$1,320,783

See notes to consolidated financial statements

[58]

21,573

69,168

98,905

Consolidated Statement of Income

(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	Year ended December		
	31		
	2013	2012	
Interest income			
Interest and fees on loans	\$42,258	\$46,690	
Interest on investment securities			
Taxable	5,557	4,307	
Exempt from federal income tax	1,756	1,805	
Total investment income	7,313	6,112	
Other	343	309	
Total interest income	49,914	53,111	
Interest expense			
Interest on deposits	5,076	6,559	
Interest on short-term borrowings	62	133	
Interest on long-term borrowings	6,594	7,273	
Total interest expense	11,732	13,965	
Net interest income	38,182	39,146	
Provision for loan losses	380	9,390	
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	37,802	29,756	
Other operating income			
Changes in fair value on impaired securities	4,173	850	
Portion of gain recognized in other comprehensive			
income (before taxes)	(4,173) (850	
Net securities impairment losses recognized in operations	0	0	
Net gains – other	229	1,708	
Total net gains	229	1,708	
Service charges	3,416	3,639	
Trust department	5,007	4,608	
Debit card income	1,954	2,010	
Bank owned life insurance	1,006	1,778	
Brokerage commissions	806	778	
Other	853	817	
Total other income	13,042	13,630	
Total other operating income	13,271	15,338	
Other operating expenses			
Salaries and employee benefits	19,946	19,481	
FDIC premiums	1,875	1,985	
Equipment	2,595	2,624	
Occupancy	2,628	2,719	
Data processing	3,069	2,886	

Explanation of Responses:

)

Professional services	1,495	1,292
Other real estate owned expenses	2,909	890
Miscellaneous loan fees	505	580
Other	7,383	7,061
Total other operating expenses	42,405	39,518
Income before income tax expense	8,668	5,576
Applicable income tax expense	2,222	913
Net Income	6,446	4,663
Accumulated preferred stock dividends and discount accretion	(1,778) (1,691)
Net Income Available to Common Shareholders	\$4,668	\$2,972
Basic and diluted net income per common share	\$0.75	\$0.48
Weighted average number of basic and diluted shares outstanding	6,206,819	6,193,774

See notes to consolidated financial statements

[59]

Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income

(In thousands, except per share data)

	Year End Decembe	
Comprehensive Income/(Loss) Net Income	2013 \$6,446	2012 \$4,663
Other comprehensive income/(loss), net of tax and reclassification adjustments: Net unrealized gains on investments with OTTI	2,413	536
Net unrealized losses on all other AFS securities	(8,326)	(333)
Net unrealized gains on cash flow hedges	233	109
Net unrealized gains/(losses) on pension plan liability	3,174	(1,317)
Net unrealized gains on SERP liability	116	144
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax	(2,390)	(861)
Comprehensive income	\$4,056	\$3,802

See notes to the consolidated financial statements

[60]

Consolidated Statement of Changes in Shareholders' Equity

(In thousands)

Balance at January 1, 2012	Preferred Stock \$ 29,860	Common Stock \$ 62	Surplus \$21,500	Retained Earnings \$66,196	Accumulated Other Comprehensiv Loss \$ (20,962		Total Shareholde Equity \$ 96,656	rs'
Net income Other comprehensive loss Stock based compensation Preferred stock discount accretion Preferred stock dividends deferred	65		73	4,663 (65) (1,626)	(861)	4,663 (861 73 0 (1,626)
Balance at December 31, 2012	29,925	62	21,573	69,168	(21,823)	98,905	
Net income Other comprehensive loss Stock based compensation Preferred stock discount accretion Preferred stock dividends deferred	69		88	6,446 (69) (1,709)	(2,390)	6,446 (2,390 88 0 (1,709)
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$29,994	\$ 62	\$21,661	\$73,836	\$ (24,213)	\$ 101,340	

See notes to consolidated financial statements

[61]

Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows

(In thousands)

	Year ended 2013		cember 31 2012	l
Operating activities	2010			
Net income	\$6,446		\$ 4,663	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:	+ -,		+ .,	
Provision for loan losses	380		9,390	
Depreciation	2,043		1,992	
Stock compensation	88		73	
Gain on sales of Insurance assets	0		(88)
Gain on sales of other real estate owned	(205)	(995)
Write-downs of other real estate owned	3,079		1,489)
Gain on loan sales	(176)	(167)
Loss on disposal of fixed assets	25		92)
Net amortization of investment securities discounts and premiums	1,561		1,565	
Gain on sales of investment securities – available-for-sale	(78)	(1,545)
Amortization of deferred loan fees	(542	ý	(629	
Decrease in accrued interest receivable and other assets	1,488	,	1,785)
Deferred tax expense	1,288		400	
Increase/(decrease) in accrued interest payable and other liabilities	4,285		(1,042)
Earnings on bank owned life insurance	(1,006)	(1,778)
Net cash provided by operating activities	18,676	,	15,205)
The easily provided by operating activities	10,070		15,205	
Investing activities				
Proceeds from maturities/calls of investment securities available-for-sale	35,891		70,562	
Proceeds from maturities/calls of investment securities held-to-maturity	140		0	
Proceeds from sales of investment securities available-for-sale	44,496		46,220	
Purchases of investment securities available-for-sale	(205,083)	(98,787)
Proceeds from sales of other real estate owned	4,478		5,982	
Proceeds from loan sales	23,100		25,392	
Proceeds from disposal of fixed assets	1,423		567	
Proceeds from sale of insurance assets	0		3,604	
Proceeds from BOLI death benefit	0		1,806	
Net decrease in FHLB stock	436		2,377	
Net decrease in loans	32,504		19,133	
Purchases of premises and equipment	(941)	(1,280)
Net cash (used in)/provided by investing activities	(63,556)	75,576	
Financing activities	510		(50.000	
Net increase/(decrease) in deposits	519		(50,900)
Net increase in short-term borrowings	4,419		2,389	

Proceeds from long-term borrowings	0	20,000
Payments on long-term borrowings	(63) (44,309)
Net cash provided by/ (used in) financing activities	4,875	(72,820)
(Decrease)/increase in cash and cash equivalents	(40,005) 17,961
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the year	83,068	65,107
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$43,063	\$ 83,068
Supplemental information		
Interest paid	\$ 9,500	\$ 12,062
Taxes paid	\$ 1,035	\$ 620
Non-cash investing activities:		
Transfers from loans to other real estate owned	\$6,870	\$7,313
Transfers from securities available for sale to held-to-maturity	\$ 0	\$ 4,040

See notes to consolidated financial statements

[62]

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Business

First United Corporation is a Maryland corporation chartered in 1985 and a financial holding company registered under the federal Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended. First United Corporation's primary business is serving as the parent company of First United Bank & Trust, a Maryland trust company (the "Bank"), First United Statutory Trust I ("Trust I") and First United Statutory Trust II ("Trust II"), both Connecticut statutory business trusts, and First United Statutory Trust III, a Delaware statutory business trust ("Trust III" and together with Trust I and Trust II, the "Trusts"). The Bank provides a complete range of retail and commercial banking services to a customer base serviced by a network of 25 offices and 28 automated teller machines. The Trusts were formed for the purpose of selling trust preferred securities that qualified as Tier 1 capital. First United Corporation is also the parent company of First United Insurance Group, LLC, a Maryland limited liability company (the "Insurance Agency") that, through the close of business on December 31, 2011, operated as a full service insurance agency. Effective on January 1, 2012, the Insurance Agency sold substantially all of its assets, net of cash, to a third-party and is no longer an active subsidiary. The Bank has three wholly-owned subsidiaries: OakFirst Loan Center, Inc., a West Virginia finance company; OakFirst Loan Center, LLC, a Maryland finance company (collectively, the "OakFirst Loan Centers"); and First OREO Trust, a Maryland statutory trust formed for the purposes of servicing and disposing of the real estate that the Bank acquires through foreclosure or by deed in lieu of foreclosure. The Bank also owns 99.9% of the limited partnership interests in Liberty Mews Limited Partnership, a Maryland limited partnership (the "Partnership") formed for the purpose of acquiring, developing and operating low-income housing units in Garrett County, Maryland. Until March 27, 2013 when he entity was terminated, the Bank also owned a majority interest in Cumberland Liquidation Trust, a Maryland statutory trust formed for the purposes of servicing and disposing of real estate that secured a loan made by another bank and in which the Bank held a participation interest.

First United Corporation and its subsidiaries operate principally in four counties in Western Maryland and three counties in West Virginia.

As used in these Notes, unless the context requires otherwise, the terms "the Corporation", "we", "us", "our" and words of similar import refer collectively to the Corporation and its direct and indirect subsidiaries.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements of the Corporation have been prepared in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") as required by the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") that require management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of certain assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements as well as the reported amount of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from these estimates. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term relate to the determination of the allowance for loan losses, the assessment of other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") pertaining to investment securities, potential impairment of goodwill, and the valuation of deferred tax assets. For purposes of comparability, certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the 2013 presentation. Such reclassifications had no impact on net income or equity.

The Corporation has evaluated events and transactions occurring subsequent to the statement of financial condition date of December 31, 2013 for items that should potentially be recognized or disclosed in these financial statements as prescribed by ASC Topic 855, *Subsequent Events*.

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements of the Corporation include the accounts of First United Corporation, the Insurance Group, OakFirst Loan Center, Inc., OakFirst Loan Center, LLC, First OREO Trust and Cumberland Liquidation. All significant inter-company accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

First United Corporation determines whether it has a controlling financial interest in an entity by first evaluating whether the entity is a voting interest entity or a variable interest entity ("VIE") in accordance with GAAP. Voting interest entities are entities in which the total equity investment at risk is sufficient to enable the entity to finance itself independently and provides the equity holders with the obligation to absorb losses, the right to receive residual returns and the right to make financial and operating decisions. The Corporation consolidates voting interest entities in which it has 100%, or at least a majority, of the voting interest. As defined in applicable accounting standards, a VIE is an entity that either (i) does not have equity investors with voting rights or (ii) has equity investors that do not provide sufficient financial resources for the entity to support its activities. A controlling financial interest in an entity exists when an enterprise has a variable interest, or a combination of variable interests that will absorb a majority of an entity's expected losses, receive a majority of an entity's expected residual returns, or both. The enterprise with a controlling financial interest, known as the primary beneficiary, consolidates the VIE.

[63]

The Corporation accounts for its investment in the Partnership, utilizing the effective yield method under guidance that applies specifically to investments in limited partnerships that operate qualified affordable housing projects. Under the effective yield method, the investor recognizes tax credits as they are allocated and amortizes the initial cost of the investment to provide a constant effective yield over the period that tax credits are allocated to the investor. The effective yield is the internal rate of return on the investment, based on the cost of the investment and the guaranteed tax credits allocated to the investor. The tax credit allocated, net of the amortization of the investment in the limited partnership, is recognized in the income statement as a component of income taxes attributable to continuing operations.

Significant Concentrations of Credit Risk

Most of the Corporation's relationships are with customers located in Western Maryland and Northeastern West Virginia. At December 31, 2013, approximately 13%, or \$107 million, of total loans were secured by real estate acquisition, construction and development projects, with \$96 million performing according to their contractual terms and \$11 million considered to be impaired based on management's concerns about the borrowers' ability to comply with present repayment terms. Of the \$11 million in impaired loans, \$5 million were classified as troubled debt restructurings ("TDRs") performing according to their modified terms, \$1 million were classified as performing impaired loans, and \$5 million were classified as non-performing loans at December 31, 2013. Additionally, commercial rental properties represent 11% of the total loan portfolio as of December 31, 2013. Note 6 discusses the types of securities in which the Corporation invests and Note 7 discusses the Corporation's lending activities.

Investments

The investment portfolio is classified and accounted for based on the guidance of ASC Topic 320, *Investments – Debt and Equity Securities*. Securities bought and held principally for the purpose of selling them in the near term are classified as trading account securities and reported at fair value with unrealized gains and losses included in net gains/losses in other operating income. Securities purchased with the intent and ability to hold the securities to maturity are classified as held-to-maturity securities and are recorded at amortized cost. All other investment securities are classified as available-for-sale. These securities are held for an indefinite period of time and may be sold in response to changing market and interest rate conditions or for liquidity purposes as part of our overall asset/liability management strategy. Available-for-sale securities are reported at market value, with unrealized gains and losses excluded from earnings and reported as a separate component of other comprehensive income included in consolidated statement of comprehensive income, net of applicable income taxes.

The amortized cost of debt securities is adjusted for the amortization of premiums to the first call date, if applicable, or to maturity, and for the accretion of discounts to maturity, or, in the case of mortgage-backed securities, over the estimated life of the security. Such amortization and accretion is included in interest income from investments. Interest and dividends are included in interest income from investments. Gains and losses on the sale of securities are

recorded using the specific identification method.

Restricted Investment in Bank Stock

Restricted stock, which represents required investments in the common stock of the Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") of Atlanta, Atlantic Community Bankers Bank ("ACBB") and Community Bankers Bank ("CBB"), is carried at cost and is considered a long-term investment.

Management evaluates the restricted stock for impairment in accordance with ASC Industry Topic 942, *Financial Services – Depository and Lending*, (ASC Section 942-325-35). Management's evaluation of potential impairment is based on management's assessment of the ultimate recoverability of the cost of the restricted stock rather than by recognizing temporary declines in value. The determination of whether a decline affects the ultimate recoverability is influenced by criteria such as (i) the significance of the decline in net assets of the issuing bank as compared to the capital stock amount for that bank and the length of time this situation has persisted, (ii) commitments by the issuing bank to make payments required by law or regulation and the level of such payments in relation to the operating performance of that bank, and (iii) the impact of legislative and regulatory changes on institutions and, accordingly, on the customer base of the issuing bank. Management has evaluated the restricted stock for impairment and believes that no impairment charge is necessary as of December 31, 2013.

The Corporation recognizes dividends on a cash basis. For the year ended December 31, 2013, dividends of \$199,500 were recognized in earnings. For the year ended December 31, 2012, dividends of \$167,000 were recognized in earnings.

[64]

Loans

Loans that management has the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future or until maturity or full repayment by the borrower are reported at their outstanding unpaid principal balance, adjusted for any deferred fees or costs pertaining to origination. Loans that management has the intent to sell are reported at the lower of cost or fair value determined on an individual basis.

The segments of the Bank's loan portfolio are disaggregated to a level that allows management to monitor risk and performance. The commercial real estate ("CRE") loan segment is further disaggregated into two classes. Non-owner occupied CRE loans, which include loans secured by non-owner occupied nonfarm nonresidential properties, generally have a greater risk profile than all other CRE loans, which include loans secured by farmland, multifamily structures and owner-occupied commercial structures. The acquisition and development ("A&D") loan segment is further disaggregated into two classes. One-to-four family residential construction loans are generally made to individuals for the acquisition of and/or construction on a lot or lots on which a residential dwelling is to be built. All other A&D loans are generally made to developers or investors for the purpose of acquiring, developing and constructing residential or commercial structures. These loans have a higher risk profile because the ultimate buyer, once development is completed, is generally not known at the time of the A&D loan. The commercial and industrial ("C&I") loan segment is further disaggregated into two classes: one-to-four family residential to two classes in the consist of loans made for the purpose of financing the activities of commercial and industrial ("C&I") loan segment is further disaggregated into two classes: amortizing term loans, which are primarily first liens, and home equity lines of credit, which are generally second liens. The consumer loan segment consists primarily of installment loans (direct and indirect) and overdraft lines of credit connected with customer deposit accounts.

Interest and Fees on Loans

Interest on loans (other than those on non-accrual status) is recognized based upon the principal amount outstanding. Loan fees in excess of the costs incurred to originate the loan are recognized as income over the life of the loan utilizing either the interest method or the straight-line method, depending on the type of loan. Generally, fees on loans with a specified maturity date, such as residential mortgages, are recognized using the interest method. Loan fees for lines of credit are recognized using the straight-line method.

A loan is considered to be past due when a payment has not been received for 30 days past its contractual due date. For all loan segments, the accrual of interest is discontinued when principal or interest is delinquent for 90 days or more unless the loan is well-secured and in the process of collection. All non-accrual loans are considered to be impaired. Interest payments received on non-accrual loans are applied as a reduction of the loan principal balance. Loans are returned to accrual status when all principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured. The Corporation's policy for recognizing interest income on impaired loans does not differ from its overall policy for interest recognition.

Generally, consumer installment loans are not placed on non-accrual status, but are charged off after they are 120 days contractually past due. Loans other than consumer loans are charged-off based on an evaluation of the facts and circumstances of each individual loan.

Allowance for Loan Losses

An allowance for loan losses ("ALL") is maintained to absorb losses from the loan portfolio. The ALL is based on management's continuing evaluation of the risk characteristics and credit quality of the loan portfolio, assessment of current economic conditions, diversification and size of the portfolio, adequacy of collateral, past and anticipated loss experience, and the amount of non-performing loans.

The Bank's methodology for determining the ALL is based on the requirements of ASC Section 310-10-35, *Receivables-Overall-Subsequent Measurement*, for loans individually evaluated for impairment and ASC Subtopic 450-20, *Contingencies-Loss Contingencies*, for loans collectively evaluated for impairment, as well as the Interagency Policy Statements on the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses and other bank regulatory guidance. The total of the two components represents the Bank's ALL.

The Corporation maintains an allowance for losses on unfunded commercial lending commitments and letters of credit to provide for the risk of loss inherent in these arrangements. The allowance is determined utilizing a methodology that is similar to that used to determine the ALL, modified to take into account the probability of a draw down on the commitment. This allowance is reported as a liability on the balance sheet within accrued interest payable and other liabilities. The balance in the liability account was \$49,400 at December 31, 2013 and \$44,000 at December 31, 2012.

[65]

Premises and Equipment

Land is carried at cost. Premises and equipment are carried at cost, less accumulated depreciation. The provision for depreciation for financial reporting has been made by using the straight-line method based on the estimated useful lives of the assets, which range from 18 to 32 years for buildings and three to 20 years for furniture and equipment. Accelerated depreciation methods are used for income tax purposes.

Goodwill

Goodwill represents the excess of the cost of an acquisition over the fair value of the net assets acquired in business combinations. In accordance with ASC Topic 350, *Intangibles - Goodwill and Other*, goodwill is not amortized but is subject to an annual impairment test.

Bank-Owned Life Insurance ("BOLI")

BOLI policies are recorded at their cash surrender values. Changes in the cash surrender values are recorded as other operating income.

Other Real Estate Owned

Assets acquired through, or in lieu of, loan foreclosure are held for sale and are initially recorded at fair value less the cost to sell at the date of foreclosure, with any losses charged to the ALL, establishing a new cost basis. Subsequent to foreclosure, valuations are periodically performed by management and the assets are carried at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell. Changes in the valuation allowance, sales gains and losses, and revenue and expenses from holding and operating properties are all included in net expenses from other real estate owned.

Income Taxes

First United Corporation and its subsidiaries file a consolidated federal income tax return. Income taxes are accounted for using the asset and liability method. Under the asset and liability method, the deferred tax liability or asset is

determined based on the difference between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities (temporary differences) and is measured at the enacted tax rates that will be in effect when these differences reverse. Deferred tax expense is determined by the change in the net liability or asset for deferred taxes adjusted for changes in any deferred tax asset valuation allowance.

ASC Topic 740, *Taxes*, provides clarification on accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements and prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. We have not identified any income tax uncertainties.

State corporate income tax returns are filed annually. Federal and state returns may be selected for examination by the Internal Revenue Service and the states where we file, subject to statutes of limitations. At any given point in time, the Corporation may have several years of filed tax returns that may be selected for examination or review by taxing authorities. With few exceptions, we are no longer subject to U.S. Federal, State, and local income tax examinations by tax authorities for years prior to 2010.

Interest and penalties on income taxes are recognized as a component of income tax expense.

Defined Benefit Plans

The defined benefit pension plan and supplemental executive retirement plan are accounted for in accordance with ASC Topic 715, *Compensation – Retirement Benefits*. Under the provisions of Topic 715, the funded status of the defined benefit pension plan is recognized as an asset, and the supplemental executive retirement plan is recognized as a liability in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition, and unrecognized net actuarial losses, prior service costs and a net transition asset are recognized as a separate component of other comprehensive loss, net of tax. Refer to Note 18 for a further discussion of the pension plan and supplemental executive retirement plan obligations.

Statement of Cash Flows

Cash and cash equivalents are defined as cash and due from banks and interest bearing deposits in banks in the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows.

[66]

Trust Assets and Income

Assets held in an agency or fiduciary capacity are not the Bank's assets and, accordingly, are not included in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition. Income from the Bank's trust department represents fees charged to customers and is recorded on an accrual basis.

Business Segments

The Corporation operates in one segment, commercial banking, as defined by ASC Topic 280, *Segment Reporting*. The Corporation in its entirety is managed and evaluated on an ongoing basis by the Board of Directors and executive management, with no division or subsidiary receiving separate analysis regarding performance or resource allocation.

Equity Compensation Plan

At the 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, First United Corporation's shareholders approved the First United Corporation Omnibus Equity Compensation Plan (the "Omnibus Plan"), which authorizes the grant of stock options, stock appreciation rights, stock awards, stock units, performance units, dividend equivalents, and other stock-based awards to employees or directors totaling up to 185,000 shares.

On June 18, 2008, the Board of Directors of First United Corporation adopted a Long-Term Incentive Program (the "LTIP"). This program was adopted as a sub-plan of the Omnibus Plan to reward participants for increasing shareholder value, align executive interests with those of shareholders, and serve as a retention tool for key executives. Under the LTIP, participants are granted shares of restricted common stock of First United Corporation. The amount of an award is based on a specified percentage of the participant's salary as of the date of grant. These shares will vest if the Corporation meets or exceeds certain performance thresholds. There were no grants of restricted stock outstanding at December 31, 2013.

The Corporation complies with the provisions of ASC Topic 718, *Compensation-Stock Compensation*, in measuring and disclosing stock compensation cost. The measurement objective in ASC Paragraph 718-10-30-6 requires public companies to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant date fair value of the award. The cost is recognized in expense over the period in which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award (the vesting period). The performance-related shares granted in connection with the LTIP are expensed ratably from the date that the likelihood of meeting the performance measures is probable through the end of a three year vesting period.

The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (the "Recovery Act") imposes restrictions on the type and timing of bonuses and incentive compensation that may be accrued for or paid to certain employees of institutions that participated in the Troubled Asset Relief Program ("TARP") Capital Purchase Program ("CPP") of the Department of the Treasury ("Treasury"). The Recovery Act generally limits bonuses and incentive compensation to grants of long-term restricted stock that, among other requirements, cannot fully vest until the TARP CPP assistance is repaid.

Stock-based awards were made to non-employee directors in 2013 and 2012. Five thousand dollars of their annual retainer is paid in stock. Beginning in 2011, the non-employee directors were given the option to elect to take up to 100% of their annual cash retainer also in stock. The 2013 and 2012 grants totaled 11,304 and 16,526, respectively, of fully-vested shares having a fair market value of \$7.96 and \$5.14, respectively, per share. Director stock compensation expense was \$88,000 for the year ended December 31, 2013 and \$73,000 for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Stock Repurchases

Under the Maryland General Corporation Law, shares of capital stock that are repurchased are cancelled and treated as authorized but unissued shares. When a share of capital stock is repurchased, the payment of the repurchase price reduces stated capital by the par value of that share (currently, \$0.01 for common stock and \$0.00 for preferred stock), and any excess over par value reduces capital surplus. There were no stock repurchases in 2013.

[67]

Adoption of New Accounting Standards and Effects of New Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2014-01, *Accounting for Investments in Qualified Affordable Housing Projects*, which provides amendments and guidance on accounting for investments by a reporting entity in flow-through limited liability entities that manage or invest in affordable housing projects that qualify for the low-income housing tax credit. The amendments permit reporting entities to make an accounting policy election to account for their investments in qualified affordable housing projects using the proportional amortization method if certain conditions are met. The amendments in ASU 2014-01 should be applied retrospectively to all periods presented. A reporting entity that uses the effective yield method to account for its investments in qualified affordable housing projects before the date of adoption may continue to apply the effective yield method for those preexisting investments. Additional disclosure requirements are applicable to all reporting entities, regardless of whether the election is made. ASU 2014-01 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2014, with early adoption permitted. At December 31, 2013, the Corporation has a single investment in a flow-through limited liability entity that invests in an affordable housing project, for which it currently utilizes the effective yield method to account for its investment to change its method of accounting as permitted by ASU 2014-01, but does not believe that the adoption of ASU 2014-01 will have a material impact on the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

In January 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-04, *Reclassification of Residential Real Estate Collateralized Consumer Mortgage Loans upon Foreclosure*, which provides guidance clarifying when an in substance repossession or foreclosure occurs that would require a loan receivable to be derecognized and the real estate property recognized. ASU 2014-04 specifies the circumstances when a creditor should be considered to have received physical possession of the residential real estate property collateralizing a consumer mortgage loan, and requires interim and annual disclosure of both the amount of foreclosed residential real estate property held by the creditor and the recorded investment in consumer mortgage loans collateralized by residential real estate that are in the process of foreclosure. An entity can elect to adopt the amendments in ASU 2014-04 using either a modified or a retrospective transition method or a prospective transition method. ASU 2014-04 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2014, with early adoption permitted. The Corporation is evaluating the provision of ASU 2014-04, but does not believe that the adoption of ASU 2014-04 will have a material impact on the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-11, *Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists*, which provides guidance on financial statement presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss ("NOL") carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists. The ASU is intended to eliminate diversity in practice resulting from a lack of guidance on this topic in current GAAP. Under the ASU, an entity generally must present an unrecognized tax benefit, or a portion of an unrecognized tax benefit, in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for an NOL carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward. This ASU is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2013. The adoption of this ASU is not expected to have a material impact on the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-02, *Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income*, to improve the transparency of reporting these reclassifications. ASU No. 2013-02 does not amend any existing requirements for reporting net income or other comprehensive income in the financial statements. ASU No. 2013-02 requires an entity to disaggregate the total change of each component of other comprehensive income. The provisions of ASU No. 2013-02 also require that entities present in a single note or parenthetically on the face of the financial statements, the effect of significant amounts reclassified from each component of accumulated other comprehensive income based on its source and the income in its entirety, entities would instead cross-reference to the related note to the financial statements for additional information. The Corporation adopted the provisions of ASU No. 2013-02 effective January 1, 2013. As the Corporation provided these required disclosures in the notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, the adoption of ASU No. 2013-02 had no impact on the Corporation's consolidated statements of income and condition. See Note 16 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for the disclosures required by ASU No. 2013-02.

In December 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2011-11, Disclosures About Offsetting Assets and Liabilities. The new disclosure requirements mandate that entities disclose both gross and net information about instruments and transactions eligible for offset in the statement of financial condition as well as instruments and transactions subject to an agreement similar to a master netting arrangement. ASU No. 2011-11 also requires disclosure of collateral received and posted in connection with master netting agreements or similar arrangements. In January 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-01, Clarifying the Scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities. The provisions of ASU No. 2013-01 limit the scope of the new balance sheet offsetting disclosures to the following financial instruments, to the extent they are offset in the financial statements or subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement, irrespective of whether they are offset in the statement of financial condition: (a) derivative financial instruments; (b) repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements; and (c) securities borrowing and securities lending transactions. The Corporation adopted the provisions of ASU No. 2011-11 and ASU No. 2013-01 effective January 1, 2013. As the provisions of ASU No. 2011-11 and ASU No. 2013-01 only impacted the disclosure requirements related to the offsetting of assets and liabilities and information about instruments and transactions eligible for offset in the statement of financial condition, the adoption had no impact on the Corporations' consolidated statements of income and condition. See Note 26 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for the disclosures required by ASU No. 2011-11 and ASU No. 2013-01.

[68]

2. Earnings Per Common Share

Basic earnings per common share is derived by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period and does not include the effect of any potentially dilutive common stock equivalents. Diluted earnings per share is derived by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted-average number of shares outstanding, adjusted for the dilutive effect of outstanding common stock equivalents. There were no common stock equivalents at December 31, 2013 or December 31, 2012.

The following table sets forth the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per common share for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	For the years ended December 31,					
	2013			2012		
		Average	Per Share		Average	Per Share
(in thousands, except for per share amount)	Income	Shares	Amount	Income	Shares	Amount
Basic and Diluted Earnings Per Share:						
Net income	\$6,446			\$4,663		
Preferred stock dividends deferred	(1,709)			(1,626)		
Discount accretion on preferred stock	(69)			(65)		
Net income available to common shareholders	\$4,668	6,207	\$ 0.75	\$2,972	6,194	\$ 0.48

3.Net Gains

The following table summarizes the gain/(loss) activity for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	For the year ended December 31,			
(in thousands)	2013	2012		
Net gains – other:				
Available-for-sale securities:				
Realized gains	\$ 447	\$ 1,740		
Realized losses	(369) (195)		
Gain on sale of consumer loans	176	167		
Gain on sale of insurance assets	0	88		
Loss on disposal of fixed assets	(25) (92)		
Net gains – other	229	1,708		

Net gains \$ 229 \$ 1,708

4. Regulatory Capital Requirements

The Bank and First United Corporation are subject to risk-based capital regulations, which were adopted and monitored by federal banking regulators and are based the 1988 capital accord of the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (the "Basel Committee"). The Basel Committee is a committee of central banks and bank supervisors/regulators from the major industrialized countries that develops broad policy guidelines for use by each country's supervisors in determining the supervisory policies they apply. These guidelines are used to evaluate capital adequacy and are based on an institution's asset risk profile and off-balance sheet exposures, such as unused loan commitments and stand-by letters of credit. The regulatory guidelines require that a portion of total capital be Tier 1 capital, consisting of common shareholders' equity, qualifying portion of trust issued preferred securities, and perpetual preferred stock, less goodwill and certain other deductions. The remaining capital, or Tier 2 capital, consists of elements such as subordinated debt, mandatory convertible debt, remaining portion of trust issued preferred securities, and grandfathered senior debt, plus the ALL, subject to certain limitations.

[69]

Under the current risk-based capital regulations, banking organizations are required to maintain a minimum total risk-based capital ratio (total qualifying capital divided by risk-weighted assets) of 8% (10% for well capitalized banks), including a Tier 1 ratio of at least 4% (6% for well capitalized banks). The risk-based capital rules have been further supplemented by a leverage ratio, defined as Tier I capital divided by average assets, after certain adjustments. The minimum leverage ratio is 4% (5% for well capitalized banks) for banking organizations that do not anticipate significant growth and have well-diversified risk (including no undue interest rate risk exposure), excellent asset quality, high liquidity and good earnings, and between 4% and 5% for other institutions depending on their particular condition and growth plans. Regulators may require higher capital ratios when warranted by the particular circumstances or risk profile of a given banking organization. In the current regulatory environment, banking organizations must stay well capitalized in order to receive favorable regulatory treatment on acquisition and other expansion activities and favorable risk-based deposit insurance assessments. Our capital policy establishes guidelines meeting these regulatory requirements and takes into consideration current or anticipated risks as well as potential future growth opportunities.

					To Be Well C	*	
			For Capital	Adequacy	Under Promp	ot Corrective	
	Actual		Purposes		Action Provis	sions	
(in thousands)	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	
December 31, 2013							
Total Capital (to risk-weighted assets)							
Consolidated	\$160,799	15.29%	\$ 84,154	8.00 9	% \$ 105,193	10.00 %	%
First United Bank & Trust	169,090	16.17%	83,655	8.00 9	% 104,569	10.00 %	%
Tier 1 Capital (to risk-weighted assets)							
Consolidated	143,579	13.65%	42,077	4.00	% 63,116	6.00 %	%
First United Bank & Trust	155,664	14.89%	41,828	4.00	% 62,741	6.00 %	%
Tier 1 Capital (to average assets)							
Consolidated	143,579	10.97%	52,365	4.00	% 65,456	5.00 %	%
First United Bank & Trust	155,664	11.93%	52,178	4.00	% 65,223	5.00 %	%

	Actual		For Capital Purposes	Adequacy	To Be Well Under Prom Action Provi	pt Corrective
(in thousands)	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
December 31, 2012						
Total Capital (to risk-weighted assets)						
Consolidated	\$155,560	14.13%	\$ 88,052	8.00	% \$ 110,065	10.00 %
First United Bank & Trust	160,381	14.63%	87,702	8.00	% 109,627	10.00 %
Tier 1 Capital (to risk-weighted assets)						
Consolidated	138,011	12.54%	44,026	4.00	% 66,039	6.00 %
First United Bank & Trust	146,360	13.35%	43,851	4.00	% 65,776	6.00 %
Tier 1 Capital (to average assets)						
Consolidated	138,011	10.32%	53,499	4.00	% 66,874	5.00 %
First United Bank & Trust	146,360	10.98%	53,326	4.00	% 66,657	5.00 %

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the most recent notifications from the regulators categorized First United Corporation and the Bank as "well capitalized" under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. All capital ratios increased at December 31, 2013 when compared to December 31, 2012. The increase was due to the increase in net income for the year ending 2013.

On July 2, 2013, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the "Federal Reserve") approved final rules that substantially amend the regulatory risk-based capital rules applicable to First United Corporation. The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation and the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency have subsequently approved these rules. The final rules were adopted following the issuance of proposed rules by the Federal Reserve in June 2012, and implement the "Basel III" regulatory capital reforms and changes required by the Dodd-Frank Act. Basel III refers to two consultative documents released by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision in December 2009, the rules text released in December 2010, and loss absorbency rules issued in January 2011, which include significant changes to bank capital, leverage and liquidity requirements.

[70]

The rules include new risk-based capital and leverage ratios, which will be phased in from 2015 to 2019, and which refine the definition of what constitutes "capital" for purposes of calculating those ratios. The new minimum capital level requirements applicable to the Corporation under the final rules will be: (a) a new common equity Tier 1 capital ratio of 4.5%; (b) a Tier 1 capital ratio of 6% (increased from 4%); (c) a total capital ratio of 8% (unchanged from current rules); and (d) a Tier 1 leverage ratio of 4% for all institutions. The final rules also establish a "capital conservation buffer" above the new regulatory minimum capital requirements, which must consist entirely of common equity Tier 1 capital. The capital conservation buffer will be phased-in over four years beginning on January 1, 2016, as follows: the maximum buffer will be 0.625% of risk-weighted assets for 2016, 1.25% for 2017, 1.875% for 2018, and 2.5% for 2019 and thereafter. This will result in the following minimum ratios beginning in 2019: (1) a common equity Tier 1 capital ratio of 7.0%, (2) a Tier 1 capital ratio of 8.5%, and (3) a total capital ratio of 10.5%. Under the final rules, institutions are subject to limitations on paying dividends, engaging in share repurchases, and paying discretionary bonuses if its capital level falls below the buffer amount. These limitations establish a maximum percentage of eligible retained income that could be utilized for such actions.

The final rules also implement revisions and clarifications consistent with Basel III regarding the various components of Tier 1 capital, including common equity, unrealized gains and losses, as well as certain instruments that will no longer qualify as Tier 1 capital, some of which will be phased out over time. Under the final rules, the effects of certain accumulated other comprehensive items are not excluded; however, banking organizations like the Corporation and the Bank that are not considered "advanced approaches" banking organizations may make a one-time permanent election to continue to exclude these items. The Corporation and the Bank expect to make this election in order to avoid significant variations in the level of capital depending upon the impact of interest rate fluctuations on the fair value of the Corporation's available-for-sale securities portfolio. Additionally, the final rules provide that small depository institution holding companies with less than \$15 billion in total assets as of December 31, 2009 (which includes the Corporation) will be able to permanently include non-qualifying instruments that were issued and included in Tier 1 or Tier 2 capital prior to May 19, 2010 in additional Tier 1 or Tier 2 capital until they redeem such instruments or until the instruments mature.

The final rules also contain revisions to the prompt corrective action framework, which is designed to place restrictions on insured depository institutions if their capital levels begin to show signs of weakness. These revisions take effect January 1, 2015. Under the prompt corrective action requirements, which are designed to complement the capital conservation buffer, insured depository institutions will be required to meet the following increased capital level requirements in order to qualify as "well capitalized": (a) a new common equity Tier 1 capital ratio of 6.5%; (b) a Tier 1 capital ratio of 8% (increased from 6%); (c) a total capital ratio of 10% (unchanged from current rules); and (d) a Tier 1 leverage ratio of 5% (increased from 4%).

The final rules set forth certain changes for the calculation of risk-weighted assets, which we will be required to utilize beginning January 1, 2015. The standardized approach final rule utilizes an increased number of credit risk exposure categories and risk weights, and also addresses: (a) an alternative standard of creditworthiness consistent with Section 939A of the Dodd-Frank Act; (b) revisions to recognition of credit risk mitigation; (c) rules for risk weighting of equity exposures and past due loans; (d) revised capital treatment for derivatives and repo-style transactions; and (e)

disclosure requirements for top-tier banking organizations with \$50 billion or more in total assets that are not subject to the "advance approach rules" that apply to banks with greater than \$250 billion in consolidated assets. We believe that we would be in compliance with the requirements as set forth in the final rules.

In January 2009, pursuant to the TARP CPP, First United Corporation sold 30,000 shares of its Series A Preferred Stock and a Warrant to purchase 326,323 shares of its common stock, having an exercise price of \$13.79 per share, to the Treasury for an aggregate purchase price of \$30 million. The proceeds from this transaction count as Tier 1 capital and the Warrant qualifies as tangible common equity. Information about the terms of these securities is provided in Note 13 to the consolidated financial statements.

The terms of the Series A Preferred Stock call for the payment, if declared by the Board of Directors of First United Corporation, of a quarterly cash dividend on February 15th, May 15th, August 15th and November 15th of each year. At the request of the Reserve Bank, First United Corporation deferred the payment of cash dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock beginning with the payment that was due on November 15, 2010. As of December 31, 2013, this deferral election remained in effect and dividends of \$.4 million per quarterly dividend period continue to accrue. First United Corporation will be required to pay all accrued and unpaid dividends if and when the Board of Directors declares and pays the next quarterly cash dividend. Management cannot predict whether or when the Board of Directors will resume quarterly cash dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock. First United Corporation's ability to make dividend payments in the future is subject to regulatory approval and will depend primarily on our earnings in future periods.

[71]

In December 2010, also at the request of the Reserve Bank, the Board of Directors of First United Corporation elected to defer quarterly interest payments under the TPS Debentures beginning with the payments that were due in March 2011. As of December 31, 2013, this deferral election remained in effect and cumulative deferred interest was approximately \$6.7 million, which has been fully accrued and must be paid in full when the Board of Directors elects to terminate the deferral. See Note 13 for further information about the TPS Debentures and the deferral of quarterly interest payments.

In connection with, and as a result of, the aforementioned deferrals, the Board of Directors of First United Corporation voted to suspend the declaration of quarterly cash dividends on the common stock until further notice. The payment of cash dividends on the common stock is at the discretion of the Board of Directors and is dependent on our earnings in future periods. In addition, cash dividends on the common stock may be paid only if all accrued and unpaid interest due under the TPS Debentures and all accrued and unpaid dividends due under the Series A Preferred Stock have been paid in full. There can be no assurance as to if or when First United Corporation will resume the payment of cash dividends on the common stock.

5. Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and due from banks, which represents vault cash in the retail offices and invested cash balances at the Federal Reserve, is carried at fair value.

	December	December
	31,	31,
(in thousands)	2013	2012
Cash and due from banks, weighted average interest rate of 0.20% (at December 31, 2013)	\$ 32,895	\$ 71,290

Interest bearing deposits in banks, which represent funds invested at a correspondent bank, are carried at fair value and, as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, consisted of daily funds invested at the FHLB of Atlanta, First Tennessee Bank ("FTN"), M&T Bank ("M&T"), and CBB.

	December	December	
	31,	31,	
(in thousands)	2013	2012	
FHLB daily investments, interest rate of 0.005% (at December 31, 2013)	\$ 1,677	\$ 3,306	
FTN daily investments, interest rate of 0.07% (at December 31, 2013)	1,350	1,350	
M&T daily investments, interest rate of 0.22% (at December 31, 2013)	6,051	6,037	
CBB Fed Funds sold, interest rate of 0.22% (at December 31, 2013)	1,090	1,085	
	\$ 10 168	\$ 11 778	

[72]

6. Investment Securities

The following table shows a comparison of amortized cost and fair values of investment securities at December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Amortized	Gross Unrealized	Gross Unrealized	Fair	OTTI in
(in thousands)	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value	AOCL
December 31, 2013					
Available for Sale:					
U.S. government agencies	\$97,242	\$ 14	\$ 5,221	\$92,035	\$0
Residential mortgage-backed agencies	116,933	334	4,823	112,444	0
Commercial mortgage-backed agencies	31,025	14	1,134	29,905	0
Collateralized mortgage obligations	30,468	84	1,162	29,390	0
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	55,505	895	1,123	55,277	0
Collateralized debt obligations	37,146	778	20,386	17,538	12,703
Total available for sale	\$368,319	\$ 2,119	\$ 33,849	\$336,589	\$12,703
Held to Maturity:					
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$3,900	\$ 249	\$ 559	\$3,590	\$0
D 1 01 0010					
December 31, 2012					
Available for Sale:	* ** ** *	* • -	*	* * * * * * *	* 0
U.S. government agencies	\$40,334	\$ 97	\$ 111	\$40,320	\$0
Residential mortgage-backed agencies	43,596	703	191	44,108	0
Commercial mortgage-backed agencies	37,330	288	0	37,618	0
Collateralized mortgage obligations	31,836	188	293	31,731	0
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	55,212	2,842	0	58,054	0
Collateralized debt obligations	36,798	0	25,356	11,442	16,876
Total available for sale	\$245,106	\$ 4,118	\$ 25,951	\$223,273	\$16,876
Held to Maturity:					
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$4,040	\$ 542	\$ 235	\$4,347	\$0

Proceeds from sales of available-for-sale securities and the realized gains and losses are as follows:

(in thousands)	2013	2012
Proceeds	\$44,496	\$46,220
Realized gains	447	1,740
Realized losses	369	195

The following table shows the Corporation's securities with gross unrealized losses and fair value, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized position, at December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Less than 12 months		12 months or more	
	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized
(in thousands)	Value	Losses	Value	Losses
December 31, 2013				
Available for Sale:				
U.S. government agencies	\$62,962	\$ 3,154	\$13,996	\$ 2,067
Residential mortgage-backed agencies	60,781	1,801	46,570	3,022
Commercial mortgage-backed agencies	21,889	1,134	0	0
Collateralized mortgage obligations	21,201	1,149	3,051	13
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	15,422	1,123	0	0
Collateralized debt obligations	0	0	16,434	20,386
Totals	\$182,255	\$ 8,361	\$80,051	\$ 25,488
Held to Maturity:				
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$0	\$ 0	\$2,301	\$ 559
December 31, 2012				
Available for Sale:				
U.S. government agencies	\$18,220	\$ 111	\$0	\$ 0
Residential mortgage-backed agencies	22,407	191	0	0
Commercial mortgage-backed agencies	0	0	0	0
Collateralized mortgage obligations	16,576	293	450	0 *
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	0	0	0	0
Collateralized debt obligations	0	0	11,442	25,356
Totals	\$ 57,203	\$ 595	\$11,892	\$ 25,356
Held to Maturity:	φ 57,205	<i>ф 373</i>	Ψ11,072	¢ 23,330
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$2,765	\$ 235	\$0	\$ 0

* - De Minimus

Management systematically evaluates securities for impairment on a quarterly basis. Based upon application of accounting guidance for subsequent measurement in ASC Topic 320 (ASC Section 320-10-35), management assesses whether (i) the Corporation has the intent to sell a security being evaluated and (ii) it is more likely than not that the Corporation will be required to sell the security prior to its anticipated recovery. If neither applies, then declines in the fair values of securities below their cost that are considered other-than-temporary declines are split into two components. The first is the loss attributable to declining credit quality. Credit losses are recognized in earnings as realized losses in the period in which the impairment determination is made. The second component consists of all other losses, which are recognized in other comprehensive loss. In estimating OTTI losses, management considers (a) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, (b) adverse conditions specifically related to the security, an industry, or a geographic area, (c) the historic and implied volatility of the fair value of the

security, (d) changes in the rating of the security by a rating agency, (e) recoveries or additional declines in fair value subsequent to the balance sheet date, (f) failure of the issuer of the security to make scheduled interest or principal payments, and (g) the payment structure of the debt security and the likelihood of the issuer being able to make payments that increase in the future. Management also monitors cash flow projections for securities that are considered beneficial interests under the guidance of ASC Subtopic 325-40, *Investments – Other – Beneficial Interests in Securitized Financial Assets*, (ASC Section 325-40-35).

Management believes that the valuation of certain securities is a critical accounting policy that requires significant estimates in preparation of its consolidated financial statements. Management utilizes an independent third party to prepare both the impairment valuations and fair value determinations for its collateralized debt obligation ("CDO ") portfolio consisting of pooled trust preferred securities. Based on management's review of the third party evaluations, management believes that there were no material differences in the valuations between December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

<u>U.S. Government Agencies</u> - Ten U.S. government agencies have been in a slight unrealized loss position for less than 12 months as of December 31, 2013. There were two agency securities for which the cost has been less than market value for a period longer than 12 months. These securities are of the highest investment grade and the Corporation does not intend to sell them, and it is not more likely than not that the Corporation will be required to sell them before recovery of their amortized cost basis, which may be at maturity. Therefore, no OTTI existed at December 31, 2013.

[74]

<u>Residential Mortgage-Backed Agencies</u> - Seventeen residential mortgage-backed agencies have been in an unrealized loss position for less than 12 months as of December 31, 2013. Six residential mortgage-backed agencies have been in an unrealized loss position for a period of 12 months or longer. All of these securities are of the highest investment grade and the Corporation does not intend to sell them, nor is it more likely than not that the Corporation will be required to sell them before recovery of their amortized cost basis, which may be at maturity. Therefore, no OTTI existed at December 31, 2013.

<u>Commercial Mortgage-Backed Agencies</u> - Eleven commercial mortgage-backed agencies have been in an unrealized loss position for less than 12 months as of December 31, 2013. There were no commercial mortgage-backed agency securities in an unrealized loss position for 12 months or more. The securities are of the highest investment grade and the Corporation does not intend to sell them, and it is not more likely than not that the Corporation will be required to sell the securities before recovery of their amortized cost basis, which may be at maturity. Accordingly, management does not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2013.

<u>Collateralized Mortgage Obligations</u> – One collateralized mortgage obligation security at December 31, 2013 has been in an unrealized loss position for 12 months or more. Four collateralized mortgage obligation securities have been in a slight unrealized loss position for less than 12 months as of December 31, 2013. The Corporation does not intend to sell these securities and it is not more likely than not that the Corporation will be required to sell them before recovery of their amortized cost basis, which may be at maturity. Accordingly, management does not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2013.

<u>Obligations of State and Political Subdivisions</u> – At December 31, 2013, there were seven municipal bonds that were impaired for a period of less than twelve months. The Corporation owns two tax increment fund bonds in the held to maturity portfolio. One of these bonds has been in an unrealized loss position for a period greater than 12 months. This bond is not rated by the rating agencies and was underwritten by the Corporation prior to purchase and is periodically reviewed for credit quality. Therefore, management does not consider this investment to be other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2013.

<u>Collateralized Debt Obligations</u> - The \$20.4 million in unrealized losses greater than 12 months at December 31, 2013 relates to 17 pooled trust preferred securities that comprise the CDO portfolio. See Note 24 for a discussion of the methodology used by management to determine the fair values of these securities. The Corporation did not record any credit-related non-cash OTTI charges for the years ended December 31, 2013 or 2012. The unrealized losses on the remaining securities in the portfolio are primarily attributable to continued depression in market interest rates, marketability, liquidity and the current economic environment.

Management systematically evaluates securities for impairment on a quarterly basis. Based upon application of Topic 320 (ASC Section 320-10-35), management must assess whether (i) we have the intent to sell the security and (ii) it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security prior to its anticipated recovery. If neither applies,

then declines in the fair value of securities below their cost that are considered other-than-temporary declines are split into two components. The first is the loss attributable to declining credit quality. Credit losses are recognized in earnings as realized losses in the period in which the impairment determination is made. The second component consists of all other losses. The other losses are recognized in other comprehensive income. In estimating OTTI charges, management considers (a) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, (b) adverse conditions specifically related to the security, an industry, or a geographic area, (c) the historic and implied volatility of the security, (d) changes in the rating of a security by a rating agency, (e) recoveries or additional declines in fair value subsequent to the balance sheet date, (f) failure of the issuer of the security to make scheduled interest payments, and (g) the payment structure of the debt security and the likelihood of the issuer being able to make payments that increase in the future. Due to the duration and the significant market value decline in the pooled trust preferred securities held in our portfolio, we performed more extensive testing on these securities for purposes of evaluating whether or not an OTTI has occurred.

The market for these securities as of December 31, 2013 is not active and markets for similar securities are also not active. The inactivity was evidenced in 2008 first by a significant widening of the bid-ask spread in the brokered markets in which these securities trade and then by a significant decrease in the volume of trades relative to historical levels. The new issue market is also inactive, as no new CDOs have been issued since 2007. There are currently very few market participants who are willing to transact for these securities. The market values for these securities, or any securities other than those issued or guaranteed by the Treasury, are very depressed relative to historical levels. Therefore, in the current market, a low market price for a particular bond may only provide evidence of stress in the credit markets in general rather than being an indicator of credit problems with a particular issue. Given the conditions in the current debt markets and the continued absence of observable transactions in the secondary and new issue markets, management has determined that (i) the few observable transactions and market quotations that are available are not reliable for the purpose of obtaining fair value at December 31, 2013, (ii) an income valuation approach technique (i.e. present value) that maximizes the use of relevant observable inputs and minimizes the use of observable inputs will be equally or more representative of fair value than a market approach, and (iii) the CDO segment is appropriately classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy because management determined that significant adjustments were required to determine fair value at the measurement date.

[75]

Management utilizes an independent third party to assist the Corporation with both the evaluations of OTTI and the fair value determinations for our CDO portfolio. Management believes that there were no material differences in the impairment evaluations and pricing between December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2013.

The approach of the third party to determine fair value involved several steps, including detailed credit and structural evaluation of each piece of collateral in each bond, default, recovery and prepayment/amortization probabilities for each piece of collateral in the bond, and discounted cash flow modeling. The discount rate methodology used by the third party combines a baseline current market yield for comparable corporate and structured credit products with adjustments based on evaluations of the differences found in structure and risks associated with actual and projected credit performance of each CDO being valued. Currently, there is an active and liquid trading market only for stand-alone trust preferred securities. Therefore, adjustments to the baseline discount rate are also made to reflect the additional leverage found in structured instruments.

On December 10, 2013, to implement Section 619 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, the Department of the Treasury, the Federal Deposit Insurance Exchange Commission (the "SEC") adopted the Volcker Rule. The Volcker Rule prohibits a banking institution from acquiring or retaining an "ownership interest" in a "covered fund". A "covered fund" is (i) an entity that would be an investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, but for the exemptions contained in Section 3(c)(1) or Section 3(c)(7) of that Act, (ii) a commodity pool with certain characteristics, and/or (iii) a non-US entity with certain characteristics that is sponsored or owned by a banking entity located or organized in the US. The term "ownership interest" is defined as "any equity, partnership, or other similar interest."

On January 14, 2014, the five regulatory agencies changed provisions of the Volcker Rule and published a list of CDOs that were exempt under the rule. After our review of the exempt list, management identified 15 of our 18 holdings that were exempt from the rule.

The 3 remaining holdings owned that were not included on the exempt list were invested in I-Preferred Term Securities I and I-Preferred Term Securities IV. The underlying issuers of these bonds were primarily insurance companies and not financial institutions. Since these securities were not included on the published list of exempt CDOs, management needed to determine whether or not these holdings constitute an "ownership interest" as defined above. To make this determination, management conducted a thorough review of the Indentures and Offering Memorandums for each of these bonds.

The bonds do not represent an equity or partnership interest. Under the Volcker Rule, an interest will be an "other similar interest" if it exhibits any of the following characteristics on a current, future, or contingent basis:

It has the right to participate in the selection or removal of a general partner, managing member, member of the 1.board of directors or trustees, investment manager, investment adviser, or commodity trading advisor of the covered fund;

2. It has the right under the terms of the interest to receive a share of the income, gains or profits of the covered fund, regardless of whether the right is pro rata with other owners or holders of interests;

It has the right to receive the underlying assets of the covered fund after all other interests have been redeemed 3. and/or paid in full, excluding the rights of a creditor to exercise remedies upon the occurrence of an event of default or an acceleration event;

4. It has the right to receive all or a portion of excess spread;

5. Its terms provide that the amounts payable by the covered fund with respect to the interest could be reduced based on losses arising from the underlying assets of the covered fund;

- 6. It receives income on a pass-through basis from the covered fund, or has a rate of return that is determined by reference to the performance of the underlying assets of the covered fund; or
 - 7. It is any synthetic right to have, receive or be allocated any of the rights above.

Based upon review of the legal documents for I-Preferred Term Securities I and I-Preferred Term Securities IV, neither of these bonds exhibit any of these characteristics and accordingly, do not meet the definition of an "ownership interest" as defined in the Volcker Rule.

In conclusion, as of December 31, 2013 all CDO securities owned by the Company are not subject to application of the Volcker Rule and therefore reaffirm our intent of the ability to hold.

[76]

The following table presents a cumulative roll-forward of the amount of non-cash OTTI charges related to credit losses which have been recognized in earnings for the trust preferred securities in the CDO portfolio held and not intended to be sold:

	For the year ended
(in thousands)	December December 31, 31, 2012
	2013 2012
Balance of credit-related OTTI at January 1	\$13,959 \$ 14,424
Reduction for increases in cash flows expected to be collected	(537) (465)
Balance of credit-related OTTI at December 31	\$13,422 \$ 13,959

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of securities by contractual maturity at December 31, 2013 are shown in the following table. Actual maturities will differ from contractual maturities because the issuers of the securities may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

	December 31, 2013				
	Amortized	Fair			
(in thousands)	Cost	Value			
Contractual Maturity					
Available for sale:					
Due after one year through five years	\$30,034	\$29,656			
Due after five years through ten years	76,128	74,254			
Due after ten years	83,731	60,940			
	189,893	164,850			
Residential mortgage-backed agencies	116,933	112,444			
Commercial mortgage-backed agencies	31,025	29,905			
Collateralized mortgage obligations	30,468	29,390			
	\$368,319	\$336,589			
Held to Maturity:					
Due after ten years	\$3,900	\$3,590			

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, investment securities with a fair value of \$175 million and \$157 million, respectively, were pledged as permitted or required to secure public deposits, for securities sold under agreements to repurchase as required or permitted by law and as collateral for borrowing capacity.

[77]

7. Loans and Related Allowance for Loan Losses

The following table summarizes the primary segments of the loan portfolio as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

(in thousands)	Commercial Real Estate	Acquisition and Development	Commercial and Industrial	Residential Mortgage	Consumer	Total
December 31, 2013		_				
Total loans	\$ 267,978	\$ 107,250	\$ 59,788	\$350,906	\$24,318	\$810,240
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 11,740	\$ 11,703	\$ 2,299	\$7,546	\$21	\$33,309
Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 256,238	\$ 95,547	\$ 57,489	\$ 343,360	\$ 24,297	\$776,931
December 31, 2012						
Total loans	\$ 298,851	\$ 128,391	\$ 69,013	\$346,919	\$ 31,655	\$874,829
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 15,941	\$ 24,112	\$ 3,449	\$4,304	\$ 36	\$47,842
Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 282,910	\$ 104,279	\$ 65,564	\$ 342,615	\$ 31,619	\$826,987

The segments of the Bank's loan portfolio are disaggregated to a level that allows management to monitor risk and performance. The CRE loan segment is then segregated into two classes. Non-owner occupied CRE loans, which include loans secured by non-owner occupied, nonfarm, non-residential properties, generally have a greater risk profile than all other CRE loans, which include loans secured by farmland, multifamily structures and owner-occupied commercial structures. The A&D loan segment is segregated into two classes. One-to-four family residential construction loans are generally made to individuals for the acquisition of and/or construction on a lot or lots on which a residential dwelling is to be built. All other A&D loans are generally made to developers or investors for the purpose of acquiring, developing and constructing residential or commercial structures. These loans have a higher risk profile because the ultimate buyer, once development is completed, is generally not known at the time of the A&D loan. The C&I loan segment is segregated into two classes: (i) amortizing term loans, which are primarily first liens; and (ii) home equity lines of credit, which are generally second liens. The consumer loan segment consists primarily of installment loans (direct and indirect) and overdraft lines of credit connected with customer deposit accounts.

In the ordinary course of business, executive officers and directors of the Corporation, including their families and companies in which certain directors are principal owners, were loan customers of the Bank. Pursuant to the Bank's lending policies, such loans were made on the same terms, including collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with persons who are not related to the Corporation and do not involve more than the normal risk of collectability. Changes in the dollar amount of loans outstanding to officers, directors and their associates were

as follows for the year ended December 31:

(in thousands)	2013
Balance at January 1	\$11,731
Loans or advances	690
Repayments	(2,550)
Balance at December 31	\$9,871

Management uses a 10-point internal risk rating system to monitor the credit quality of the overall loan portfolio. The first six categories are considered not criticized and are aggregated as "Pass" rated. The criticized rating categories utilized by management generally follow bank regulatory definitions. The Special Mention category includes assets that are currently protected but are potentially weak, resulting in an undue and unwarranted credit risk, but not to the point of justifying a Substandard classification. Loans in the Substandard category have well-defined weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt, and have a distinct possibility that some loss will be sustained if the weaknesses are not corrected. All loans greater than 90 days past due are considered Substandard. Only the portion of a specific allocation of the allowance for loan losses that management believes is associated with a pending event that could trigger loss in the short term is classified in the Doubtful category. Any portion of a loan that has been charged off is placed in the Loss category. It is possible for a loan to be classified as Substandard in the internal risk rating system, but not considered impaired under GAAP, due to the broader reach of "well-defined weaknesses" in the application of the Substandard definition.

[78]

To help ensure that risk ratings are accurate and reflect the present and future capacity of borrowers to repay a loan as agreed, the Bank has a structured loan rating process with several layers of internal and external oversight. Generally, consumer and residential mortgage loans are included in the Pass categories unless a specific action, such as bankruptcy, repossession, or death occurs to raise awareness of a possible credit event. The Bank's Commercial Loan Officers are responsible for the timely and accurate risk rating of the loans in the commercial segments at origination and on an ongoing basis. The Credit Quality Department performs an annual review of all commercial relationships \$500,000 or greater. Confirmation of the appropriate risk grade is included as part of the review process on an ongoing basis. The Bank has an experienced Credit Quality and Loan Review Department that continually reviews and assesses loans within the portfolio. In addition, the Bank engages an external consultant to conduct loan reviews on at least an annual basis. Generally, the external consultant reviews, including plans for resolution, are performed on loans classified as Substandard on a quarterly basis. Loans in the Special Mention and Substandard categories that are collectively evaluated for impairment are given separate consideration in the determination of the allowance.

The following table presents the classes of the loan portfolio summarized by the aggregate Pass and the criticized categories of Special Mention and Substandard. There were no loans classified as Doubtful within the internal risk rating system as of December 31, 2013 and 2012:

(in thousands)	Pass	SI	pecial Mention	Substandard	Total
December 31, 2013					
Commercial real estate					
Non owner-occupied	\$103,556	\$	9,243	\$ 24,745	\$137,544
All other CRE	100,461		8,479	21,494	130,434
Acquisition and development					
1-4 family residential construction	8,764		0	4,497	13,261
All other A&D	73,198		1,787	19,004	93,989
Commercial and industrial	55,768		140	3,880	59,788
Residential mortgage					
Residential mortgage - term	261,735		752	11,980	274,467
Residential mortgage - home equity	73,901		628	1,910	76,439
Consumer	24,143		5	170	24,318
Total	\$701,526	\$	21,034	\$ 87,680	\$810,240
December 31, 2012					
Commercial real estate	* 1 * C * * *	¢	<i></i>	* 1 0 0 1 0	
Non owner-occupied	\$126,230	\$	6,464	\$ 18,840	\$151,534
All other CRE	110,365		9,072	27,880	147,317
Acquisition and development					
1-4 family residential construction	9,284		1,101	5,967	16,352
All other A&D	79,136		1,073	31,830	112,039
Commercial and industrial	60,234		2,029	6,750	69,013
Residential mortgage					
Residential mortgage - term	255,993		751	11,885	268,629
Residential mortgage - home equity	75,935		195	2,160	78,290

Explanation of Responses:

Consumer	31,376	22	257	31,655
Total	\$748,553 \$	20,707	\$ 105,569	\$874,829

[79]

Management further monitors the performance and credit quality of the loan portfolio by analyzing the age of the portfolio as determined by the length of time a recorded payment is past due. A loan is considered to be past due when a payment has not been received for 30 days past its contractual due date. For all loan segments, the accrual of interest is discontinued when principal or interest is delinquent for 90 days or more unless the loan is well-secured and in the process of collection. All non-accrual loans are considered to be impaired. Interest payments received on non-accrual loans are applied as a reduction of the loan principal balance. Loans are returned to accrual status when all principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured. The Corporation's policy for recognizing interest income on impaired loans does not differ from its overall policy for interest recognition.

The following table presents the classes of the loan portfolio summarized by the aging categories of performing loans and non-accrual loans as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

(in thousands)	Current	30-59 Day Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	90 Days+ Past Due	Total Past Due and still accruing	Non-Accru	Total al _{Loans}
December 31, 2013					C		
Commercial real estate							
Non owner-occupied	\$136,462	\$191	\$ 145	\$ 65	\$ 401	\$ 681	\$137,544
All other CRE	121,985	1,490	207	0	1,697	6,752	130,434
Acquisition and							
development							
1-4 family residential	12,018	0	139	0	139	1,104	13,261
construction	12,010	0	139	0	139	1,104	15,201
All other A&D	88,071	1,075	33	282	1,390	4,528	93,989
Commercial and industrial	59,320	87	57	133	277	191	59,788
Residential mortgage							
Residential mortgage - term	259,239	8,258	2,541	634	11,433	3,795	274,467
Residential mortgage –	74,917	656	439	96	1,191	331	76,439
home equity	74,917	050	439	90	1,171	551	70,439
Consumer	23,802	350	128	24	502	14	24,318
Total	\$775,814	\$12,107	\$ 3,689	\$ 1,234	\$ 17,030	\$ 17,396	\$810,240
December 31, 2012							
Commercial real estate							
Non owner-occupied	\$146,796	\$ 321	\$ 64	\$ 0	\$ 385	\$ 4,353	\$151,534
All other CRE	143,108	2,368	0	0	2,368	1,841	147,317
Acquisition and							
development							
1-4 family residential	16,280	61	0	0	61	11	16,352
construction							
All other A&D	100,232	619	221	200	1,040	10,767	112,039
Commercial and industrial	68,228	580	29	0	609	176	69,013
Residential mortgage							

Explanation of Responses:

Residential mortgage - term	251,673	7,446	5,244	1,639	14,329	2,627	268,629
Residential mortgage – home equity	77,224	583	130	249	962	104	78,290
Consumer	30,434	800	327	58	1,185	36	31,655
Total	\$833,975	\$12,778	\$ 6,015	\$ 2,146	\$ 20,939	\$ 19,915	\$874,829

Non-accrual loans which have been subject to a partial charge-off totaled \$1.9 million as of December 31, 2013, compared to \$6.7 million as of December 31, 2012.

The ALL is maintained to absorb losses from the loan portfolio. The ALL is based on management's continuing evaluation of the risk characteristics and credit quality of the loan portfolio, assessment of current economic conditions, diversification and size of the portfolio, adequacy of collateral, past and anticipated loss experience, and the amount of non-performing loans.

[80]

The Bank's methodology for determining the ALL is based on the requirements of ASC Section 310-10-35, *Receivables-Overall-Subsequent Measurement*, for loans individually evaluated for impairment and ASC Subtopic 450-20, *Contingencies-Loss Contingencies*, for loans collectively evaluated for impairment, as well as the Interagency Policy Statements on the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses and other bank regulatory guidance. The total of the two components represents the Bank's ALL.

The following table summarizes the primary segments of the ALL, segregated into the amount required for loans individually evaluated for impairment and the amount required for loans collectively evaluated for impairment as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

(in thousands)	ommercial Rea	cquisition and evelopment	mmercial an lustrial	dResidential Mortgage	Consumer	Total
December 31, 2013		-				
Total ALL	\$ 4,052	\$ 4,172	\$ 766	\$ 4,320	\$ 284	\$13,594
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 236	\$ 1,967	\$ 0	\$ 80	\$ 0	\$2,283
Collectively evaluated for \$ 3,816 mpairment	\$ 2,205	\$ 766	\$ 4,240	\$ 284	\$11,311	
December 31, 2012						
Total ALL	\$ 5,206	\$ 5,029	\$ 906	\$ 4,507	\$ 399	\$16,047
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 126	\$ 1,506	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$1,632
Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 5,080	\$ 3,523	\$ 906	\$ 4,507	\$ 399	\$14,415

Management evaluates individual loans in all of the commercial segments for possible impairment if the loan is greater than \$500,000 or is part of a relationship that is greater than \$750,000 and (i) is either in nonaccrual status, or (ii) is risk-rated Substandard and is greater than 60 days past due. Loans are considered to be impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Bank will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in evaluating impairment include payment status, collateral value, and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower's prior payment record, and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed. The Bank does not separately evaluate individual consumer and residential mortgage loans for impairment, unless such loans are part of larger relationship that is impaired; otherwise loans in these segments are considered impaired when they are classified as non-accrual.

Once the determination has been made that a loan is impaired, the determination of whether a specific allocation of the allowance is necessary is measured by comparing the recorded investment in the loan to the fair value of the loan

using one of three methods: (i) the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate; (ii) the loan's observable market price; or (iii) the fair value of the collateral less selling costs. The method is selected on a loan-by-loan basis, with management utilizing the fair value of collateral method for 95% of the analyses. If the fair value of the collateral less selling costs method is utilized for collateral securing loans in the commercial segments, then an updated external appraisal is ordered on the collateral supporting the loan if the loan balance is greater than \$500,000 and the existing appraisal is greater than 18 months old. If an appraisal is less than 12 months old (the age at which the internal appraisal grid begins) and if management believes that general market conditions in that geographic market have changed considerably, the property has deteriorated or perhaps lost an income stream, or a recent appraisal for a similar property indicates a significant change, then management may adjust the fair value indicated by the existing appraisal until a new appraisal is obtained. If the most recent appraisal is greater than 12 months old or if an updated appraisal has not been received and reviewed in time for the determination of estimated fair value at quarter (or year) end, then the estimated fair value of the collateral is determined by adjusting the existing appraisal by the appropriate percentage from an internally prepared appraisal discount grid. This grid considers the age of a third party appraisal and the geographic region where the collateral is located in order to discount an appraisal that is greater than 12 months old. The discount rates in the appraisal discount grid are updated at least annually to reflect the most current knowledge that management has available, including the results of current appraisals. If there is a delay in receiving an updated appraisal or if the appraisal is found to be deficient in our internal appraisal review process and re-ordered, the Bank continues to use a discount factor from the appraisal discount grid based on the collateral location and current appraisal age in order to determine the estimated fair value. A specific allocation of the ALL is recorded if there is any deficiency in collateral value determined by comparing the estimated fair value to the recorded investment of the loan. When updated appraisals are received and reviewed, adjustments are made to the specific allocation as needed.

[81]

The evaluation of the need and amount of a specific allocation of the ALL and whether a loan can be removed from impairment status is made on a quarterly basis.

The following table presents impaired loans by class, segregated by those for which a specific allowance was required and those for which a specific allowance was not necessary as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

	Impaired Loans with Specific				W	npaired Loans ith No Specific llowance	Total Impaired Loans				
(in thousands)		ecorded ivestment		elated llowances		ecorded vestment	Recorded Investment		npaid Principal alance		
December 31, 2013											
Commercial real estate											
Non owner-occupied	\$	257	\$	59	\$	922	\$1,179	\$	1,191		
All other CRE		1,080		177		9,481	10,561		10,689		
Acquisition and development											
1-4 family residential construction		2,651		634		7	2,658		2,704		
All other A&D		4,037		1,333		5,008	9,045		13,394		
Commercial and industrial		0		0		2,299	2,299		2,299		
Residential mortgage											
Residential mortgage - term		988		80		5,979	6,967		7,372		
Residential mortgage - home equity	7	0		0		579	579		579		
Consumer		0		0		21	21		21		
Total impaired loans	\$	9,013	\$	2,283	\$	24,296	\$ 33,309	\$	38,249		
December 31, 2012											
Commercial real estate											
Non owner-occupied	\$	0	\$	0	\$	5,309	\$ 5,309	\$	7,929		
All other CRE		1,019		126		9,613	10,632		10,785		
Acquisition and development											
1-4 family residential construction		2,052		471		10	2,062		2,062		
All other A&D		5,410		1,035		16,640	22,050		26,232		
Commercial and industrial		0		0		3,449	3,449		3,449		
Residential mortgage											
Residential mortgage - term		0		0		3,755	3,755		4,086		
Residential mortgage – home equity	7	0		0		549	549		549		
Consumer		0		0		36	36		36		
Total impaired loans	\$	8,481	\$	1,632	\$	39,361	\$47,842	\$	55,128		

Loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment are analyzed with general allowances being made as appropriate. For general allowances, historical loss trends are used in the estimation of losses in the current portfolio. These historical loss amounts are modified by other qualitative factors.

[82]

The classes described above, which are based on the Federal call code assigned to each loan, provide the starting point for the ALL analysis. Management tracks the historical net charge-off activity (full and partial charge-offs, net of full and partial recoveries) at the call code level. A historical charge-off factor is calculated utilizing a defined number of consecutive historical quarters. Consumer pools currently utilize a rolling 12 quarters, while Commercial pools currently utilize a rolling eight quarters.

"Pass" rated credits are segregated from "Criticized" credits for the application of qualitative factors. The un-criticized ("pass") pools for commercial and residential real estate are further segmented based upon the geographic location of the underlying collateral. There are seven geographic regions utilized – six that represent the Bank's lending footprint and a seventh for all out-of-market credits. Different economic environments and resultant credit risks exist in each region that are acknowledged in the assignment of qualitative factors. Loans in the criticized pools, which possess certain qualities or characteristics that may lead to collection and loss issues, are closely monitored by management and subject to additional qualitative factors.

Management has identified a number of additional qualitative factors which it uses to supplement the historical charge-off factor because these factors are likely to cause estimated credit losses associated with the existing loan pools to differ from historical loss experience. The additional factors that are evaluated quarterly and updated using information obtained from internal, regulatory, and governmental sources are: (i) national and local economic trends and conditions; (ii) levels of and trends in delinquency rates and non-accrual loans; (iii) trends in volumes and terms of loans; (iv) effects of changes in lending policies; (v) experience, ability, and depth of lending staff; (vi) value of underlying collateral; and (vii) concentrations of credit from a loan type, industry and/or geographic standpoint.

Management reviews the loan portfolio on a quarterly basis using a defined, consistently applied process in order to make appropriate and timely adjustments to the ALL. When information confirms all or part of specific loans to be uncollectible, these amounts are promptly charged off against the ALL. Residential mortgage and consumer loans are charged off after they are 120 days contractually past due. All other loans are charged off based on an evaluation of the facts and circumstances of each individual loan. When the Bank believes that its ability to collect is solely dependent on the liquidation of the collateral, a full or partial charge-off is recorded promptly to bring the recorded investment to an amount that the Bank believes is supported by an ability to collect on the collateral. The circumstances that may impact the Bank's decision to charge-off all or a portion of a loan include default or non-payment by the borrower, scheduled foreclosure actions, and/or prioritization of the Bank's claim in bankruptcy. There may be circumstances where due to pending events, the Bank will place a specific allocation of the ALL on a loan for which a partial charge-off has been previously recognized. This specific allocation may be either charged-off or removed depending upon the outcome of the pending event. Full or partial charge-offs are not recovered until full principal and interest on the loan have been collected, even if a subsequent appraisal supports a higher value. Loans with partial charge-offs remain in non-accrual status. Both full and partial charge-offs reduce the recorded investment of the loan and the ALL and are considered to be charge-offs for purposes of all credit loss metrics and trends, including the historical rolling charge-off rates used in the determination of the ALL.

Activity in the ALL is presented for the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

Explanation of Responses:

(in thousands)	Commercial RealAcquisition and Commercial and Residen										1	lonsume	Total
(III tilousailus)	Estate)(evelopment		Industrial			Mortgage	C	onsumer	Total
ALL balance at January 1, 2013	\$	5,206	9	3	5,029		\$	906		\$ 4,507	\$	399	\$16,047
Charge-offs		(233)		(2,200)		(1,066)	(485)	(590) (4,574)
Recoveries		1,004			100			79		199		359	1,741
Provision		(1,925)		1,243			847		99		116	380
ALL balance at December 31, 2013	\$	4,052	4	5	4,172		\$	766		\$ 4,320	\$	284	\$13,594
ALL balance at January 1, 2012	\$	6,218	9	5	7,190		\$	2,190		\$ 3,430	\$	452	\$19,480
Charge-offs		(2,289)		(809)		(9,402)	(1,314)	(650) (14,464)
Recoveries		156			420			464		177		424	1,641
Provision		1,121			(1,772)		7,654		2,214		173	9,390
ALL balance at December 31,2012	\$	5,206	9	5	5,029		\$	906		\$ 4,507	\$	399	\$16,047

[83]

The ALL is based on estimates, and actual losses will vary from current estimates. Management believes that the granularity of the homogeneous pools and the related historical loss ratios and other qualitative factors, as well as the consistency in the application of assumptions, result in an ALL that is representative of the risk found in the components of the portfolio at any given date.

The following table presents the average recorded investment in impaired loans and related interest income recognized for the periods indicated:

	Decembe	er 3	1, 2013			Decembe				
(in thousands)	Average investme	Interest income recognized on nan		in re a	terest come cognized on ash basis	Average investme	re ntan	terest income cognized on crual basis	inco reco on a	erest ome ognized a h basis
Commercial real estate										
Non owner-occupied	\$3,564	\$	39	\$	1,454	\$7,237	\$	34	\$	0
All other CRE	10,670		314		46	9,385		318		49
Acquisition and development										
1-4 family residential construction	2,958		77		0	2,248		87		0
All other A&D	16,700		494		575	24,018		481		0
Commercial and industrial	2,735		112		0	5,747		150		0
Residential mortgage										
Residential mortgage - term	5,245		102		11	4,755		117		38
Residential mortgage – home equity	559		22		1	828		17		7
Consumer	64		0		0	46		0		0
Total	\$42,495	\$	1,160	\$	2,087	\$54,264	\$	1,204	\$	94

In the normal course of business, the Bank modifies loan terms for various reasons. These reasons may include as a retention strategy to compete in the current interest rate environment, and to re-amortize or extend a loan term to better match the loan's payment stream with the borrower's cash flows. A modified loan is considered to be a TDR when the Bank has determined that the borrower is troubled (i.e. experiencing financial difficulties). The Bank evaluates the probability that the borrower will be in payment default on any of its debt in the foreseeable future without modification. To make this determination, the Bank performs a global financial review of the borrower and loan guarantors to assess their current ability to meet their financial obligations.

When the Bank restructures a loan to a troubled borrower, the loan terms (i.e. interest rate, payment, amortization period and/or maturity date) are modified in such a way to enable the borrower to cover the modified debt service payments based on current financials and cash flow adequacy. If a borrower's hardship is thought to be temporary, then modified terms are only offered for that time period. Where possible, the Bank obtains additional collateral and/or

Explanation of Responses:

secondary payment sources at the time of the restructure in order to put the Bank in the best possible position if the borrower is not able to meet the modified terms. To date, the Bank has not forgiven any principal as a restructuring concession. The Bank will not offer modified terms if it believes that modifying the loan terms will only delay an inevitable permanent default.

All loans designated as TDRs are considered impaired loans and may be in either accruing or non-accruing status. The Corporation's policy for recognizing interest income on impaired loans does not differ from its overall policy for interest recognition. Accordingly, the accrual of interest is discontinued when principal or interest is delinquent for 90 days or more unless the loan is well-secured and in the process of collection. If the loan was accruing at the time of the modification, then it continues to be in accruing status subsequent to the modification. Non-accrual TDRs may return to accruing status when there has been sufficient payment performance for a period of at least six months. TDRs are considered to be in payment default if, subsequent to modification, the loans are transferred to non-accrual status or to foreclosure. A loan may be removed from being reported as aTDR in the calendar year following the modification if the interest rate at the time of modification was consistent with the interest rate for a loan with comparable credit risk and the loan has performed according to its modified terms for at least six months.

The volume, type and performance of TDR activity is considered in the assessment of the local economic trend qualitative factor used in the determination of the ALL for loans that are evaluated collectively for impairment.

[84]

There were 31 loans totaling \$17.9 million and 27 loans totaling \$17.7 million that were classified as TDRs at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The following table presents the volume and recorded investment at the time of modification of TDRs by class and type of modification that occurred during the periods indicated:

	Tempo	rary]	Rate Modifi	catiorExter	nsio	n of Maturi	Modifi ty Other 7		n of Payment and
	Numbe	r o R e	ecorded	Num	beR	eforded			ecorded
	Contrac	cts In	vestment	Cont	radus	vestment	Contra	ctsIn	vestment
(dollars in thousands)		(1))		(1	.)		(2)
For the year ended December 31,									
2013									
Commercial real estate									
Non owner-occupied	0	\$	0	0	\$	0	0	\$	0
All other CRE	0		0	2		268	0		0
Acquisition and development									
1-4 family residential construction	0		0	0		0	0		0
All other A&D	0		0	0		0	1		1,381
Commercial and industrial	0		0	5		669	0		0
Residential mortgage									
Residential mortgage – term	4		437	2		636	0		0
Residential mortgage – home equity	0		0	0		0	0		0
Consumer	0		0	0		0	0		0
Total (3)	4	\$	437	9	\$	1,573	1	\$	1,381
For the year ended December 31,									
2012									
Commercial real estate	0	¢	0	0	ሰ	0	0	¢	0
Non owner-occupied	0	\$	0	0	\$	0	0	\$	0
All other CRE	1		3,110	0		0	4		2,634
Acquisition and development	0		0	0		0	1		0.105
1-4 family residential construction All other A&D	0		0	0		0	1		2,125
	0		0	1		134	1		1,889
Commercial and industrial	0		0	0		0	1		247
Residential mortgage	2		504	2		765	1		204
Residential mortgage – term	2		584	2		765	1		284
Residential mortgage – home equity	0		0	0		0	0		0
Consumer	0	¢	0	0	¢	0	0	ф	0
Total (3)	3	\$	3,694	3	\$	899	8	\$	7,179
Notes:									

(1) The post-modification recorded investment balances were the same as the pre-modification recorded investment balances, as there were no charge-offs as a result of any of the restructurings.

(2) A charge-off of \$1.8 million was taken in connection with the modification of this loan in 2013.
 (3) Includes 5 existing TDRs totaling \$7.5 million that were restructured in 2012 and 7 existing TDRs totaling \$1.3 million that were restructured in 2013 with new terms providing a concession.

Explanation of Responses:

During 2013, there were seven new TDRs. In addition, seven existing TDRs which had reached their previous modification maturity were re-modified. A \$14,292 reduction of the ALL resulted from the movement of six of the loans being evaluated collectively for impairment to being evaluated individually for impairment. The seventh loan modified in 2013 was impaired at the time of modification and there was a \$1.8 million charge-off related to the transfer of the loan. During 2012, there were 14 new TDRs. A \$55,000 reduction of the ALL resulted from the movement of eight of the loans being evaluated collectively for impairment to being evaluated individually for impairment. The remaining six new TDRs during 2012 were impaired at the time of modification, resulting in no impact to the recorded investment or to the ALL as a result of the modifications.

[85]

During the year ended December 31, 2013, activity relating to payment defaults included three non-owner occupied CRE loans totaling \$2.2 million to the same borrower that were transferred to non-accrual in the third quarter of 2013 and two non-performing A&D loans totaling \$.4 million that were transferred to other real estate owned ("OREO") in the second quarter of 2013. There were no receivables modified in 2012 as TDRs within the previous 12 months for which there was a payment default during the periods indicated.

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, additional funds of up to \$2.0 million and \$2.1 million, respectively, were committed to be advanced in connection with TDRs.

8. Other Real Estate Owned

The following table presents the components of OREO as of December 31, 2013 and 2012:

(in thousands)	2013	2012
Commercial real estate	\$5,306	\$5,559
Acquisition and development	10,509	9,831
Residential mortgage	1,216	2,123
Total OREO	\$17,031	\$17,513

The following table presents the activity in the OREO valuation allowance for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012:

(in thousands)	2013	2012
Balance January 1	\$2,766	\$1,745
Fair value write-down	3,079	1,489
Sales of OREO	(1,798)	(468)
Balance December 31	\$4,047	\$2,766

The following table presents the components of OREO expenses, net for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	December 31,		
(in thousands)	2013	2012	
Gains on real estate, net	\$(205)	\$(995)	
Fair value write-down	3,079	1,489	

Explanation of Responses:

Expenses, net	665	914
Rental and other income	(630)	(518)
Total OREO expenses, net	\$2,909	\$890

9. Premises and Equipment

The composition of premises and equipment at December 31 is as follows:

(in thousands)	2013	2012
Land	\$7,304	\$8,725
Land Improvements	1,174	1,168
Premises	25,183	25,247
Furniture and Equipment	17,333	17,380
Capital Lease	534	535
	51,528	53,055
Less accumulated depreciation	(24,623)	(23,600)
Total	\$26,905	\$29,455

The Corporation recorded depreciation expense of \$2.0 million in 2013 and 2012.

[86]

Pursuant to the terms of noncancelable operating lease agreements for banking and subsidiaries' offices and for data processing and telecommunications equipment in effect at December 31, 2013, future minimum rent commitments under these leases for future years are as follows: (i) \$3.4 million for 2014; (ii) \$2.7 million for 2015; (iii) \$2.7 million for 2016; (iv) \$2.7 million for 2017; (v) \$1.6 million for 2018; and (vi) \$5.2 million thereafter. The leases contain options to extend for periods from one to five years, which are not included in the aforementioned amounts.

Total building and land rental expense amounted to \$.5 million in 2013 and 2012.

10. Goodwill

ASC Topic 350, *Intangibles - Goodwill and Other*, establishes standards for the amortization of acquired intangible assets and impairment assessment of goodwill. The \$11.0 million in recorded goodwill at December 31, 2013 is primarily related to the acquisition of Huntington National Bank branches that occurred in 2003 that is not subject to periodic amortization.

Goodwill arising from business combinations represents the value attributable to unidentifiable intangible elements in the business acquired. Goodwill is not amortized but is tested for impairment annually or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. Impairment testing requires that the fair value of each of the Corporation's reporting units be compared to the carrying amount of its net assets, including goodwill. If the estimated current fair value of the reporting unit exceeds its carrying value, then no additional testing is required and an impairment loss is not recorded. Otherwise, additional testing is performed and, to the extent such additional testing recognized.

Our goodwill relates to value inherent in the banking business and the value is dependent upon our ability to provide quality, cost effective services in a highly competitive local market. This ability relies upon continuing investments in processing systems, the development of value-added service features and the ease of use of our services. As such, goodwill value is supported ultimately by revenue that is driven by the volume of business transacted. A decline in earnings as a result of a lack of growth or the inability to deliver cost effective services over sustained periods can lead to impairment of goodwill, which could adversely impact earnings in future periods. ASC Topic 350 requires an annual evaluation of goodwill for impairment. The determination of whether or not these assets are impaired involves significant judgments and estimates.

Throughout 2013, consistent with First United Corporation's peer group, the shares of First United Corporation common stock traded below its book value. At December 31, 2013, First United Corporation's stock price was below its tangible book value.

Management believed that these circumstances could indicate the possibility of impairment. Accordingly, management consulted a third party valuation specialist to assist it with the determination of the fair value of First United Corporation, considering both the market approach (guideline public company method) and the income approach (discounted future benefits method). Due to the illiquidity in the common stock and the adverse conditions surrounding the banking industry, reliance was placed on the income approach in determining the fair value of First United Corporation. The income approach is a discounted cash flow analysis that is determined by adding (i) the present value, which is a representation of the current value of a sum that is to be received some time in the future, of the estimated net income, net of dividends paid out, that First United Corporation could generate over the next five years and (ii) the present value of a terminal value, which is a representation of the current value of an entity at a specified time in the future. The terminal value was calculated using both a price to tangible book multiple method and a capitalization method and the more conservative of the two was utilized in the fair value calculation.

Significant assumptions used in the above methods include:

•Net income from our forward five-year operating budget, incorporating conservative growth and mix assumptions; A discount rate of 10.0% based on the most recently available [third quarter of 2012] Cost of Capital Report from •Morningstar/Ibbotson Associates for the Commercial Banking Sector adjusted for a size and risk premium of 298 basis points;

A price to tangible book multiple of 1.16, which was the average monthly multiple of unassisted national bank and thrift acquisitions in 2013 as provided by Sheshunoff & Co.; and

A capitalization rate of 7.0% (discount rate of 10.0% adjusted for a conservative growth rate of 3.0%).

The resulting fair value of the income approach resulted in the fair value of First United Corporation exceeding the carrying value by 59%. Management stressed the assumptions used in the analysis to provide additional support for the derived value. This stress testing showed that (i) the discount rate could increase to 27% before the excess would be eliminated in the tangible multiple method, and (ii) the assumption of the tangible book multiple could decline to 0.54 and still result in a fair value in excess of book value. Based on the results of the evaluation, management concluded that the recorded value of goodwill at December 31, 2013 was not impaired. However, future changes in strategy and/or market conditions could significantly impact these judgments and require adjustments to recorded asset balances. Management will continue to evaluate goodwill for impairment on an annual basis and as events occur or circumstances change.

[87]

The significant components of goodwill at December 31 are as follows:

(In thousands)	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount
Goodwill: December 31, 2013	\$14,812	\$ (3,808) \$ 11,004
December 31, 2012	\$14,812	\$ (3,808) \$ 11,004

11.Deposits

The aggregate amount of time deposits with a minimum denomination of \$100,000 was \$157.5 million and \$195.3 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. At December 31, 2013, \$.3 million of deposit overdrafts were re-classified as loans.

The following is a summary of the scheduled maturities of all time deposits as of December 31, 2013 (in thousands):

2014	\$121,092
2015	70,016
2016	65,325
2017	27,530
2018	42,590
Thereafter	89

In the ordinary course of business, executive officers and directors of the Corporation, including their families and companies in which certain directors are principal owners, were deposit customers of the Bank. Pursuant to the Bank's policies, such deposits are on the same terms as those prevailing at the time for comparable deposits with persons who are not related to the Corporation. At December 31, 2013, executive officers and directors had approximately \$16.2 million in deposits with the Bank.

12.Borrowed Funds

The following is a summary of short-term borrowings at December 31 with original maturities of less than one year:

(Dollars in thousands)	2013	2012
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase:		
Outstanding at end of year	\$43,676	\$39,257
Weighted average interest rate at year end	0.14 %	0.34 %
Maximum amount outstanding as of any month end	\$61,354	\$52,367
Average amount outstanding	\$47,777	\$38,812
Approximate weighted average rate during the year	0.13 %	0.34 %

At December 31, 2013, the repurchase agreements were secured by \$64.2 million in available-for-sale investment securities.

[88]

The following is a summary of long-term borrowings at December 31 with original maturities exceeding one year:

(In thousands)	2013	2012
FHLB advances, bearing fixed interest rates ranging from 1.00% to 3.69% at December 31, 2013	\$135,942	\$136,005
Junior subordinated debt, bearing variable interest rates ranging from 2.09% to 2.99% at December 31, 2013	35,929	35,929
Junior subordinated debt, bearing fixed interest rate of 9.88% at December 31, 2013 Total long-term debt	10,801 \$182,672	10,801 \$182,735

At December 31, 2013, the long-term FHLB advances were secured by \$158.6 million in loans and \$1.5 million in investment securities.

The contractual maturities of long-term borrowings are as follows:

	December	31,		
	2013			
	Fixed	Floating		2012
(in thousands)	Rate	Rate	Total	Total
Due in 2013	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Due in 2014	0	0	0	0
Due in 2015	30,000	5,000	35,000	35,000
Due in 2016	0	0	0	0
Due in 2017	0	0	0	0
Due in 2018	70,000	0	70,000	70,000
Thereafter	46,743	30,929	77,672	77,735
Total long-term debt	\$146,743	\$35,929	\$182,672	\$182,735

The Bank has a borrowing capacity agreement with the FHLB in an amount equal to 29% of the Bank's assets. At December 31, 2013, the available line of credit equaled \$385 million. This line of credit, which can be used for both short and long-term funding, can only be utilized to the extent of available collateral. The line is secured by certain qualified mortgage, commercial and home equity loans and investment securities as follows (in thousands):

1-4 family mortgage loans	\$131,941
Commercial loans	3,194
Multi-family loans	64
Home equity loans	23,377
Investment securities	1,544

\$160,120

At December 31, 2013, \$11.2 million was available for additional borrowings.

The Bank also has various unsecured lines of credit totaling \$25 million with various financial institutions and a \$30 million secured line with the Federal Reserve to meet daily liquidity requirements. As of December 31, 2013, there were no borrowings under these credit facilities. In addition, there was approximately \$49 million of available funding through brokered money market funds at December 31, 2013.

Repurchase Agreements - The Bank has retail repurchase agreements with customers within its local market areas. Repurchase agreements generally have maturities of one to four days from the transaction date. These borrowings are collateralized with securities that we own and are held in safekeeping at independent correspondent banks.

FHLB Advances - The FHLB advances consist of various borrowings with maturities generally ranging from five to 10 years with initial fixed rate periods of one, two or three years. After the initial fixed rate period, the FHLB has one or more options to convert each advance to a LIBOR based, variable rate advance, but the Bank may repay the advance in whole or in part, without a penalty, if the FHLB exercises its option. At all other times, the Bank's early repayment of any advance could be subject to a prepayment penalty.

[89]

13. Junior Subordinated Debentures and Restrictions on Dividends

In March 2004, Trust I and Trust II issued preferred securities with an aggregate liquidation amount of \$30.0 million to third-party investors and issued common equity with an aggregate liquidation amount of \$.9 million to First United Corporation. Trust I and Trust II used the proceeds of these offerings to purchase an equal amount of TPS Debentures, as follows:

<u>\$20.6 million</u>—floating rate payable quarterly based on three-month LIBOR plus 275 basis points (2.99% at December 31, 2013), maturing in 2034, became redeemable five years after issuance at First United Corporation's option.

<u>\$10.3 million</u>—floating rate payable quarterly based on three-month LIBOR plus 275 basis points (2.99% at December 31, 2013) maturing in 2034, became redeemable five years after issuance at First United Corporation's option.

In December 2004, First United Corporation issued \$5.0 million of junior subordinated debentures to third-party investors that were not tied to preferred securities. The debentures had a fixed rate of 5.88% for the first five years, payable quarterly, and converted to a floating rate in March 2010 based on the three month LIBOR plus 185 basis points (2.09% at December 31, 2013). The debentures mature in 2015, but became redeemable five years after issuance at First United Corporation's option.

In December 2009, Trust III issued 9.875% fixed-rate preferred securities with an aggregate liquidation amount of approximately \$7.0 million to private investors and issued common securities to First United Corporation with an aggregate liquidation amount of approximately \$.2 million. Trust III used the proceeds of the offering to purchase approximately \$7.2 million of 9.875% fixed-rate TPS Debentures. Interest on these TPS Debentures are payable quarterly, and the TPS Debentures mature in 2040 but are redeemable five years after issuance at First United Corporation's option.

In January 2010, Trust III issued an additional \$3.5 million of 9.875% fixed-rate preferred securities to private investors and issued common securities to First United Corporation with an aggregate liquidation amount of \$.1 million. Trust III used the proceeds of the offering to purchase \$3.6 million of 9.875% fixed-rate TPS Debentures. Interest on these TPS Debentures are payable quarterly, and the TPS Debentures mature in 2040 but are redeemable five years after issuance at First United Corporation's option.

The TPS Debentures issued to each of the Trusts represent the sole assets of that Trust, and payments of the TPS Debentures by First United Corporation are the only sources of cash flow for the Trust. First United Corporation has the right, without triggering a default, to defer interest on all of the TPS Debentures for up to 20 quarterly periods, in

which case distributions on the preferred securities will also be deferred. Should this occur, the Corporation may not pay dividends or distributions on, or repurchase, redeem or acquire any shares of its capital stock.

At the request of the Reserve Bank, the Board of Directors of First United Corporation elected to defer quarterly interest payments under its TPS Debentures beginning with the payment that was due in March 2011. As of December 31, 2013, this deferral election remained in effect and accumulated deferred interest in the amount of \$6.7 million has been accrued and are reflected in the consolidated financial statements. All accumulated deferred interest must be paid in full when the Board of Directors elects to terminate the deferral of interest payments.

In February 2014, First United Corporation received approval from the Reserve Bank to terminate this deferral by making the quarterly interest payments due to the Trusts in March 2014. This approval was limited to the March 2014 payments, and the payment of quarterly interest due in any subsequent quarter will be contingent on First United Corporation's receipt of approval from the Reserve Bank to make that payment, which will depend on, among other factors, our earnings in future periods. In addition, it should be noted that First United Corporation's ability to make future quarterly interest payments under the TPS Debentures will depend in large part on its receipt of dividends from the Bank, and the Bank may make dividend payments only with the prior approval of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the "FDIC") and the Maryland Department of Labor, Licensing & Regulation - Office of the Commissioner of Financial Regulation (the "Maryland Commissioner"). Although the FDIC and the Maryland Commissioner have authorized the Bank to pay dividends to First United Corporation in an aggregate amount necessary for First United Corporation to make the quarterly interest payments due in March 2014, June 2014, September 2014 and December 2014, that approval is subject to revocation by the FDIC and the Maryland Commissioner at any time if they determine that the Bank's financial condition and/or results of operations do not support the payment of dividends. As a result of these limitations, no assurance can be given that First United Corporation will make the quarterly interest payments due under the TPS Debentures in any future quarter. If First United Corporation and/or the Bank do not obtain the regulatory approvals necessary to permit First United Corporation to make a future quarterly interest payment, then First United Corporation would have to again elect to defer quarterly interest payments, which would result in a prohibition against paying any dividends or other distributions on the outstanding shares of First United Corporation's common stock or its outstanding shares of Series A Preferred Stock during the deferral period.

[90]

Interest payments on the \$5.0 million junior subordinated debentures that were issued outside of trust preferred securities offerings cannot, and have not, been deferred.

The terms of the Series A Preferred Stock call for the payment, if declared by the Board of Directors of First United Corporation, of cash dividends on February 15th, May 15th, August 15th and November 15th of each year. On November 15, 2010, at the request of the Reserve Bank, the Board of Directors of First United Corporation voted to defer the payment of quarterly cash dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock beginning with the November 15, 2010 dividend payment date. As of December 31, 2013, this deferral election remained in effect and accumulated deferred dividends in the amount of \$5.3 million (\$176.67 per share) have been accrued and are reflected on the consolidated financial statements. During the deferral period, dividends continue to accrue at the rate of \$.4 million per dividend period. All accumulated deferred dividends must be paid in full if and when the Board of Directors declares the next quarterly cash dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock. First United Corporation will resume the payment of quarterly dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock. First United Corporation will resume the payment of quarterly dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock. First United Corporation's ability to pay cash dividends in the future will depend primarily on our earnings in future periods.

In December 2010, the Board of Directors of First United Corporation voted to suspend the payment of cash dividends on the common stock starting in 2011 in connection with the above-mentioned deferral of dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock.

14.Preferred Stock

On January 30, 2009, pursuant to the TARP CPP, First United Corporation issued to the Treasury 30,000 shares of its Series A Preferred Stock, having no par value, and a Warrant to purchase 326,323 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$13.79 per share, for an aggregate consideration of \$30 million. The proceeds from this transaction qualify as Tier 1 capital and the Warrant qualifies as tangible common equity. The operative documents relating to this transaction are on file with the SEC and available to the public free of charge.

Holders of the Series A Preferred Stock are entitled to receive, if and when declared by the Board of Directors, out of assets legally available for payment, cumulative cash dividends at a rate per annum of 5% per share on a liquidation amount of \$1,000 per share of Series A Preferred Stock with respect to each dividend period from January 30, 2009 to, but excluding, February 15, 2014. From and after February 15, 2014, holders of Series A Preferred Stock are entitled to receive cumulative cash dividends at a rate per annum of 9% per share on a liquidation amount of \$1,000 per share with respect to each dividend period thereafter. Under the terms of the Series A Preferred Stock, on and after February 15, 2012, First United Corporation may, at its option, redeem shares of Series A Preferred Stock, in whole or in part, at any time and from time to time, for cash at a per share amount equal to the sum of the liquidation preference per share plus any accrued and unpaid dividends to but excluding the redemption date. Subject to prior consultation with the Reserve Bank and subject further to the terms of the TPS Debentures, the Recovery Act permits First United Corporation to redeem shares of its Series A Preferred Stock held by Treasury at any time (subject to Treasury's requirement that a minimum of 25% of the Series A Preferred Stock be redeemed). If First United Corporation were to

redeem shares of its Series A Preferred Stock pursuant to the Recovery Act, then it may also repurchase a pro rata portion of the Warrant; otherwise, Treasury must liquidate any portion of the Warrant that is not repurchased, at the current market price.

Until the date on which the Treasury disposes of the Series A Preferred Stock, without the consent of the Treasury, First United Corporation is prohibited from increasing its quarterly cash dividend paid on common stock above \$0.20 per share and from repurchasing or redeeming any shares of its capital stock, and the Trusts are prohibited from redeeming their trust preferred securities.

See Note 13 for information about First United Corporation's election to defer quarterly cash dividend payments on the Series A Preferred Stock.

15. Variable Interest Entities

As noted in Note 13, First United Corporation created the Trusts for the purposes of raising regulatory capital through the sale of mandatorily redeemable preferred capital securities to third party investors and common equity interests to First United Corporation. The Trusts are considered VIEs, but are not consolidated because First United Corporation is not the primary beneficiary of the Trusts. At December 31, 2013, the Corporation reported all of the \$41.7 million of TPS Debentures issued in connection with these offerings as long-term borrowings (along with the \$5.0 million of stand-alone junior subordinated debentures), and it reported its \$1.3 million equity interest in the Trusts as "Other Assets".

[91]

In November 2009, the Bank became a 99.99% limited partner in the Partnership. The Partnership was financed with a total of \$10.6 million of funding, including a \$6.1 million equity contribution from the Bank as the limited partner. The Partnership used the proceeds from these sources to purchase land and construct thereon a 36-unit low income housing rental complex at a total cost of \$10.6 million. The total assets of the Partnership were \$9.7 million at December 31, 2013 and \$10.0 million at December 31, 2012.

Through December 31, 2013, the Bank had made contributions to the Partnership totaling \$6.1 million. The project for which the Partnership was formed was completed in June 2011, and the Bank is entitled to \$8.4 million in federal investment tax credits over a 10-year period as long as certain qualifying hurdles are maintained. The Bank will also receive the benefit of tax operating losses from the Partnership to the extent of its capital contribution. The investment in the Partnership assists the Bank in achieving its community reinvestment initiatives.

Because the Partnership is considered to be a VIE, management performed an analysis to determine whether its involvement with the Partnership would lead it to determine that it must consolidate the Partnership. In performing its analysis, management evaluated the risks creating the variability in the Partnership and identified which activities most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance. Finally, it examined each of the variable interest holders to determine which, if any, of the holders was the primary beneficiary based on their power to direct the most significant activities and their obligation to absorb potentially significant losses of the Partnership.

The Bank, as a limited partner, generally has no voting rights. The Bank is not in any way involved in the daily management of the Partnership and has no other rights that provide it with the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the Partnership's economic performance, which are to develop and operate the housing project in such a manner that complies with specific tax credit guidelines. As a limited partner, there is no recourse to the Bank by the creditors of the Partnership. The tax credits that result from the Bank's investment in the Partnership are generally subject to recapture should the partnership fail to comply with the applicable government regulations. The Bank has not provided any financial or other support to the Partnership beyond its required capital contributions and does not anticipate providing such support in the future. Management currently believes that no material losses are probable as a result of the Bank's investment in the Partnership.

On the basis of management's analysis, the general partner is deemed to be the primary beneficiary of the Partnership. Because the Bank is not the primary beneficiary, the Partnership has not been included in the Corporation's consolidated financial statements.

At December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Corporation included its total investment in the Partnership in "Other Assets" in its Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition. As of December 31, 2013, the Corporation's commitment in the Partnership is fully funded. The following table presents details of the Bank's involvement with the Partnership at the dates indicated:

	December	December
	31,	31,
(In thousands)	2013	2012
Investment in LIHTC Partnership		
Carrying amount on Balance Sheet of:		
Investment (Other Assets)	\$ 4,980	\$ 5,498
Maximum exposure to loss	4,980	5,498

[92]

16. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss ("AOCL")

The following table presents the changes in each component of accumulated other comprehensive loss for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Investment	Investment				
	securities-	securities-	Cash Flow	Pension		
(in thousands)	with OTTI	all other	Hedge	Plan	SERP	Total
Accumulated OCL, net:						
Balance - January 1, 2012	\$(10,572)	\$ (2,633) \$ (616) \$(6,945)	\$(196)	\$(20,962)
Net gain/(loss) during period	536	(333) 109	(1,317)	144	(861)
Balance - December 31, 2012	\$(10,036)	\$ (2,966) \$ (507) \$(8,262)	\$(52)	\$(21,823)
Other comprehensive income/(loss) before reclassifications	2,735	(8,279) 233	2,871	102	(2,338)
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss	(322)	(47) 0	303	14	(52)
Balance - December 31,2013	\$(7,623)	\$(11,292) \$ (274) \$(5,088)	\$64	\$(24,213)

[93]

The following tables present the components of comprehensive income for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012:

Components of Other Comprehensive loss (in thousands)	Be	Before Tax Amount		Tax (Expense) Benefit		Net
For the year ended December 31, 2013						
Available for sale (AFS) securities with OTTI:	¢			(1.001		* ~ ~ ~ ~
Unrealized holding gains	\$	4,626	\$	(1,891)	\$2,735
Less: accretable yield recognized in income		537		(215)	322
Net unrealized gains on investments with OTTI		4,089		(1,676)	2,413
Available for sale securities – all other:						
Unrealized holding losses		(13,879)	5,600		(8,279)
Less: gains recognized in income		78		(31)	47
Net unrealized losses on all other AFS securities		(13,957)	5,631		(8,326)
Cash flow hedges:						
Unrealized holding gains		392		(159)	233
				· ·		
Pension Plan:						
Unrealized net actuarial gain		4,790		(1,919)	2,871
Less: amortization of unrecognized loss		(532)	213		(319)
Less: amortization of transition asset		39		(16)	23
Less: amortization of prior service costs		(12)	5		(7)
Net pension plan liability adjustment		5,295		(2,121)	3,174
SERP:						
Unrealized net actuarial gain		170		(68)	102
Less: amortization of unrecognized loss		(3)	1		(2)
Less: amortization of prior service costs		(20)	8		(12)
Net SERP liability adjustment		193	<i>.</i>	(77)	116
Other comprehensive loss	\$	(3,988)\$	×	ĺ	\$(2,390)

[94]

Components of Other Comprehensive Income (in thousands)	Before Tax Amount			Tax (Expense) Benefit		Net	
For the year ended December 31, 2012							
Available for sale (AFS) securities with OTTI:							
Unrealized holding gains	\$	1,315	\$	`)	\$814	
Less: accretable yield recognized in income		465		(187)	278	
Net unrealized gains on investments with OTTI		850		(314)	536	
Available for sale securities – all other:							
Unrealized holding gains		999		(408)	591	
Less: gains recognized in income		1,545		(621)	924	
Net unrealized losses on all other AFS securities		(546)	213		(333)
Cash flow hedges:							
Unrealized holding gains		185		(76)	109	
Pension Plan:							
Unrealized net actuarial loss		(2,547)	1,019		(1,52	8)
Less: amortization of unrecognized loss		(379)	152		(227)
Less: amortization of transition asset		39		(16)	23	
Less: amortization of prior service costs		(12)	5		(7)
Net pension plan liability adjustment		(2,195)	878		(1,31	7)
SERP:							
Unrealized net actuarial gain		102		(41)	61	
Less: amortization of unrecognized loss		(15)	6		(9)
Less: amortization of prior service costs		(123)	49		(74)
Net SERP liability adjustment		240		(96)	144	
Other comprehensive income	\$	(1,466)\$	605		\$(861)

[95]

The following table presents the details of accumulated other comprehensive income components for the years ended December 31, 2013:

Details of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income Components (in thousands)	A C F C en D G	ccumulate omprehens or the year ided ecember	d siv	
Unrealized gains and losses on investment securities with OTTI:				
Accretable Yield	\$	537		Interest income on taxable investment securities
Taxes	\$	(215 322)	Tax expense Net of tax
Unrealized gains and losses on available for sale investment securities - all others:	·			
Gains on sales	\$	78		Net gains - other
Taxes		(31)	Tax expense
	\$	47		Net of tax
Net pension plan liability adjustment:				
Amortization of unrecognized loss		(532)	Salaries and employee benefits
Amortization of transition asset		39		Salaries and employee benefits
Amortization of prior service costs		(12)	Salaries and employee benefits
Taxes	¢	202	``	Tax benefit
Not OEDD 11-1-11/1	\$	(303)	Net of tax
Net SERP liability adjustment:		(2)	`	Colonias and amployee honofite
Amortization of unrecognized loss Amortization of prior service costs		(3 (20		Salaries and employee benefits Salaries and employee benefits
Taxes		(20 9)	Tax benefit
1 4 7 6 9	\$	-)	Net of tax
	ψ	(17)	
Total reclassifications for the period	\$	52		Net of tax

17.Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes consists of the following for the years ended December 31:

(In thousands)	2013	2012
Current Tax expense:		
Federal	\$518	\$417
State	416	96
	\$934	\$513
Deferred tax expense:		
Federal	\$927	\$22
State	361	378
	\$1,288	\$400
Income tax expense for the year	\$2,222	\$913

[96]

The reconciliation between the statutory federal income tax rate and effective income tax rate is as follows:

	2013	2012
Federal statutory rate	35.0%	35.0 %
Tax-exempt income on securities and loans	(7.3)	(11.9)
Tax-exempt BOLI income	(4.1)	(11.2)
State income tax, net of federal tax benefit	7.3	7.9
Tax credits	(5.6)	(10.1)
Other	0.4	6.7
	25.7%	16.4 %

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Significant components of the Corporation's temporary differences as of December 31 are as follows:

(In thousands)	2013	2012
Deferred tax assets:		
Allowance for loan losses	\$5,435	\$6,446
Deferred loan fees	28	70
Deferred compensation	767	704
Federal and state tax loss carry forwards	6,057	5,289
AMT and other carry forwards	1,772	1,712
Unrealized loss on investment securities available-for-sale	12,686	8,771
Pension/SERP	0	1,677
Other than temporary impairment on investment securities	5,449	5,937
Other real estate owned	1,749	1,243
Other	1,191	1,874
Total deferred tax assets	35,134	33,723
Valuation allowance	(1,563)	(1,471)
Total deferred tax assets less valuation allowance	33,571	32,252
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Amortization of goodwill	(2,171)	(1,857)
Pension/SERP	(574)	0
Depreciation	(1,207)	(1,409)
Other	(410)	(104)
Total deferred tax liabilities	(4,362)	(3,370)
Net deferred tax assets	\$29,209	\$28,882

State income tax expense amounted to \$.8 million during 2013 and \$.5 million during 2012.

In assessing the ability to realize deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of the deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income of the appropriate character (for example, ordinary income or capital gain) within the carry-back or carry-forward period available under the tax law during the periods in which temporary differences are deductible. The Corporation has considered future market growth, forecasted earnings, future taxable income, and feasible and permissible tax planning strategies in determining whether it will be able to realize the deferred tax asset. If the Corporation were to determine that it will not be able to realize a portion of its net deferred tax asset in the future for which there is currently no valuation allowance, an adjustment to the net deferred tax asset would be charged to earnings in the period such determination was made. Conversely, if the Corporation allowance will be realized, the related valuation allowance would be reduced and a benefit would be recorded.

[97]

At December 31, 2013 the Corporation has federal net operating losses ("NOLs") of approximately \$9.8 million and West Virginia NOLs of approximately \$4.9 million for which deferred tax assets of \$3.4 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, have been recorded at December 31, 2013. The federal and West Virginia NOLs were created in 2012 and 2010 and will begin expiring in 2030. Management has determined that a deferred tax valuation allowance is not required for 2013 on the Federal and West Virginia NOLs because we believe it is more likely than not that these deferred tax assets can be realized prior to expiration of their carry-forward periods. This determination is based primarily on the ability of the Corporation to immediately generate approximately \$11.4 million of taxable income through tax planning strategies, irrespective of any additional future operating income. At December 31, 2013 these strategies include the ability to generate approximately \$2.1 million in taxable gains through the sale of its Bank Owned Life Insurance and approximately \$1.2 million in taxable gains through the sale of its fixed rate mortgage portfolio.

The Corporation has Maryland NOL carry-forwards of \$31.4 million relating to a Parent Company (First United Corporation) NOL for which a deferred tax asset of \$1.6 million has been recorded at December 31, 2013. There has been and continues to be a full valuation allowance on this NOL based on the fact that it is more likely than not that this deferred tax asset will not be realized because First United Corporation files a separate Maryland income tax return, has recurring tax losses and is not expected to generate sufficient taxable income in the future to utilize the NOL carry-forwards before they expire beginning in 2019. The valuation allowance of \$1.6 million at December 31, 2013 reflects an increase of \$.1 million from the level at December 31, 2012.

In addition, based on our evaluation of the four sources of taxable income, we have concluded that no valuation allowance is necessary for the Corporation's remaining federal and state deferred tax assets at December 31, 2013, as it is more likely than not (defined a level of likelihood that is more than 50%) that they will be realized based on the expected reversal of deferred tax liabilities, the generation of future income sufficient to realize the deferred tax assets as they reverse and the ability to implement tax planning strategies to prevent the expiration of any carry-forward periods.

18. Employee Benefit Plans

First United Corporation sponsors a noncontributory defined benefit pension plan (the "Pension Plan") covering substantially all full-time employees who qualify as to age and length of service. The benefits are based on years of service and the employees' compensation during the last five years of employment.

Effective April 30, 2010, the Pension Plan was amended, resulting in a "soft freeze", the effect of which prohibits new entrants into the plan and ceases crediting of additional years of service, after that date. Effective January 1, 2013, the plan was amended to unfreeze the plan for those employees for whom the sum of (i) their ages, at their closest birthday, plus (ii) years of service for vesting purposes equals 80 or greater. The "soft freeze" continues to apply to all other plan participants. Pension benefits for these participants will be managed through discretionary contributions to the 401(k) Profit Sharing Plan (the "401(k) Plan"). We anticipate the plan changes to have a minimal impact to the

financial statements.

During 2001, the Bank established an unfunded supplemental executive retirement plan (the "SERP") to provide senior management personnel with supplemental retirement benefits in excess of limits imposed on qualified plans by federal tax law. Concurrent with the establishment of the SERP, the Bank acquired BOLI policies on the senior management personnel and officers of the Bank. The benefits resulting from the favorable tax treatment accorded the earnings on the BOLI policies are intended to provide a source of funds for the future payment of the SERP benefits as well as other employee benefit costs.

The benefit obligation activity for both the Pension Plan and SERP was calculated using an actuarial measurement date of January 1. Plan assets and the benefit obligations were calculated using an actuarial measurement date of December 31.

[98]

The following tables summarize benefit obligation and funded status, plan asset activity, components of net pension cost, and weighted average assumptions for the Pension Plan and the SERP plans:

	Pension		SERP	
(in thousands)	2013	2012	2013	2012
Change in Benefit Obligation				
Obligation at the beginning of the year	\$30,340	\$26,540	\$4,990	\$4,814
Service cost	227	0	113	114
Interest cost	1,243	1,380	250	245
Change in discount rate assumption	(3,564)	3,933	0	0
Actuarial (gains)/losses	1,286	(437)	(167)	(100)
Benefits paid	(1,203)	(1,076)	(102)	(83)
Obligation at the end of the year	28,329	30,340	5,084	4,990
Change in Plan Assets				
Fair value at the beginning of the year	31,154	29,037	0	0
Actual return on plan assets	4,897	3,193	0	0
Employer contribution	0	0	102	83
Benefits paid	(1,203)	(1,076)	(102)	(83)
Fair value at the end of the year	34,848	31,154	0	0
Funded Status	\$6,519	\$814	\$(5,084)	\$(4,990)

	Pension		SERP	
(in thousands)	2013	2012	2013	2012
Components of Net Pension Cost				
Service cost	\$227	\$0	\$113	\$114
Interest cost	1,243	1,380	250	245
Expected return on assets	(2,373)	(2,211)	0	0
Amortization of transition asset	(39)	(39)	0	0
Amortization of recognized loss	532	379	3	15
Amortization of prior service cost	12	12	20	123
Net pension (income)/expense in employee benefits	\$(398)	\$(479)	\$386	\$497
Weighted Average Assumptions used to determine benefit obligations:				
Discount rate for benefit obligations	4.75 %	6 4.00 %	6 5.25%	5.25%
Discount rate for net pension cost	4.00 %	6 5.00 %	60	0
Expected long-term return on assets	7.75 %	6 7.75 %	6 0	0
Rate of compensation increase	3.00 %	6 3.00 %	6 3.00%	3.00%

The accumulated benefit obligation for the Pension Plan was \$27.2 million and \$30.8 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The accumulated benefit obligation for the SERP was \$4.3 million and \$4.5 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The investment assets of a defined benefit plan are managed with the goal of providing for retiree distributions while also supporting long-term plan obligations with a moderate level of portfolio risk. In order to address the variability over time of both risk and return, the plan investment strategy entails a dynamic approach to asset allocation, providing for normalized targets for major asset classes, with the ability to tactically adjust within the following specified ranges around those targets.

Asset Class	Normalized Tar	rget	Range
Cash	5	%	0% - 20%
Fixed Income	40	%	30% - 50%
Equities	55	%	45% - 65%

[99]

Decisions regarding tactical adjustments within the above noted ranges for asset classes are based on a top down review of factors expected to have material impact on the risk and reward dynamics of the portfolio as a whole. Such factors include, but are not limited to, the following:

Anticipated domestic and international economic growth as a whole; The position of the economy within its longer term economic cycle; and The expected impact of economic vitality, cycle positioning, financial market risks, industry/demographic trends and political forces on the various market sectors and investment styles.

With respect to individual company securities, additional company specific matters are considered, which could include management track record and guidance, future earnings expectations, current relative price expectations and the impact of identified risks on expected performance, among others. A core equity position of large cap stocks will be maintained, with more aggressive or volatile sectors meaningfully represented in the asset mix in pursuit of higher returns.

Strategic and specific investment decisions are guided by an in-house investment committee as well as a number of outside institutional resources that provide economic, industry and company data and analytics. It is management's intent to give the Plan's investment managers flexibility with respect to investment decisions and their timing within the overall guidelines. However, certain investments require specific review and approval by management. Management is also informed of anticipated changes in nonproprietary investment managers, significant modifications of any previously approved investment, or the anticipated use of derivatives to execute investment strategies.

Portfolio risk is managed in large part by a focus on diversification across multiple levels as well as an emphasis on financial strength. For example, current investment policies restrict initial investments in debt securities to be rated investment grade at the time of purchase. Also, with the exception of the highest rated securities (e.g. - U.S. Treasury or government-backed agency securities), no more than 10% of the portfolio may be invested in a single entity's securities. As a result of the previously noted approaches to controlling portfolio risk, any concentrations of risk would be associated with general systemic risks faced by industry sectors or the portfolio as a whole.

Assets in the Pension Plan are valued by the Corporation's accounting system provider who utilizes a third party pricing service. Valuation data is based on actual market data for stocks and mutual funds (Level 1) and matrix pricing for bonds (Level 2). Cash and cash equivalents are also considered Level 1 within the fair value hierarchy.

[100]

December 31, 2013			Fair Value	Hierarchy
(Dollars in thousands)	Assets at Fair Value	% of Portfolio	Level 1	Level 2

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the value of Pension Plan investments was as follows:

× ,	Value			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 464	1.3	% \$464	\$ 0
Fixed income securities:				
U.S. Government and Agencies	143	0.4	% 0	143
Taxable municipal bonds and notes	1,792	5.2	% 0	1,792
Corporate bonds and notes	7,664	22.0	% 0	7,664
Preferred stock	562	1.6	% 0	562
Fixed income mutual funds	3,171	9.1	% 3,171	0
Total fixed income	13,332	38.3	% 3,171	10,161
Equities:				
Large Cap	15,634	44.9	% 15,634	0
Mid Cap	2,489	7.1	% 2,489	0
Small Cap	1,373	3.9	% 1,373	0
International	1,556	4.5	% 1,556	0
Total equities	21,052	60.4	% 21,052	0
Total market value	\$ 34,848	100.0	% \$24,687	\$10,161

December 31, 2012				Fair Value	Hierarchy
(Dollars in thousands)	Assets at Fair Value	% of Portfolio)	Level 1	Level 2
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 3,377	10.8	%	\$3,377	\$0
Fixed income securities:					
U.S. Government and Agencies	138	0.4	%	0	138
Taxable municipal bonds and notes	1,882	6.0	%	0	1,882
Corporate bonds and notes	7,990	25.7	%	0	7,990
Preferred stock	736	2.4	%	0	736
Fixed income mutual funds	2,826	9.1	%	2,826	0
Total fixed income	13,572	43.6	%	2,826	10,746
Equities:					
Large Cap	11,132	35.7	%	11,132	0
Mid Cap	1,543	5.0	%	1,543	0
Small Cap	840	2.7	%	840	0
International	690	2.2	%	690	0
Total equities	14,205	45.6	%	14,205	0
Total market value	\$ 31,154	100.0	%	\$ 20,408	\$10,746

The expected rate of return on Pension Plan assets is based on a combination of the following:

Historical returns of the portfolio of assets;

Monte Carlo simulations of expected returns for a portfolio with strategic asset targets similar to the normalized targets; and

Market impact adjustments to reflect expected future investment environment considerations.

As of December 31, 2013, the 25-year average return on pension portfolio assets was 8.42%. Monte Carlo simulations modeled against the normalized asset class targets for the pension portfolio suggest an expected long-term return average of 7.28% with a 95% confidence level. Actual and simulated returns have been impacted materially by two significant bear markets that covered four years since the turn of the millennium. Some long-term data suggests that U.S. equities may be near an inflection point to improving multi-year performance. For example, Ibbotson data from 1826 through 2009 indicates that rolling 10-year returns exhibit some cyclicality. These returns recently touched historical lows and may be turning upward. In addition, the December 2007 recession ended in mid-2009, suggesting further progress toward economic recovery and positive investment performance. The expected long-term return used for 2013 was 7.75%. Based on the above considerations, it is considered appropriate to maintain the forward expected long-term rates of return at 7.75%.

[101]

.

The Pension Plan did not hold any shares of First United Corporation common stock at December 31, 2013 or 2012.

Estimated cash flows related to expected future benefit payments from the Pension Plan and SERP are as follows:

(In thousands)	Pension Plan	SERP
2014	\$1,162	\$117
2015	1,224	175
2016	1,311	166
2017	1,359	224
2018	1,454	267
2019-2023	9,380	1,898

First United Corporation will evaluate future annual contributions to the Pension Plan based upon its funded status and an evaluation of the future benefits to be provided thereunder. The Bank expects to fund the annual projected benefit payments for the SERP from operations.

Amounts included in accumulated other comprehensive loss as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, net of tax, are as follows:

	2013		2012	
(In thousands)	Pension	SERP	Pension	SERP
Unrecognized net actuarial loss/(gain)	\$5,088	\$(86)	\$8,278	\$ 13
Unrecognized prior service costs	35	22	42	39
Net transition asset	(35)	0	(58)	0
	\$5,088	\$(64)	\$8,262	\$ 52

The estimated costs that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive loss into net periodic pension cost during the next fiscal year are as follows:

(In thousands)	Pension	SERP
Prior service costs	\$ 12	\$ 20
Net transition asset	(39)	0
Net actuarial loss/(gain)	242	(18)
	\$ 215	\$2

19.401(k) Profit Sharing Plan

The First United Corporation 401(k) Plan is a defined contribution plan that is intended to qualify under section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code. The 401(k) Plan covers substantially all employees of First United Corporation and its subsidiaries. Eligible employees can elect to contribute to the plan through payroll deductions. The first 1% of contributions of an employee's base salary are matched at 100% and the next 5% are matched on a 50% basis by the Corporation. Expense charged to operations for the 401(k) Plan was \$.9 million in 2013 and \$.7 million in 2012.

20. Federal Reserve Requirements

During 2013, the Federal Reserve modified its structure for institutions to calculate their reserve requirements with the Reserve Bank. Under these new calculations, the Bank was not required to maintain certain cash reserve levels as its vault cash exceeded the levels for reserve.

[102]

21. Restrictions on Dividend Payments

First United Corporation is subject to an informal agreement with the Reserve Bank which requires it to seek the prior approval of the Reserve Bank before making any dividend payment or other distribution on its capital securities or other securities that qualify as Tier 1 capital. On November 15, 2010, First United Corporation, at the request of the Reserve Bank, deferred regular quarterly cash dividend payments on its Series A Preferred Stock. Pursuant to the terms of the Series A Preferred Stock, the deferral prohibits First United Corporation from paying dividends or other distributions on its common stock. On December 15, 2010, First United Corporation, at the request of the Reserve Bank, elected to defer regular quarterly interest payments on its TPS Debentures, beginning with the payments that are due in March 2011. This deferral likewise prohibited First United Corporation from paying any dividends or distributions on its capital securities during the deferral period. As of December 31, 2013, First United Corporation remained in deferral.

22. Restrictions on Subsidiary Dividends, Loans or Advances

Federal and state banking regulations place certain restrictions on the amount of dividends paid and loans or advances made by the Bank to First United Corporation. The total amount of dividends that may be paid at any date is generally limited to the retained earnings of the Bank, and loans or advances are limited to 10 % of the Bank's capital stock and surplus on a secured basis. In addition, dividends paid by the Bank to First United Corporation would be prohibited if the effect thereof would cause the Bank's capital to be reduced below applicable minimum capital requirements. At December 31, 2013, the Bank could have paid additional dividends of \$9.4 million to First United Corporation within these limits. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Bank is subject to an informal agreement with the FDIC and the Maryland Commissioner of Financial Regulation which requires the Bank to seek the prior approval of these regulators before making any dividend payment to First United Corporation.

23. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities

We are at times, and in the ordinary course of business, subject to legal actions. Management believes that losses, if any, resulting from current legal actions will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

Loan commitments are made to accommodate the financial needs of our customers. Loan commitments have credit risk essentially the same as that involved in extending loans to customers and are subject to normal credit policies. Commitments to extend credit generally have fixed expiration dates, may require payment of a fee, and contain cancellation clauses in the event of an adverse change in the customer's credit quality.

We do not issue any guarantees that would require liability recognition or disclosure other than the standby letters of credit issued by the Bank. Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Bank to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party to support contractual obligations and to ensure job performance. Generally, the Bank's letters of credit are issued with expiration dates within one year. Historically, most letters of credit expire unfunded, and therefore, cash requirements are substantially less than the total commitment. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers. The Bank generally holds collateral and/or personal guarantees supporting letters of credit. Management believes that the proceeds obtained through a liquidation of collateral and the enforcement of guarantees would be sufficient to cover the potential amount of future payment required by the letters of credit. Management does not believe that the amount of the liability associated with guarantees under standby letters of credit outstanding at December 31, 2012 is material.

The following table is a summary of commitments as of December 31, 2013 and 2012:

(In thousands)	2013	2012
Loan commitments	\$97,709	\$87,147
Commercial letters of credit	1,134	1,312
Total	\$98,843	\$88,459

24. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Corporation complies with the guidance of ASC Topic 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements required under other accounting pronouncements. The Corporation also follows the guidance on matters relating to all financial instruments found in ASC Subtopic 825-10, *Financial Instruments – Overall*.

[103]

Fair value is defined as the price to sell an asset or to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between willing market participants as of the measurement date. Fair value is best determined by values quoted through active trading markets. Active trading markets are characterized by numerous transactions of similar financial instruments between willing buyers and willing sellers. Because no active trading market exists for various types of financial instruments, many of the fair values disclosed were derived using present value discounted cash flows or other valuation techniques described below. As a result, the Corporation's ability to actually realize these derived values cannot be assumed.

The Corporation measures fair values based on the fair value hierarchy established in ASC Paragraph 820-10-35-37. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value under the hierarchy are as follows:

Level 1: Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets and liabilities. This level is the most reliable source of valuation.

Level 2: Quoted prices that are not active, or inputs that are observable either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability. Level 2 inputs include inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability (for example, interest rates and yield curves at commonly quoted intervals, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks, and default rates). It also includes inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means (market-corroborated inputs). Several sources are utilized for valuing these assets, including a contracted valuation service, Standard & Poor's ("S&P") evaluations and pricing services, and other valuation matrices.

Level 3: Prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both significant to the valuation assumptions and not readily observable in the market (i.e. supported with little or no market activity). Level 3 instruments are valued based on the best available data, some of which is internally developed, and consider risk premiums that a market participant would require.

The level established within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Transfers in and out of Level 1, 2 or 3 are recorded at fair value at the beginning of the reporting period.

Management believes that the Corporation's valuation techniques are appropriate and consistent with the techniques used by other market participants. However, the use of different methodologies and assumptions could result in a different estimate of fair values at the reporting date. The following valuation techniques were used to measure the fair

value of assets in the table below which are measured on a recurring and non-recurring basis as of December 31, 2013.

Investments – The investment portfolio is classified and accounted for based on the guidance of ASC Topic 320, *Investments* – *Debt and Equity Securities*.

The fair value of investments available-for-sale is determined using a market approach. As of December 31, 2013, the U.S. Government agencies and treasuries, residential mortgage-backed securities, private label residential mortgage-backed securities, and municipal bonds segments are classified as Level 2 within the valuation hierarchy. Their fair values were determined based upon market-corroborated inputs and valuation matrices, which were obtained through third party data service providers or securities brokers through which we have historically transacted both purchases and sales of investment securities.

The CDO segment, which consists of pooled trust preferred securities issued by banks, thrifts and insurance companies, is classified as Level 3 within the valuation hierarchy. At December 31, 2013, the Bank owned 18 pooled trust preferred securities with an amortized cost of \$37.1 million and a fair value of \$17.5 million. The market for these securities at December 31, 2013 is not active and markets for similar securities are also not active. The inactivity was evidenced first by a significant widening of the bid-ask spread in the brokered markets in which these securities trade and then by a significant decrease in the volume of trades relative to historical levels. The new issue market is also inactive, as few CDOs have been issued since 2007. There are currently very few market participants who are willing to transact for these securities. The market values for these securities or any securities, other than those issued or guaranteed by the Treasury, are very depressed relative to historical levels. Therefore, in the current market, a low market price for a particular bond may only provide evidence of stress in the credit markets in general rather than being an indicator of credit problems with a particular issue. Given the conditions in the current debt markets and the absence of observable transactions in the secondary and new issue markets, management has determined that (i) the few observable transactions and market quotations that are available are not reliable for the purpose of obtaining fair value at December 31, 2013, (ii) an income valuation approach technique (i.e. present value) that maximizes the use of relevant observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs will be equally or more representative of fair value than a market approach, and (iii) the CDO segment is appropriately classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy because management determined that significant adjustments were required to determine fair value at the measurement date.

[104]

Management utilizes an independent third party to prepare both the evaluations of other-than-temporary impairment as well as the fair value determinations for its CDO portfolio. Management does not believe that there were any material differences in the impairment evaluations and pricing between December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

The approach of the third party to determine fair value involves several steps, including detailed credit and structural evaluation of each piece of collateral in each bond, default, recovery and prepayment/amortization probabilities for each piece of collateral in the bond, and discounted cash flow modeling. The discount rate methodology used by the third party combines a baseline current market yield for comparable corporate and structured credit products with adjustments based on evaluations of the differences found in structure and risks associated with actual and projected credit performance of each CDO being valued. Currently, the only active and liquid trading market that exists is for stand-alone trust preferred securities. Therefore, adjustments to the baseline discount rate are also made to reflect the additional leverage found in structured instruments.

Derivative financial instruments (Cash flow hedge) – The Corporation's open derivative positions are interest rate swaps that are classified as Level 3 within the valuation hierarchy. Open derivative positions are valued using externally developed pricing models based on observable market inputs provided by a third party and validated by management. The Corporation has considered counterparty credit risk in the valuation of its interest rate swap assets. Management does not believe that there is a significant concentration with the counterparty.

Impaired loans – Loans included in the table below are those that are considered impaired with a specific allocation based upon the guidance of the loan impairment subsection of the *Receivables* Topic, ASC Section 310-10-35, under which the Corporation has measured impairment generally based on the fair value of the loan's collateral. Fair value consists of the loan balance less its valuation allowance and is generally determined based on independent third-party appraisals of the collateral or discounted cash flows based upon the expected proceeds. These assets are included as Level 3 fair values based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurements.

Other real estate owned – Fair value of other real estate owned was based on independent third-party appraisals of the properties. These values were determined based on the sales prices of similar properties in the approximate geographic area. These assets are included as Level 3 fair values based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurements.

For Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring and non-recurring basis as of December 31, 2013, the significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurements were as follows:

Fair Value at	Valuation Technique	Significant	Significant
December 31, 2	2013	Unobservable Inputs	Unobservable Input

Explanation of Responses:

Recurring:

Value

Investment Securities – available for sale - CDO	\$ 17,538	Discounted Cash Flow	Discount Rate	Swap+17%; Range of Libor+ 6% to 18%
Cash Flow Hedge	\$ (457	Discounted Cash Flow Reuters Third Party Market Quote		99.9% (weighted avg 99.9%)
Non-recurring:				
Impaired Loans	\$ 8,613	Market Comparable Properties	Marketability Discount	10% ⁽¹⁾ (weighted avg 10%)
OREO (1)Range would include	5,591 counts taken si	Market Comparable Properties	Marketability Discount	5% to 10% ⁽¹⁾ (weighted avg 9%)

(1)Range would include discounts taken since appraisal and estimated values

[105]

For assets measured at fair value on a recurring and non-recurring basis, the fair value measurements by level within the fair value hierarchy used at December 31, 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

	Assets Measured at	Fair Value Measuremen December 31, 2013 Usin (In Thousands) Quoted Prices in Significant Other Active Markets for Observable Inputs Identical Assets	
Description	12/31/2013	(Level 1) (Level 2)	(Level 3)
Recurring:			
Investment securities available-for-sale:			
U.S. government agencies	\$ 92,035	\$ 92,035	
Residential mortgage-backed agencies	\$ 112,444	\$ 112,444	
Commercial mortgage-backed agencies	\$ 29,905	\$ 29,905	
Collateralized mortgage obligations	\$ 29,390	\$ 29,390	
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$ 55,277	\$ 55,277	
Collateralized debt obligations	\$ 17,538		\$ 17,538
Financial Derivative	\$ (457)	\$ (457)
Non-recurring:			
Impaired loans	\$ 8,613		\$ 8,613
Other real estate owned	\$ 5,591		\$ 5,591

	Assets	Decen (In Th	alue Measuremen aber 31, 2012 Usin ousands) d Prices in gnificant Other Markets for bservable Inputs cal Assets	ng Si	gnificant	t a
	Measured at	Identic	cal Assets	U	nobservable Input	.18
Description	12/31/2012	(Level 1)	level 2)	(L	Level 3)	
Recurring:		,				
Investment securities available-for-sale:						
U.S. government agencies	\$ 40,320	\$	40,320			
Residential mortgage-backed agencies	\$ 44,108	\$	44,108			
Commercial mortgage-backed agencies	\$ 37,618	\$	37,618			
Collateralized mortgage obligations	\$ 31,731	\$	31,731			
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$ 58,054	\$	58,054			
Collateralized debt obligations	\$ 11,442			\$	11,442	
Financial Derivative	\$ (849)		\$	(849)

Explanation of Responses:

Non-recurring:		
Impaired loans	\$ 13,560	\$ 13,560
Other real estate owned	\$ 3,165	\$ 3,165

There were no transfers of assets between any of the levels of the fair value hierarchy for the years ended December 31, 2013 or December 31, 2012.

[106]

The following tables show a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances for fair valued assets measured using Level 3 significant unobservable inputs for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Fair Value Measurer Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) (In Thousands) Investment Securitie Available for Sale		s Using Si ash Flow I	-
Beginning balance January 1, 2013	\$ 11,442	\$	(849)
Total gains/(losses) realized/unrealized:	' '		(,
Included in earnings	0		0	
Included in other comprehensive loss	6,096		392	
Ending balance December 31, 2013	\$ 17,538	\$	(457)
The amount of total gains or losses for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in realized/unrealized gains or losses related to assets still held at the reporting date	\$ 0	\$	0	
	Fair Value Measuren Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) (In Thousands) Investment Securities Available for Sale	^S Casi Hed	h Flow ge	
Beginning balance January 1, 2012	\$ 9,447	\$ (1,034)
Total gains/(losses) realized/unrealized: Included in earnings Included in other comprehensive loss	0 1,995	0) .85	
Ending balance December 31, 2012	\$ 11,442		849)
The amount of total gains or losses for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in realized/unrealized gains or losses related to assets still held at the reporting date	\$ 0	\$ C		

Gains and losses (realized and unrealized) included in earnings for the periods above are reported in the Consolidated Statement of Operations in other operating income.

The fair values disclosed may vary significantly between institutions based on the estimates and assumptions used in the various valuation methodologies. The derived fair values are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and

Explanation of Responses:

significant judgment. Therefore, they cannot be determined with precision. Changes in the assumptions could significantly impact the derived estimates of fair value. Disclosure of non-financial assets such as buildings as well as certain financial instruments such as leases is not required. Accordingly, the aggregate fair values presented do not represent the underlying value of the Corporation.

We use the following methods and assumptions in estimating fair value disclosures for financial instruments:

[107]

Cash and due from banks: The carrying amounts as reported in the statement of financial condition for cash and due from banks approximate their fair values.

Interest bearing deposits in banks: The carrying amount of interest bearing deposits approximates their fair values.

Restricted investment in Bank stock: The carrying value of stock issued by the FHLB of Atlanta, ACBB and CBB approximates fair value based on the redemption provisions of the stock.

Loans (excluding impaired loans with specific loss allowances): For variable-rate loans that reprice frequently or "in one year or less", and with no significant change in credit risk, fair values are based on carrying values. Fair values for fixed-rate loans that do not reprice frequently are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies current market interest rates being offered on the various loan products.

Deposits: The fair values disclosed for demand deposits (e.g., interest and non-interest checking, savings, and certain types of money market accounts, etc.) are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (i.e., their carrying amounts). Fair values for fixed-rate certificates of deposit are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered on the various certificates of deposit to the cash flow stream.

Short-term borrowings: The carrying amount of short-term borrowings approximates their fair values.

Borrowed funds: The fair value of the Bank's FHLB borrowings and First United Corporation's TPS Debentures is calculated based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows, using rates currently existing for borrowings with similar remaining maturities. The carrying amounts of federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase approximate their fair values.

Accrued interest: The carrying amount of accrued interest receivable and payable approximates their fair values.

Off-balance-sheet financial instruments: In the normal course of business, the Bank makes commitments to extend credit and issues standby letters of credit. The Bank expects most of these commitments to expire without being drawn upon; therefore, the commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Due to the uncertainty of cash flows and difficulty in the predicting the timing of such cash flows, fair values were not estimated

Explanation of Responses:

for these instruments.

[108]

The following table presents fair value information about financial instruments, whether or not recognized in the statement of financial condition, for which it is practicable to estimate that value. The actual carrying amounts and estimated fair values of the Corporation's financial instruments that are included in the statement of financial condition are as follows:

	December 31, 2013		Fair Valu	e Measurements	~
	Carrying	Fair	Quoted P Active M Identical	rices in Significant Other larkets for Observable Inputs Assets	Significant Unobservable Inputs
(in thousands)	Amount	Value	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)
Financial Assets:					
Cash and due from banks	\$32,895	\$32,895	\$32,895		
Interest bearing deposits in banks	10,168	10,168	10,168		
Investment securities - AFS	336,589	336,589		\$ 319,051	\$ 17,538
Investment securities - HTM	3,900	3,590			3,590
Restricted Bank stock	7,913	7,913		7,913	
Loans, net	796,646	799,937			799,937
Accrued interest receivable	4,342	4,342		4,342	
Financial Liabilities:					
Deposits – non-maturity	650,761	650,761		650,761	
Deposits – time deposits	326,642	333,256		333,256	
Short-term borrowed funds	43,676	43,676		43,676	
Long-term borrowed funds	182,672	189,135		189,135	
Accrued interest payable	7,647	7,647		7,647	
Financial derivative	457	457			457
Off balance sheet financial instruments	0	0	0		

[109]

	2012	
(In thousands)	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
Financial Assets:		
Cash and due from banks	\$71,290	\$71,290
Interest bearing deposits in banks	11,778	11,778
Investment securities - AFS	223,273	223,273
Investment securities - HTM	4,040	4,347
Restricted Bank stock	8,349	8,349
Loans, net	858,782	865,405
Accrued interest receivable	4,494	4,494
Financial Liabilities:		
Deposits – non-maturity	593,224	593,224
Deposits – time deposits	383,660	392,155
Short-term borrowed funds	39,257	39,257
Long-term borrowed funds	182,735	190,531
Accrued interest payable	5,415	5,415
Financial derivative	849	849
Off balance sheet financial instruments	0	0

25. Derivative Financial Instruments

As a part of managing interest rate risk, the Corporation entered into interest rate swap agreements to modify the re-pricing characteristics of certain interest-bearing liabilities. The Corporation has designated its interest rate swap agreements as cash flow hedges under the guidance of ASC Subtopic 815-30, *Derivatives and Hedging – Cash Flow Hedges*. Cash flow hedges have the effective portion of changes in the fair value of the derivative, net of taxes, recorded in net accumulated other comprehensive income.

In July 2009, the Corporation entered into three interest rate swap contracts totaling \$20.0 million notional amount, hedging future cash flows associated with floating rate trust preferred debt. The fair value of the interest rate swap contracts was (\$.5) million and (\$.8) million at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, and was reported in Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition. Cash in the amount of \$1.4 million was posted as collateral as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

For the year ended December 31, 2013, the Corporation recorded an increase in the value of the derivatives of \$392 thousand and the related deferred tax benefit of \$159 thousand in net accumulated other comprehensive loss to reflect the effective portion of cash flow hedges. ASC Subtopic 815-30 requires this amount to be reclassified to earnings if the hedge becomes ineffective or is terminated. There was no hedge ineffectiveness recorded for the year ended December 31, 2013. The Corporation does not expect any losses relating to these hedges to be reclassified into earnings within the next 12 months.

Interest rate swap agreements are entered into with counterparties that meet established credit standards and we believe that the credit risk inherent in these contracts is not significant as of December 31, 2013.

[110]

The table below discloses the impact of derivative financial instruments on the Corporation's Consolidated Financial Statements for the years ended December 31, 2013 and year ended December 31, 2012.

Derivative in Cash Flow

Hedging Relationships

(In thousands) Interest rate contracts:	deri	ount of gain (loss ognized in OCI on vative (effective ion)	Amount of gair from accumulat (effective portio	ted OCI into incom	Amount of gain o income on deriva and amount exclu testing) ⁽²⁾	or (loss) recognized in tive (ineffective portion aded from effectiveness
December 31, 2013 December 31, 2012	\$ \$	233 109	\$ \$	0 0	\$ \$	0 0
Notes:						
		(1) (2)	Reported as interest expense Reported as other income			

26. Assets and Liabilities Subject to Enforceable Master Netting Arrangements

Interest Rate Swap Agreements ("Swap Agreements")

The Corporation has entered into interest rate swap agreements to modify the re-pricing characteristics of certain interest-bearing liabilities as a part of managing interest rate risk. The swap agreements have been designated as cash flow hedges, and accordingly, the fair value of the interest rate swap contracts is reported in Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition. The swap agreements were entered into with a third party financial institution. The Corporation is party to master netting arrangements with its financial institution counterparty; however the Corporation does not offset assets and liabilities under these arrangements for financial statement presentation purposes. The master netting arrangements provide for a single net settlement of all swap agreements, as well as collateral, in the event of default on, or termination of, any one contract. Collateral, in the form of cash, is posted by the Corporation as the counterparty with net liability positions in accordance with contract thresholds. See Note 25 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for more information.

Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase ("Repurchase Agreements")

The Bank enters into agreements under which it sells interests in U.S. Securities to certain customers subject to an obligation to repurchase, and on the part of the customers to resell, such interests. Under these arrangements, the Bank may transfer legal control over the assets but still retain effective control through an agreement that both entitles and obligates the Bank to repurchase the assets. As a result, these repurchase agreements are accounted for as collateralized financing arrangements (i.e. secured borrowings) and not as a sale and subsequent repurchase of securities. The obligation to repurchase the securities is reflected as a liability in the consolidated statement of condition, while the securities underlying the repurchase agreements remain in the respective investment securities asset accounts. There is no offsetting or netting of the investment securities assets with the repurchase agreement liabilities. In addition, as the Bank does not enter into reverse repurchase agreement resembles a secured borrowing, whereby the collateral would be used to settle the fair value of the repurchase agreement should the Bank be in default (i.e. fails to repurchase the U.S. Securities on the maturity date of the agreement). The investment security collateral is held by a third party financial institution in the counterparty's custodial account.

[111]

The following table presents the liabilities subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or repurchase agreements as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

					Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Statement of Condition					
(In thousands)	Gross Amounts Recognized Liabilities	Of Offs State	s Amount et in the ment of dition	Liabilities Presented in	-	<i>1</i> C	Ca Co	sh	ıl Net	Amount
December 31, 2013 Interest Rate Swap Agreements	\$ 457	\$	0	\$ 457	\$ (457)	\$	0	\$	0
Repurchase Agreements	\$ 43,676	\$	0	\$ 43,676	\$ (43,676)	\$	0	\$	0
December 31, 2012 Interest Rate Swap Agreements	\$ 849	\$	0	\$ 849	\$ (849)	\$	0	\$	0
Repurchase Agreements	\$ 39,257	\$	0	\$ 39,257	\$ (39,257)	\$	0	\$	0

27. Parent Company Only Financial Information

Condensed Statement of Financial Condition

	December	31,
(In thousands)	2013	2012
Assets		
Cash	\$3,025	\$2,449
Investment in bank subsidiary	151,711	146,876
Investment in non-bank subsidiaries	4,036	4,195
Other assets	4,031	2,802
Total Assets	\$162,803	\$156,322
Liabilities and Shareholder's Equity		
Accrued interest and other liabilities	\$14,733	\$10,687
Dividends payable	0	0
Junior subordinated debt	46,730	46,730
Shareholder's equity	101,340	98,905
Total Liabilities and Shareholder's Equity	\$162,803	\$156,322

[112]

Condensed Statement of Income

	Year End Decembe	
(In thousands)	2103	2012
Income:		
Dividend income from bank subsidiary	\$0	\$0
Other income	469	338
Total Income	469	338
Expenses:		
Interest expense	2,881	2,802
Other expenses	513	384
Total Expenses	3,394	3,186
Loss before income taxes and equity in undistributed net loss of subsidiaries Applicable income tax benefit	(2,925) 1,002	(2,848) 0
Net loss before equity in undistributed net loss of subsidiaries	(1,923)	(2,848)
Equity in undistributed net income/(loss)of subsidiaries: Bank	8,395	7,462
Non-bank	(26)	49
Net Income	\$6,446	\$4,663

Condensed Statement of Comprehensive Income

	Year ended December 31,	
Components of Comprehensive Income (in thousands)	2013	2012
Net Income	\$6,446	\$4,663
Unrealized gains on cash flow hedges, net of tax	233	109
Other comprehensive income, net of tax	233	109
Comprehensive income	\$6,679	\$4,772

[113]

Condensed Statement of Cash Flows

	Year Ended	
	December 31,	
(In thousands)	2013	2012
Operating Activities		
Net Income	\$6,446	\$4,663
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by/(used in) operating activities:		
Equity in undistributed net income of subsidiaries	(8,369)	(7,511)
Increase in other assets	(993)	(303)
Increase in accrued interest payable and other liabilities	4,047	3,958
Stock Compensation	88	73
Net cash provided by operating activities	1,219	880
Investing Activities		
Net investment in subsidiaries	1,066	1,252
Net cash provided by investing activities	1,066	1,252
Financing Activities		
Dividends - preferred stock deferred	(1,709)	(1,626)
Net cash used in financing activities	(1,709)	(1,626)
Increase in cash and cash equivalents	576	506
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	2,449	1,943
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$3,025	\$2,449

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

Components of Comprehensive Income (in thousands)	Befo	ore Tax Amount	x (Expense enefit)	Net
For the period ended December 31, 2013 Cash flow hedges: Unrealized holding gains	\$	392	\$ (159)	\$233
Other comprehensive income	\$	392	\$ (159)	\$233
For the period ended December 31, 2012 Cash flow hedges:					
Unrealized holding gains	\$	185	\$ (76)	\$109
Other comprehensive income	\$	185	\$ (76)	\$109

[114]

Explanation of Responses:

ITEM CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND9. FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Corporation maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the Corporation's reports filed under the Exchange Act with the SEC, such as this annual report, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in those rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Corporation's management, including the principal executive officer ("PEO") and the principal accounting officer ("PAO"), as appropriate, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, a control may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

An evaluation of the effectiveness of these disclosure controls as of December 31, 2013 was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of the Corporation's management, including the PEO and the PAO. Based on that evaluation, the Corporation's management, including the PEO and the PAO, has concluded that the Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures are, in fact, effective at the reasonable assurance level.

During the fourth quarter of 2013, there was no change in the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting.

As required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, management has performed an evaluation and testing of the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013. Management's report on the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting is included on the following page. The Corporation is a "smaller reporting company" as defined by Rule 12b-2 under the Exchange Act and, accordingly, its independent registered public accounting firm is not required to attest to the foregoing management report.

[115]

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The Board of Directors and Shareholders

First United Corporation

First United Corporation's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. This internal control system was designed to provide reasonable assurance to management and the Board of Directors as to the reliability of First United Corporation's financial reporting and the preparation and presentation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, as well as to safeguard assets from unauthorized use or disposition.

An internal control system, no matter how well designed, has inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation and may not prevent or detect misstatements in the financial statements or the unauthorized use or disposition of First United Corporation's assets. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness of internal controls to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with policies and procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of First United Corporation's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control--Integrated Framework (1992 Framework). Based on this assessment and on the foregoing criteria, management has concluded that, as of December 31, 2013, First United Corporation's internal control over financial reporting is effective.

Dated: March 10, 2014

/s/ William B. Grant/s/ Carissa L. RodeheaverWilliam B. Grant, Esq., CFPCarissa L. Rodeheaver, CPA, CFPChairman of the Board andPresident andChief Executive OfficerChief Financial Officer

[116]

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The Corporation has adopted a Code of Ethics applicable to its principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer, or controller, or persons performing similar functions, a Code of Ethics applicable to all employees, and a Code of Ethics applicable to members of the Board of Directors. Copies of these Codes of Ethics are available free of charge upon request to Mr. Jason B. Rush, Senior Vice President, Chief Risk Officer and Director of Operations, First United Corporation, c/o First United Bank & Trust, P.O. Box 9, Oakland, MD 21550-0009. Copies are also available on the Corporation's website a<u>t www.mybank4.com</u> in the "My Community" tab under "Investors – Corporate Governance".

All other information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the following sections of the Corporation's definitive Proxy Statement for the 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A:

Election of Directors (Proposal 1); Continuing Directors; Qualifications of Director Nominees and Current Directors; Executive Officers; Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership and Reporting Compliance; and Corporate Governance Matters (under Audit Committee).

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the sections of the Corporation's definitive Proxy Statement for the 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A entitled "Director Compensation" and "Remuneration of Executive Officers".

[117]

ITEM SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND12. RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

At the 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, the Corporation's shareholders approved the First United Corporation Omnibus Equity Compensation Plan (the "Omnibus Plan"), which authorizes the grant of stock options, stock appreciation rights, stock awards, stock units, performance units, dividend equivalents, and other stock-based awards. The following table contains information about the Omnibus Plan as of December 31, 2013:

	Number of securitie issued upon exercis outstanding options warrants, and rights	warrants and rights	Number of securit remaining available ercfisture issuance un ptimpsity compensati plans (excluding securities reflected column (a))	le for der on
Plan Category	(a)	(b)	(c)	
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	0	N/A	118,585	(1)
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	0	N/A	N/A	
Total Note:	0	N/A	118,585	

In addition to stock options and stock appreciation rights, the Omnibus Plan permits the grant of stock awards, (1) stock units, performance units, dividend equivalents, and other stock-based awards. Subject to the anti-dilution provisions of the Omnibus Plan, the maximum number of shares for which awards may be granted to any one participant in any calendar year is 20,000, without regard to whether an award is paid in cash or shares.

All other information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the section of the Corporation's definitive Proxy Statement for the 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A entitled "Beneficial Ownership of Common Stock by Principal Shareholders and Management".

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the following sections of the Corporation's definitive Proxy Statement for the 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A entitled "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" and "Corporate Governance Matters" (under "Director Independence").

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the section of the Corporation's definitive Proxy Statement for the 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A entitled "Audit Fees and Services".

[118]

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a)(1), (2) and (c) Financial Statements.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition as of December 31, 2013 and 2012

Consolidated Statement of Income for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

Consolidated Statement of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

(a)(3) and (b) Exhibits.

The exhibits filed or furnished with this annual report are listed on the Exhibit Index that follows the signatures to this annual report, which list is incorporated herein by reference.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

FIRST UNITED CORPORATION

Dated: March 10, 2014 By:/s/ William B. Grant

Explanation of Responses:

William B. Grant, Esq., CFP Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

[119]

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated.

/s/ William B. Grant William B. Grant - Director and Chief Executive Officer, David J. Beachy - Director (Principal Executive Officer) March 10, 2014

/s/ M. Kathryn Burkey M. Kathryn Burkey - Director March 10, 2014

/s/ Robert W. Kurtz Robert W. Kurtz - Director March 10, 2014

/s/ Elaine L. McDonald Elaine L. McDonald - Director March 10, 2014

/s/ Carissa L. Rodeheaver Carissa L. Rodeheaver - Director, President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Accounting Officer) March 10, 2014

/s/ I. Robert Rudy I. Robert Rudy - Director March 10, 2014

/s/ Robert G. Stuck Robert G. Stuck - Director March 10, 2014

[120]

/s/ David J. Beachy March 10, 2014

/s/ Paul Cox, Jr. Paul Cox, Jr. - Director March 10, 2014

/s/ John W. McCullough John W. McCullough - Director March 10, 2014

/s/ Donald E. Moran Donald E. Moran - Director March 10, 2014

/s/ Gary R. Ruddell Gary R. Ruddell - Director March 10, 2014

/s/ Richard G. Stanton Richard G. Stanton - Director March 10, 2014

/s/ H. Andrew Walls III H. Andrew Walls III - Director March 10, 2014

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Description

- 3.1(i) Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to First United Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 1998)
- 3.2(i) Amended and Restated Bylaws (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2(i) to First United Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007)
- 3.2(ii) First Amendment to Amended and Restated Bylaws (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2(ii) to First United Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007)
- 3.2(iii) Second Amendment to Amended and Restated Bylaws (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to First United Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 9, 2009)

Letter Agreement, including the related Securities Purchase Agreement – Standard Terms, dated January 30,
 2009 by and between First United Corporation and the U.S. Department of Treasury (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to First United Corporation's Form 8-K filed on February 2, 2009)

- 4.2 Certificate of Notice, including the Certificate of Designations incorporated therein, relating to the Fixed
 4.2 Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A (incorporated by reference Exhibit 4.1 to First United Corporation's Form 8-K filed on February 2, 2009)
- 4.3 Sample Stock Certificate for Series A Preferred Stock for the Series A Preferred Stock (incorporated by reference Exhibit 4.3 to First United Corporation's Form 8-K filed on February 2, 2009)
- 4.4 Common Stock Purchase Warrant dated January 30, 2009 issued to the U.S. Department of Treasury (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to First United Corporation's Form 8-K filed on February 2, 2009)
- 4.5 Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust, dated as of December 30, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to First United Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 30, 2009)
- 4.6 Indenture, dated as of December 30, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to First United Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 30, 2009)
- 4.7 Preferred Securities Guarantee Agreement, dated as of December 30, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to First United Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 30, 2009)
- 4.8 Form of Preferred Security Certificate of First United Statutory Trust III (included as Exhibit C to Exhibit 4.5)
- 4.9 Form of Common Security Certificate of First United Statutory Trust III (included as Exhibit B to Exhibit 4.5)
- 4.10 Form of Junior Subordinated Debenture of First United Corporation (included as Exhibit A to Exhibit 4.6)

Explanation of Responses:

First United Bank & Trust Amended and Restated Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan ("SERP")
10.1 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to First United Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 21, 2007)

Second Amended and Restated Participation Agreement, dated as of August 12, 2011, under the SERP
 between First United Bank & Trust and William B. Grant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2011)

10.3 Form of Second Amended and Restated Participation Agreement, dated as of August 12, 2011, under the SERP between First United Bank & Trust and executive officers other than William B. Grant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to First United Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2011)

Form of Endorsement Split Dollar Agreement between the Bank and each of William B. Grant, Robert W.
 Kurtz, Jeannette R. Fitzwater, Phillip D. Frantz, Eugene D. Helbig, Jr., Steven M. Lantz, Robin M. Murray, Carissa L. Rodeheaver, and Frederick A. Thayer, IV (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to First United Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2003)

 Amended and Restated First United Corporation Executive and Director Deferred Compensation Plan
 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to First United Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 24, 2008)

10.6 Amended and Restated First United Corporation Change in Control Severance Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to First United Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 23, 2008)

Change in Control Severance Plan Agreement, dated as of February 14, 2007, with William B. Grant
 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to First United Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 21, 2007)

[121]

10.8	First Amendment to Change in Control Severance Plan Agreement, dated as of December 28, 2012, with William B. Grant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to First United Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012)
10.9	Form of Change in Control Severance Plan Agreement, dated as of February 14, 2007, with executive officers other than William B. Grant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to First United Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 21, 2007)
10.10	Form of First Amendment to Change in Control Severance Plan Agreement, dated as of December 28, 2012, with executive officers other than William B. Grant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to First United Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012)
10.11	First United Corporation Omnibus Equity Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix B to First United Corporation's 2007 definitive proxy statement filed on March 23, 2007)
10.12	First United Corporation Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to First United Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 23, 2008)
10.13	Restricted Stock Agreement for William B. Grant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to First United Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 23, 2008)
10.14	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement for Executive Officers other than the Chief Executive Officer (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to First United Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 23, 2008)
10.15	First United Corporation Executive Pay for Performance Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to First United Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 23, 2008)
21	Subsidiaries of First United Corporation (incorporated by reference to the identification of subsidiaries contained in Item 1 of Part I of this Annual Report on Form 10-K under the heading "General")
23.1	Consent of ParenteBeard LLC, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (filed herewith)
31.1	Certifications of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act (filed herewith)
31.2	Certifications of Principal Accounting Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act (filed herewith)
32.1	Certifications pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act (furnished herewith)
99.1	Certifications of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 31 C.F.R. § 30.15 (filed herewith)
99.2	Certifications of Principal Accounting Officer pursuant to 31 C.F.R. § 30.15 (filed herewith)
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document (filed herewith)
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema (filed herewith)

Explanation of Responses:

- 101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase (filed herewith)
- 101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase (filed herewith)
- 101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase (filed herewith)
- 101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase (filed herewith)

[122]